# STATE OF WISCONSIN

# Senate Journal

# Seventy-Ninth Session

THURSDAY, June 12, 1969.

9:00 o'clock A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by the president.

Prayer was offered by Rev. Robert G. Fulmer, Pastor, Midvale Baptist Church, Madison.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—29.

Absent—Senator Thompson—1.

Absent with leave—Senators Dorman and Panzer—2.

#### INTRODUCTION OF AMENDMENTS

Senate amendment 146 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 was offered by Senator Whittow.

## INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

#### Senate Bill 583

Relating to change in the medical examining licensing and regulation law.

By Senators Cirilli, Krueger and Whittow; co-sponsored by Assemblyman Gee, by request of State Medical Society of Wisconsin.

Read first time.

To committee on Public Welfare.

#### Senate Bill 584

Relating to powers of state patrol traffic officers and inspectors.

By Senators Knutson, Terry, Dempsey, Swan, Krueger and Meunier.

Read first time.

To committee on Highways.

## PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

#### Senate Petition 364

A petition by 173 residents of Cudahy, Wisconsin opposing Assembly Bill 713.

By Senator McParland.

To committee on Education.

#### Senate Petition 365

A petition by 20 citizens of the city of Merrill, in support of 35% of the liquor tax to the veterans trust fund.

By Senator Krueger.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

#### Senate Petition 366

A petition from 20 residents of Manitowoc County urging legislation to restore 35% of the liquor tax to the Veterans Trust Fund.

By Senator Meunier.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

#### Senate Petition 367

A petition from 20 residents of Two Rivers, Manitowoc County urging legislation to restore 35% of the liquor tax to the Veterans Trust Fund.

By Senator Meunier.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

## Senate Petition 368

A petition from 20 residents of Manitowoc urging legislation to restore 35% of the liquor tax to the Veterans Trust Fund.

By Senator Meunier.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

#### Senate Petition 369

A petition from 20 residents of Manitowoc urging legislation to restore 35% of the Liquor Tax to the Veterans Trust Fund.

By Senator Meunier.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

## Senate Petition 370

A petition signed by 73 residents of the 8th Senatorial District supporting Senate Bill 331 and opposing Senate Bills 30, 56 and 226, relating to contraceptive articles.

By Senator Busby.

To committee on Public Welfare.

#### Senate Petition 371

A petition by 59 residents of the 23rd Senatorial District, favoring Senate Bill 222 and/or companion Assembly Bill 342, which would restore 35% of the Liquor Tax to the Veterans' Trust Fund.

By Senator Rasmusen.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

# EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS

The State of Wisconsin Executive Office Madison 53702

To the Honorable, the Senate:

The following bill, originating in the senate, has been approved, signed and deposited in the office of the Secretary of State.

Respectfully submitted,

WARREN P. KNOWLES,

June 12, 1969.

Governor.

## MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Wilmer H. Struebing, chief clerk.

## Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has concurred in committee of Conference report on

## Assembly Bill 42

Passed and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Bill 362, Assembly Bill 510 and Assembly Bill 558.

## ASSEMBLY MESSAGE CONSIDERED

## Assembly Bill 362

Relating to solid waste disposal sites and standards.

Read first time.

To committee on Public Welfare.

## Assembly Bill 510

Relating to snowmobiles used for agricultural purposes.

Read first time.

To committee on Highways.

# Assembly Bill 558

Relating to the payment of dividends by savings and loan associations.

Read first time.

To committee on Labor, Taxation, Insurance and Banking.

#### MOTIONS

#### MOTION UNDER JOINT RULE 26

The State of Wisconsin \* \* \* Citation by the Legislature Know you by these presents:

Whereas, the small village of Scandinavia, Wisconsin on Sunday, June 22, 1969, has proclaimed said day as Patriotic

American Day, agreeing that on said day the American flag should be waved to show the rebellious minority groups that there are still loyal Americans who love, honor and cherish "Old Glory" and that Americans everywhere are thankful that we live in this great country and

Whereas it is the hope that other villages, towns, cities and groups all over America who will proclaim similar patriotic American day programs in favor of our American heritage and in opposition to destructive student marches, demonstrations, flag burning, draft card destruction and other anti-American activities; now, therefore,

The Members of the Wisconsin Legislature, on the motion of Senators Lorge and Roseleip and Assemblyman Byers, under Joint Rule 26, congratulate the Scandinavia Booster Club, The Village of Scandinavia and The American Legion and all other Veterans clubs in the Scandinavia area for its patriotic efforts on its patriotic American Day Proclamation this June 22, 1969.

Read and adopted.

Upon motion of Senator McParland with unanimous consent, Senate Rule 1 relating to removal of coats was suspended for today's session.

#### SPECIAL ORDER

#### Senate Bill 95

To amend and revise chapter 20 of the statutes, and to make diverse other changes in the statutes relating to state finances and appropriations constituting the executive budget bill of the 1969 legislature, and making appropriations.

Senator Soik moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 98 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Risser moved a

#### CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—28.

Absent—Senators Chilsen and Thompson—2.

Absent with leave—Senators Dorman and Panzer—2.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 104 to senate substitute amendment 2 was offered by Senator Keppler.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 104 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 104 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 104 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 10; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Hollander, Knowles, Krueger, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Soik and Swan—10.

Noes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Roseleip, Schuele, Terry and Whittow—19.

Absent or not voting—Senators Dorman, Panzer and Thompson—3.

So the motion for rejection did not prevail.

Senate amendment 104 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator LaFave moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 104 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 104 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Heinzen, Hollander, Knowles, Krueger, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Soik and Swan—11.

Noes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Roseleip, Schuele, Terry and Whittow—18,

Absent or not voting—Senators Dorman, Panzer and Thompson—3.

So the motion to reconsider did not prevail.

Senator Knowles raised a point of order that senate amendment 105 to senate substitute amendment 2, in substituting for two senate bills now before the legislature, is not germane.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

Senator Schreiber raised a point of order that senate amendment 106 to senate substitute amendment 2 is not germane.

The chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

Senator Swan moved rejection of senate amendment 106 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 106 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Kendziorski moved a

## CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—29

Absent—Senator Thompson—1.

Absent with leave—Senators Dorman and Panzer—2.

Senator Knowles moved the call of the senate be raised.

The question was: Shall the call of the senate be raised?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—22.

Noes—Senators Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—8.

Absent or not voting—Senators Dorman and Panzer—2.

So the motion to raise the call prevailed.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 98 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 1; paired, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Devitt, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Knowles, Krueger, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—17.

Noes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Draheim, Keppler, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Meunier, Roseleip, Swan and Terry—12.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

Paired—Senator Dorman for reconsideration; Senator Johnson against reconsideration—2.

So the motion to reconsider the vote prevailed.

Senator Soik moved rejection of senate amendment 98 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Upon motion of Senator LaFave, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed for 10 minutes.

RECESS

11:09 A.M.

President of the senate in the chair.

Senator Soik asked unanimous consent to withdraw his motion to reject senate amendment 98 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Risser objected.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed for 10 minutes.

## RECESS

11:43 A.M.

President pro tempore in the chair.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 98 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 8; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 1; paired, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—8.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—21.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

Paired—Senator Dorman for rejection; Senator Johnson against rejection—2.

So the motion for rejection did not prevail.

Senate amendment 98 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator LaFave moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 98 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The motion to reconsider did not prevail.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 106 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—24.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Heinzen, Krueger, Lotto, Rasmusen and Thompson—6.

Absent or not voting—Senators Dorman and Panzer—2. So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senator Kendziorski moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 106 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

The motion to reconsider did not prevail.

Senator Cirilli moved rejection of senate amendment 107 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The motion for rejection prevailed.

Upon motion of Senator Knowles, with unanimous consent, the point of order as to senate amendment 105 to senate substitute amendment 2 not being germane, was withdrawn.

Senate amendment 105 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 108 to senate substitute amendment 2 was offered by Senator Whittow.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 108 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 108 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 109 was adopted.

Senator Schreiber moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 109 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 109 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Knowles, Lotto, Lourigan, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Thompson and Whittow—13.

Noes—Senators Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McParland, Meunier, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan and Terry—17.

Absent or not voting—Senators Dorman and Panzer—2. So the motion to reconsider did not prevail.

Upon motion of Senator Swan, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 111 to senate substitute amendment 2 was withdrawn by the author.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, the senate adjourned until 9:00 o'clock Friday, June 13, 1969.

## INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

Senator Johnson introduced Mrs. Richard W. Bardwell and members of college week for women seminar, of Wisconsin. Senator Risser joined in welcoming them to the senate.

Senator Schuele introduced his wife, Mrs. Wilfred Schuele, Mr. and Mrs. Ronald Schuele and their 3 children, Le Ann, Mary Kay and Kevin, and master John Dunkin, of Milwaukee.

Senator Schreiber introduced 30 pupils of Fulton Jr. High School and their leader, Miss Priscilla Butts, of Milwaukee.

Senator Lourigan presented his wife, Margaret, his son and daughter-in-law, Mr. and Mrs. Ronald Lourigan, and their 3 children, Lynnette, Ronald and John, from Kenosha.

Senator Whittow introduced members of Junior Girl Scout Troop 1249 from St. Barbara's School, of Milwaukee.

Senator Risser introduced Mr. Raymond B. Hughes, of Chicago, Illinois, and Mr. Gary Christopherson, Madison.

# CHIEF CLERK'S REPORT

The chief clerk records:

Senate Bill 296

Correctly enrolled and presented to the Governor on Thursday, June 12, 1969.