Informational Paper 16

Municipal and County Finance

Wisconsin Legislative Fiscal Bureau January, 2007

Municipal and County Finance

Prepared by

Rick Olin

Wisconsin Legislative Fiscal Bureau One East Main, Suite 301 Madison, WI 53703

Municipal and County Finance

Introduction

Wisconsin's local government system is comprised of cities (190), towns (1,259), villages (402), counties (72), school districts (425), technical college districts (16), and a number of other special purpose districts. This paper provides an overview of the financial characteristics of Wisconsin's general purpose local governments--towns, villages, and cities. counties. It includes descriptions of expenditure and revenue patterns and the role of intergovernmental revenues in local finance. It concludes with a brief discussion of the rationale for state aid programs.

Municipal and County Expenditures and Revenues

Expenditures

An examination of expenditures illustrates the variation in local government service levels. Table 1 presents 2005 statewide total and per capita expenditures of towns, villages, cities, and counties for ten categories of general operations. This information is compiled from annual financial reports filed with the Department of Revenue. Included in the reports and Table 1 are amounts

expended by local enterprises, such as water, electric, or parking utilities. Appendix I supplies a more detailed listing of the items included in each function.

Several points relevant to the data should be noted. First, some functions are not uniformly provided by all local governments. Second, governments do not use uniform methods for allocating costs to the various expenditure categories. Third, service levels may vary due to factors other than population. For example, high per capita expenditures for public safety in a municipality may be due to a concentration of commercial and manufacturing property, as opposed to a high service level for the residents of the municipality. Despite these cautions, the expenditure data can be used to draw some general conclusions about local government services.

Cities had the highest per capita level of expenditures (\$1,903), followed by villages, counties, and towns. Average per capita expenditures for villages (\$1,560) were 82.0% of the level for cities. County expenditures per person (\$1,181) were lower than both villages and cities. Finally, town expenditures per person (\$449) were only 23.6% of the city average.

Table 1: 2005 Expenditures of Municipalities and Counties

		Towns			Villages		
	Amount	Per Capita	Percent	Amount	Per Capita	Percent	
General Administration	\$110,328,754	\$65	14.5%	\$92,574,598	\$117	7.5%	
Public Safety	138,225,414	82	18.2	226,039,789	286	18.3	
Health and Human Services	3,909,399	2	0.5	4,240,997	5	0.3	
Transportation	328,144,714	193	43.1	204,390,308	258	16.6	
Sanitation	58,137,110	34	7.7	182,091,296	230	14.7	
Recreation and Education	23,603,738	14	3.1	80,197,803	101	6.5	
Conservation and Development	16,122,927	10	2.1	44,926,749	57	3.6	
Principal and Interest	64,703,619	38	8.5	251,232,052	317	20.3	
Operation of Utilities	11,299,675	7	1.5	135,333,782	171	11.0	
Other	6,191,124	4	0.8	14,552,233	18	1.2	
Total	\$760,666,474	\$449	100.0%	\$1,235,579,607	\$1,560	100.0%	
		Cities			Counties		
General Administration	\$383,307,233	\$124	6.5%	\$615,437,176	\$110	9.3%	
Public Safety	1,301,630,510	421	22.1	878,969,057	157	13.3	
Health and Human Services	98,139,790	32	1.7	2,649,117,563	475	40.2	
Transportation	883,227,141	285	15.0	979,742,821	176	14.9	
Sanitation	577,129,533	186	9.8	76,319,947	14	1.2	
Recreation and Education	407,263,883	132	6.9	311,234,601	56	4.7	
Conservation and Development	326,203,085	105	5.6	158,559,284	28	2.4	
Principal and Interest	902,310,372	292	15.3	381,152,433	68	5.8	
Operation of Utilities	779,149,257	252	13.2	47,636,457	9	0.7	
Other	228,393,975	74	3.9	493,756,607	88	7.5	
Total	\$5,886,754,779	\$1,903	100.0%	\$6,591,925,946	\$1,181	100.0%	

Table 2: 2005 Revenue Sources of Municipalities and Counties

		Towns		Villages		
	Amount	Per Capita	Percent	Amount	Per Capita	Percent
Taxes*	\$332,944,306	\$196	43.1%	\$415,625,733	\$525	33.8%
Intergovernmental Revenues	230,399,987	136	29.8	153,225,748	193	12.4
Licenses and Permits	23,548,517	14	3.1	25,927,155	33	2.1
Fines and Forfeitures	3,518,311	2	0.5	10,703,639	14	0.9
Public Charges for Services	65,040,111	38	8.4	173,399,085	219	14.1
Intergovernmental Charges	7,079,948	4	0.9	48,388,365	61	3.9
Long-Term Debt	58,640,941	35	7.6	195,843,766	247	15.9
Interest Income	15,515,202	9	2.0	31,985,731	40	2.6
Utility Revenues	10,981,861	7	1.4	130,847,783	165	10.6
Other	25,023,829	15	3.2	45,092,123	57	3.7
Total	\$772,693,013	\$456	100.0%	\$1,231,039,128	\$1,554	100.0%
		Cities		(Counties	
Taxes*	\$1,649,596,668	\$533	27.4%	\$1,943,761,269	\$348	29.4%
Intergovernmental Revenues	1,146,298,766	371	19.1	2,002,283,968	359	30.3
Licenses and Permits	90,318,913	29	1.5	21,286,466	4	0.3
Fines and Forfeitures	44,558,216	14	0.8	29,339,557	5	0.4
Public Charges for Services	777,280,623	251	12.9	1,217,511,071	218	18.4
Intergovernmental Charges	356,493,608	115	5.9	814,671,350	146	12.3
Long-Term Debt	726,501,947	235	12.1	160,667,981	29	2.4
Interest Income	120,635,394	39	2.0	70,590,035	13	1.1
Utility Revenues	824,781,727	267	13.7	45,568,223	8	0.7
Other	276,781,547	<u>90</u>	4.6	311,253,729	<u>56</u>	4.7
Total	\$6,013,247,409	\$1,944	100.0%	\$6,616,933,649	\$1,186	100.0%

 $^{{}^*} Includes \ property \ taxes, \ county \ sales \ taxes, \ special \ assessments, \ and \ other \ local \ taxes.$

Almost half of all expenditures were devoted to transportation in towns (43.1%) and to health and human services in counties (40.2%). Cities and villages did not have a single dominant category of expenditures, although more than half of all expenditures in villages (55.2%) and cities (52.4%) were attributable to public safety, transportation, and debt service, combined.

Revenues

Revenue patterns for towns, villages, cities, and counties for 2005 are displayed in Table 2. The table includes ten revenue sources that are described in Appendix II. In addition to total revenue collected, Table 2 reports per capita amounts and the percent that each revenue category is of total revenues.

Total revenues were comparable to the corresponding expenditure totals for each type of local government. Thus, the highest per capita revenues were recorded in cities (\$1,944) and villages (\$1,554), followed by counties (\$1,186) and towns (\$456).

Taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and public charges for services comprised the largest revenue sources for local governments in 2005. Taxes represented the largest revenue source for towns (43.1%), villages (33.8%), and cities (27.4%). Intergovernmental revenues were the largest revenue source for counties (30.3%). Most county charges for services are derived from functions such as mental health services, nursing homes, hospitals, and other health and human services, where third party reimbursements account for much of the revenues.

Intergovernmental Revenues

Detail on 2005 intergovernmental revenues (IGR) is provided in Table 3. Aids are arranged under three broad categories: (1) direct federal aid;

(2) state aid, including federal aid paid through the state; and (3) aid from other local governments. Counties receive most of the federal aid paid through the state. Separate figures are shown only for the larger aid programs. Most lines include several separate aid programs that fund similar types of local service. State aid does not reflect state property tax credits, which, although paid to municipalities, must be passed through to taxpayers as a credit against gross property taxes.

Direct federal aid plays a relatively small role in intergovernmental revenues. Cities received \$47 per person in direct federal aid, which equaled 12.6% of their IGR. Counties received \$35 per person, or 9.8% of their IGR. Direct federal aid averaged \$3 per capita for towns and \$11 per capita for villages, or 2.4% and 5.6% of their total IGR, respectively.

Shared revenue (which includes county and municipal aid) and state transportation aid were the largest sources of aid to municipalities in 2005. For towns, those aids comprised 80.8% of their total IGR. Shared revenue comprised about half of all intergovernmental revenues for villages (47.4%) and cities (50.7%). On a per capita basis, cities received more shared revenue (\$188) than villages (\$92) or towns (\$37). On the other hand, per capita transportation aid payments were higher for towns (\$73) than for cities (\$55) or villages (\$52).

Counties received the majority (64.2%, or \$230 per person) of their aid in the health and human services area. State shared revenue (\$28 per capita) and transportation aid (\$23 per capita) totaled 14.1%, combined, of all aid to counties.

Aid from other local governments was not a significant part of total intergovernmental revenues. These aids comprised 4.6% of intergovernmental revenue for cities, 5.2% for towns, and 4.7% for villages. This aid was less significant for counties (0.3%).

Table 3: 2005 Intergovernmental Revenues to Municipalities and Counties

		Towns			Villages			Cities			Counties	
		Per	% of		Per	yo%		Per	% of		Per	yo%
	Amount	Capita	Total	Amount	Capita	Total	Amount	Capita	Total	Amount	Capita	Total
Direct Federal Aid												
Public Safety	\$3,586,071	\$2	1.6%	\$2,616,636	\$3	1.7%	\$12,145,978	\$4	1.1%	\$4,750,959	\$1	0.2%
Health and Human Services	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	13,403,874	4	1.1	78,323,853	14	3.9
Transportation	952,978	< 1	0.4	1,687,969	2	1.1	6,394,538	2	9.0	23,778,926	4	1.2
Sanitation	330,116	< 1	0.1	0	0	0.0	1,548,029	1	0.1	0	0	0.0
Culture and Recreation	0	0	0.0	6,557	< 1	< 0.1	3,008,973	1	0.3	5,407,437	1	0.3
Community Development	162,834	> 1	0.1	1,722,789	2	1.1	71,503,268	23	6.2	50,626,484	6	2.5
Other	552,713	\ 	0.2	2,620,307	3	1.7	36,276,875	12	3.2	33,531,019	9	1.7
Total Federal Aid	\$5,584,712	83	2.4%	\$8,654,258	\$11	2.6%	\$144,281,535	847	12.6%	\$196,418,678	\$35	%8'6
State Aid (Includes Federal												
Aid Paid Through the State)												
Shared Revenue	\$63,459,912	\$37	27.5%	\$72,681,070	26\$	47.4%	\$580,958,280	\$188	50.7%	\$154,239,396	828	7.7%
Expenditure Restraint	461,094	~	0.2	5,198,193	9	3.4	52,486,413	17	4.6	0	0	0.0
General Government	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	110,012	< 1	< 0.1	38,190,449	7	1.9
Public Safety	5,667,999	လ	2.5	3,718,219	5	2.4	19,521,754	9	1.7	29,962,567	5	1.5
Health and Human Services	0	0	0.0	3,584	^ 1	< 0.1	6,814,117	2	9.0	1,286,500,169	230	64.2
Transportation	122,869,717	73	53.3	41,079,166	25	8.92	168,587,362	55	14.7	127,824,302	23	6.4
Sanitation	3,450,575	2	1.5	2,726,500	3	1.8	12,571,534	4	1.1	7,355,871	1	0.4
Culture and Recreation	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	2,891,034	1	0.3	31,416,437	9	1.6
Community Development	757,917	< 1	0.3	1,816,706	2	1.2	11,838,881	4	1.0	8,968,023	2	0.4
Forestry and Conservation	9,520,909	9	4.1	105,410	< 1	0.1	626,764	< 1	0.1	31,624,454	9	1.6
Payments for Mun. Services	566,746	< 1	0.3	398,746	_	0.3	21,232,086	7	1.8	52,691	< 1	< 0.1
Other	6,134,651	4	2.7	9,676,672	12	6.3	71,095,802	23	6.2	83,420,775	15	4.2
Total State Aid	\$212,889,520	\$126	92.4%	\$137,404,266	\$173	89.7%	\$948,734,039	\$307	85.8%	\$1,799,555,134	\$323	%6.68
Total Local Aid	\$11,925,755	87	5.2%	\$7,167,224	89	4.7%	\$53,283,192	\$17	4.6%	\$6,310,156	\$1	0.3%
TOTAL	\$230.399.987	\$136	100.0%	\$153.225.748	\$193	100.0%	\$1.146.298.766	\$371	100.0%	\$2.002.283.968	8359	100.0%
))) } })		· · ·		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1))	2

Rationale for State Aid to Local Governments

States provide aid to local units of government for a number of reasons. Although not exhaustive, the following list describes several of these reasons.

- 1. Spreading Costs. Some local services are provided to residents of other communities. State aid may help to ensure that local residents do not bear the entire burden of providing these services. For example, major streets in urban areas serve commuters from other areas. State transportation aid helps to offset the cost borne by urban residents.
- 2. Tax Base Equalization. The purpose of tax base equalization is to provide funds to equalize local governments' fiscal capacity by channeling proportionately larger amounts of aid to fiscally weak jurisdictions. Local governments vary in the amount of tax base per resident and the costs of providing local services. Through equalization, tax rates are equalized for local governments possessing equal spending levels. Although the state no longer distributes aid to municipal and county governments under tax base equalization, general state aids to elementary and secondary school districts are allocated under an equalizing formula.

The policy of tax base equalization has been pursued for several reasons. First, it can remove fiscal capacity as a barrier to providing local services. Second, equalization may accomplish a limited degree of income redistribution, providing more state assistance to areas with low total fiscal capacity. Third, equalization can reduce the variance in local tax rates, making it easier for areas with low fiscal capacity to compete for new development.

- 3. Replacing Lost Tax Base. The exemption of a class of property from local taxation may result in a burdensome shift in taxes to owners of the remaining taxable property. State aid can cushion and spread the burden of the revenue lost due to the exemption.
- 4. Substituting State Taxes for Local Taxes. Using state aid to help finance local government may improve the overall equity in the state-local tax system. The state income tax, in particular, is generally perceived to be more progressive, equitable, and better related to the taxpayer's ability to pay than the property tax.
- 5. Funding Local Mandates. Local governments provide a wide variety of services that are required by state law. The provision of state aid helps to offset the cost of these services.

A concern raised with state aid is the trade-off between stimulating local expenditures and substituting state revenues for local revenues. Either or both responses by local governments are possible. Depending on the state's reasons for providing local aid, provisions can be built into the aid system to address this concern.

APPENDIX I

Explanations of Expenditure Categories Used in Table 1

General Administration. These are the resources expended for legislative, judicial, and general government support, including governing boards, judges, attorneys, clerks, treasurers, assessors, financial administration, and planning activities.

Public Safety. This includes police, fire, emergency communications (911), ambulance, and correctional facilities.

Health and Human Services. This includes health programs, drug abuse, mental health, services to the aged, medical and health care services for indigent individuals, veterans programs, income maintenance administration, and other human service programs.

Transportation. This includes highway maintenance, traffic control, street lighting, bicycle trails, parking lots, meters and ramps, mass transit, airports, and docks and harbors.

Sanitation. This includes sanitary sewers and treatment plants for sewer departments, refuse collection, storm water drainage, and landfill operations.

Recreation and Education. This includes libraries, recreation programs, parks, recreational facilities,

and educational programs.

Conservation and Development. This includes expenditures for public housing, economic development, county forestry projects, conservation of natural resources, and administration of planning policies and zoning laws.

Principal and Interest. This includes repayment of the principal and interest on bonds, long-term notes, installment contracts, and state trust fund loans and interest on temporary loans. It does not include the payment of principal on temporary loans.

Operation of Utilities. This includes operating expenses of water, electric, gas, and other miscellaneous utilities.

Other. This includes surplus funds applied to reduce the tax levies of other jurisdictions, expenditures from internal services accounts, and miscellaneous expenditures not classified elsewhere.

Total. This is the sum of the preceding ten categories.

APPENDIX II

Explanations of Revenue Categories Used in Table 2

Taxes. This includes the municipal and county shares of general property taxes, county sales taxes, special assessments, and other miscellaneous local taxes.

Intergovernmental Revenues. This includes direct federal aid, state aid, federal aid paid through the state, and aid from other local governments.

Licenses and Permits. This includes liquor licenses, building and zoning permits, and other regulatory permits and fees.

Fines and Forfeitures. This includes police fines, contract forfeitures, judgments, and damage awards.

Public Charges for Services. This includes fees charged for a variety of public services, such as parking fees, golf course fees, mental health service fees, and other user charges.

Intergovernmental Charges for Services. This includes revenues received from other governmental units for services provided.

Long-Term Debt. This includes proceeds from long-term general obligation bonds, notes, state trust fund loans, and installment purchase contracts.

Interest Income. This includes interest earned on invested funds and interest and penalty charges on special assessments.

Utility Revenues. This includes user fees and other revenues from water, gas, electric, and other miscellaneous utilities.

Other. This includes miscellaneous revenues.

Total. This is the sum of the preceding ten categories.