

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Seventy-Ninth Session

WEDNESDAY, June 11, 1969.

9:00 o'clock A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by the president.

Prayer was offered by Rev. Lawrence L. Gruman, Pastor, First Congregational Church, Madison.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whitow—31.

Absent—None.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

Senator Hollander was granted a leave of absence after 5:30 P.M. for today's session, with unanimous consent.

INTRODUCTION OF AMENDMENTS

Senate amendment 123 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Devitt and Schreiber.

Senate amendment 124 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Roseleip.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Senate amendment 125 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Soik.

Senate amendment 126 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Draheim.

Senate amendment 127 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Heinzen.

Senate amendment 128 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Kendziorski.

Senate amendment 129 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Schreiber, Dorman and Thompson.

Senate amendment 130 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Schreiber, Risser, Dorman and Thompson.

Senate amendment 131 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Johnson, Chilsen, Lorge and LaFave.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 79 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Devitt.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 92 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Lorge, by request of Northwestern Life Insurance Company and Wisconsin Insurance Alliance.

Senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 45** offered by Senator Soik.

Senate amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 544** offered by Senators Lotto and LaFave.

Senate amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 544** offered by Senators LaFave and Kendziorski.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS

Senate Joint Resolution 63

Relating to the office of the coroner (1st consideration).

By Senator Rasmusen, by request of the State Medical Society of Wisconsin.

Read first time.

To committee on Judiciary.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Senate Joint Resolution 64

Relating to a study of the implications of lowering age for voting and other purposes.

By Senator Lorge.

Read.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

Senate Joint Resolution 65

To amend enrolled **Senate Joint Resolution 20** (5th "resolved" clause), by extending the life of the joint committee established thereunder.

By Senators Knutson, Thompson, Terry, Roseleip and Keppler; co-sponsored by Assemblymen Steinhilber, Shabaz and Jackson.

Read.

Adopted.

Ordered immediately messaged to the assembly.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Senate Bill 581

Relating to allocating the costs of required underground electric wiring.

By Senator Devitt.

Read first time.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

Senate Bill 582

Relating to the establishment of a small business investment company fund to be administered by the department of local affairs and development, and making an appropriation.

By Senators Devitt, McParland, Schuele, Chilsen, Heinen, Busby, Whittow, Krueger, LaFave, Schreiber, Rasmussen, Kendziorski and Meunier; co-sponsored by Assemblymen Klicka, Sensenbrenner, Hutnik, Lewison, Alberts, McDougal, Packard, Wing, Alfonsi, Parys and Huber.

Read first time.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Senate Petition 360

A petition by 208 citizens of Milwaukee County requesting passage of **Senate Bill 392**, relating to the exclusion of athletic coaching duties from final average compensation under the Milwaukee teachers retirement fund.

By Senator Schreiber.

To committee on Education.

Senate Petition 361

A petition by 84 residents of the 5th Senatorial District supporting **Senate Bill 331** and opposing **Senate Bills 30, 56 and 226**.

By Senator Schuele.

To committee on Public Welfare.

Senate Petition 362

A petition by 22 citizens of the 31st Senatorial District in support of **Senate Bill 222**, which would restore 35% of the Liquor Tax to the Veterans Trust Fund.

By Senator Johnson.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

Senate Petition 363

A petition by 20 citizens of the 31st Senatorial District in support of **Senate Bill 222**, which would restore 35% of the Liquor Tax to the Veterans Trust Fund.

By Senator Johnson.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Wilmer H. Struebing, Chief Clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has adopted and asks concurrence in:

Motion Under Joint Rule 26:

A joint certificate of Congratulations by Assemblyman Kafka, co-sponsored by Senator Lotto for Mando Steve Ariens on his being selected as "Small Business Man of the Year."

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Passed and asks concurrence in:

**Assembly Bill 209 and
Assembly Bill 233**

Concurred in:

Senate Bill 420

MESSAGE FROM ASSEMBLY CONSIDERED

Motion under Joint Rule 26 congratulating Mando Steve Ariens.

Read and concurred in.

Assembly Bill 209

Relating to county disposition of dead animals.

Read first time.

To committee on Agriculture.

Assembly Bill 233

Relating to discontinuing roads on state lands.

Read first time.

To committee on Highways.

RULING OF THE CHAIR

The chair ruled that the point of order raised by Senator Risser on June 10th that senate amendment 78 to senate substitute amendment 2 was not in order pursuant to Senate Rule 52 is well taken.

MOTIONS

Senate Bill 416

Was recalled from the joint committee on Finance upon motion of Senator Kendziorski, with unanimous consent, and returned to the author.

Upon motion of Senator Draheim, with unanimous consent, Senate Rule 1 was suspended for today's session.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

SPECIAL ORDER

Senate Bill 95

To amend and revise chapter 20 of the statutes, and to make diverse other changes in the statutes relating to state finances and appropriations constituting the executive budget bill of the 1969 legislature, and making appropriations.

Senator Busby moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 84 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler the senate recessed for 30 minutes.

RECESS

10:15 A.M.

President of the senate in the chair.

Upon motion of Senator Hollander, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 115 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** was returned to the author.

Senator Draheim moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected and that the motion lay over until tomorrow, June 12th.

So ordered.

Senator Knowles moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected and that the motion lay over until tomorrow, June 12th.

So ordered.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 84 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler LaFave, Lorge, McParland, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Schuele, Swan and Terry—17.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dorman, Hollander, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Risser, Schreiber, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—14.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reconsider the vote prevailed.

President pro tempore in the chair.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 84 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95?**

Senator Johnson moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—31.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 84 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95?**

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Dorman, Hollander, Keppler, Knowles, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—17.

Noes—Senators Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Hein-

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

zen, Johnson, Kendziorski, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, McParland, Rasmusen, Roseleip and Swan—14.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So senate amendment 84 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

Senator Devitt moved that senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 130 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 130 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—22.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—9.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Whittow moved rejection of senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 4; noes, 27; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Dempsey, Keppler, Knowles and Whittow—4.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Thompson—27.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Risser.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 2 was offered by Senator Whittow.

Senator Chilsen moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The motion for rejection prevailed.

Senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Roseleip moved to place senate amendment 85 to senate substitute amendment 2 after senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 85 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 85 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler the senate recessed until 1:30 P.M.

RECESS

1:30 P.M.

President of the senate in the chair.

Senate amendment 85 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

Upon motion of Senator Roseleip, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 86 to senate substitute amendment 2 was returned to the author.

Senator Whittow moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 85 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 85 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Terry and Whittow—16.

Noes—Senators Cirilli, Dorman, Heinzen, Kendziorski, Knutson, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik and Thompson—14.

Absent or not voting—Senators Panzer and Swan—2.

So the motion to reconsider prevailed.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 85 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dorman, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Knutson, Krueger, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik and Thompson—17.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Keppler, Knowles, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Swan, Terry and Whittow—13.

Absent or not voting—Senators LaFave and Panzer—1.

So the amendment was rejected.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 87 to senate substitute amendment 2 was offered by Senator Hollander.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 87 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 87 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted as amended.

Senator Dorman raised the point of order that senate amendment 89 is not germane pursuant to Senate Rule 52.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

Senate amendment 90 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

The chair ruled Senator Dorman's point of order as to the germaneness of senate amendment 89 to senate substitute amendment 2 was well taken pursuant to Senate Rule 52, and senate amendment 89 therefore was not in order.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator McParland moved to lay senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 2 over until tomorrow, June 12th.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 2 be laid over until June 12th?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 10; noes, 20; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Draheim, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Swan and Whittow—10.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Rasmusen, Risser, Soik, Terry and Thompson—20.

Absent or not voting—Senators Dorman and Panzer—2.

So the motion to lay over did not prevail.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 20; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Cirilli, Dorman, Heinzen, Knowles, Lotto, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Terry and Thompson—11.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Whittow—20.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 2 was offered by Senator Chilsen.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 5; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, LaFave, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whitow—23.

Noes—Senators Devitt, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan and Meunier—5.

Absent or not voting—Senators Krueger, McParland, Panzer and Thompson—4.

So senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 2 was offered by Senator Schreiber.

Senator Lorge moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The motion did not prevail.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted as amended.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 92 to senate substitute amendment 2 was offered by Senator Lorge.

Senator Risser asked unanimous consent that the senate resolve itself into a committee of the Whole to consider senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 92 and senate amendment 92 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Cirilli objected.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 92 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 92 to senate substitute amendment 2.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 92 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 7; noes, 23; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Johnson, Kendziorski, Knowles, Lourigan, Meunier, Risser and Swan—7.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollender, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, McParland, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—23.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim and Panzer—2.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 92 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Schreiber, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—24.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Knowles, Lourigan, McParland, Risser and Schuele—7.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So senate amendment 92 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Kendziorski in the chair.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 93 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 132 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senators LaFave, Krueger and Whittow.

Senate amendment 133 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senators LaFave, Krueger and Whittow.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Senate amendment 134 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Whittow, Risser and Keppler.

Senate amendment 135 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Whittow, Lourigan and Schreiber.

Senate amendment 136 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Whittow, Kendzior-ski, Lourigan, Schreiber and Thompson.

Senate amendment 137 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Schreiber and Heinzen.

Senate amendment 138 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Dorman.

Senate amendment 139 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Busby.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 106 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Heinzen and Rasmusen.

Senate amendment 140 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Lorge.

Senate amendment 141 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Devitt.

Senate amendment 142 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Schreiber and Dorman.

Senate amendment 143 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Schreiber and Whittow.

Senator Risser moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—27.

Absent—Senators Devitt, Dorman, Meunier and Terry—4.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 94 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator McParland called the chair's attention that all senators were present.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 93 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 7; noes, 24; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber and Thompson—7.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—24.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 93 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—24.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber and Thompson—7.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So senate amendment 93 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Senator Krueger moved for reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 93 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Schreiber moved that the motion to reconsider lay over until tomorrow, June 12th.

Pursuant to Senate Rule 67, par. 4 this required a two-thirds vote for suspension of the rules and a roll call.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 1; noes, 29; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senator Schreiber—1.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—29.

Absent or not voting—Senators Dorman and Panzer—2.

Less than two-thirds having voted in the affirmative, the motion to lay over did not prevail.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 93 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 4; noes, 27; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Dorman, Risser, Schreiber and Thompson—4.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—27.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reconsider did not prevail.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler the senate recessed for 10 minutes.

RECESS

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

5:10 P.M.

President pro tempore in the chair.

Senator Keppler moved that debate on all remaining amendments except funding and revenue amendments, adoption of substitute amendment 2, engrossment, and passage of Senate Bill 95 be limited to 10 minutes per amendment; and that the chair as equitably as possible allot equal time between the proponents and opponents during each time limitation.

Upon motion of Senator Risser the senate recessed for 5 minutes.

RECESS

5:25 P.M.

President pro tempore in the chair.

Senator Risser raised a point of order that a motion limiting debate required a suspension of the rules and a two-thirds vote rather than a simple majority.

The chair ruled the point not well taken.

Senator Risser appealed the ruling of the chair.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the judgment of the senate?

Senator Risser moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip,

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—29.

Absent with leave—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the judgment of the senate?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—21.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—9.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.

So the decision of the chair was sustained.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler the senate recessed until 7:00 P.M.

RECESS

7:00 P.M.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, the motion by Senator Keppler was withdrawn.

1 Senator Keppler moved that debate on all remaining
2 amendments except funding and revenue amendments,
3 adoption of substitute amendment 2, engrossment, and
4 passage of Senate Bill 95, be limited to 10 minutes per
5 amendment; and that debate on all other motions and
6 questions, including motions for reconsideration and
7 amendments to the third degree, be limited to 2 min-
8 utes each; and that the chair as equitably as pos-
9 sible allot equal time between the proponents and op-
10 ponents during each time limitation.

Senator Risser moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—29.

Absent—Senator Busby—1.

Absent with leave—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.

Senator Keppler moved the call be raised.

The question was: Shall the call of the senate be raised?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: eyes, 22; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan, Terry and Thompson—22.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele and Whittow—8.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.

All members having voted, the call was raised.

Senator Risser raised the point of order the motion of Senator Keppler had the effect of amending Senate Rule 56 without giving a week's notice.

The chair ruled the point not well taken.

Senator Risser appealed the ruling of the chair.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the judgment of the senate?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—21.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McPar-

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

land, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—9.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.

So the decision of the chair was sustained.

Senator Risser moved suspension of the rules to permit Senator Thompson to speak for three minutes.

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended to permit Senator Thompson to speak for three minutes?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Dorman, Draheim, Kendziorski, Knutson, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—13.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Heinzen, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik and Terry—17.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.
So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Risser moved that the figure "2" in line 7 of Senator Keppler's motion be deleted and the figure "10" inserted.

Senator Keppler moved rejection of the amendment.

The question was: Shall the amendment be rejected?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Heinzen, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—20.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Draheim, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—10.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.
So the amendment was rejected.

Senator Thompson moved that the words in lines 6 and 7 of Senator Keppler's motion "and amendments to the third degree" be deleted and in line 8 after the word "each" add "and amendments to the third degree be limited to 5 minutes".

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Senator LaFave moved rejection of the amendment.

The question was: Shall the amendment be rejected?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Heinzen, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Soik, Swan and Terry—16.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dempsey, D o r m a n , Draheim, Kendziorski, Knutson, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—14.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.

So the amendment was rejected.

Senator Dorman moved that the Senator Keppler's motion be amended by inserting on line 2 following the word "except" the words "senate amendment 22".

Senator Keppler moved rejection of the amendment.

The question was: Shall the amendment be rejected?

Senator Risser moved suspension of the rules to permit Senator Thompson to speak for 3 minutes.

The chair ruled the motion dilatory in that the senator was present to so move himself.

Senator Risser appealed the chair's ruling.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the judgment of the senate?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—21.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—9.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.

So the decision of the chair was sustained.

Upon motion of Senator Schreiber the senate recessed for five minutes.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

RECESS

8:05 P.M.

Upon motion of Senator Dorman, with unanimous consent, the amendment to Senator Keppler's motion was withdrawn.

Senator Keppler moved to reconsider the vote by which Senator Thompson's amendment to Senator Keppler's motion was rejected.

Senator Thompson's amendment to Senator Keppler's motion was adopted.

The question was: Shall Senator Keppler's motion, as amended, be adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Heinzen, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—18.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Draheim, Kendziorski, Knutson, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—11.

Absent or not voting—Senators Chilsen, Hollander and Panzer—3.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 94 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 94 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 1; paired, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—18.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dempsey, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, McParland, Meunier, Roseleip, Schuele, Swan and Terry—11.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Paired—Senator Hollander for rejection; Senator Johnson against rejection—2.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 96 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 96 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Kendziorski, Knowles, Lorge, McParland, Rasmussen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—17.

Noes—Senators Dempsey, Draheim, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Roseleip, Swan and Terry—13.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.

So the motion for rejection prevailed.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 97 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 97 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Kendziorski, Knowles, McParland, Rasmussen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—14.

Noes—Senators Cirilli, Dempsey, Draheim, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—16.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 97 to senate substitute amendment 2 be adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Draheim, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto,

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Lourigan, Meunier, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—17.

Noes—Senators Busby, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Kendziorski, Knowles, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—13.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 98 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 98 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Kendziorski, Knowles, Lorge, Lotto, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Thompson and Whittow—13.

Noes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Draheim, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lourigan, Meunier, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan and Terry—16.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander, McParland and Panzer—3.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 98 to senate substitute amendment 2 be adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Draheim, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—17.

Noes—Senators Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Kendziorski, Knowles, Lorge, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—13.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 22 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Risser moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—29.

Absent—Senator Thompson—1.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.

Senator Keppler moved the call be raised.

The question was: Shall the call be raised?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Heinzen, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—20.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Draheim, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele and Whittow—9.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander, Panzer and Thompson—3.

So the call was raised.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 22 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Knowles, Meunier, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—12.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Draheim, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Rasmusen, Roseleip and Thompson—18.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander and Panzer—2.

So the motion to reject the amendment did not prevail.

Senate amendment 22 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Senator Risser moved to reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 22 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 22 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Dorman, Heinzen, Kendziorski, Knowles, Meunier, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—12.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Rasmusen and Roseleip—17.

Absent or not voting—Senators Hollander, Panzer and Thompson—3.

So the motion to reconsider did not prevail.

Senate amendment 100 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 101 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 102 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Risser raised a point of order senate amendment 103 to senate substitute amendment 2 was identical to Senate Bill 490.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

Senator Chilsen moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 100 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 100 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 9; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 5; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Dorman, Heinzen, John-

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

son, Keppler, Knowles, Schreiber and Soik—9

Noes—Senators Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Kendziorski, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Risser, Roseleip, Schuele, Swan, Terry and Whittow—18.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, Hollander, Panzer, Rasmusen and Thompson—5.

So the motion to reconsider did not prevail.

Senate amendment 144 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senator Roseleip.

Senate amendment 145 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senator Lorge.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, the senate adjourned until 9:00 A.M. June 12th.

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

Lt. Governor Olson introduced to the senate, Miss Bobbie Thoreson, Wisconsin's Alice in Dairyland, "Wisconsin's Best Salesman," who was escorted to the podium by Senators Busby and LaFave, where she briefly addressed the senate.

Senator Swan introduced Mr. and Mrs. C. Kasparg of Duluth, Minn.

Senator Swan introduced Mr. Zamin Havoon, an exchange student from Perlis, Malaysia, living in Janesville.

Senator LaFave introduced Mr. Robert Charon and family of Marinette.

Senator Johnson introduced 14 members of Campfire Girls and their leader, Cheryl Stetzer, of Eau Claire.

Senator Dempsey introduced Mrs. Ruby Scott, teacher, and fifteen students from Ben Franklin Special Education and their parents, from Menomonee Falls.

Senator Rasmusen introduced Mr. Glen Johnson and children, Dave and Dionne, from Spooner.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1969]

Senator Lorge welcomed Mr. Walter T. Nortman, attorney and former state assemblyman, of Rt. 5, West Bend, to the senate.

Senator Swan introduced Mr. and Mrs. Eugene B. Nesland and their daughter, Cindy, from Thief River Falls, Minnesota.

Senator Risser introduced Father John Trameri of Rome, Italy.

Senator Devitt introduced the wife of Assemblyman Shabaz, Mrs. Katherine Shabaz, of New Berlin.

Senator Johnson introduced Mr. and Mrs. Kermit Wulff and their daughter, Sherry, along with Miss Janet Freitag, of Eau Claire.

Senator Thompson introduced his daughter, Lisa, of Stoughton, and Miss Janet Clarenbock, of Madison, to the senate.

Senator Knutson presented Mrs. John Leifer and children, of La Crosse.

Senator Chilsen introduced 3 members of the Learning Foundations, Ernest Wiley, of Wausau, Carl Myers, of Carroll, Iowa, and Herbert McFall, of Rock Island, Illinois.

Senator Keppler introduced Mr. David Cadle, of Madison.