



## 2013 ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTION 109

February 28, 2014 – Introduced by Representatives ZAMARRIPA, C. TAYLOR, SARGENT, BARCA, BARNES, BERCEAU, BERNARD SCHABER, BEWLEY, BILLINGS, CLARK, DANOU, GENRICH, GOYKE, HEBL, HESSELBEIN, HINTZ, HULSEY, JOHNSON, JORGENSEN, KAHL, KESSLER, KOLSTE, MASON, MILROY, OHNSTAD, PASCH, POPE, RICHARDS, RIEMER, RINGHAND, SHANKLAND, SINICKI, WACHS, WRIGHT, YOUNG and ZEPNICK, cosponsored by Senators CARPENTER, VINEHOUT, MILLER, RISSER, C. LARSON, ERPENBACH, SHILLING, LASSA, WIRCH, LEHMAN, HANSEN, HARRIS, L. TAYLOR, T. CULLEN and JAUCH. Referred to Committee on Judiciary.

1     **To amend** section 13 of article XIII of the constitution; **relating to:** eliminating  
2             constitutional restrictions on marriage (first consideration).

---

### *Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

This constitutional amendment, proposed to the 2013 legislature on first consideration, eliminates the constitutional restriction that only a marriage between one man and one woman shall be valid or recognized as a marriage in this state and the provision that a legal status identical or substantially similar to that of a marriage for unmarried individuals shall not be valid or recognized in this state.

A proposed constitutional amendment requires adoption by two successive legislatures, and ratification by the people, before it can become effective.

---

3             **Resolved by the assembly, the senate concurring, That:**

4             **SECTION 1.** Section 13 of article XIII of the constitution is amended so as in effect  
5             to repeal said section:

6             [Article XIII] Section 13. ~~Only a marriage between one man and one woman~~  
7             ~~shall be valid or recognized as a marriage in this state. A legal status identical or~~  
8             ~~substantially similar to that of marriage for unmarried individuals shall not be valid~~  
9             ~~or recognized in this state.~~

