

# Transportation Aid

# (General Transportation and Connecting Highway Aid)

Prepared by

John Wilson-Tepeli

Wisconsin Legislative Fiscal Bureau One East Main, Suite 301 Madison, WI 53703 http://legis.wisconsin.gov/lfb

# Transportation Aid (General Transportation and Connecting Highway Aid)

Local governments in Wisconsin have jurisdiction over the majority of the state's roads and streets. Since the early development of Wisconsin's road system, the state has provided financial assistance to local governments for their transportation-related costs, including the construction and maintenance of roads under their jurisdiction.

This paper explains the general transportation aid and connecting highway aid formulas and examines their distributional impact. An example of a general transportation aid calculation is included in the Appendix.

## **General Transportation Aid**

General transportation aid is paid to local governments (counties, cities, villages, and towns) to assist in the maintenance, improvement, and construction of local roads. This aid must be used for transportation-related expenditures. Aid payments are made from the state's segregated transportation fund, which includes revenues from the motor fuel tax, vehicle registration fees, and other transportation-related taxes and fees. For 2018, general transportation aid payments equaled 23.1% of 2017-18 gross transportation fund revenues (including transfers from other funds).

General transportation aid is calculated and paid on a calendar-year basis, with quarterly payments on the first Monday of January, April, July, and October for municipalities. For counties, the transportation aid payment schedule is two installments, each equal to one-quarter of the calendar year total, on the first Monday of January and October, and a third installment equal to one-half of the calendar year total on the first Monday of July. Table 1 lists total general transportation aid payments for counties and municipalities from 2010 through 2019.

There are two basic formulas by which general transportation aid is distributed: (1) share of costs aid; and (2) mileage aid. Counties receive only share of costs aid, while municipalities and towns receive payments based on either share of costs aid

#### **Table 1: General Transportation Aid Payments**

Year	Municipal Aid	% Change	County Aid	% Change
2010	\$318,939,100	2.0%	\$101,375,500	2.0%
2011	328,507,300	3.0	104,416,800	3.0
2012	308,904,300	-6.0	94,615,600	-9.4
2013	308,904,300	0.0	94,615,600	0.0
2014	308,904,300	0.0	94,615,600	0.0
2015	321,260,500	4.0	98,400,200	4.0
2016	321,260,500	0.0	98,400,200	0.0
2017	321,260,500	0.0	98,400,200	0.0
2018	348,639,300	8.5	111,093,800	12.9
2019	348,639,300	0.0	111,093,800	0.0

or mileage aid, whichever is greater. The Department of Transportation (DOT) is required, by October 1 of each year, to notify each county and municipal clerk of the estimated transportation aid payments to that county or municipality for the following calendar year.

# Aid to Counties

Initial entitlements to counties are based on the share of costs formula. Share of costs aid amounts are computed by multiplying each county's sixyear average highway-related costs (2012 through 2017 for 2019 payments) by a statewide average cost-sharing percentage. This percentage "floats" to a level that generates general transportation aid payments equal to a targeted distribution for counties (\$111,093,800 in 2019, and thereafter). For the 2019 distribution, the county cost-sharing percentage is estimated at 19.1%.

# Aid to Municipalities

Each municipal government's initial entitlement equals the greater of its share of costs aid or mileage aid amounts.

*Share of Costs Aid.* The share of costs formula works the same for municipalities as for counties. The targeted general transportation aid distribution for municipalities is \$348,639,300 for 2019, and thereafter. For the 2019 distribution, the municipal cost-sharing percentage is estimated at 16.3%.

*Mileage Aid.* Mileage aid amounts are computed by multiplying the number of miles of road or street under the jurisdiction of each municipality by a specified mileage rate (\$2,389 per mile for 2019, and thereafter).

### **Mileage Reporting**

For the purposes of determining transportation aid, local governments must submit to DOT either: (a) a certified highway mileage plat, if changes in mileage have occurred within their jurisdiction since the last plat was submitted; or (b) a certified statement that no changes in jurisdictional mileage have occurred since the last plat was filed. DOT may require that a certified plat be submitted for odd-numbered years following a federal, decennial census. Jurisdictional mileage includes highway mileage under the jurisdiction of a local unit of government that will be open and used for travel on the following January 1. Any changes in jurisdictional highway mileage are first reflected in mileage aid determinations for the second year following the year of the submission of the plats indicating the changes.

Local governments, with the cooperation and assistance of DOT, must also conduct a biennial assessment of the physical condition of the highways within their jurisdiction and report the results to DOT. In making these assessments, local units of government are required to use a pavement rating system approved by DOT.

### **Cost Reporting**

All local governments must report their highway-related expenditures for each calendar year. DOT has developed cost reporting guidelines to be used by local governments for cost reporting purposes. This information is submitted on financial report forms required by the Department of Revenue (DOR), which relays this information to DOT.

Eligible highway-related costs are divided into three major categories:

a. *Maintenance;* including costs associated with snow plowing and the maintenance of pavements, bridges, culverts, storm sewers, and traffic control devices.

b. *Construction;* including right-of-way acquisition, engineering, signing, and construction costs for pavements, bridges, culverts, and storm sewers.

c. Other Highway-Related Costs; including

machinery and vehicle costs, building expenditures for road purposes, debt service payments, policing, and street lighting costs.

All public road, street, or alley construction and maintenance expenditures within the right-ofway are generally reportable as eligible cost items. The preceding list provides a general guide to the eligible costs definition. DOT's cost reporting guidelines provide more detail on eligible and ineligible costs.

Small municipalities (with populations under 2,500) must report highway-related costs for each calendar year by March 31 of the following year. Counties and large municipalities must report by May 1 of the following year. For municipalities and counties with populations over 25,000, an audited financial statement with supporting schedules must accompany the standard financial report and must be submitted by July 31 of the following year.

If a local government files a late report, its total aid for the following year is reduced by 1% for each working day that the report is late, to a maximum reduction of 10% (the resulting payment cannot be less than 90% of the previous year's payment). If the report is not received within 30 days of the filing date, the payment will equal 90% of the previous year's payment. A filing extension until May 15 is allowed, provided a request for an extension is submitted to DOR prior to the March 31 or May 1 deadlines. For the 2019 aid distribution, six towns and three villages were assessed a total of \$49,900 in penalties on their payments for filing late.

As a condition of receiving general transportation aid, each county and municipality must establish and administer a separate, segregated account from which moneys may only be used for purposes related to local highways. All moneys received from the state and the federal government for local highways must be deposited in this account.

### **Cost-Based Limitation on Mileage Aid**

No municipality may receive an aid amount exceeding 85% of its three-year average (2015 through 2017, for 2019 payments) highway-related costs. Since aid payments under the share of costs aid formula make up a percentage of costs that is significantly lower than the 85% of three-year average cost maximum, this provision usually affects only municipalities receiving mileage aid payments. For the 2019 distribution, it is estimated that this provision will reduce aid payments to 96 municipalities.

# Maximum Growth Limitation on Share of Costs Aid

Both county and municipal share of costs aid initial entitlements are subject to a maximum growth provision. The initial share of costs aid entitlement is compared to a maximum payment level to determine whether an aid reduction is required. No local government is allowed to receive more than 115% of its prior year payment. The maximum growth provision prevents large, yearto-year payment increases. For the 2019 distribution, it is estimated that some aid will be withheld from 75 municipalities and one county due to the application of the maximum growth provision.

### **Minimum Guarantee**

The initial entitlements for all local governments receiving general transportation aid are compared to minimum payment levels to determine whether additional aid is required. Both counties and municipalities are guaranteed only 90% of their prior year payment amount. For municipalities receiving mileage aid, their prior year payment is adjusted to reflect mileage changes prior to applying the minimum guarantee. The minimum guarantee provision prevents large, year-to-year payment decreases. For the 2019 distribution, it is estimated that minimum guarantee payments will be made to 66 municipalities and three counties under the share of costs formula component and seven municipalities under the mileage aid formula component.

### **Distributional Impact**

Over time, varying amounts of emphasis have been placed on mileage and costs in distributing general transportation aid payments. Since some local governments benefit more from mileagebased provisions while others benefit more from cost-based provisions, neither approach tends to satisfy both groups. Table 2 illustrates the distribution of general transportation aid expressed in terms of costs and mileage.

Statewide estimated transportation aid payments for 2019 equal 19.9% of reported costs for 2017. From this perspective, towns do the best, with payments equaling 38.0% of reported costs. Incorporated areas benefit the least, with payments ranging from 15.0% of reported costs for those with populations 35,001 to 200,000 to 15.8% of reported costs for those with populations between 10,001 to 35,000.

This situation is reversed when aid payments are examined in terms of mileage. On average statewide, local governments received \$4,510 in 2019 payments for each mile of road under their jurisdiction. From this perspective, towns benefit the least, receiving \$2,416 per mile. Incorporated areas benefit the most, ranging from \$7,999 per mile for those with populations under 10,000 to \$17,037 per mile for those with populations over 200,000.

As this indicates, the relative success of each type of local government under the general transportation aid formula depends on the measure used to make the comparison. Governments that do poorly on the basis of payments received per mile (such as towns) have used this measure to argue for increased mileage-based payments. Conversely, governments that do poorly in terms of payments received as a percentage of costs (such as large incorporated areas) have argued that costbased payments should be increased.

The current formula consists of both costbased and mileage-based payments. The inclusion of both types of payments reflects a recognition by the Legislature that neither type addresses the concerns of all local governments. Table 3 provides information on the distribution of six-year average costs and local mileage, the two primary factors in the current formula.

Incorporated areas have a larger share of the average highway-related costs than they do of the mileage. Towns, on the other hand, have a majority of the mileage under the jurisdiction of local governments, but incur less than one-fifth of the highway-related costs. Finally, counties have about one-quarter of the average costs and about one-fifth of the mileage.

Jurisdiction	2019 Payments	2017 Reported Costs	Payments as a % of Costs	Jurisdictional Miles	Payments Per Mile
Counties	\$111,093,800	\$607,148,149	18.3%	19,851	\$5,596
Towns	148,884,595	391,696,558	38.0	61,621	2,416
Incorporated Areas					
Under 10,000	60,538,874	398,682,311	15.2	7,568	7,999
10,001 to 35,000	53,180,664	336,464,117	15.8	5,914	8,992
35,001 to 200,000	49,848,514	331,455,259	15.0	4,864	10,248
Over 200,000	36,186,653	239,114,951	15.1	2,124	17,037
Total	\$459,733,100	\$2,304,561,345	19.9%	101,942	\$4,510

. . . . .

#### Table 2: Distribution of 2019 Total General Transportation Aid Payments

	<u>2012-17 Av</u>	erage Costs	Jurisdictional Miles	
Jurisdiction	Amount	Percent	Number	Percent
Counties	\$581,802,852	26.7%	19,851	19.5%
Towns	364,266,243	16.7	61,621	60.4
Incorporated Areas				
Under 10,000	374,055,632	17.2	7,568	7.4
10,001 to 35,000	327,593,685	15.1	5,914	5.8
35,001 to 200,000	306,462,075	14.1	4,864	4.8
Over 200,000	222,211,375	<u>10.2</u>	2,124	2.1
Total	\$2,176,391,862	100.0%	101,942	100.0%

# Table 3: Distribution of Average Costs and Miles Used to Compute 2019General Transportation Aids

# **Connecting Highway Aid**

## Background

Connecting highways are certain portions of the state trunk highway system passing through municipalities. Generally, if a highway is altered to function as a local street, such as the construction of curb and gutters, the route is designated as a connecting highway by DOT and loses its designation as a state trunk highway. While the state is responsible for the maintenance of all rural state trunk highway mileage (this is done by counties under contract with the state), the maintenance of connecting highways is a municipal responsibility.

Under certain circumstances, the state retains maintenance responsibilities for a state trunk highway passing through a small municipality. As a general rule, this occurs whenever the geometrics of the highway are not significantly altered as a result of passing through the municipality.

### **Connecting Highway Aid Formula**

Connecting highway aid payments received by an individual municipality are based on its population and the lane miles of connecting highway within its boundaries. The rates paid per mile are based on a statutory schedule (2019 rates are listed in Table 4), with aid on the first two lanes of any connecting highway being paid at 100% of the statutory rate, aid on the second two lanes at 75% of the statutory rate, and aid on any additional lanes at 50% of the statutory rate. In 2019, 117 cities and villages will receive \$12.1 million in connecting highway aid. Payments are made to municipalities in four equal installments, on the first Monday of January, April, July, and October. If the appropriation is insufficient to fully fund the formula, payments are prorated. In 2019, payments were prorated at 88.1% of the formula amounts.

### Table 4: 2019 Connecting Highway Aid Rates

Population	Aid Per Lane Mile
Over 500,000	\$11,724
150,001 to 500,000	10,860
35,001 to 150,000	9,678
10,000 to 35,000	8,525
Under 10,000	7,345

# Lift Bridge Aid

The state also reimburses municipalities for the actual costs incurred in operating and maintaining swing or lift bridges on connecting highways. Eligible cities must submit documentation of their costs for the prior calendar year by January 31. Presently, four cities operate nine eligible lift bridges: Green Bay (Main Street), Manitowoc (8th and 10th Streets), Milwaukee (Broadway, Kinnickinnic, State, and Wells Streets), and Racine (Main and State Streets).

Lift bridge aid is paid on the first Monday in July for costs incurred during the previous calendar year. Consequently, the \$2,402,100 (the \$2,659,200 appropriation for 2018-19 less \$257,100 in unallocated funds) paid in lift bridge aid in July, 2018 (2018-19), was in reimbursement for actual costs incurred by the cities during calendar year 2017. If the biennial appropriation is insufficient to provide full reimbursement, payments will be prorated. Because funding available exceeded submitted costs in the most recent cycle, no proration was made in 2018-19.

# APPENDIX

# **Computation of 2019 General Transportation Aid Payment for the Village of Paddock Lake (Kenosha County)**

## 1. SHARE OF COSTS AID

2.

Data Needed:	Average of 2012 through 2017 Highway-Related Costs = \$656,766 Statewide Average Cost-Sharing Percentage = 0.162848				
Computation:	Share ofSix-Year AverageCosts Aid=Highway-Related Costsx		Cost-Sharing Percentage		
		= =	\$656,766 \$106,953	Х	0.162848
MILEAGE AID					
Data Needed:	Jurisdictional Mileage = 15.96 miles Mileage Rate = \$2,389 per mile				
Computation:	Mileage Aid	=	Jurisdictional Mileage 15.96	X X	Mileage Rate \$2,389

Since \$106,953 is greater than \$38,128, the Village of Paddock Lake receives aid through the share of costs aid provision.

\$38,128

=

### 3. MINIMUM GUARANTEE/MAXIMUM GROWTH LIMIT

Data Needed:	Share of Costs Aid Mileage Aid Minimum Guaran Maximum Growtl Cost-Based Aid L NET TOTAL	itee h Limit	2018 Guarantee Base \$126,402 -0- -0- -0- -0- \$126,402	<b>2019</b> <b>Basic Entitlement</b> \$106,953 -0- \$106,953
Computation of Minimum Guarantee	Minimum Guarantee	<ul> <li>= [.90 x 2018 Guarantee or \$-0-; whichever is g</li> <li>= [.90 x \$126,402] - \$</li> <li>= \$113,762 - \$106,953 c</li> <li>= \$6,809 or \$-0-</li> <li>= \$6,809</li> </ul>	reater 5106,953 or \$-0-	Entitlement
Computation of Maximum Growth Limit	Maximum Growth Limit	<ul> <li>= [1.15 x 2018 Guarant or \$-0-; whichever is</li> <li>= [1.15 x \$126,402] - \$</li> <li>= \$145,362 - \$106,953 d</li> <li>= \$38,409 or \$-0-</li> <li>= \$-0-</li> </ul>	s less 5106,953 or \$-0-	ic Entitlement

### 4. COST-BASED AID LIMITATION

Data Needed:	Average of 2015 through 2017 Highway-Related Costs = \$691,433
	Aid After Minimum Guarantee/Maximum Growth Limit = \$113,762

Computation of	Cost-Based		
Cost-Based Aid	Aid Limitation	=	[.85 x Three-Year Average Costs] - Aid After Minimum/Maximum
Limitation			or \$-0-; whichever is less
		=	[.85 x \$691,433] - \$113,762 or \$-0-
		=	\$587,718 - \$113,762 or \$-0-
		=	\$473,956 or \$-0-
		=	\$-0-

# 5. TOTAL 2019 GENERAL TRANSPORTATION AID PAYMENT FOR THE VILLAGE OF PADDOCK LAKE

Share of Costs Aid	\$106,953
Mileage Aid	-0-
Minimum Guarantee	6,809
Maximum Growth Limit	-0-
Cost-Based Aid Limitation	-0-
Total	\$113,762