STATE OF WISCONSIN

Assembly Journal

Seventy-Eighth Regular Session

THURSDAY, June 29, 1967.

9:00 A.M.

The assembly met.

The speaker in the chair.

The prayer was offered by Rev. Albert F. Harke, Lakeview Moravian Community Church, 3565 Tulane Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin.

Assemblyman Kafka led the membership in reciting the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States.

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Present-Alfonsi. Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee. Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Thompson, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker-99.

SPECIAL GUEST

Assemblyman Schroeder, the gentleman from Washington County, informed the assembly that it was honored by the presence of the 1967 "Alice in Dairyland", beautiful Miss Kristin Williams, successor to the former holder of the honor title, Miss Jo Ann Cupery of Markesan, Wisconsin. Assemblyman Schroeder escorted the flower and pride of Colgate, Wis., to the rostrum amid the spontaneous and enthusiastic applause of the membership.

Alice in Dairyland was welcomed by the speaker and then addressed the assembly with a few well chosen words.

Alice in Dairyland was accompanied by her official chaperon, Mrs. Caroline Propson, Sturgeon Bay, a lady of great charm and dignity who was also introduced to the members by Assemblyman Schroeder.

Alice in Dairyland was honored by a standing ovation of the members of the assembly.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Assembly Amendment 2 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 2 to Assembly Bill 696 offered by Assemblymen Lipscomb and G. K. Anderson.

Assembly Amendment 6 to Assembly Bill 835 offered by Assemblymen Kessler, Lipscomb and Mathews.

Assembly Amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 939 offered by Assemblyman Boche.

Assembly Amendment 1 to Senate Bill 179 offered by Assemblymen Kenyon and G. K. Anderson.

Assembly Substitute Amendment 2 to Senate Bill 135 offered by Assemblyman Lipscomb.

MOTIONS UNDER JOINT RULE 26

Assemblyman Azim moved adoption of the following certificate, co-sponsored by Senator Roseleip.

The State of Wisconsin * * * Citation by the Legislature

Whereas, BOY SCOUT TROOP #72, consisting of 35 Boy Scouts with their leaders: Dallas Millard and LeRoy Lakeman, hiked to Madison, the State Capital by way of the

First State Capital, Belmont, Wisconsin and established "THE CAPITAL TRAIL", a distance of 117.5 miles—from Dickeyville to Madison. On arriving at the State Capitol they were received into the Assembly Chambers where the scouts were introduced and given an ovation. In the Senate they received a warm welcome by Senator Gordon Roseleip. Lieutenant Governor Olson and other Senators addressed the scouts and complimented them on their achievement; now, therefore,

The Members of the Wisconsin Legislature express sentiments of praise and congratulations for the valiant action and persistence in making the hike.

The question was: Adoption.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Azim asked unanimous consent that the Assembly's action under Joint Rule 26 be immediately messaged to the Senate. Granted.

Assemblyman Tregoning moved adoption of the following certificate, co-sponsored by Senator Roseleip.

The State of Wisconsin * * * Citation by the Legislature

Whereas, Centenary Methodist Church of Shullsburg is celebrating its centennial on July 2, 1967 and July 16, 1967, we the members of the Wisconsin Legislature do congratulate you and wish you continued success in serving your community as you have done so well in the past.

The question was: Adoption.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Tregoning asked unanimous consent that the Assembly's action under Joint Rule 26 be immediately messaged to the Senate. Granted.

Assemblyman O'Malley moved adoption of the following certificate, co-sponsored by Senator Thompson.

The State of Wisconsin * * * Citation by the Legislature

Whereas, Fred G. Schunk, president of the Farmers State Bank of Waunakee, is among 22 Wisconsin bankers to be enrolled as members of the Wisconsin Bankers Association 50-year Club, Class of 1967, at the Association's 1968 Diamond Jubilee, Annual Convention; now, therefore,

The Members of the Wisconsin Legislature, extend to Fred G. Schunk their sincere congratulations on his 50 years in the banking business.

The question was: Adoption.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman O'Malley asked unanimous consent that the Assembly's action under Joint Rule 26 be immediately messaged to the Senate. Granted.

INTRODUCTION AND REFERENCE OF RESOLUTIONS

Read and referred.

Assembly Resolution 22

Introduced by Assemblymen Froehlich, Nitschke, Kordus, Kunde, Parys, McCormick, Lynch, McDougal, Manders, Schaeffer, Thompson, Held, Steinhilber, Nuttelman, Gee, Lewison, Merkel, Schroeder, Tregoning, Conradt, Doughty, Kenyon, Klicka, Bock, Laper, Van Hollen, Olson, Waters, Pabst, York, Stevenson, Rogers, Heinzen, Blanchard, Riehle, Devitt, Bellante, Wackett, Stalbaum, Packard, Wilger, Kavanaugh, Weisensel, Jahnke, Quinn, Vanderperren, Uehling, Shurbert, McEssy, Kafka, Clemens, Soik, Boche, Schwefel, Sicula, Orlich, Baldus, W. A. Johnson, Kaufman, Peloquin, Shabaz, Brown, Mathews, Kessler, Martin, Gessert, Gehrmann, Grover, Mittness, Hanna, McKay, Alfonsi, Hutnik, L. H. Johnson and G. K. Anderson.

To committee on Rules.

INTRODUCTION AND REFERENCE OF BILLS

Read first time and referred:

Assembly Bill 944

Introduced by Assemblyman Lynch. To committee on Public Welfare.

Assembly Bill 945

Introduced by Assemblymen L. H. Johnson, Schwefel, Laper, Perala, Schroeder, Packard and Stevenson.

To committee on Conservation.

Assembly Bill 946

Introduced by Assemblyman Froehlich. To committee on Rules.

Assembly Bill 947

Introduced by Assemblyman Kunde. To committee on Excise and Fees.

PETITIONS

Assembly Petition 186

By Assemblyman Nager. To committee on Excise and Fees.

Assembly Petition 187

By Assemblyman Lipscomb. To committee on Public Welfare.

Assembly Petition 188

By Assemblyman Lipscomb. To committee on Public Welfare.

MOTIONS

Assemblyman Heinzen requested that he be recorded as voting, "Aye" on rejection of Assembly Amendment 3 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 on June 28th. Granted.

Assemblyman Heinzen requested that he be recorded as voting, "Aye" on rejection of Assembly Amendment 4 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 on June 28th. Granted.

Assemblyman Heinzen requested that he be recorded as voting, "No" on adoption of Assembly Amendment 5 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 on June 28th. Granted.

Assemblyman Heinzen requested that he be recorded as voting, "Aye" on rejection of Assembly Amendment 9 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 on June 28th. Granted.

COMMUNICATIONS

The State of Wisconsin Office of Attorney General Madison

June 26, 1967.

The Assembly State Capitol Madison, Wisconsin

The Honorable, The Assembly: By Assembly Resolution 20, an opinion has been requested on the validity of Assembly Bill 582 which sets forth a method by which towns may become cities.

Under current law, a town may be incorporated as a fourth class city if it contains more than 5,000 population and more than \$20 million in equalized valuation and if it is adjacent to a city of the first class. Sec. 60.81, Wisconsin Statutes. This provision, which was enacted in 1955, is commonly known as the "Oak Creek Law."

Assembly Bill 582 would amend the Oak Creek Law to permit incorporation as a *third or* fourth class city of any town having population in excess of 5,000 and equalized valuation in excess of \$20 million. The requirement that the town be adjacent to a first class city would no longer apply. The result of the amendment proposed in Assembly Bill 582 would be to allow incorporation of towns having between 5,000 and 39,000 population, since the latter figure is the top limit set by statute for third class cities. Sec. 62.05, Wis. Stats.

In a 1955 Opinion of the Attorney General, it was stated that the bill which created the present sec. 60.81, Stats., "appears on its face to be in possible conflict with Art. IV, secs. 23 and 31, Wis. Const." 44 OAG 151. The bill nevertheless was enacted. To date its validity has not been challenged in the Supreme Court. In *Milwaukee v. Oak Creek*, 8 Wis. (2d) 102, 98 N.W. (2d) 469 (1959), the City of Milwaukee attempted to challenge an incorporation begun under sec. 60.81, Stats., but was held not to be a party in interest. An objection to the attack was sustained and the merits were never reached by the court.

The opinion at 44 OAG 151 concluded that the three conditions for application of the Oak Creek Law—namely, population in excess of 5,000, equalized valuation in excess of \$20 million, and location next to a first class city—might be found only in "an unwarrantedly restricted" number of places in the state. If the law had this practical effect, it might violate the constitutional prohibition against "special" legislation relating to municipal incorporations, Art. IV., sec. 31, Wis. Const., and the constitutional guarantee of one system of town and county government as nearly uniform as practicable, Art. IV., sec. 23, Wis. Const.

It is improbable that this objection can be maintained in relation to Assembly Bill 582. The bill makes the powers of the Oak Creek Law available to more areas of the state, by virtue of abandoning the proximity to a first class city requirement and by raising the population ceiling.

44 OAG 151 discussed, but did not rest upon, the "Lammers" doctrine. This doctrine, which originated in State ex rel. Holland v. Lammers, 113 Wis. 398, 86 N.W. 677, 89 N.W. 501 (1902), holds that areas cannot be incorporated as cities or villages unless they have certain urban characteristics. See Cutler, "Characteristics of Land Required for Incorporation or Expansion of a Municipality," 1958 Wis. L. Rev. 6, 10–12. The doctrine is grounded in Art. XI, sec. 3 and Art. IV, sec. 23, Wis. Const.

The Oak Creek Law has been described as an attempt by the legislature to repeal the Lammers doctrine, Cutler, supra, at 13, since the law fails to require the presence of city or village attributes such as urban population density. see sec. 66.015, Stats., or "a reasonably compact center or nucleus of population," see In re Village of Oconomowoc Lake, 270 Wis. 530, 535, 72 N.W. (2d) 544, 547 (1955). There is nothing to indicate that Assembly Bill 582 would make the Oak Creek Law more consistent with the Lammers doctrine. The proposed amendment would allow towns of greater population to be incorporated. This does not. however, guarantee that towns of greater population will have urban densities, or settlements with urban characteristics. See secs. 66.015-66.016, Stats. The bill would eliminate the requirement that towns incorporated under the Oak Creek Law be adjacent to a large city. Thus, any town in Wisconsin with \$20 million in equalized valuation and between 5,000 and 39,000 population could become a city, without any requirement that it be within the orbit of a large city or metropolitan complex. If anything, the amendments proposed by Assembly Bill 582 would tend to accomodate areas which could not meet even a liberalized interpretation of the urban characteristics test.

Questions have been raised in recent years about whether the court will continue to apply the Lammers doctrine. See Cutler, supra at 35-38. A portion of the court's opinion in Sharping v. Johnson, 32 Wis. (2d) 383, 145 N.W. (2d) 691 (1966), creates some confusion on this subject. In Sharping, the court upheld a circuit court's judgment affirming the determination of the director of the planning function denying a petition for incorporation of a proposed village. The petition for incorporation was made pursuant to secs. 66.013-66.019, Stats. In the concluding paragraph of the opinion, the court stated:

"The appellant also claims that the statutory scheme of classification offends against sec. 23, Art. IV (uniform town and county government), and sec. 3, Art. XI (home rule), Wisconsin Constitution. The appellant has not defined his objections based upon these sections of the constitution. However, a perusal of these sections makes apparent their inapplicability to the case at hand. Even assuming the relevance of these sections, it is apparent that they are concerned with the treatment of municipalities and their form of government after organization and not with the constitutional power to create cities and villages by act of the legislature." (emphasis added; footnotes deleted) 32 Wis. (2d) 383, 397-8, 145 N.W. (2d) 691, 699 (1966).

Article IV, sec. 23 is, of course, the principal constitutional base for the Lammers incorporation rule. In the past, the court has clearly stated that the provision applies to formation of municipalities, but not to subsequent boundary changes through annexation. For example, in Town of Brookfield v. City of Brookfield, 274 Wis. 638, 644, 80 N.W. 2d 800, 803 (1957), the court said, "Whether or not an area has the characteristics of a city is not an issue in annexation proceedings. It is an issue in incorporation proceedings."

I do not feel that the quoted language from the Sharping opinion can be interpreted as a deliberate repeal of the Lammers doctrine. The language was mere dicta. It also is inconsistent with a prior footnote in the opinion. In commenting upon the director's finding that the area proposed for incorporation was not "reasonably homogeneous and compact," the court noted:

"This decision accords with decisions by this court involving the earlier incorporation statutes, which held that a village may not be incorporated where the territory to be included therein involves a large amount of sparsely settled rural or agricultural lands not having the distinctive characteristics of the village area itself. See *In re Town* of Hallie (1948), 253 Wis. 35, 38, 39, 33 N.W. (2d) 185; *In re Village of Elmwood Park* (1960), 9 Wis. (2d) 592, 600, 101 N.W. (2d) 659 (concurring opinion of Mr. Justice Currie)." 32 Wis. (2d) 383, 391, 145 N.W. (2d) 691, 696 (1966).

Both of the cited opinions applied Art. IV, Sec. 23, to incorporations. Thus, I do not believe that the dicta in the concluding paragraph of the *Sharping* opinion can reasonably be interpreted as a reversal of the long-standing doctrine that Art. IV sec. 23 is applicable to municipal incorporations; and that this provision requires that areas proposed for incorporation have urban characteristics.

The 1959 legislature enacted new incorporation statutes which provide for dual court and administrative review of incorporation proposals. Secs. 66.013-66.019, Stats. The statement of purposes to this act declares:

"It is declared to be the policy of this state that the development of territory from town to incorporated status proceed in an orderly and uniform manner and that toward this end *each proposed incorporation of territory as a city or village* be reviewed as provided in ss. 66.013 to 66.019 to assure compliance with certain minimum standards which take into account the needs of both urban and rural areas." Sec. 66.013 (1), Stats. (emphasis added)

The statutes establish procedures for referral of incorporations to the circuit court and the director of the planning functon in the Wisconsin Department of Resource Development. The court is empowered to review a proposed incorporation for minimum requirements relating to population, area and density. The director of the planning function is empowered to determine whether the proposed incorporation is in the "public interest," according to statutory standards. After these reviews have been successfully accomplished, the proposal is put to a referendum vote. The dual reviews are intended, in part, to assure that areas proposed for incorporation have minimum population densities and contain an urban "core" area; in short, they call for the factors sought in the *Lammers* test.

The Oak Creek Law makes no mention of the dual review procedures. Nor does Assembly Bill 582 which would amend that law. It is unclear whether the secs. 66.013– 66.019, Stats., review procedures would apply to annexation of towns begun under sec. 60.81, Stats. On the one hand, sec. 66.013 (1), Stats., speaks of application to "each proposed incorporation of territory as a city or village." See also Report of the Interim Urban Problems Committee to the 1959 Legislature, 14 (1959). On the other hand, the Oak Creek Law fails to provide procedures for routing a proposed incorporation to the court and state administrative officer for review prior to referendum.

If a challenge were to be made to a town incorporation begun under sec. 60.81, Stats., I believe it probable that the court would apply some version of the Lammers test to the proposed incorporation. See Cutler, supra note 63 at 24, 38. The court might apply its own definition of urban characteristics. See State ex rel. Holland v. Lammers, supra; In re Village of Oconomowoc Lake, supra; In re Village of Oconomowoc Lake, 7 Wis. (2d) 400, 97 N.W. (2d) 189 (1959); In re Village of Elmwood Park, 9 Wis. (2d) 592, 101 N.W. (2d) 659 (1960).

On the other hand, it is conceivable that the court could hold that incorporations begun under the Oak Creek Law are subject to the urban characteristics requirements of secs. 66.013-66.019, Stats. It was clearly the intent of the 1959 legislature to subject all new incorporations to the dual review process established in the new incorporation statutes. Report of the Interim Urban Problems Committee, supra. The fact that the 1959 legislature did not amend sec. 60.81, Stats., to provide directives for court and state agency review undoubtedly reflected a judgment that the Oak Creek Law would have only a one-time application. Report of the Interim Urban Problems Committee, supra at 10.

The court could, therefore, save the constitutionality of sec. 60.81 by holding that the powers of the statute are available only where a town has urban characteristics. The court took this approach in the *Lammers* case itself, holding that statute which, on its face, allowed incorporation of any town land of more than one-half square mile in area

and containing 300 or more residents would be valid if it were subject to an "implied limitation" of applicability only where land proposed for incorporation had requisite urban characteristics. State ex rel. Holland v. Lammers, 113 Wis. 398, 415, 89 N.W. 501, 503, (1902). However, the existence of the incorporation review procedures in secs. 66.013-66.019, Stats., and the evidence that it was the intent of the 1959 legislature to apply the review tests to all incorporations commenced after 1959, makes it more likely that the court would hold that incorporations begun under sec. 60.81, Stats. (either in its present form or as amended by Assembly Bill 582) are subject to the new review standards.

It, therefore, is my opinion that Assembly Bill 582 would be valid only if incorporations commenced under its authority are consistent with judicial or statutory tests of urban characteristics. It is my further opinion that the court would probably hold that incorporations pursuant to sec. 60.81, Stats., as amended by Assembly Bill 582, are subject to the review standards contained in secs. 66.013–66.019, Stats.

Sincerely yours,

BRONSON C. LA FOLLETTE, Attorney General.

Caption—Assembly Bill 582 which proposes to permit towns with more than 5,000 population and more than \$20 million in equalized valuation to incorporate as third or fourth class cities would probably be constitutional only if such incorporations were subject to the review standards of secs. 66.013–66.019, Stats., or to judicial tests of urban characteristics, and the court would probably hold that the statutory standards are to be applied to such incorporations.

Department of State Madison 2 Wisconsin

June 29, 1967.

To Whom it May Concern:

Dear Sir: Acts, Joint Resolutions and Resolutions, deposited in this office, have been numbered and published as follows:

Bill, Jt. Res. or Res. No. Chapter No. Publication Date Assembly Bill 99 _____ 43 ____ June 24, 1967

 Senate Bill 131
 46
 June 27, 1967

 Assembly Bill 16
 47
 June 28, 1967

 Assembly Bill 514
 48
 June 24, 1967

 Senate Bill 457
 52
 June 29, 1967

 Assembly Joint Resolution 63
 Enrolled No. 30

 Assembly Joint Resolution 58
 Enrolled No. 31

Very truly yours,

ROBERT C. ZIMMERMAN, Secretary of State.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

By William P. Nugent chief clerk thereof.

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform you that the Senate has appointed Senators Keppler, Warren and Hollander as conferees on its part on Senate Bill 55.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

By William P. Nugent chief clerk thereof.

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform you that the Senate has receded from its position on Senate Amendment 1 to: Assembly Bill 30.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

By William P. Nugent chief clerk thereof.

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform you that the Senate has adopted and asks concurrence on:

Motion Under Joint Rule 26

A joint certificate of Congratulations by Senator Benson, co-sponsored by Assemblyman Stalbaum to the Caledonia Hustlers, 4-H Choral Group. Concurred in

Motions Under Joint Rule 26:

A joint certificate of Congratulations by Assemblyman Hutnik, co-sponsored by Senator Cirilli, for the Town of Winter in Sawyer County,

A joint certificate of Congratulations by Assemblymen Atkinson and Kunde, co-sponsored by Senator McParland, for Joseph Hipp,

A joint certificate of Congratulations by Assemblyman Packard, co-sponsored by Senator Terry to the Portage Daily Register,

A joint certificate of Congratulations by Assemblyman Atkinson, co-sponsored by Senator McParland, to Sharry Mattes,

A joint certificate of Congratulations by Assemblyman Atkinson, co-sponsored by Senator McParland, to Amvets Post 4. of South Milwaukee, Wisconsin, and

A joint certificate of Congratulations by Assemblyman Stalbaum, co-sponsored by Senator Benson, to Mr. and Mrs. Delmont Patterson of Mukwonago, Wisconsin.

ACTION ON SENATE MESSAGE

Assemblyman Stalbaum asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that the Senate Motion Under Joint Rule 26, by Senator Benson; co-sponsored by Assemblyman Stalbaum be withdrawn from the Senate Message and taken up at this time. Granted.

Senate Motion Under Joint Rule 26.

The question was: Concurrence.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Stalbaum asked unanimous consent that the Assembly's action be immediately messaged to the Senate. Granted.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

By William P. Nugent, chief clerk thereof.

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform you that the Senate has passed and asks concurrence in

Senate Bill 471

ACTION ON SENATE MESSAGE

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended, and that Senate Bill 471 be laid on the table. Granted.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

By William P. Nugent, chief clerk thereof.

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform you that the Senate has passed and asks concurrence in

Senate Bill 303

Adopted and asks concurrence in

Motion Under Joint Rule 26

A joint certificate of Congratulations by Senator Chilsen and the Entire Membership of the Senate commending Senator Holger B. Rasmusen.

ACTION ON SENATE MESSAGE

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that the Senate Motion Under Joint Rule 26, by Senator Chilsen and the Entire Membership of the Senate; co-sponsored by the Entire Membership of the Assembly be withdrawn from the Senate Message and taken up at this time. Granted.

Senate Motion Under Joint Rule 26

The question was: Concurrence.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the Assembly's action be immediately messaged to the Senate. Granted.

Read first time.

Senate Bill 303

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Senate Bill 303 be taken up at this time. Granted.

SECOND READING AND AMENDMENT OF SENATE BILLS

Senate Bill 303

Relating to delinquency proceedings in insurance.

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 303 be ordered to a third reading?

Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Senate Bill 303 be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: This bill having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—97.

Noes-None.

Absent or not voting—Nager and Thompson—2. Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the Assembly's action on Senate Bill 303 be immediately messaged to the Senate. Granted.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the Assembly return to the 7th order of business. Granted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

The committee on Enrolled Bills reports: Assembly Joint Resolution 83—June 28, 1967, Assembly Joint Resolution 84—June 28, 1967, Assembly Bill 165—June 28, 1967 and Assembly Bill 259—June 28, 1967. Correctly enrolled.

ROBERT O. UEHLING, Chairman.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent to introduce a resolution. Granted.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that Assembly Resolution 23 be taken up at this time. Granted.

Assembly Resolution 23

Relating to the staffing pattern for the 1967 assembly.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Section 13.20 (1) (c) of the statutes, as created by chapter , laws of 1967 (Assembly Bill 686), requires each house to establish, by resolution, a "staffing pattern setting forth the staff positions in that house." The staffing pattern, once established, continues for this and all subsequent legislatures until amended by resolution at a future date.

Resolved by the assembly, That the staffing pattern for the 1967 assembly, and thereafter until otherwise provided by assembly resolution, shall be as follows:

- (1) Director of assembly services; one position.
- (2) Associate director of assembly services; one position.
- (3) Deputy director of assembly services; 2 positions.
- (4) Head clerks; 9 positions.
- (5) Staff clerks; 20 positions.
- (6) Supporting staff; 35 positions.
- (7) Messengers; 40 positions.
- (8) Secretaries to assembly leaders; 4 positions.

(9) Policy research personnel and assistants to assembly leaders; 8 positions.

(10) Research personnel assigned to assembly committees; 3 positions.

Fiscal Note: This measure establishes a staffing pattern

for employes of the Assembly for the 1967 session. In relation to the staffing pattern established for the 1965 session by the Rules of the Assembly, this measure would provide for 24 additional legislative clerks, secretaries and supporting staff, 15 additional legislative messengers, and 9 additional research personnel and assistants. Although it is difficult to estimate costs accurately, due to the number of variables involved, it is estimated that this measure would result in increased costs of approximately \$27,700 for each month the Assembly is in session.

Department of Administration.

Introduced by Assemblyman Froehlich.

Assemblyman Huber asked unanimous consent that Assembly Resolution 23 be temporarily laid aside. Granted.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that Senate Bill 353 be withdrawn from the calendar of June 13th, and taken up at this time. Granted.

Senate Bill 353

Relating to a recodification of the laws governing elementary and high school education in this state.

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 353 be ordered to a third reading?

Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent, that the rules be suspended and that Senate Bill 353 be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: This bill having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes-Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, Mc-Dougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman,

Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Pabst, Packard, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—94.

Noes-Kenyon-1.

Absent or not voting-Lipscomb, Orlich, Parys and Thompson-4.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the Assembly's action on Senate Bill 353 be immediately messaged to the Senate. Granted.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 933 be withdrawn from the table and taken up at this time. Granted.

Assembly Bill 933

Relating to a listing of election occurrences in the state. The question was: Shall the bill be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Assembly Bill 933 be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: This bill having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Jahnke, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Mc-Kay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—96.

Noes—None.

Absent or not voting—Hutnik, Johnson L. H. and Thompson—3.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the Assembly's action on Assembly Bill 933 be immediately messaged to the Senate. Granted.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that Senate Bill 49 be withdrawn from the calendar of June 28th and taken up at this time. Granted.

SECOND READING AND AMENDMENT OF SENATE BILLS

Senate Bill 49

Relating to the date of the annual spring election, the presidential preference vote, and the methods of selecting delegates and alternates to attend the quadrennial national conventions.

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 49 be ordered to a third reading?

Assemblyman Huber asked unanimous consent that the Assembly stand recessed for one-half hour. Granted.

9:50 A.M.

RECESS

11:05 A.M.

The assembly reconvened.

Senate Bill 49

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 49 be ordered to a third reading?

Assemblyman Huber offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 1 be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Kessler offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 2 be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger and York—92.

Noes-Tregoning, Jahnke, Shabaz and Mr. Speaker-4.

Absent or not voting—Heinzen, Thompson and Warren —3.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Kessler offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 3 be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Kessler offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Kessler offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 5 be adopted?

Assemblyman McKay moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 5 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Azim, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Heinzen, Held, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Kordus, Laper, Lewison, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Merkel, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Packard, Quinn, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—58.

Noes—Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Brown, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hephner, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Kunde, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, Mathews, Mato, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Obey, Orlich, Pabst, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Sicula, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz and Warren—40.

Absent or not voting—Thompson—1. Motion carried.

Assemblyman Kessler offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 6 be adopted?

Assemblyman Packard moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 6 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Azim, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Bock, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Heinzen, Held, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kafka, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Laper, Lewison, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mato, Merkel, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, Packard, Peloquin, Quinn, Rogers, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Uehling, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—58.

Noes—Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Boche, Brown, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hephner, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kaufman, Kessler, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, Mathews, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Obey, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Parys, Perala, Radcliffe, Riehle, Schaeffer Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Vanderperren and Warren-39.

Absent or not voting—Bolle and Thompson—2. Motion carried.

Assemblyman Obey requested that he be recorded as voting, "Aye," instead of "No." Granted.

Assemblyman Kessler offered an amendment Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 7 be adopted?

Assemblyman Steinhilber moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 7 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Azim, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann Gessert, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kafka, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Laper, Lewison, McDougal, Mc-Essy, McKay, Martin, Merkel, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, Packard, Quinn, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Steinhilber, Uehling, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—53.

Noes—Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Bolle, Brown, Duehom, Groshek, Grover, Hephner, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kaufman, Kessler, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, Mathews, Mato, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Obey, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Sicula, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Vanderperren and Warren—44.

Absent or not voting—Stalbaum and Thompson—2. Motion carried.

Assemblyman Kessler offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 8 be adopted?

Assemblyman Alfonsi moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 8 be rejected? The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Azim, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Heinzen, Held, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kafka, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Laper, Lewison, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Merkel, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, Packard, Quinn, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Uehling, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—52.

Noes—Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Baldus, Bolle, Brown, Dueholm, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hephner, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kaufman, Kessler, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, Mathews, Mato, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Obey, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Sicula, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Vanderperren and Warren—45.

Absent or not voting—Barbee and Thompson—2. Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 49 be ordered to a third reading?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes-Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, Mc-Dougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—94.

Noes-Pabst, Riehle, Stalbaum and Wartinbee-4.

Absent or not voting—Thompson—1. Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Senate Bill 49 be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: This bill having been read three times, Shall the bill be concurred in?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, Mc-Dougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—93.

Noes-Molinaro, Pabst, Riehle, Stalbaum and Wartinbee

Absent or not voting—Thompson—1.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the Assembly's action on Senate Bill 49 be immediately messaged to the Senate. Granted.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent for leave of absence for the balance of today's session and future sessions for the following: Assemblyman Thompson who has entered upon his duties on a tour of active military training. Granted.

Assemblyman McKay moved that the assembly stand recessed until 2:15 P.M. today.

Motion carried.

RECESS

2:15 P.M.

The assembly reconvened.

Assemblyman Azim asked unanimous consent to introduce a joint resolution. Granted.

Assemblyman Azim asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that the Assembly Joint Resolution be privileged and taken up at this time. Granted.

Assembly Joint Resolution 87

Relating to the Life and Public Service of Arthur W. Kopp.

The question was: Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 87 be adopted?

Adopted by unanimous rising vote.

Assemblyman Azim asked unanimous consent that the Assembly's action on Assembly Joint Resolution 87 be immediately messaged to the Senate. Granted.

Assemblyman Lipscomb requested that he be recorded as voting, "Aye," on concurrence of Senate Bill 353, and the same request for Assemblymen Orlich and Parys. Granted.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that Assembly Resolution 23 be withdrawn from the table and taken up at this time. Granted.

Assembly Resolution 23

The question was: Shall Assembly Resolution 23 be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber,

Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker-97.

Noes—None.

Absent or not voting—Thompson and Van Hollen—2. Motion carried.

Assemblyman Van Hollen requested that he be recorded as voting, "Aye." Granted.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that Senate Bill 82 be withdrawn from the table and taken up at this time. Granted.

Senate Bill 82

Relating to highway safety, including vehicle inspection, driver education, creation of the office of highway safety administrator and an increase in penalties for violation of the rules of the road.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 1 to Assembly Amendment 12 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 10, with Assembly Amendment 1 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4, be laid on the table. Granted.

Assemblyman Nitschke in the chair.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 12 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman McKay offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 1 to Assembly Amendment 12 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Baldus, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—90.

Noes—Azim, Boche, Heinzen, Wackett and Weisensel—5. Absent or not voting—Barbee, Nager, Riehle and Thompson—4.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 2 to Assembly Amendment 12 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The speaker in the chair.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 12 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Brown moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 12 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Barbee, Brown, Conradt, Dueholm, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hephner, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kaufman, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, McCann, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, O b e y, Orlich, Pabst, Parys, Peloquin, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Shabaz, Sicula, Stevenson, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Warren and Mr. Speaker—44.

Noes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Azim, Baldus, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Clemens, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Heinzen, Held, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kafka, Kavanaugh, Laper, Lewison, Lynch, McCormick, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Packard, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shurbert, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Sweda, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger and York --54.

Absent or not voting—Thompson—1. Motion failed.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 12 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Shabaz asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 641 be withdrawn from joint committee on Finance and taken up at this time.

Assemblyman Sicula objected.

Assemblyman Shabaz moved that Assembly Bill 641 be withdrawn from joint committee on Finance and taken up at this time.

The question was: Shall Assembly Bil 641 be withdrawn from joint committee on Finance and taken up at this time?

POINT OF ORDER

Assemblyman McKay rose to point of order that the motion was not proper under the present order of business. The chair took the point of order under advisement.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 12 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

POINT OF ORDER

Assemblyman Nager rose to point of order that Assembly Amendment 12 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 was not proper by reason of similar provisions in Assembly Bill 641. RULING OF THE CHAIR ON THE POINT OF ORDER

The chair ruled that even if there were a similarity between contents in the amendment and (Assembly Bill 641 a matter not yet adversely disposed of could be brought before the assembly and therefore the point of order was not well taken.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 12 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Huber asked unanimous consent that the Assembly stand recessed for 20 minutes. Granted.

3:45 P.M.

RECESS

4:20 P.M.

The assembly reconvened.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 12 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Shabaz asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 641 be withdrawn from joint committee on Finance and made a Special Order at 9:00 A.M. on June 30th.

Assemblyman McKay objected.

Assemblyman Shabaz moved that Assembly Bill 641 be withdrawn from joint committee on Finance and made a Special Order at 9:00 A.M. June 30th.

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 641 be withdrawn from joint committee on Finance and made a Special Order at 9:00 A.M. on June 30th?

The speaker informed the assembly that by reason of a point of order having been raised but taken under advisement Assembly Bill 641 was involved in the advisement.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 12 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

ADDITIONAL AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Assembly Amendment 38 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblymen Radcliffe and York.

Assembly Amendment 39 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblymen Boche, Heinzen, York, Uehling, Azim and Weisensel.

Assembly Amendment 40 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblyman Merkel.

Assembly Amendment 41 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblymen Steinhilber, G. K. Anderson and Brown.

Assembly Amendment 42 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblyman Merkel.

Assembly Amendment 43 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblymen Lynch, McCormick and Peloquin.

Assembly Amendment 44 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblyman McKay.

Assembly Amendment 45 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblyman Hutnik.

Assembly Amendment 46 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblyman Azim.

Assembly Amendment 47 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblyman Held.

Assembly Amendment 48 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblyman Held.

Assembly Amendment 1 to Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblyman Olson.

Assembly Amendment 49 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblyman Held.

Assembly Amendment 50 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblyman Tregoning.

Assembly Amendment 51 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblymen Stalbaum, Wackett, Hanna, McDougal and Wilger.

Assembly Amendment 52 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblyman Uehling. Assembly Amendment 53 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 offered by Assemblyman Uehling.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 12 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Azim, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Clemens, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Heinzen, Held, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kafka, Kavanaugh, Klicka, Laper, Lewison, Lynch, McCormick, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, Packard, Quinn, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shurbert, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Uehling, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger and York—48.

Noes—Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Dueholm, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hephner, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kaufman, Kenyon, Kessler, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, McCann, McDougal, McEssy, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Obey, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Shabaz, Sicula, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Warren and Mr. Speaker—50.

Absent or not voting—Thompson—1. Motion failed.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the Assembly return to the 7th order of business. Granted.

COMMITTEE REPORT

The committee on Enrolled Bills reports:

Assembly Bill 378—June 29, 1967

Correctly enrolled.

ROBERT O. UEHLING, Chairman.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the Assembly return to the 10th order of business. Granted.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

By William P. Nugent chief clerk thereof.

Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform you that the Senate has adopted and asks concurrence in

The Report of The Committee of Conference on Senate Bill 55.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended, and that the Report of the Committee of Conference be withdrawn from the Senate Message and taken up at this time. Granted.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE ON SENATE BILL 55

The Members of the Committee of Conference on Senate Bill 55 report and recommend:

1. That the Senate adopt Senate Amendment 3 to Assembly Amendment 7, and concur in the amendment as so amended, and that the assembly concur in the senate's amendment to Assembly Amendment 7.

2. That both houses recede from their respective positions on a) Senate Amendment 2 to Assembly Amendment 5, and b) Assembly Amendment 1 to Senate Amendment 1 to Assembly Amendment 5, and instead adopt, and concur in, Senate Amendment 1 to Engrossed Senate Bill 55.

Senator	Keppler	Assemblyman	Alfonsi
Senator	Hollander	Assemblyman	Huber
Senator	Warren	Assemblyman	McKay

The question was: Shall the report of the Committee of Conference be concurred in?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes-Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkin-

son, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker -98.

Noes-None.

Absent or not voting-Thompson-1.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the Assembly's action on the report of the Committee of Conference be immediately messaged to the Senate. Granted.

Senate Bill 82

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 13 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Hutnik moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 13 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

Assemblyman Bellante asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 1 to Assembly Amendment 13 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be returned to the author. Granted.

Assemblyman Barbee asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 13 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be temporarily laid aside. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 14 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Huber asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 14 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be temporarily laid aside. Granted. The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 15 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 16 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes-Alfonsi Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Kafka, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker-88.

Noes-Barbee, Bolle, Huber, Jones, Kaufman, Mertz, Obey, Pabst, Stevenson and Wartinbee-10.

Absent or not voting—Thompson—1. Motion carried.

Assemblyman McEssy asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 17 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be returned to the author. Granted.

Assemblyman Martin asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 13 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be taken up at this time. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 13 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Azim, Baldus, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kafka, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Laper, Lewison, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, Orlich, Packard, Peloquin, Quinn, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Uehling, Van Hollen, Wackett, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—61.

Noes—Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Barbee, Bolle, Brown, Dueholm, Groshek, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kaufman, Kessler, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Obey, O'Malley, Pabst, Parys, Perala, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Sicula, Sweda, Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Warren and Wartinbee—37.

Absent or not voting—Thompson—1. Motion carried.

Assemblyman Wartinbee requested that he be recorded as voting, "Aye". Granted.

Assemblyman Kessler asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 14 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be taken up at this time. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 14 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Vanderperren moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 14 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lynch, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, R og e r s, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—84.

Noes—Anderson N. C., Barbee, Dueholm, Held, Johnson W. A., Kessler, Kordus, Lipscomb, McCann, McCormick, Mathews, Orlich, Pabst and Sicula—14.

Absent or not voting—Thompson—1. Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 18 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 19 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 20 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Huber asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 20 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be temporarily laid aside. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 21 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Mittness moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 21 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson N. C., Clemens, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Huber, Kunde, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nitschke, Obey, Packard, Perala, Riehle, Schaeffer, Tobiasz and Warren—19.

Noes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Duehom, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Hephner, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Nager, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Parys, Peloquin, Quinn, Radcliffe, Rogers, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Sweda, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—76.

Absent or not voting—Barbee, Stevenson, Thompson and Waters—4.

Motion failed.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 21 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 22 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblman Mertz moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 22 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson N. C., Boche, Clemens, Gee, Groshek, Grover, Heinzen, Huber, Jahnke, McCann, McKay, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Obey, Quinn, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Sicula, Soik, Sweda, Tobiasz, Warren, Weisensel and Wilger—28.

Noes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Bock, Bolle, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gehrmann, Gessert, Hanna, Held, Hephner, Hutnik, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Radcliffe, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Waters, York and Mr. Speaker—67.

Absent or not voting—Brown, Dueholm, Johnson L. H. and Thompson—4.

Motion failed.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 22 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Warren asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 20 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be returned to the author. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Olson offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 1 to Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Obey moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

Assemblyman Azim asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be temporarily laid aside. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 24 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Nager asked unanimous consent that the Assembly chief clerk be instructed to make the following correction: On line 13 change 4:00 to 2:00. Granted.

The chief clerk made the correction.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 24 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman McKay moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 24 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Gee, Gessert, Hanna, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Kafka, Kaufman, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Laper, Lewison, Lynch, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Merkel, Mertz, Molinaro, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Packard, Quinn, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger and Mr. Speaker—64.

Noes—Barbee, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gehrmann, Groshek, Grover, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Jones, Kavanaugh, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, McCann, McCormick, Martin, Mathews, Mittness, Nager, Orlich, Pabst, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Radcliffe, Rogers, Sicula, Sweda, Warren, Wartinbee and York—32.

Absent or not voting—Mato, Riehle and Thompson—3. Motion carried.

Assemblyman Mato requested that he be recorded as voting, "Aye". Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 25 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Nager asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 25 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be temporarily laid aside. Granted.

Assemblyman Olson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be taken up at this time. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Hutnik offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 2 to Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Obey moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 2 to Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

POINT OF ORDER

Assemblyman Parys rose to point of order that Assembly Amendment 2 to Assembly Amendment 23 was not germane according to Assembly Rule 55.

RULING OF THE CHAIR ON THE POINT OF ORDER

The chair ruled that the point of order was not well taken.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 2 to Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Doughty, Hanna, Lipscomb, Merkel, Mittness, Obey, Orlich, Parys, Stevenson, Weisensel, York and Mr. Speaker—12.

Noes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche,

Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Mertz, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Pabst, Packard, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum. Steinhilber, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren. Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Water and Wilger-84.

Absent or not voting—Molinaro, Riehle and Thompson—3. Motion failed.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 2 to Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman McKay moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson G. K., Atkinson, Azim, Blanchard, Boche, Clemens, Dueholm, Gee, Groshek, Grover, Hephner, Huber, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Kordus, Kunde, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Merkel, Mertz, Nager, Obey, Orlich, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Schaeffer, Shabaz, Soik, Steinhilber, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Waters and Weisensel—45.

Noes—Alfonsi, Anderson N. C., Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gehrmann, Gessert, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hutnik, Jahnke, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, McDougal, McEssy, Mato, Mittness, Molinaro, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Pabst, Quinn, Radciffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shurbert, Sicula, Stalbaum, Stevenson, Vanderperren, Warren, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—53. Absent or not voting—Thompson—1. Motion failed.

Assemblyman Devitt offered an amendment. Entered.

The action on this amendment including the roll call thereon was expunged.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Held offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 4 to Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Olson moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 4 to Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Belting, Blanchard, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Hanna, Heinzen, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Stalbaum, Stevenson, Sweda, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—83.

Noes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Bellante, Boche, Grover, Held, Jones, Mertz, Obey, Rogers, Soik, Steinhilber, Tobiasz, Waters and Weisensel—15.

Absent or not voting—Thompson—1.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Obey asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be laid aside.

Assemblyman Olson objected.

Assemblyman Shabaz moved that Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be laid aside.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be laid aside?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Bellante, Brown, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Groshek, Grover, Heinzen, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mertz, Molinaro, Nager, Obey, Orlich, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Riehle, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Shabaz, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters and Weisensel—54.

Noes-Baldus, Barbee, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Clemens, Conradt, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Hanna, Held, Jahnke, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Laper, Lewison, McDougal, McEssy, Mato, Merkel, Mittness, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Pabst, Packard, Quinn, Radcliffe, Rogers, Schwefel, Shurbert, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker-44.

Absent or not voting—Thompson—1.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 25 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Nager asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 25 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be laid aside.

Assemblyman Nager withdrew his request.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 25 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Alfonsi moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 25 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Azim, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Heinzen, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kafka, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Laper, Lewison, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Merkel, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Tobiasz, Uehling, Van Hollen, Wackett, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger and York—61.

Noes—Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Bolle, Brown, Dueholm, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Held, Hephner, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kaufman, Kessler, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, Mato, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Peloquin, Rogers, Sicula, Stevenson, Sweda, Vanderperren, Warren, Wartinbee and Mr. Speaker—35.

Absent or not voting—Anderson N. C., Mertz and Thompson—3.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 26 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 27 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sicula, Stalbaum, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—82.

Noes—Anderson G. K., Azim, Gehrmann, Heinzen, Mittness, Olson, Packard, Shabaz, Shurbert, Steinhilber, Van Hollen and Waters—12.

Absent or not voting—Clemens, Kenyon, Riehle, Soik and Thompson—5.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 28 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Olson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 3 to Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 introduced by Assemblyman Devitt be taken up at this time. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 3 to Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 23 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Bock, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gehrmann, Gessert, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, McDougal, McEssy, Mato, Merkel, Mittness, Molinaro, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Rogers, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Stalbaum, Stevenson, Vanderperren, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker —56

Noes—Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Blanchard, Boche, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Gee, Groshek, Grover, Hephner, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, Martin, Mathews, Mertz, Obey, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Schaeffer, Soik, Steinhilber, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Van Hollen, Waters and Weisensel—38.

Absent or not voting—Dueholm, McKay, Nager, Riehle and Thompson—5.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 28 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Huber moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 28 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes-Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Atkinson, Azim, Bellante,

Belting, Blanchard, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Johnson L. H., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Kordus, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, McCann, McEssy, Mathews, Mertz, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Pabst, Packard, Perala, Quinn, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Stalbaum, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—66.

Noes—Anderson N. C., Baldus, Barbee, Boche, Dueholm, Tregoning, Groshek, Hutnik, Johnson W. A., Kessler, Kunde, Lynch, McCormick, McDougal, McKay, Martin, Mato, Merkel, Mittness, Nager, Orlich, Parys, Peloquin, Radcliffe, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Warren and Waters—28.

Absent or not voting—Clemens, Jahnke, Molinaro, Soik and Thompson—5.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 29 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Azim asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 29 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be laid aside. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 30 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 31 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 32 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

ADDITIONAL AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Assembly Amendment 54 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 by Assemblyman Nager.

Assembly Amendment 55 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 by Assemblymen Hanna and Orlich.

Assembly Amendment 56 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 by Assemblymen Mathews, Held and Bellante.

Assembly Amendment 57 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 by Assemblyman G. K. Anderson.

Assemblyman Nitschke in the chair.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 33 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Atkinson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 33 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be returned to the author. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 34 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Steinhilber asked unanimous consent that the chief clerk make the following correction: In line 3 of the amendment add "(1)" after the statute section number. Granted.

The chief clerk made the correction.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 34 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 35 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Martin moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 35 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Azim, Bellante, Clemens, Devitt, Held, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Klicka, Lipscomb, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Nitschke, Obey, Orlich, Schroeder, Shabaz, Soik, Stalbaum, Tobiasz, Uehling, Wartinbee, Weisensel, Wilger and Mr. Speaker—26.

Noes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schwefel, Shurbert, Sicula, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Waters and York -72.

Absent or not voting—Thompson—1. Motion failed.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 35 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Lipscomb offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 1 to Assembly Amendment 35 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Stalbaum moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 1 to Assembly Amendment 35 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Hanna, Heinzen, Hephner, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Pabst, Packard, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber,, Stevenson, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—79.

Noes—Grover, Held, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kenyon, Kessler, Lipscomb, Mathews, Obey, Orlich, Parys, Sicula, Sweda, Tobiasz Uehling and Wartinbee—18.

Absent or not voting—Clemens and Thompson—2. Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 35 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman L. H. Johnson asked unanimous consent that the Assembly stand recessed for 20 minutes. Granted.

8:40 P.M.

RECESS

9:20 P.M.

The assembly reconvened.

The speaker in the chair.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 35 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 35 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be laid aside.

Assemblyman Conradt objected.

Assemblyman Martin moved that Assembly Amendment 35 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be laid aside.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 35 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be laid aside?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Azim, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Clemens, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Heinzen, Held, Hutnik, Johnson L. H., Kavanaugh, Klicka, Laper, Lewison, McKay, Martin, Merkel, Nitschke, Olson, Packard, Quinn, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Shabaz, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Uehling, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—45.

Noes—Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Baldus, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Dueholm, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hephner, Huber, Jahnke, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kenyon, Kessler, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Mato, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nuttelman, Obey, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schwefel, Shurbert, Sicula, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Vanderperren and Warren—51.

Absent or not voting—Barbee, Mathews and Thompson —3.

Motion failed.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 35 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Baldus, Boche, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Dueholm, Gee, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hephner, Huber, Jahnke, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Martin, Mato, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nuttelman, O'Malley, Orlich, Peloquin, Perala, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schwefel, Sicula, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Vanderperren, Warren and Waters—50.

Noes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Azim, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Bock, Clemens, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gehrmann, Gessert, Heinzen, Held, Hutnik, Johnson L. H., Kafka, Kessler, Klicka, Lewison, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Merkel, Nitschke, Obey, Olson, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Quinn, Schroeder, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Stalbaum, Tobiasz, Uehling, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—46.

Absent or not voting—Barbee, Nager and Thompson—3. Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 36 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 37 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Atkinson offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 1 to Assembly Amendment 37 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Dueholm, Gee, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Kordus, Kunde, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, Mc-Cormick, McDougal, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Mertz, Mittness Molinaro, Obey, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst,

Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Sicula, Soik, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Warren, Weisensel, York and Mr. Speaker-69.

Noes-Belting, Blanchard, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gehrmann, Hanna, Heinzen, Jahnke, Kafka, Klicka, Laper, McEssy, Merkel, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Wackett, Wartinbee, Waters and Wilger-28.

Absent or not voting—Nager and Thompson—2. Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 37 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 37 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be laid aside. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 38 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman McDougal asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 38 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be returned to the author. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 39 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Shabaz moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 39 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Hanna, Held, Hephner, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McEssy, McKay, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Warren, Waters, Wilger and Mr. Speaker—68.

Noes—Azim, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Devitt, Doughty, Gee, Grover, Heinzen, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson

L. H., Klicka, Laper, Lewison, McDougal, Martin, Mathews, Mittness, Nuttelman, Quinn, Soik, Uehling, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Weisensel and York—29.

Absent or not voting—Clemens and Thompson—2. Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 40 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

POINT OF ORDER

Assemblyman Stalbaum rose to a point of order that the amendment was no longer germane by reason of previously adopted amendments to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4.

RULING OF THE CHAIR ON THE POINT OF ORDER

The chair ruled that the amendment was still in order and the point of order was not well taken.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 40 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 41 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Sicula moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 41 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Barbee, Bellante, Boche, Bolle, Doughty, Dueholm, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Heinzen, Hephner, Huber, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McKay, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Obey, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sicula, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Wackett, Warren and Wartinbee—53.

Noes-Anderson G. K., Baldus, Belting, Blanchard, Bock, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Hanna, Held, Hutnik, Kafka, Kenyon, Kessler, Laper, Lewison, McDougal, McEssy, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, Packard, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Van Hollen, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker-43.

Absent or not voting—Nager, Stevenson and Thompson —3.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 42 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Merkel asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 42 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be laid aside. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 43 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Hutnik moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 43 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Clemens, Conradt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Heinzen, Held, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Laper, Lewison, McDougal, McEssy, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mittness, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Packard, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Sweda, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—72.

Noes—Barbee, Brown, Devitt, Hanna, Hephner, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, Mertz, Molinaro, Orlich, Pabst, Parys, Peloquin, Rogers, Sicula and Tobiasz—23.

Absent or not voting-McKay, Nager, Stevenson and Thompson-4.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 44 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Obey moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 44 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Belting, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Held, Hephner, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kessler, Kordus, Kunde, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nitschke, Obey, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Warren, Waters, York and Mr. Speaker—69.

Noes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Barbee, Bellante, Blanchard, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Heinzen, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Laper, McKay, Martin, Nuttelman, Olson, Schroeder, Wackett, Wartinbee, Weisensel and Wilger—26.

Absent or not voting—Clemens, Lewison, Nager and Thompson—4.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Bellante requested that he be recorded as voting, "aye." Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 45 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 46 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Azim asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 46 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be laid aside? Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 47 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman N. C. Anderson moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 47 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Groshek, Grover, Heinzen,

Hephner, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kenyon, Kessler, Lipscomb, McCann, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, York and Mr. Speaker-65.

Noes—Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Devitt, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Hanna, Held, Hutnik, Johnson L. H., Kavanaugh, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Lynch, McCormick, Martin, Mathews, Nitschke, Schroeder, Stalbaum, Sweda, Uehling, Van Hollen. Wackett, Weisensel and Wilger—29.

Absent or not voting—Clemens, Jahnke, Laper, Lewison and Thompson—5.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 48 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman N. C. Anderson moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 48 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Dueholm, Tregoning, Groshek, Grover, Hephner, Huber, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kenyon, Kessler, Laper, Lipscomb, McCann, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schwefel, Shurbert, Sicula, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, York and Mr. Speaker—60.

Noes—Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Clemens, Devitt, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kavanaugh, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Lewison, Lynch, McCormick, Martin, Mathews, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Schroeder, Shabaz, Soik, Stalbaum, Uehling, Wackett, Weisensel and Wilger—36.

Absent or not voting—Doughty, Nager and Thompson—3. Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 49 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted ?

Assemblyman Held offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 1 to Assembly Amendment 49 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Merkel asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 49 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be laid aside. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 50 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Tregoning asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 50 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be returned to the author. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 51 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Stalbaum asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 51 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be returned to the author. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 52 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Uehling asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 52 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be returned to the author. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 53 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Hutnik moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 53 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Doughty, Dueholm, Gee, Gehrmann, Groshek, Grover, Heinzen, Held, Huber, Hutnik, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, McCann, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Perala, Quinn, Riehle, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Val Hollen, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—77.

Noes-Devitt, Tregoning, Gessert, Hanna, Hephner, Johnson L. H., Kunde, Lynch, McCormick, Mathews, Mato, Peloquin, Radcliffe, Rogers, Shabaz, Stalbaum, Uehling and Wackett—18.

Absent or not voting—Boche, Jahnke, Nager and Thompson—4.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 54 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 55 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Hanna asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 55 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be returned to the author. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 56 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman McKay moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 56 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson G. K., Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Bolle, Conradt, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Groshek, Grover, Heinzen, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kenyon, Kessler, Kunde, Laper, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Molinaro, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Quinn, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shurbert, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Waters and Mr. Speaker—56.

Noes—Alfonsi, Anderson N. C., Azim, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Brown, Clemens, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Gessert, Hanna, Held, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kavanaugh, Klicka, Kordus, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, Martin, Mathews, Mittness, Olson, Perala, Radcliffe, Shabaz, Sicula, Soik, Uehling, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Weisensel, Wilger and York—41.

Absent or not voting—Nager and Thompson—2. Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 10 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be taken up at this time. Granted. The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 1 to Assembly Amendment 10 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Huber asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 1 to Assembly Amendment 10 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be returned to the author. Granted.

Assemblyman Nitschke offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 2 to Assembly Amendment 10 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assemblyman N. C. Anderson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 29 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be taken up at this time. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 29 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Azim moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 29 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Merkel asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 42 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be returned to the author. Granted.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 46 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be taken up at this time. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 46 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Kessler moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 46 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Doughty, Dueholm, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger and Mr. Speaker—87.

Noes—Azim, Devitt, Tregoning, Hephner, McCormick, Martin, Mathews, Shabaz, Steinhilber and York—10.

-Absent or not voting-Nager and Thompson-2.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman G. K. Anderson offered an amendment. Entered.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 57 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—90.

Noes-Belting, Bolle, Kunde, McCann, Molinaro, Obey and Wackett-7.

Absent or not voting—Nager and Thompson—2. Motion carried.

Assemblyman Held asked unanimous consent that Assembly Amendment 49 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be taken up at this time. Granted.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 49 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Hutnik moved rejection.

The question was: Shall Assembly Amendment 49 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Belting, Blanchard, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Clemens, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Kordus, Lewison, Lynch, McCann, Mc-Cormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger and Mr. Speaker—77.

Noes—Anderson N. C., Bellante, Boche, Dueholm, Heinzen, Held, Johnson W. A., Kessler, Kunde, Laper, Lipscomb, Mathews, Obey, O'Malley, Rogers, Sicula, Stalbaum, Uehling, Wartinbee and York—20.

Absent or not voting—Nager and Thompson—2. Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 be adopted?

Assemblyman Alfonsi asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 641 be withdrawn from the advisement by the speaker and taken up at this time. Granted.

Assembly Bill 641

Relating to implied consent, chemical tests for weight of alcohol in the blood and granting rule-making authority.

The question was: Shall the bill be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Assemblyman McEssy asked unanimous consent for leave of absence for Assemblyman Clemens for the balance of today's session. Granted.

The question was: Shall the bill be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Bock, Clemens, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Held, Hephner, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Kafka, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Kordus, Laper, Lewison, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Mittness, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Pabst, Packard, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Rogers, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Sweda, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger and York—65.

Noes—Anderson, N. C., Barbee, Boche, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Huber, Jones, Kaufman, Kessler, Kunde, Lipscomb, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Mertz, Molinaro, Obey, Orlich, Parys, Riehle, Schaeffer, Shurbert, Sicula, Stevenson, Tobiasz, Warren and Mr. Speaker—32.

Absent or not voting—Nager and Thompson—2. Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Assembly Bill 641 be given a third reading.

Assemblyman Hanna objected.

Assemblyman Alfonsi moved that the rules be suspended, and that 'Assembly Bill 641 be given a third reading.

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended, and Assembly Bill 641 be given a third reading?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Kordus, Laper, Lewison, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—83.

Noes—Anderson N. C., Barbee, Bolle, Brown, Dueholm, Hanna, Kessler, Kunde, Lipscomb, Orlich, Schaeffer and Sicula—12.

Absent or not voting—Clemens, Nager, Stalbaum and Thompson—4.

Motion carried.

Assembly Bill 641

The question was: This bill having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Grover, Heinzen, Held, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Kafka, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Kordus, Laper, Lewison, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Mittness, Molinaro, Nischke, Nuttelman, Olson, O'Malley, Pabst, Packard, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Sweda, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger and York—65.

Noes—Anderson N. C., Barbee, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Dueholm, Groshek, Hanna, Hephner, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kaufman, Kessler, Kunde, Lipscomb, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Obey, Orlich, Parys, Peloquin, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Shurbert, Sicula, Stevenson, Tobiasz and Mr. Speaker—30.

Absent or not voting—Clemens, Mertz, Nager and Thompson—4.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Mertz requested that he be recorded as voting "Aye." Granted.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the Assembly's action on Assembly Bill 641 be immediately messaged to the Senate.

Assemblyman Hanna objected.

Assemblyman McKay moved that the Assembly's action on Assembly Bill 641 be immediately messaged to the Senate.

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended and the Assembly's action on Assembly Bill 641 be immediately messaged to the Senate.

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Klicka, Kordus, Laper, Lewison, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McEssy, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—81.

Noes—Anderson N. C., Barbee, Bolle, Brown, Dueholm, Hanna, Kaufman, Kessler, Kunde, Lipscomb, McDougal, Sicula and Stevenson—13.

Absent or not voting—Clemens, Nager, Orlich, Riehle and Thompson—5.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Orlich requested that he be recorded as voting "Noe." Granted.

Senate Bill 82

Relating to highway safety, including vehicle inspection, driver education, creation of the office of highway safety administrator and an increase in penalties for violation of the rules of the road.

The question was: Shall Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 to Senate Bill 82 be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 82 be ordered to a third reading?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes-Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante. Belting. Blanchard. Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Devitt, Doughtv. Due-Tregoning. Gehrmann. holm. Gee. Gessert. Groshek. Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—96.

Paired-Martin and Thompson-2.

Absent or not voting—Clemens—1.

Paired 2-for the Bill: Thompson; Against the Bill: Martin

Motion carried.

Assemblyman Schaeffer moved reconsideration of the vote by which Senate Bill 82 was ordered to a third reading. Entered.

Assemblyman Schaeffer moved reconsideration of the vote by which Assembly Amendment 35 to Assembly Substitute Amendment 4 was adopted. Entered.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that reconsideration of the vote by which Senate Bill 82 was ordered to a third reading be taken up at this time. Granted.

The question was: Shall the vote by which Senate Bill 82 was ordered to a third reading be reconsidered?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Bellante, Belting, Tregoning, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Klicka, McKay, Martin, Mathews, Merkel, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Shabaz, Soik, Stalbaum, Wackett and Mr. Speaker—22.

Noes—Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert,

Groshek, Grover, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Mato, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schwefel, Shurbert, Sicula, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vandeperren, Van Hollen, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger and York—74.

Absent or not voting—Clemens, Nager and Thompson —3.

Motion failed.

Asemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent, that the rules be suspended and that Senate Bill 82 be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: This bill having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alfonsi, Anderson G. K., Anderson N. C., Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Bellante, Belting, Blanchard, Boche, Bock, Bolle, Brown, Conradt, Devitt, Doughty, Dueholm, Tregoning, Gee, Gehrmann, Gessert, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Heinzen, Held, Hephner, Huber, Hutnik, Jahnke, Johnson L. H., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kaufman, Kavanaugh, Kenyon, Kessler, Klicka, Kordus, Kunde, Laper, Lewison, Lipscomb, Lynch, McCann, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, McKay, Mathews, Mato, Merkel, Mertz, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, Nitschke, Nuttelman, Obey, Olson, O'Malley, Orlich, Pabst, Packard, Parys, Peloquin, Perala, Quinn, Radcliffe, Riehle, Rogers, Schaeffer, Schroeder, Schwefel, Shabaz, Shurbert, Sicula, Soik, Stalbaum, Steinhilber, Stevenson, Sweda, Tobiasz, Uehling, Vanderperren, Van Hollen, Wackett, Warren, Wartinbee, Waters, Weisensel, Wilger, York and Mr. Speaker—96.

Noes-None.

Absent or not voting—Clemens—1.

Paired 2—For the bill: Thompson; Against the bill: Martin.

Motion carried.

Assemblyman McKay asked unanimous consent that the Assembly's action on Senate Bill 82 in the form of an en-

grossed copy by immediately messaged to the Senate. Granted.

VISITORS

During today's session, the following visitors honored the Assembly by their presence, and were welcomed by the presiding officer and the members:

Mr. and Mrs. William Bayer, Shawano, Wis., guests of Assemblyman Grover who announced that these visitors, particularly Mrs. Bayer, were instrumental in the entrance of the Assemblyman into politics.

Backi and Therisa Bahr, grandchildren of Assemblyman Mato, were his guests and also those of Assemblyman Lipscomb.

Professor Neil Gallagher, Wisconsin State University, Platteville, Wis., 17 students and 2 teachers from the same school, guests of Assemblyman Azim.

William V. and Mary C. Ellis, from Berkeley, California, guests of Assemblyman McKay and the entire Assembly.

Mr. and Mrs. Louis L. Merz, Milwaukee, guests of Assemblyman Jones.

Mr. and Mrs. Wayne Thompson and children, Peter and Debbie, Madison, guests of Assemblyman Uehling.

John White, 3763 No. 20th, Milwaukee, Wis., guest of Assemblymen Jones, Pabst, Barbee and W. A. Johnson.

William Bauman, 2936A South 9th Place, Milwaukee, guest of Assemblymen Kordus and Pabst.

Erick Techen, President of the Retired Workers Council, UAW 75, attending the School of Workers from Milwaukee, guest of Assemblyman Parys.

Mrs. Adrian Manders, son Patrick, Mrs. Smith and Mrs. Kraft, from Milwaukee, guests of Assemblyman Froehlich.

Mr. and Mrs. Richard W. Lango, 130 S. Walter Ave., Appleton, guests of Assemblyman Froehlich.

Bethel and Janis Graves, 40 Cochrane Dr., Hastings-on-Hudson, New York, guests of Assemblyman McKay.

Newell Parker, Watertown, Wis., guest of Assemblyman Wackett.

Mr. Lloyd Jilot, Administrator of the Southern Door School System, guest of Assemblyman L. H. Johnson.

Margaret, Brad and Jeff Ottum, John and Lucile Hughes, Green Bay, Wis. and Aberdeen, S. Dak., guests of Assemblyman Kafka.

Sherry Charbogian, Racine, guest of Assemblyman Brown.

Mrs. Donald Clusen and Kathryn Clusen from Green Bay, Wis., guests of Assemblyman Kafka.

Kenneth Troye Kunde, Sheboygan, son and guest of Assemblyman Kunde.

Tim McCormick, Milwaukee, Wis., son and guest of Assemblyman McCormick.

Assemblyman McKay requested that the Assembly adjourn today in honor of the birthday of Assemblyman Jones.

Assemblyman McKay moved that the Assembly adjourn to 9:00 A.M. Friday, June 30, 1967. Motion carried.

1