

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Seventy-Ninth Session

THURSDAY, June 19, 1969.

9:00 o'clock A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by the president of the senate.

Prayer was offered by Rev. Vernon T. Smith, Assoc. Pastor, Christ United Presbyterian Church, Madison.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whitow—31.

Absent—None.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

INTRODUCTION OF AMENDMENTS

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senator LaFave.

Senate amendment 161 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senator Hollander.

Senate amendment 2 to Senate Bill 525 offered by Senator Lotto.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

RESOLUTIONS

Senate Joint Resolution 47

Relating to a study of the per diems and necessary expenses of examining board members in the department of regulation and licensing.

Upon motion of Senator Knowles, with unanimous consent, the resolution was withdrawn from the joint committee on Finance and returned to the author.

Senate Joint Resolution 67

A joint resolution relating to a study of the per diems and necessary expenses received by citizen members of state bodies.

By Senator Knowles.

Read.

To joint committee on Finance.

Senate Joint Resolution 68

A joint resolution memorializing the Congress of the United States to establish a national cemetery in each state.

By Senator Roseleip.

Read.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Senate Bill 593

Relating to an appropriation to the building commission for the acquisition of privately held Bong lands and an interim study of the best public use for such lands.

By Senators Devitt, LaFave and Krueger; co-sponsored by Assemblymen Hutnik, Alfonsi and Froehlich.

Read first time.

To joint committee on Finance.

Senate Bill 594

Relating to workmen's compensation for national guard members on state duty.

By Senators Lorge and Johnson.

Read first time.

To committee on Labor, Taxation, Insurance and Banking.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

Senate Bill 595

Relating to purchase of rifles and shotguns in contiguous states.

By Senator Roseleip.

Read first time.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

Senate Bill 596

An act to appropriate \$11,350 for payment of a claim against the state by Scott Travis for medical expense.

By Senator Roseleip.

Read first time.

To joint committee on Finance.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Senate Petition 379

A petition by 166 Wisconsin women opposing the 65 foot trucks.

By Senator Kendziorski.

To committee on Highways.

Senate Petition 380

A petition by 28 residents of the 7th Senatorial District supporting Senate Bill 331 and opposing Senate Bills 30, 56 and 226.

By Senator McParland.

To committee on Public Welfare.

Senate Petition 381

A petition by 28 teachers of Milwaukee and Waukesha Counties urging support of Senate Bill 259 relating to notification to teachers concerning renewal of contracts.

By Senator Devitt.

To committee on Education.

Senate Petition 382

A petition by 87 citizens of the City of Eau Claire in opposition to Assembly Bill 507, relating to authorizing school districts to set rules on conduct and dress of pupils.

By Senator Johnson.

To committee on Education.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

Senate Petition 383

A petition by 2000 citizens of Northern Wisconsin requesting the Wisconsin Legislature to initiate a program to retain and expand the state ownership of wild-land in northern Wisconsin to be left in its natural state and to encourage counties to retain their wilderness areas from further encroachment.

By Senator Rasmusen.
To committee on Conservation.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS

The State of Wisconsin
Executive Office
Madison 53702

June 18, 1969.

To the Honorable, the Senate:

The following bills, originating in the senate, have been approved, signed and deposited in the office of the Secretary of State.

Senate Bill	Chapter No.	Date Approved
271 -----	93 -----	June 18, 1969
420 -----	92 -----	June 18, 1969

Respectfully submitted,

WARREN P. KNOWLES,
Governor.

June 19, 1969.

To the Honorable, the Senate:

The following bill, originating in the senate, has been approved, signed and deposited in the office of the Secretary of State.

Senate Bill	Chapter No.	Date Approved
123 -----	94 -----	June 19, 1969

Respectfully submitted,

WARREN P. KNOWLES,
Governor.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Wilmer H. Struebing, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has passed and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Bill 348,	Assembly Bill 759,
Assembly Bill 400,	Assembly Bill 815 and
Assembly Bill 466,	Assembly Bill 857.

Amended and concurred in as amended:

Senate Bill 7 (Assembly amendments 1 and 2, pending)

Senate Bill 104 (Assembly amendment 1, pending)

Senate Bill 188 (Assembly amendment 1, pending)

Concurred in:

Senate Bill 115,	Senate Bill 329,
Senate Bill 167,	Senate Bill 339 and
Senate Bill 295,	Senate Bill 375.
Senate Bill 302,	

ASSEMBLY MESSAGE CONSIDERED

Assembly Bill 348

Relating to state income tax appeals.

Read first time.

To committee on Labor, Taxation, Insurance and Banking.

Assembly Bill 400

Relating to a uniform ID card for proving age, providing penalties and making an appropriation.

Read first time.

To committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

Assembly Bill 466

Relating to family court commissioners' appointments with or without permission to practice law privately.

Read first time.

To committee on Judiciary.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

Assembly Bill 759

Relating to changing membership of the natural beauty council.

Read first time.

To committee on Conservation.

Assembly Bill 815

Relating to permitting registration of municipal bond interest.

Read first time.

To committee on Labor, Taxation, Insurance and Banking.

Assembly Bill 857

Relating to basis for merit raises.

Read first time.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Read a second time.

The bill was ordered to a third reading.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Assembly Bill 857

Was read a third time.

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 857 be concurred in?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 29; noes, 2; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendzior-ski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—29.

Noes—Senators Knutson and Swan—2.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the bill was concurred in.

Senate action ordered immediately messaged to the assembly.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

Senate Bill 7
To calendar.

Senate Bill 104
To calendar.

Senate Bill 188
To calendar.

SPECIAL ORDER

Senate Bill 95

Relating to state finances and appropriations constituting the executive budget bill of the 1969 legislature, and making appropriations.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 140 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Dorman raised a point of order as to germaneness as **Senate Bill 169** is substantially the same.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

Upon motion of Senator Devitt, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 141 to senate substitute amendment 2 was laid aside.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 142 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 142 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 143 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Upon motion of Senator Schreiber, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 143 to senate substitute amendment 2 was placed after senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 144 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 145 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 145 to senate substitute amendment 2?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Keppler, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—21.

Noes—Senators Dempsey, Draheim, Johnson, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto and Swan—10.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 146 to senate substitute amendment 2.

President pro tempore in the chair.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 146 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Rasmusen, Schreiber, Schuele and Terry—22.

Noes—Senators Knutson, Lorge, Risser, Roseleip, Soik, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—8.

Absent or not voting—Senators McParland and Panzer—2.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 147 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 147 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip and Terry—19.

Noes—Senators Busby, Devitt, Dorman, Kendziorski,

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Thompson and Whit-
tow—11.

Absent or not voting—Senators McParland and Panzer
—2.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 148 to senate
substitute amendment 2 offered by Senators Hollander, Dra-
heim and Lorge.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 148 to senate
substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 148 to senate
substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Swan.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 2
to senate amendment 148 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Upon motion of Senator Chilsen the senate recessed for
five minutes.

RECESS

10:50 A.M.

President of the senate in the chair.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 148 to senate
substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

Senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 148
to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senator Johnson.

Upon motion of Senator Johnson, with unanimous con-
sent, senate amendment 148 to senate substitute amendment
2 was laid aside.

Senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 149
to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senator Whittow.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 149 to senate
substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Swan moved rejection of senate amendment 149
to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amend-
ment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Soik moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—29.

Absent—Senators Heinzen and LaFave—2.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, the call was raised.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Cirilli, Dempsey, Johnson, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Roseleip, Swan and Terry—12.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Lorge, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—19.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senator Keppler moved the senate adjourn until 1:00 P.M.

RECESS

1:00 P.M.

President of the senate in the chair.

The chair ruled the point of order raised by Senator Dorman in regard to senate amendment 140 to senate substitute amendment 2 being substantially the same as Senate Bill 169, was not well taken.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

Senator Swan raised the question of germaneness as to senate amendment 152 to senate substitute amendment 2 being substantially the same as Senate Bill 222.

The chair took the matter under advisement.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 140 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 5; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Lotto, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Schreiber, Soik and Whittow—14.

Noes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Dorman, Kendziorski, Krueger, Lorge, Lourigan, Risser, Schuele, Swan, Terry and Thompson—13.

Absent or not voting—Senators Devitt, Knutson, LaFave, Panzer and Roseleip—5.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2 was laid aside.

Upon motion of Senator Schreiber, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 143 to senate substitute amendment 2 was laid aside.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 150 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Cirilli moved to table the amendment.

Senator Risser moved a call of the senate.

Senator Cirilli withdrew his motion to table the amendment.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 150 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Dorman, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Lotto, Lourigan, Rasmusen, Risser, Soik, Terry and Whittow—17.

Noes—Senators Devitt, Draheim, Johnson, Krueger,

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

Lorge, McParland, Meunier, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Swan and Thompson—12.

Absent or not voting—Senators Knutson, LaFave and Panzer—3.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senator Schreiber raised a point of order that senate substitute amendment 2 contains language similar to language in senate substitute amendment 1 and therefore raised the question of germaneness.

The chair ruled the point not well taken.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 151 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 151 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Dempsey, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Knutson, Lotto, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—11.

Noes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber and Schuele—17.

Absent or not voting—Senators LaFave, Panzer, Rasmussen and Thompson—4.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 151 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Whittow.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 151 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The senate refused to adopt senate amendment 151 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Upon motion of Senator Chilsen, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed for 15 minutes.

RECESS

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

2:40 P.M.

President of the senate in the chair.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 126 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Draheim.

Senator Whittow raised the point of order the amendment was not germane in that it is substantially the same as senate amendment 63 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

Senator Schuele moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 126 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Whittow moved rejection of the amendment.

Upon motion of Senator Whittow, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 126 to senate substitute amendment 2 was placed after senate amendment 158.

The chair ruled senate amendment 152 to senate substitute amendment 2 was not germane and therefore not properly before the senate in as much as it was identical to **Senate Bill 222**.

Senator Risser appealed the ruling of the chair.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the judgment of the senate?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, K e n d z i o r s k i, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Soik, Swan and Terry—20.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Draheim, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—11.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the decision of the chair was sustained.

Senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 149 to **Senate Bill 95** offered by Senator Hollander.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

Upon motion of Senator Risser, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2 was laid aside.

Senator Cirilli moved reconsideration of the vote by which the senate refused to adopt senate amendment 151 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which the senate refused to adopt senate amendment 151 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—21.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Dempsey, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Knutson, Lotto, Rasmusen and Terry—9.

Absent or not voting—Senators LaFave and Panzer—2.

So the motion to reconsider prevailed.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 151 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik and Thompson—20.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Dempsey, Heinzen, Kendziorski, Knutson, Lorge, Rasmusen, Swan, Terry and Whittow—10.

Absent or not voting—Senators LaFave and Panzer—2.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senator Schreiber moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 140 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

Senator Lorge moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—29.

Absent—Senators LaFave and Terry—2.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

Upon motion of Senator Hollander, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 10 to senate substitute amendment 2 was placed after senate amendment 162 to senate substitute amendment 2.

All members of the senate being present except those on leave:

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 140 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Johnson, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, Risser, Roseleip, Schuele, Swan, Terry and Thompson—14.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, Lotto, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Schreiber, Soik and Whittow—17.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reconsider the vote did not prevail.

Upon motion of Senator Soik, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2 was considered at this time.

Senator Johnson moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Swan moved rejection of senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

Upon motion of Senator LaFave, the senate recessed for 10 minutes.

RECESS

4:20 P.M.

President in the chair.

Senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senators Lorge and LaFave.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2 was laid aside.

Upon motion of Senator Schreiber, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 143 to senate substitute amendment 2 was laid aside.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 153 to senate substitute amendment 2.

President pro tempore in the chair.

Senator Kendziorski moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—31.

Absent—None.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

All members of the senate being present except those on leave:

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 153 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmussen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—21.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—10.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senate amendment 162 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senators LaFave, Roseleip, Whittow and Krueger.

Senate amendment 163 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senators Devitt and LaFave.

Senate amendment 164 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senators Devitt, Swan and LaFave.

Upon motion of Senator Hollander, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2 was placed with senate amendment 10 after senate amendment 162 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Upon motion of Senator Chilsen, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 7:00 P.M.

RECESS

7:00 P.M.

President pro tempore in the chair.

QUORUM CALL

Senator Risser suggested the possible lack of a quorum.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Thompson—28.

Absent—Senators Dorman, Schreiber and Whittow—3.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

Senator Lorge asked unanimous consent for a leave of absence for Senators Dorman, Schreiber and Whittow.

Senator Risser objected.

Senator Keppler moved to place senate amendments 154 and 157 to senate substitute amendment 2 after senate amendment 163 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Risser moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Thompson—28.

Absent—Senators Dorman, Schreiber and Whittow—3.

Absent with leave—Senator Panzer—1.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, the call was raised.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed for one-half hour.

RECESS

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

7:45 P.M.

President pro tempore in the chair.

Senate amendment 155 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 156 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The motion to reject did not prevail.

Senate amendment 156 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Upon motion of Senator Soik, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2 was considered at this time.

Upon motion of Senator Hollander, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2 was withdrawn.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Heinen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Lotto, McParland, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—18.

Noes—Senators Cirilli, Dempsey, Draheim, Johnson, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, Meunier, Roseleip, Swan and Terry—13.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 149 to Senate Bill 95 offered by Senator Hollander.

Senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Johnson moved rejection of senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 7; noes, 24; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Cirilli, Dempsey, Johnson, Knutson, Roseleip, Swan and Terry—7.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—24.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Schreiber moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

The motion for reconsideration did not prevail.

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 143 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Upon motion of Senator Schreiber, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 143 to senate substitute amendment 2 was withdrawn.

Upon motion of Senator Hollander, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 123 to senate substitute amendment 2 was placed after senate amendment 163 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Draheim moved rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 148 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 148 to senate substitute amendment 2 was rejected.

Senate amendment 148 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 158 to senate substitute amendment 2.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 158 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, Lotto, Risser, Schreiber, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—15.

Noes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Schuele and Soik—16.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject did not prevail.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 158 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Thompson.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 158 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 158 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Whittow.

Senator Busby moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 158 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 158 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Lourigan, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik and Terry—21.

Noes—Senators Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Knowles, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—10.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

The question was: Shall the senate adopt senate amendment 158 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Dor-

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

man, Draheim, Johnson, Knutson, LaFave, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Roseleip, Schuele and Soik—15.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendzior-ski, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, Lorge, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Swan, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—16.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the senate refused to adopt the amendment.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 126 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Whittow moved rejection of senate amendment 126 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amend-ment 126 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Hollander, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Knutson, Lorge, Lotto, Mc-Parland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Schuele, Soik, Swan, Terry and Whittow—19.

Noes—Senators Busby, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lourigan, Risser, Schreiber and Thompson—12.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Senator LaFave moved rejection of senate amendment 159 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amend-ment 159 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Kendziorski, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Lourigan, McPar-land, Meunier, Risser, Roseleip, Schuele, Swan, Thompson and Whittow—18.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Dempsey, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, Lotto, Rasmusen, Schreiber, Soik and Terry—13.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

The president of the senate in the chair.

The question was: Shall the senate reconsider the vote by which it rejected senate amendment 112 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 9; noes, 22; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Dempsey, Johnson, Keppler, Knutson, LaFave, Lorge, Roseleip, Swan and Terry—9.

Noes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Kendziorski, Knowles, Krueger, Lotto, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Rasmusen, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—22.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reconsider did not prevail.

Senate amendment 160 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senate amendment 161 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Senator Keppler moved to lay senate amendment 162 to senate substitute amendment 2 on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 162 to senate substitute amendment 2 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Cirilli, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Schuele, Soik, Swan and Terry—16.

Noes—Senators Busby, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Kendziorski, Krueger, LaFave, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Thompson and Whittow—15.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to table the amendment prevailed.

Senator Risser moved for a five minute recess.

The question was: Shall the senate recess for five minutes?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Chilsen, Dempsey, Devitt, Dorman, Draheim, Kendziorski, Knutson, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Thompson and Whittow—17.

Noes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Swan and Terry—14.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to recess prevailed.

RECESS

10:10 P.M.

President of the senate in the chair.

Senator Johnson moved rejection of senate amendment 10 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 10 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Devitt, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Roseleip, Soik, Swan and Terry—22.

Noes—Senators Dorman, Kendziorski, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—9.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2 was placed after senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 163 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

Senator Hollander moved rejection of senate amendment 123 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Shall the senate reject senate amendment 123 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Chilsen, Cirilli, Dempsey, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Johnson, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lotto, Meunier, Rasmusen, Soik, Swan and Terry—19.

Noes—Senators Devitt, Dorman, Kendziorski, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Risser, Roseleip, Schreiber, Schuele, Thompson and Whittow—12.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion to reject prevailed.

Upon motion of Senator Devitt, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 141 to senate substitute amendment 2 was withdrawn.

Upon motion of Senator Hollander, with unanimous consent, the senate returned to the 7th order of business.

COMMITTEE REPORT

The joint committee on Finance reports and recommends:
Senate Bill 124

Relating to the use of pesticides, creating a pesticide review board, and granting rule-making power.

Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2; Ayes, 8; Noes, 6; adoption of senate substitute amendment 2 as amended; Ayes, 10; Noes, 4; emergency statement adopted; Ayes, 9; Noes, 5 and passage as amended and with emergency statement; Ayes, 10; Noes, 4.

WALTER G. HOLLANDER,
Chairman.

Senator Keppler moved to adjourn until 9:00 A.M. June 20th.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

The question was: Shall the senate adjourn until 9:00 A.M. June 20th?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes—Senators Busby, Cirilli, Dempsey, Draheim, Heinzen, Hollander, Knowles, Knutson, LaFave, Lotto, Rasmusen, Roseleip and Swan—13.

Noes—Senators Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Johnson, Kendziorski, Keppler, Krueger, Lorge, Lourigan, McParland, Meunier, Risser, Schreiber, Schuele, Soik, Terry, Thompson and Whittow—18.

Absent or not voting—Senator Panzer—1.

So the motion did not prevail.

Upon motion of Senator LaFave, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2 was considered at this time.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2 was adopted.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler, with unanimous consent, the senate adjourned in honor of the birthday of Jackie Adamson, secretary to Senator Risser.

Upon motion of Senator Keppler the senate adjourned until June 20th at 9:00 A.M.

INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

Senator Rasmusen introduced Mr. and Mrs. Leon Johnson and their daughter, Naila, from Spooner.

Senator Cirilli introduced members of the Douglas County Parkland Pircones 4-H Club, and their leader, Mrs. Herbert Udeen, of South Range.

Senator Lotto introduced 48 members of the Kennedy 4-H Club, together with their leaders, from Chilton.

Senator Krueger introduced Miss Jacqueline Rominsky and Miss Judith Krause, of Rhinelander.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 19, 1969]

CHIEF CLERK'S CORRECTION

Senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95

In line 3, substitute "30z" for "30p".

Senate amendment 117 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95

On page 4, in line 4, substitute "134, after line 4" for "133, after line 21".

Senate amendment 121 to senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 95

In lines 9 and 10, substitute "134mr" and "134ms" for "134r" and "134s", respectively.