



## 1999 SENATE BILL 356

February 1, 2000 - Introduced by Senators WIRCH, HUELSMAN and SCHULTZ, cosponsored by Representatives JENSEN, VRAKAS, PETTIS, KELSO, STONE, GUNDRUM, KEDZIE, LADWIG, OWENS, KREUSER, DUFF, HOVEN, OLSEN, WALKER, TURNER, STASKUNAS, MUSSER, KREIBICH, SYKORA, SPILLNER, ALBERS and PORTER. Referred to Economic Development, Housing and Government Operations.

1     **AN ACT** *to renumber and amend* 292.35 (2); and *to create* 292.35 (1) (am) and  
2           292.35 (2) (b) of the statutes; **relating to:** applicability of the local  
3           governmental unit negotiation and cost-recovery process for contaminated  
4           property.

---

### *Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau*

Current law authorizes a local governmental unit that owns property that is contaminated with hazardous substances to initiate a process for negotiating about how the contamination will be remedied and how much the various parties that are responsible for the contamination will contribute toward the investigation and remedial action costs. The negotiations are conducted by an umpire. If an agreement is reached, it is binding on the parties. If an agreement is not reached, the umpire makes a recommendation that may be accepted or rejected by the parties. If the local governmental unit accepts the recommendation and another party rejects the recommendation, the local governmental unit may sue that party to attempt to recover a portion of the investigation and remedial action costs. If the local governmental unit recovers an amount equal to or exceeding the amount that the party would have paid under the umpire's recommendation, the local governmental unit may recover interest and litigation costs.

This bill expands the applicability of this negotiation and cost-recovery process so that it may be used by a local governmental unit that does not own a contaminated property if the local governmental unit commits itself to paying more than 50% of the

