

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Seventy-Ninth Session

WEDNESDAY, June 18, 1969.

9:00 o'clock A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by the president.

Prayer was offered by Reverend Andrew C. Davidson, Pastor, First Baptist Church, Madison.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—29.

Absent—Senators Draheim and Thompson—2.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

LEAVES OF ABSENCE

Senator Draheim was granted a leave of absence from today's session, upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent.

Senator Thompson was granted a leave of absence until 11:15 A.M. of this morning's session, upon motion of Senator Risser, with unanimous consent.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

INTRODUCTION OF AMENDMENTS

Senate amendment 153 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Schreiber.

Senate amendment 154 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Rasmusen and Cirilli.

Senate amendment 155 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Whittow and Cirilli.

Senate amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 463** offered by Senator Johnson.

Senate amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 575** offered by Senator Lorge.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Senate Bill 586

Relating to a tenure act for teachers in counties having a population of less than 500,000.

By Senator Schreiber, by request of Wisconsin Federation of Teachers.

Read first time.

To committee on Education.

Senate Bill 587

Relating to creating a task force on welfare payments and making an appropriation.

By Senators Lorge, Lotto, Johnson, Swan, Cirilli, LaFave, Devitt, Heinzen, Chilsen, Soik, Terry, Keppler and Knowles.

Read first time.

To committee on Public Welfare.

Senate Bill 588

Relating to estimating the gross weight of vehicles transporting pulpwood.

By Senators LaFave, Cirilli, Rasmusen, Krueger, Chilsen and Lorge; co-sponsored by Assemblymen Alfonsi, Hutnik, McDougal, LaFave and Grover.

Read first time.

To committee on Highways.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

RULING OF THE CHAIR

The chair ruled on Senator Schreiber's points of order raised on page 1086 of the senate journal.

On the first point the chair ruled that the motion on appeal is debatable even though the subject matter is not debatable and that the point was well taken.

On the second point of order the chair ruled that motions involving **Senate Bill 95** under the motion adopted in dealing with the budget bill, the two minute time limit is the rule and allowed to speak only once pursuant to **Senate Rule 8 (1)**.

Senate Joint Resolution 46

Upon motion of Senator Whittow, with unanimous consent, the resolution was withdrawn from the joint committee on Finance and referred to the committee on Public Welfare.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Senate Petition 377

A petition signed by 54 residents of the 8th Senatorial District favoring **Senate Bill 331** and opposing **Senate Bills 30, 56, and 226**, relating to contraceptive articles.

By Senator Busby.

To committee on Public Welfare.

Senate Petition 378

A petition by 17 residents of the 18th Senatorial District re family and moral standards of our community; requesting support of **Senate Bill 331** and opposing **Senate Bills 30, 56 and 226**.

By Senator Hollander.

To committee on Public Welfare.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

COMMITTEE REPORTS

The joint committee on Revisions, Repeals and Uniform Laws reports and recommends for introduction:

Senate Bill 589

To repeal, renumber, amend, create and revise various provisions of the statutes. (Revisor's Correction Bill.)

By joint committee on Revisions, Repeals and Uniform Laws.

Read first time.

To joint committee on Revisions, Repeals and Uniform Laws.

GORDON W. ROSELEIP,
Senate Chairman.
F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER,
Assembly Chairman.

The committee on Public Welfare reports and recommends for introduction:

Senate Bill 590

Relating to aid to the blind, aid to families with dependent children, old-age assistance and aid to totally and permanently disabled persons.

By committee on Public Welfare, by request of Department of Health and Social Services.

Read first time.

To committee on Public Welfare.

ARTHUR A. CIRILLI,
Chairman.

SPECIAL COMMITTEE REPORT

**REPORT OF JOINT SURVEY COMMITTEE ON
RETIREMENT SYSTEMS**

**APPENDIX TO SENATE BILL 522 AND TO SENATE
AMENDMENT 1 TO SENATE BILL 522**

Relating to certain clarifications and modifications of the state teachers retirement system, the Milwaukee teachers retirement fund and the Wisconsin retirement fund.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

Recommendation

The provisions in this bill will tend to equate the benefits of those members of the various state supported retirement systems within the state to more nearly reflect the comparable level of benefits enjoyed by the members of retirement systems in other states.

It is the opinion of this committee that passage of **Senate Bill 522**, as amended by senate amendment 1, is in the best public interest.

Respectfully submitted,

JOINT SURVEY COMMITTEE
ON RETIREMENT SYSTEMS

REUBEN LaFAVE,

Chairman.

MILTON McDOUGAL,

Vice-Chairman.

Read and referred to committee on Education.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS

The State of Wisconsin
Executive Office
Madison 53702

June 17, 1969.

To the Honorable, the Senate:

The following bills, originating in the senate, have been approved, signed and deposited in the office of the Secretary of State.

Senate Bill	Chapter No.	Date Approved
70 -----	78 -----	June 17, 1969
65 -----	79 -----	June 17, 1969
76 -----	80 -----	June 17, 1969
134 -----	81 -----	June 17, 1969
144 -----	82 -----	June 17, 1969
186 -----	83 -----	June 17, 1969
192 -----	84 -----	June 17, 1969

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

256 ----- 85 ----- June 17, 1969
257 ----- 86 ----- June 17, 1969

Respectfully submitted,

WARREN P. KNOWLES,
Governor.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Wilmer H. Struebing, Chief Clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has concurred in:

Senate Bill 78 and
Senate Bill 90.

SPECIAL ORDER

Senate Bill 95

To amend and revise chapter 20 of the statutes, and to make diverse other changes in the statutes relating to state finances and appropriations constituting the executive budget bill of the 1969 legislature, and making appropriations.

Senator Keppler moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 was refused adoption.

Senator Risser moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Louri-

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

gan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—29.

Absent with leave—Senators Draheim, Panzer and Thompson—3.

All members were present except those absent with leave.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 was refused adoption?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan and Terry—20.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Heinzen, Kendziorski, Knowles, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber and Whittow—9.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, Panzer and Thompson—3.

So the motion to reconsider prevailed.

Senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Hollander.

Senator McParland moved rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 116.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Risser, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan and Whittow—21.

Noes—Senators Hollander, Johnson, Knowles, Krueger, Lotto, Rasmusen, Schreiber and Terry—8.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, Panzer and Thompson—3.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Devitt.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

Senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendzior-ski, Knowles, Krueger, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Soik, Terry and Whittow—13.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Roseleip, Schuele and Swan—16.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, Panzer and Thompson—3.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Whittow.

Senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Dempsey, Devitt, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Roseleip, Schuele and Swan—16.

Noes—Senators Cirilli, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Knowles, Krueger, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Soik, Terry and Whittow—13.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, Panzer and Thompson—3.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senator Johnson moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 117 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 117 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 10; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Cirilli, Dempsey, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Lorge, Meunier, Roseleip, Swan and Terry—10.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik and Whittow—19.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, Panzer and Thompson—3.

So the motion to reconsider the vote did not prevail.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 121 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—16.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Kendziorski, Knowles, Lourigan, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele and Whittow—12.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, McParland, Panzer and Thompson—4.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senator Johnson moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 121 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 121 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 10; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Knowles, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele and Whittow—10.

Noes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—19.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, Panzer and Thompson—3.

So the motion to reconsider did not prevail.

Senator Hollander moved that senate amendment 123 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 152 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 123 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 152 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmussen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—22.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele and Whittow—7.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, Panzer and Thompson—3.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 124 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 124 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Rasmussen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Terry and Whittow—24.

Noes—Senators Dempsey, Knutson, McParland, Roseleip, Swan and Thompson—6.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim and Panzer—2.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

President pro tempore in the chair.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 125 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senators Soik and Schreiber.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 125 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 125 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Swan and Terry—16.

Noes—Senators Busby, Devitt, Dorman, Kendziorski, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—14.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim and Panzer—2.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 125 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Roseleip.

Senator Swan moved rejection of senate amendment 125 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 125 to senate substitute amendment 2 be rejected?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Swan and Terry—16.

Noes—Senators Busby, Devitt, Heinzen, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—13.

Absent or not voting—Senators Dorman, Draheim and Panzer—3.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senator Keppler asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 126 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 151 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Lorge raised a point of order as to senate amendment 126 being germane.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 127 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 127 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 6; noes, 24; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Dempsey, Hollander, Keppler, Knutson, Roseleip and Swan—6.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Johnson, Kendziorski, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—24.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim and Panzer—2.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senate amendment 127 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Lorge moved rejection of senate amendment 128 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Chilsen raised the point of order the amendment was not germane in that it was identical to **Senate Bill 416**.

The chair ruled the point not well taken in that **Senate Bill 416** had been withdrawn and was not before the senate.

Senator Chilsen renewed his point of order stating that if the amendment was introduced while **Senate Bill 416** was pending it would not be germane.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

Senator Johnson raised a point of order that senate amendment 129 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** was identical to **Senate Bill 228** and therefore not germane.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

Senator Roseleip raised a point of order that senate amendment 130 to senate substitute amendment 2 is identical to **Senate Bill 198**.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

Senator Hollander moved to place senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2 after senate amendment 152 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler the senate recessed until 1:30 P.M.

Upon motion of Senator LaFave, with unanimous consent, the senate returned to the 5th order of business.

Senate Bill 591

Relating to the elimination of inconsistencies, and the clarification and modification of various provisions pertaining to the Milwaukee teachers retirement fund.

By Senators LaFave and Busby.

Read first time.

To joint Survey committee on Retirement Systems.

Senate Bill 592

Relating to the public policy and governing of the state universities and the university of Wisconsin and providing penalties.

By joint committee to Study Disruptions at the University of Wisconsin.

Read first time.

To committee on Judiciary.

RECESS

1:30 P.M.

President pro tempore in the chair.

Senator Hollander renewed his motion to place senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2 after senate amendment 152 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Risser moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—28.

Absent—Senators LaFave and McParland—2.

Absent with leave—Senators Draheim and Panzer—2.

Upon motion of Senator Whittow, with unanimous consent, that part of Senate Rule 1 dealing with wearing of coats during the session, was suspended for the balance of the week.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 152 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik and Terry—18.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Johnson, Kendziorski, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—12.

Absent or not voting—Senators McParland and Panzer—2.

So the motion to lay over senate amendment 16 prevailed.

Senator Johnson moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 110 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

The senate voted to reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 110 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 110 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Heinzen, Hol-

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

lander, Knowles, LaFave, Lotto, Risser, Schreiber, Terry and Thompson—12.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dempsey, Dorman, Draheim, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan and Whittow—19.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the senate refused to reject the amendment.

Senate amendment 110 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

RULING OF THE CHAIR

Inasmuch as Senator Knowles was presiding and rendering decisions, he was excused, with unanimous consent, from voting on the appeals of his decisions.

The chair ruled senate amendment 103 to senate substitute amendment 2 was not germane.

Senator Roseleip appealed the ruling of the chair.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the judgment of the senate?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Lotto, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser and Terry—18.

Noes—Senators Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—12.

Absent or not voting—Senators Knowles and Panzer—2.

So the decision of the chair was sustained.

The chair ruled the point of order regarding senate amendment 126 to senate substitute amendment 2 was not well taken and the amendment is before the senate.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 126 to senate substitute amendment 2 was placed after senate amendment 151 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The chair ruled the point of order regarding senate amendment 128 to senate substitute amendment 2 not well taken and the amendment is before the senate.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 128 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator McParland moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 112 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected, and that the motion lay over.

So ordered.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 128 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 4; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Soik, Terry and Whittow—24.

Noes—Senators Cirilli, Kendziorski, Roseleip and Swan—4.

Absent or not voting—Senators Panzer, Schreiber, Schuele and Thompson—4.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, Senator Knutson was granted a leave of absence for the balance of today's session.

The chair ruled the point of order regarding senate amendment 129 to senate substitute amendment 2 was well taken and the amendment was not germane.

Senator Schreiber appealed the ruling of the chair.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the judgment of the senate?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schuele, Soik, Swan and Terry—23.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Lourigan, Roseleip, Schreiber, Thompson and Whittow—6.

Absent or not voting—Senators Knowles, Knutson and Panzer—3.

So the decision of the chair was sustained.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

The chair ruled the point of order regarding senate amendment 130 to senate substitute amendment 2 being similar to Senate Bill 198 was well taken.

Senator Schreiber appealed the decision of the chair.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the judgment of the senate?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—21.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—7.

Absent or not voting—Senators Knowles, Knutson, McParland and Panzer—4.

So the decision of the chair was sustained.

President of the senate in the chair.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 131 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Hollander.

Senator Lorge moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 131 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 131 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 5; noes, 25; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Cirilli, Draheim, Lorge, Swan and Terry—5.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—25.

Absent or not voting—Senators Knutson and Panzer—2.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 131 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

Senate amendment 131 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 132 to senate substitute amendment 2 be adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 4; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—26.

Noes—Senators Kendziorski, Knowles, Lotto and Lourigan—4.

Absent or not voting—Senators Knutson and Panzer—2.
So the amendment was adopted.

Senator LaFave moved to reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 132 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Upon motion of Senator Hollander, with unanimous consent, Senator LaFave's motion for reconsideration was laid over after senate amendment 133.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 133 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 133 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 8; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Knowles, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan and McParland—8.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Johnson, Keppler, Krueger, Lorge, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—21.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, Knutson and Panzer—3.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 133 to senate substitute amendment 2?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Johnson, Keppler, Krueger, Lorge, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—20.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendzior-ski, Knowles, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan and Meunier—9.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, Knutson and Panzer—3.

So the amendment was adopted.

The motion for reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 132 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted did not prevail.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 134 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amend-ment 134 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 9; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Dempsey, Dorman, Hollander, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip and Terry—9.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Heinzen, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan and Whittow—19.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, Knutson, Pan-zer and Thompson—4.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senate amendment 134 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 135 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Cirilli moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—28.

Absent—Senators Draheim and Krueger—2.

Absent with leave—Senators Knutson and Panzer—2.

Senator Keppler moved the call be raised.

The motion prevailed and the call was raised.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 135 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip and Terry—14.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dempsey, Dorman, Kendziorski, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—14.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, Knutson, LaFave and Panzer—4.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senator Lorge moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—29.

Absent—Senator Draheim—1.

Absent with leave—Senators Knutson and Panzer—2.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

Senator Keppler moved that the call of the senate be raised.

The motion prevailed and the call raised.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 135 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Dempsey, Dorman, Kendziorski, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—15.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen and Terry—14.

Absent or not voting—Senators Draheim, Knutson and Panzer—3.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 136 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Kendziorski in the chair.

QUORUM CALL

Senator Whittow called the attention of the chair to possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—27.

Absent—Senators LaFave, Rasmusen and Terry—3.

Absent with leave—Senators Knutson and Panzer—2.

So a quorum was present.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 136 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Keppler, Knowles, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Swan and Terry—15.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dorman, Johnson, Kendziorski, Krueger, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—15.

Absent or not voting—Senators Knutson and Panzer—2.

So the motion for rejection did not prevail.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler the senate recessed until 7:00 P.M.

RECESS

7:00 P.M.

President of the senate in the chair.

Senator McParland was granted a leave of absence from tonight's session, upon motion of Senator Lourigan, with unanimous consent.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed for a half hour.

RECESS

7:35 P.M.

President of the senate in the chair.

Senator Roseleip moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 135 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Risser moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—30.

Absent—None.

Absent with leave—Senators McParland and Panzer—2.

All senators were present, except those absent with leave.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 135 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik and Terry—21.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—9.

Absent or not voting—Senators McParland and Panzer—2.

So the motion to reconsider prevailed.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 135 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 135 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

Senator Risser moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 135 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 135 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 9; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—9.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Ras-

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

musen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—21.

Absent or not voting—Senators McParland and Panzer—2.

So the motion to reconsider did not prevail.

Senator Hollander moved reconsideration of the vote by which rejection of senate amendment 136 to senate substitute amendment 2 was refused.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—21.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—9.

Absent or not voting—Senators McParland and Panzer—2.

So the motion for reconsideration prevailed.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 136 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Swan and Terry—17.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dorman, Johnson, Kendziorski, Knutson, Lorge, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—13.

Absent or not voting—Senators McParland and Panzer—2.

So the amendment was rejected.

Senator Keppler moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 136 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 136 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Dorman, Kendziorski, Lorge, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—11.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Swan and Terry—19.

Absent or not voting—Senators McParland and Panzer—2.

So the motion for reconsideration did not prevail.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 137 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Johnson raised the point of order that the amendment was similar to Senate Bill 515 and therefore out of order.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 138 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 138 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—21.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—8.

Absent or not voting—Senators Krueger, McParland and Panzer—3.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

The chair ruled on Senator Johnson's point of order that senate amendment 137 to senate substitute amendment 2 was identical to Senate Bill 515 and therefore not germane under Senate Rule 52.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 139 to senate substitute amendment 2.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

Upon motion of Senator Keppler the senate recessed for 15 minutes.

RECESS

8:42 P.M.

President of the senate in the chair.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 139 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Risser moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—30.

Absent—None.

Absent with leave—Senators McParland and Panzer—2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 139 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 5; noes, 26; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Knowles and Lotto—5.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—26.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

Senate amendment 139 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator McParland moved that the senate hold no more night sessions.

The question was: Shall the senate continue to have night sessions?

Upon motion of Senator Risser, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed for 10 minutes.

RECESS

9:20 P.M.

President of the senate in the chair.

Senate amendment 156 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Lorge.

Senate amendment 157 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Heinzen.

Senate amendment 158 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Busby and LaFave.

Senate amendment 159 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senators Chilsen, Hollander, Meunier, Knowles and Rasmusen.

Senate amendment 160 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator LaFave.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 10:00 P.M.

RECESS

10:00 P.M.

President pro tempore in the chair.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler the senate adjourned until 9:00 o'clock A.M., June 19, 1969.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 18, 1969]

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

Senator Kendziorski introduced Mrs. Millie Groen and her granddaughter, Renee, of Milwaukee.

Senator LaFave introduced Miss Donna Kekula, a representative of the 4-H convention, from Antigo.

Senator Risser introduced Mr. Thomas Davidson, son of Rev. Andrew Davidson, of Madison.

Senator Lorge introduced 30 members of the Wild Grove 4-H Club, and their leader, Mrs. Doris Woldt, from Seymour.

Senator Terry introduced his wife, Mrs. Walter Terry, and his daughter, Bridget Terry. Also introduced were Mrs. John Malone and Mrs. Joan Geohegan, from Baraboo, and Mayor Erdmond Smith of New Lisbon.

Senator Swan introduced members of the 4-H Club Congress, from Prairie du Chien.

CHIEF CLERK'S CORRECTION

Senate Bill 518

On page 5, line 25, after "221.31" insert "(1)".

On page 5, line 25, substitute "statutes" for "stautes".

CHIEF CLERK'S REPORT

The Chief Clerk records:

Senate Bill 420

Correctly enrolled and presented to the Governor on Wednesday, June 18, 1969.