

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

STATE OF WISCONSIN

# Senate Journal

## Seventy-Ninth Session

---

TUESDAY, June 10, 1969.

10:00 o'clock A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by the president of the senate.

The Lord's Prayer, with musical accompaniment, was offered by Tom Martin, Jim Martin, Tom Hengel, Sam Fleege and Barb Malony.

The senate remained standing and recited the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whitow—31.

Absent—None.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

---

### INTRODUCTION OF AMENDMENTS

Senate amendment 113 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senator LaFave.

Senate amendment 114 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senators Swan and Keppler.

## **JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]**

Senate amendment 115 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Hollander.

Senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Keppler, Johnson, Lorge, Knutson, Swan, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Lotto, Devitt, Chilsen, Terry and LaFave.

---

### **INTRODUCTION OF BILLS**

#### **Senate Bill 580**

Relating to the granting of priority for claims in probate and receivership for pension, welfare and vacation benefits.  
By Senators Lourigan, Schreiber, McParland and Schuele.  
Read first time.

To committee on Judiciary.

---

### **PETITIONS**

#### **Senate Petition 355**

A petition by 65 citizens of Vilas and other counties in support of **Senate Bill 222**, which would restore 35% of the liquor tax to the Veterans Trust Fund.

By Senator Krueger.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

#### **Senate Petition 356**

A petition by 19 residents of Kenosha County, Wisconsin, favoring **Senate Bill 222**, which would restore 35% of the Liquor Tax to the Veterans' Trust Fund.

By Senator Lourigan.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

#### **Senate Petition 357**

A petition signed by 20 residents of Racine County asking support for **Senate Bill 222**.

By Senator Dorman.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

### **Senate Petition 358**

A petition by 80 citizens of Milwaukee County urging the Legislature to look favorably upon **Senate Bill 222** (and/or companion **Assembly Bill 342**) which would restore 35% of the liquor tax to the Veterans Trust Fund.

By Senator Soik.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

### **Senate Petition 359**

A petition by 57 students of Craig Senior High School of Janesville in support of lowering the minimum voting age to 18.

By Senator Swan.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

---

## COMMITTEE REPORT

The committee on Judiciary reports and recommends:

### **Senate Joint Resolution 55**

Relating to the division or removal of territory from smaller counties (1st consideration).

Adoption; Ayes, 4; Noes, 1.

### **Senate Joint Resolution 56**

Relating to the removal of county seats (1st consideration).

Adoption; Ayes, 4; Noes, 1.

### **Senate Joint Resolution 57**

Relating to county officers and their selection (1st consideration).

Rejection; Ayes, 3; Noes, 2.

### **Senate Joint Resolution 58**

Relating to uniformity in county government (1st consideration).

Adoption; Ayes, 5; Noes, 0.

### **Senate Bill 495**

Relating to the assignment of wages, disciplinary actions and records of alimony and support payments.

Passage; Ayes, 5; Noes, 0.

**JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]**

**Senate Bill 509**

Relating to county liability for the costs, attorney fees and expenses for indigent defendants charged with felony.

Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1; Ayes, 5; Noes, 0 and passage as amended; Ayes, 5; Noes, 0.

**Senate Bill 526**

Relating to appeals to the circuit court on property revaluation by the department of revenue.

Passage; Ayes, 5; Noes, 0.

**Assembly Joint Resolution 22**

Relating to the abolition of office of clerk of circuit court in counties having a population of 500,000 or more (1st consideration).

Concurrence; Ayes, 3; Noes, 2.

**Assembly Bill 84**

Relating to service in circuit and county courts by retired county judges.

Concurrence; Ayes, 5; Noes, 0.

**Assembly Bill 90**

Relating to vacancies in the office of supreme court justices and county and circuit judges.

Concurrence; Ayes, 5; Noes, 0.

**Assembly Bill 220**

Relating to classes and frequency of legal notices.

Concurrence; Ayes, 5; Noes, 0.

**Assembly Bill 360**

Relating to document and will fees charged by the register in probate.

Concurrence; Ayes, 3; Noes, 1.

**Assembly Bill 381**

Creating an agreement on detainers act.

Concurrence; Ayes, 5; Noes, 0.

**Assembly Bill 385**

Relating to the entry of evidence of certain financing statements on tract indices.

Concurrence; Ayes, 5; Noes, 0.

**JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]**

**Assembly Bill 515**

Relating to court commissioners in counties with population over 200,000.

Concurrence; Ayes, 5; Noes, 0.

**Assembly Bill 542**

Relating to the judicial term in the counties of the twentieth circuit.

Concurrence; Ayes, 5; Noes, 0.

**ALLEN J. BUSBY,**  
Chairman.

---

**EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS**

The State of Wisconsin  
Executive Office  
Madison 53702

June 9, 1969.

To the Honorable, the Senate:

The following bill, originating in the senate, has been approved, signed and deposited in the office of the Secretary of State.

Senate Bill	Chapter No.	Date Approved
378 -----	75 -----	June 9, 1969

Respectfully submitted,

**WARREN P. KNOWLES,**  
Governor.

---

**MOTIONS**

**Senate Bill 392**

Upon motion of Senator Rasmusen, with unanimous consent, Senate Bill 392 was withdrawn from the committee on Education and referred to the joint Survey committee on Retirement Systems.

**Senate Bill 95**

Upon motion of Senator Swan, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 88, 95 and 99 to senate substitute amendment 2 were returned to the author.

# JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

## SPECIAL ORDER

### Senate Bill 95

To amend and revise chapter 20 of the statutes, and to make diverse other changes in the statutes relating to state finances and appropriations constituting the executive budget bill of the 1969 legislature, and making appropriations.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 41 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 41 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Cirilli, Dempsey, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—17.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Kendziorski, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—14.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 50 was placed after senate amendment 22 to senate substitute amendment 2 which is after senate amendment 80 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Draheim moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 63 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler the senate recessed until 1:30 P.M.

---

RECESS

1:30 P.M.

President pro tempore in the chair.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Senator Hollander moved a

### CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorowski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—26.

Absent—Senators Chilsen, Heinzen, Krueger, Schreiber and Schuele—5.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95.

The senate refused to reject senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—24.

Noes—Senators Dempsey, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorowski, Keppler, Knowles and Soik—7.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senator Schreiber.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip and Terry—19.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Draheim, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—12.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Upon motion of Senator Roseleip, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 56 to senate substitute amendment 2 was returned to the author.

Upon motion of Senator Roseleip, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 2 was returned to the author.

All members of the senate being present, the call was raised.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 63 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Knowles, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber and Thompson—15.

Noes—Senators Cirilli, Dempsey, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, Lorge, McParland, Meunier,



## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—16.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion for reconsideration did not prevail.

Upon motion of Senator Johnson, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** was laid aside.

Upon motion of Senator LaFave, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 53 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** was laid aside.

President of the senate in the chair.

Senate amendment 67 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator LaFave moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 67 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 68 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 68 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95**?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Soik, Swan and Terry—18.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Kendzierski, LaFave, Lourigan, McParland, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—13.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Upon motion of Senator Hollander, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 70 to senate substitute amendment 2 was taken up before senate amendment 69, after which senate amendment 69 was to be considered.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 70 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 70 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 25; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Dempsey, Dorman, Draheim, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schuele, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—25.

Noes—Senators Cirilli, Devitt, Heinzen, Lotto, Schreiber and Soik—6.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senate amendment 69 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Busby raised the point of order that senate amendment 72 to senate substitute amendment 2 was out of order pursuant to Senate Rule 52, as it was identical to Senate Bill 323.

The chair ruled the point of order well taken.

Senator Risser appealed from the ruling of the chair.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the judgment of the senate?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan, Terry and Thompson—24.

Noes—Senators Kendziorski, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele and Whittow—6.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Absent or not voting—Senators McParland and Panzer—2.

So the decision of the chair was sustained.

Senator Risser asked unanimous consent for a 15 minute recess.

Senator Hollander objected.

Upon motion of Senator LaFave the senate recessed for 15 minutes.

---

### RECESS

4:40 P.M.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Knowles, Knutson, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—19.

Noes—Senators Busby, Devitt, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McParland, Roseleip, Swan and Terry—12.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Upon motion of Senator LaFave, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 53 to senate substitute amendment 2 was considered at this time.

Senate amendment 53 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 114 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 74 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Upon motion of Senator Roseleip, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 75 to senate substitute amendment 2 was returned to the author.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Upon motion of Senator Roseleip, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 76 to senate substitute amendment 2 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 77 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Risser raised the point of order senate amendment 78 to senate substitute amendment 2 was not germane pursuant to Senate Rule 52.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

Senator Schreiber raised the point of order senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 is not germane as it incorporates therein Senate Bills 507 and 508.

The chair ruled the point not well taken.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 79 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Hollander moved a

### CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whitow—30.

Absent—Senator Chilsen—1.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

All members being present, the call was lifted.

Senator Keppler requested his name be withdrawn as author of senate amendment 79 to senate substitute amendment 2.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Senator Schreiber raised a point of order senate amendment 79 to senate substitute amendment 2 was improperly before the senate pursuant to Senate Rule 50 (6).

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 80 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Knowles, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Soik, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—23.

Noes—Senators Dempsey, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, Lorge, Roseleip and Swan—7.

Absent or not voting—Senators Panzer and Schuele—2.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 2 was offered by Senator Hollander.

Senator Risser moved rejection of the amendment.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Draheim, Johnson, Kendziorski, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—23.

Noes—Senators Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Keppler, Knowles and Swan—7.

Absent or not voting—Senators Panzer and Rasmusen—2.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 2 was offered by Senator LaFave.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Senator Keppler moved the senate recess until 7:00 o'clock P.M.

Senator Kendziorski asked to amend the motion until 7:30 P.M.

Senator Kendziorski's amendment failed.

Senator Keppler moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The motion did not prevail.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler the senate recessed until 7:00 o'clock P.M.

---

### RECESS

7:00 P.M.

President of the senate in the chair.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Risser moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Hollander moved a

### CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—19.

Absent—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Heinzen, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Meunier, Rasmusen, Schuele, Swan and Terry—12.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

Senator Kendziorski moved to grant absent members a leave of absence.

Senator Risser asked unanimous consent to divide the motion.

Senator Kendziorski objected.

Senator Kendziorski, with unanimous consent, withdrew his motion for leaves for absent senators.

Senator Chilsen moved the call be raised.

The question was: Shall the call of the senate be raised?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 27; noes, 2; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—27.

Noes—Senators Risser and Schreiber—2.

Absent or not voting—Senators Cirilli, LaFave and Panzer—3.

So the call was raised.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 65 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 20; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Dempsey, Dorman, Heinzen, Keppler, Lourigan, Meunier, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—11.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Draheim, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, McParland, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—20.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the senate refused to reconsider the vote.

Senator Chilsen moved rejection of senate amendment 65, as amended, to senate substitute amendment 2.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Heinzen, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Roseleip, Soik and Swan—13.

Noes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Hollander, Kendziorski, LaFave, Lourigan, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—18.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator LaFave moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Hollander moved to place senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2 after senate amendment 120 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed following senate amendment 120 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Knowles, Krueger, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Soik and Terry—12.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dempsey, Devitt, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—18.

Absent or not voting—Senators Dorman and Panzer—2.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2.



## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Senator LaFave, with unanimous consent, was made co-author of senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Cirilli moved senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 114.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 114 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Heinzen, Hollander, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik and Terry—13.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Johnson, Kendziorski, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—18.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Shall the senate reject amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 9; noes, 22; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Heinzen, Hollander, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen and Roseleip—9.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Johnson, Kendziorski, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—22.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2 was offered by Senators Devitt, LaFave, Lorge and Soik.

Senator Schreiber moved rejection of the amendment.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Ayes—Senators Busby, Dempsey, Dorman, Draheim, Kendzierski, Knutson, Krueger, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—17.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, LaFave, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik and Terry—14.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senator Lorge moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected and moved that the motion lay over until June 11th.

The question was: Shall the motion to reconsider be laid over until June 11th?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—19.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dorman, Kendzierski, Knutson, Krueger, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—12.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion prevailed.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 10 to senate substitute amendment 2 was placed after senate amendment 120 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The motion prevailed.

Upon motion of Senator Draheim, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 22 to senate substitute amendment 2 was placed after senate amendment 98 to senate substitute amendment 2.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Senator Keppler moved to place senate amendment 50 to senate substitute amendment 2 after senate amendment 114.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 50 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 114 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Schuele, Swan and Terry—18.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Dorman, Johnson, Kendziorski, Knowles, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—13.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Schreiber, with unanimous consent, withdrew his point of order involving senate amendment 79 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Devitt moved to place senate amendment 79 to senate substitute amendment 2 after senate amendment 114 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 79 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed following senate amendment 114 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Keppler, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip and Swan—14.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Knowles, Krueger, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—17.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 79 to senate substitute amendment 2.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Senator Soik received unanimous consent to withdraw his name as co-author to senate amendment 79 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Devitt moved to lay over senate amendment 79 to senate substitute amendment 2 to follow senate amendment 115 to senate substitute amendment 2.

President pro tempore in the chair.

Senator Risser moved a

### CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whitow—31.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 79 to senate substitute amendment 2 be laid over following senate amendment 115 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McParland, Meunier, Roseleip, Soik and Swan—18.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Hollander, Kendziorski, Knowles, Lotto, Lourigan, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber,

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Schuele, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—13.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 81 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 81 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 25; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—25.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele and Thompson—6.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1

So the motion prevailed.

Upon motion of Senator Soik, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 82 to senate substitute amendment 2 was returned to the author.

Senator Roseleip moved rejection of senate amendment 83 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 83 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 20; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Dempsey, Draheim, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Roseleip and Whittow—11.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, LaFave, Lorge, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Thompson—20.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senate amendment 83 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 84 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 84 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, D o r m a n, Hollander, Keppler, K n o w l e s, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—16.

Noes—Senators Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinen, Johnson, Kendziorski, Knutson, Krueger, Lorge, McParland, Rasmusen, Roseleip and Swan—14.

Absent or not voting—Senators LaFave and Panzer—2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 117 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Soik.

Senate amendment 118 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Soik.

Senate amendment 119 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Hollander and LaFave.

Senate amendment 120 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Soik.

Senate amendment 121 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Lorge.

Senate amendment 122 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Lorge.

Upon motion of Senator Lorge, with unanimous consent, the senate returned to the 3rd order of business.

---

## INTRODUCTION OF AMENDMENTS

Senate amendment 3 to **Senate Bill 233** offered by Senator Lorge.

Senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 238** offered by Senator Lorge.

Senate amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 364** offered by Senator Draheim.

## JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, the senate adjourned until Wednesday, June 11, 1969, at 9:00 A.M.

---

### INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

Senators Lotto and LaFave introduced 19 members of the Hiawatha Travelers and their leader, Mrs. Clarence Powless, of Green Bay.

Senator Hollander introduced Professor Lucien Morisens, who is an Eisenhower Fellow from Brussels, Belgium, studying in the United States.

Senator Thompson introduced Dr. E. M. Hegge, Pastor of the Good Shepherd Lutheran Church, Mr. and Mrs. David Fielstad, counselors, and 29 members of the Luther League, Eau Claire. Senator Johnson joined in welcoming them to the senate.

Senator Keppler introduced Mr. and Mrs. John Schmidt, Sheboygan, and their daughter, Mrs. James Schommer, Fredonia, along with Senator Keppler's daughter, Mrs. Steven C. Schmidt, Sheboygan.

Senator Draheim introduced his good friends, Mr. and Mrs. Ted Bartelt, Oshkosh, along with the senator's wife, Dorothy.

Senator Heinzen introduced the St. Paul Lutheran Girl Pioneers, Wisconsin Rapids, along with their leader, Mrs. Elmer Loechler.

Senator Schreiber introduced his cousin Gertrude Jackson of Wisconsin Rapids.

Senator Keppler introduced the Milwaukee Chapter of the Order of Eastern Star and their president Mrs. J. Greenberg.

Senator Devitt presented to the senate 26 girls of Girl Scout Troop 1461 and their leaders Mrs. Stollenwerk, Mrs. Tutaj from Greendale.

Senator Dempsey introduced Kim Scribener, a boy scout from Brookfield, visiting the senate as part of his work on his Merit Badge for Citizenship.

## **JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 10, 1969]**

Senator Draheim introduced 28 students from Menasha Schools and their teachers Miss Kathy O'Brien and Mr. Fiet.

Senator Swan presented 25 Girl Scouts from Girl Scout Troop 69 and their leader Mrs. Dorothy Bastian from Dubuque, Iowa.

Senator Lorge presented Mrs. Peter H. Ziegler his mother-in-law and her family, Mr. and Mrs. Melvin Herbrand, Mr. and Mrs. Donald Statz, Mr. and Mrs. Donald Heyroth and Mrs. Jon Zimbric of Waunakee accompanied by Mrs. Lorge.

---

### **CHIEF CLERK'S CORRECTION**

#### **Senate Bill 296**

On page 2, line 20, substitute a comma for the semicolon.

---

### **CHIEF CLERK'S REPORT**

The chief clerk records:

#### **Senate Bill 378**

Correctly enrolled and presented to the Governor on Monday, June 9, 1969.

The chief clerk records:

#### **Senate Joint Resolution 61**

Correctly enrolled and deposited in the office of the Secretary of State on Tuesday, June 10, 1969.