

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Seventy-Ninth Session

TUESDAY, June 17, 1969.

10:00 o'clock A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by the president of the senate.

Prayer was offered by Reverend Thomas Coyle, Deacon, St. Paul's Catholic University Center, Madison.

The senate remained standing and recited the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dor-man, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whit-tow—31.

Absent—None.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Senator Whittow was granted a leave of absence from 7:00 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. for today's session, with unanimous consent.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Soik.

Senate amendment 150 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Roseleip and Johnson.

Senate amendment 151 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Krueger, Cirilli, Draheim, McParland and Dorman.

Senate amendment 152 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Draheim, by request of Veterans Council.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Senate Petition 374

A petition by 41 members of the Lake Geneva Teachers Association strongly opposed to Section 1 of **Senate Bill 95**, relating to teacher retirement, and **Senate Bill 311**, relating to payment of teachers for time spent at meetings conducted by their bargaining agents.

By Senator Swan.

To joint committee on Finance.

Senate Petition 375

A petition by 47 residents of Lake Geneva, Wisconsin, opposing **Senate Bill 274**, relating to double bottom trucks.

By Senator Swan.

To committee on Highways.

Senate Petition 376

A petition of 150 persons opposed to any person using a firearm or any deadly weapon to shoot, kill, or wound a live bird, poultry or animal that is tied, staked out or caged and used as a live target.

By Senator Dempsey.

To committee on Public Welfare.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

The State of Wisconsin
Department of State
Madison 53702

June 17, 1969.

To the Honorable, the Senate

Gentlemen: I have the honor to transmit to you, pursuant to Section 13.67 (2), a list of registered lobbyists for the period beginning June 10, 1969, and ending June 16, 1969.

Yours very truly,

ROBERT C. ZIMMERMAN,
Secretary of State.

Name, Address and Occupation of Lobbyist—Name and Address of Employer—Subject of Legislation—Date of Employment—Length of Time of Employment.

Michael Brisch, Treasurer, Gen. Mgr., 711 Waldo Blvd., Manitowoc, Wis.—Rockwell Lime Co., Route 4, Manitowoc, Wis.—Bill governing sale of ag-lime, 175A—June 13, 1969—Session.

Mark Catlin, Jr., Lawyer, Box 391, Appleton, Wis.—Wis. Assn. of Taxicab Operators, 1820 W. Clybourn St., Milwaukee, Wis.—Taxicabs—June 2, 1969—Session.

Mark Catlin, Jr., Lawyer, Box 391, Appleton, Wis.—Acme Specialties Corp., 2021 West St., River Grove, Ill.—Fireworks law and exemptions therefrom—June 2, 1969—Session.

CANCELLED:

Robert J. Heule, 2129 S. 55th St., West Allis, Wis.—UAW Local 283, 2129 S. 55th St., West Allis, Wis.—Cancellation effective June 9, 1969.

Richard L. Dawley, Asst. Exec. Dir., 1811 Underwood Ave., Milwaukee, Wis.—Milwaukee Teachers Education Assn., 3917 W. Capitol Dr., Milwaukee, Wis.—Cancellation effective June 14, 1969.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Wilmer H. Struebing, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has passed and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Bill 591,
Assembly Bill 608 and
Assembly Bill 674.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY CONSIDERED

Assembly Bill 591

Relating to cancellation of insurance policies and injured third persons.

Read first time.

To committee on Labor, Taxation, Insurance and Banking.

Assembly Bill 608

Relating to branch offices of savings and loan associations.

Read first time.

To committee on Labor, Taxation, Insurance and Banking.

Assembly Bill 674

Relating to direct action against insurers in negligence cases.

Read first time.

To committee on Labor, Taxation, Insurance and Banking.

Senator Kendziorski moved that the lobbyists be invited to leave the senate chambers during the discussion of the budget bill.

Upon motion of Senator Whittow, with unanimous consent, the motion was laid over until after the morning caucus.

Senator Risser raised a point of parliamentary inquiry to the effect it might take a change in the rules to remove lobbyists from the chambers.

The chair took the inquiry under advisement.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

SPECIAL ORDER

Senate Bill 95

Relating to state finances and appropriations constituting the executive budget bill of the 1969 legislature, and making appropriations.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Schuele, Swan and Whittow—20.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dorman, Hollander, Knutson, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber, Soik, Terry and Thompson—10.

Absent or not voting—Senators Heinzen and Panzer—2.

So the motion to reconsider the vote prevailed.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The motion did not prevail.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Hollander.

Senator Knowles moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik and Swan—19.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Hollander, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Terry,

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

Thompson and Whittow—12.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik and Swan—18.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Hollander, Kendziorski, Knutson, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—13.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the amendment was adopted.

The vote by which senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected was reconsidered.

The senate refused to reject senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Risser.

Senator Devitt moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, LaFave, Lorge, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik and Swan—15.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Knutson, Krueger, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—16.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

Senator Heinzen moved rejection of senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The motion did not prevail.

Senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Upon motion of Senator Soik, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 120 to senate substitute amendment 2 was withdrawn.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, the senate recessed until 7:00 P.M.

RECESS

7:00 P.M.

President of the senate in the chair.

Senator Risser moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Swan and Thompson—24.

Absent—Senators Krueger, Lorge, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik and Terry—6.

Absent with leave—Senators Panzer and Whittow—2.

Senator Keppler moved the call be raised.

Senator Knowles raised a point of order that the motion was non-debatable.

The chair ruled the point of order well taken.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

Senator McParland requested that the sergeant-at-arms present his report.

The sergeant-at-arms reported Senators Lorge, Roseleip, Soik and Schuele absent.

Senator Chilsen moved that the sergeant-at-arms' report be accepted.

Senator Risser appealed the ruling of the chair.

Senator Schreiber raised the point of order the motion is debatable and he has more than two minutes to speak.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair be the judgment of the senate?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 6; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Swan and Terry—19.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber and Thompson—7.

Absent or not voting—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik and Whittow—6.

So the decision of the chair was sustained.

The question was: Shall the sergeant-at-arms' report be adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 6; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Swan and Terry—20.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber and Thompson—6.

Absent or not voting—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik and Whittow—6.

So the sergeant-at-arms' report was accepted.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 110 to senate substitute amendment 2.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

Senator Risser moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Swan, Terry and Thompson—27.

Absent—Senators Lorge, Roseleip and Soik—3.

Absent with leave—Senators Panzer and Whittow—2.

Senator Keppler moved to grant a leave of absence to Senators Lorge, Roseleip and Soik.

Senator Risser requested the question be divided to take up each senator one at a time.

The question was: Shall Senator Soik be granted a leave of absence for the balance of today's session?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 5; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Swan and Terry—19.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele and Thompson—8.

Absent or not voting—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip, Soik and Whittow—5.

So Senator Soik was granted a leave of absence.

Senator Schuele, with unanimous consent, was granted a leave of absence for the balance of today's session.

The question was: Shall Senator Lorge be granted a leave of absence for the balance of today's session?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 6; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Swan and Terry—19.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber and Thompson—7.

Absent or not voting—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik and Whittow—6.

So Senator Lorge was granted a leave of absence.

The question was: Shall Senator Roseleip be granted a leave of absence for the balance of today's session?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 6; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Swan and Terry—19.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber and Thompson—7.

Absent or not voting—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik and Whittow—6.

So Senator Roseleip was granted a leave of absence.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 110 to senate substitute amendment 2 be rejected?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 6; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Knowles, LaFave, Lotto, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Terry and Thompson—15.

Noes—Senators Dempsey, Draheim, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier and Swan—11.

Absent or not voting—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik and Whittow—6.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 112 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 112 to senate substitute amendment 2 be rejected?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 5; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Knowles, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber and Thompson—15.

Noes—Senators Cirilli, Dempsey, Draheim, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Meunier, Soik, Swan and Terry—12.

Absent or not voting—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip, Schuele and Whittow—5.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 113 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 3; absent or not voting, 6; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Soik, Terry and Thompson—23.

Noes—Senators Dempsey, Knutson and Swan—3.

Absent or not voting—Senators Dorman, Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip, Schuele and Whittow—6.

So senate amendment 113 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

President pro tempore in the chair.

Upon motion of Senator LaFave, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 50 to senate substitute amendment 2 was returned to the author.

Upon motion of Senator Devitt, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 79 to senate substitute amendment 2 was returned to its authors.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 10; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 5; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

Ayes—Senators Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Knowles, Krueger, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber and Thompson—10.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Soik, Swan and Terry—17.

Absent or not voting—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip, Schuele and Whittow—5.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Keppler.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Cirilli, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Knowles, Krueger, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Thompson and Whittow—14.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Dempsey, Devitt, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Soik, Swan and Terry—14.

Absent or not voting—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip and Schuele—4.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Soik.

Senator McParland moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 2; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt,

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendzior-ski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Swan, Terry and Whittow—26.

Noes—Senators Soik and Thompson—2.

Absent or not voting—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip and Schuele—4.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Chilsen.

Senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amend-ment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, John-son, Knutson, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Swan and Terry—12.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hol-lander, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, McPar-land, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—16.

Absent or not voting—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip and Schuele—4.

So the amendment was not adopted.

Senator Terry raised a point of order as to senate amend-ment 117 to senate substitute amendment 2 being germane.

The chair took the point under advisement.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 118 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Swan.

Senator Heinzen moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 118 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Dorman raised the point of order senate amend-ment 1 to senate amendment 118 to senate substitute amend-ment 2 was not germane to the amendment.

The chair ruled the point not well taken.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

Upon motion of Senator Swan, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 118 to senate substitute amendment 2 was returned to the author.

Upon motion of Senator Soik, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 118 to senate substitute amendment 2 was returned to the author.

The chair ruled the point of order as to senate amendment 117 to senate substitute amendment 2 not being germane because it was the same as Senate Bill 491 was not well taken and that the amendment is germane.

Senate amendment 117 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 119 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 10 to senate substitute amendment 2 was placed after senate amendment 152 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Devitt moved to place senate amendments 121 and 122 to senate substitute amendment 2 before senate amendment 10 which is after senate amendment 152.

Senator Risser moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—28.

Absent—None.

Absent with leave—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip and Schuele—4.

Senator Chilsen raised a point of order that senate amendment 122 to senate substitute amendment 2 was not germane as it was identical to Senate Bill 277.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

The question was: Shall senate amendments 121 and 122 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed before senate amendment 10 to senate substitute amendment 2 which is after senate amendment 152?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 3; noes, 25; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Cirilli and Devitt—3.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Dempsey, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—25.

Absent or not voting—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip and Schuele—4.

So the senate refused to lay over senate amendment 121 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Dorman raised a point of order senate amendment 121 to senate substitute amendment 2 was not germane as it is identical to Assembly Bill 101.

The chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

Senator Schreiber moved rejection of senate amendment 121 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 121 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Lourigan, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Thompson and Whittow—11.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Dempsey, Draheim, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Soik, Swan and Terry—17.

Absent or not voting—Senators Lorge, Panzer, Roseleip and Schuele—4.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

The chair ruled senate amendment 122 to senate substitute amendment 2 was identical to Senate Bill 277 and therefore not germane.

Senator Krueger moved reconsideration of all actions of tonight's session whether adopted or rejected.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 17, 1969]

Senator McParland raised a point of order that the question may be divided.

The point was well taken.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, the senate adjourned until 9:00 o'clock A.M., Wednesday, June 18, 1969.

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

Senator Rasmusen introduced Mrs. Harvey Johnson and Miss Mary Graf, who are attending 4-H Club Week, from Shell Lake.

Senator Terry introduced the Ladies Aid and guests of St. John's Lutheran Church of Rock Spring.

Senator Knowles introduced members of group attending 4-H Club Congress from Pierce County and Buffalo County.

Senator Johnson introduced Mr. Norman Nelson and his 4 sons of Cadillac, Michigan.

CHIEF CLERK'S CORRECTION

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 92 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95**

On page 1, line 17, change "216k" to "216km".

Senate amendment 145 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95**

In line 7 substitute "(i) 1" for "(i) (1)".

Senate Bill 548

On page 5, line 24, substitute "administer" for "administrate".

CHIEF CLERK'S REPORT

The chief clerk records:

Senate Bill 65,

Senate Bill 70,

Senate Bill 76,

Senate Bill 123,

Senate Bill 134,

Senate Bill 144,

Senate Bill 186,

Senate Bill 192,

Senate Bill 256,

Senate Bill 257 and

Senate Bill 271.

Correctly enrolled and presented to the Governor on Monday, June 16, 1969.