2019 SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 9

February 25, 2019 - Introduced by Senators HANSEN, RISER, CARPENTER, SMITH, LARSON and RINGHAND, cosponsored by Representatives SUBECK, ANDERSON, ZAMARRIPA, C. TAYLOR, CROWLEY, KOLSTE, EMMERSON, SHANKLAND, SPREITZER, POPE, VRUWINK, HESSELBEIN, SARGENT, DOYLE, BILLINGS, SINICKI, GOYKE and FIELDS. Referred to Committee on Elections, Ethics and Rural Issues.

Relating to: an advisory referendum on an amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

In the case of Citizens United v. F.E.C. and related cases, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that corporations are “persons” for the purpose of political speech, thus allowing corporations to make unlimited expenditures in political campaigns. The U.S. Constitution grants Congress the power to propose amendments to the Constitution that become effective when ratified by the legislatures of three-fourths of the states. Amendments can have the effect of overturning—in effect repealing—prior decisions of the Supreme Court. This resolution places a question on the November 2020 ballot to ask the people if Congress should propose an amendment to overturn Citizens United v. F.E.C. and related cases.

Resolved by the senate, the assembly concurring, That the following question be submitted, for advisory purposes only, to the voters of this state at the general election to be held in November 2020:

QUESTION 1: Overturning Citizens United. “The U.S. Supreme Court’s decisions in Citizens United and related cases allow unlimited spending to influence local, state, and federal elections. To allow all Americans to have an equal say in our
democracy, shall Wisconsin’s congressional delegation support, and the Wisconsin legislature ratify, an amendment to the U.S. Constitution stating:

1. Only human beings are endowed with constitutional rights—not corporations, unions, nonprofit organizations, or other artificial entities, and

2. Money is not speech, and therefore limiting political contributions and spending is not equivalent to limiting political speech?”

(END)