



2007 SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION 5

January 16, 2007 – Introduced by Senators CARPENTER, HARSDORF, LEHMAN, S. FITZGERALD, DARLING, GROTHMAN, OLSEN, A. LASEE, SCHULTZ, LEIBHAM, KEDZIE, COWLES, ROESSLER, KAPANKE, LAZICH, KANAVAS and ELLIS, cosponsored by Representatives FRISKE, STONE, ALBERS, PRIDEMORE, KERKMAN, GUNDRUM, LOTHIAN, BALLWEG, STRACHOTA, MUSSER, BIES, TAUCHEN, J. FITZGERALD, TOWNSEND, VOS, GUNDERSON, NERISON, GOTTLIEB, KESTELL, MONTGOMERY, SUDER, HAHN, JESKEWITZ, MOULTON, VAN ROY, KLEEFISCH, MURSAU, RHOADES, KRAMER, F. LASEE, HONADEL, WOOD, ZIEGELBAUER, OWENS, NASS, MURTHA, NYGREN, PETERSEN, M. WILLIAMS, MEYER, OTT, VUKMIR, HINES and LEMAHIEU. Referred to Committee on Ethics Reform and Government Operations.

- 1 **To amend** section 10 (1) (c) of article V of the constitution; **relating to:** prohibiting
2 partial vetoes from creating new sentences (second consideration).

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

EXPLANATION OF PROPOSAL

This proposed constitutional amendment, to be given second consideration by the 2007 legislature for submittal to the voters in April 2007, was first considered by the 2005 legislature in 2005 Senate Joint Resolution 33, which became 2005 Enrolled Joint Resolution 46.

The proposed constitutional amendment prohibits the governor, in exercising his or her partial veto authority on appropriation bills, from creating new sentences by combining parts of two or more sentences of the enrolled bill.

PROCEDURE FOR SECOND CONSIDERATION

When a proposed constitutional amendment is before the legislature on second consideration, any change in the text approved by the preceding legislature causes the proposed constitutional amendment to revert to first consideration status so that second consideration approval would have to be given by the next legislature before the proposal may be submitted to the people for ratification [see joint rule 57 (2)].

If the legislature approves a proposed constitutional amendment on second consideration, it must also set the date for submitting the proposed constitutional

