## STATE OF WISCONSIN

# **Assembly Journal**

## Eightieth Regular Session

TUESDAY, October 5, 1971.

10:00 A.M.

The assembly met.

The speaker in the chair.

The prayer was offered by Reverend Richard Corning, pastor of Advent Christian Church, 3529 Margaret Street, Madison, Wisconsin.

Representative Stack led the membership in reciting the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States.

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Present-Alberts, Anderson, Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Brown, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Czerwinski, Day, Dorff, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Gaulke, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Hanson, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackamonis, Jackson, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kessler, Kleczka, Klicka, Korpela, La-Fave, Lewison, Menos, Looby, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Mato, Merkel, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Pabst, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Sicula, Slaby, Stack, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tobiasz, Tregoning, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wahner, Wilcox, Wilger, Willkom and Mr. Speaker ---98.

Absent with leave—Conta and Froehlich—2.

#### AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 278 offered by Representative Oestreicher.

Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 1296 offered by Representative Hephner.

Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 1357 offered by Representative Baldus.

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 1010 offered by Representative Merkel.

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 548 offered by Representative Czerwinski.

Assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 1104 offered by Representative Czerwinski.

Assembly substitute amendment 2 to Assembly Bill 1104 offered by Representative Czerwinski.

Assembly amendment 2 to Assembly Bill 805 offered by Representative Schneider.

## INTRODUCTION AND REFERENCE OF RESOLUTIONS

Read and referred:

#### **Assembly Joint Resolution 119**

Directing the legislative council to study the costs of providing transportation for any student residing between one and 2 miles from the school he attends.

By Representative Swoboda, by request of Mr. Carl Pagel. To committee on Education.

#### INTRODUCTION AND REFERENCE OF BILLS

Read first time and referred:

#### Assembly Bill 1379

Relating to definition of "highway" and "private road or driveway".

By Representative Merkel.

To committee on Highways.

#### Assembly Bill 1380

Relating to redistricting this state pursuant to the congressional apportionment based on the 1970 census of population.

By Representative Hanson.

To committee on Elections.

#### Assembly Bill 1381

Relating to licensing grain moisture testers.

By Representatives Mielke, G. K. Johnson and Willkom. To committee on Agriculture.

#### Assembly Bill 1382

Relating to requiring the department of natural resources to approve or disapprove plans for water and sewage systems or sewage and refuse disposal plants within 15 days.

By Representative Swoboda, by request of Mr. Emery Oleson and Mr. Bud Smit.

To committee on Natural Resources.

## Assembly Bill 1383

Relating to creation of a committee to study certain requirements for septic tank soil absorption systems and to recommend any necessary changes to the administrative code.

By Representative Swoboda, by request of Mr. Fred Wickman and Mr. Jim Newman.

To committee on Natural Resources.

## Assembly Bill 1384

Relating to exempting lands beneath public utility lines from general property taxes.

By Representative Swoboda, by request of Mr. Norbert Johnson.

To joint Survey committee on Tax Exemptions.

#### Assembly Bill 1385

To appropriate \$3,500 from the general fund for equipping a fire-fighting unit on Washington Island.

By Representative Swoboda.

To joint committee on Finance.

#### Assembly Bill 1386

Relating to the term of court for the eighth judicial circuit.

By Representative Early; co-sponsored by Senator Knowles, by request of Judical Council.

To committee on Judiciary.

#### Assembly Bill 1387

Relating to medical assistance by means of prepaid health care plans.

By Representative Czerwinski, by request of Governor Patrick J. Lucey.

To committee on Health and Social Services.

#### Assembly Bill 1388

Appropriating \$50,000 to the Wisconsin National High Schol Rodeo Finals Association, Inc. for assistance in holding the 1974 national high school rodeo finals in Wisconsin.

By Representatives Duren, Quackenbush, Mato, O'Malley, Oberle and Day; co-sponsored by Senators Roseleip and McKenna, by request of the Wisconsin National High School Rodeo Association.

To joint committee on Finance.

## Assembly Bill 1389

Relating to exempting from the sales tax fuel converted to steam for resale.

By Representatives Dueholm and Schricker.

To joint Survey committee on Tax Exemptions.

#### **PETITIONS**

## **Assembly Petition 294**

Introduced by Representative LaFave.

To committee on Natural Resources.

#### Assembly Petition 295

Introduced by Representative Mittness. To committee on Natural Resources.

#### MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

By William P. Nugent, chief clerk.

#### Mr. Speaker:

I am directed to inform you that the senate has passed and asks concurrence in:

Senate Bill 173 and Senate Bill 414.

#### Concurred in as amended:

Assembly Joint Resolution 9 (senate a mendment 1 adopted).

#### ACTION ON THE SENATE MESSAGE

#### Senate Bill 173

Relating to aid to mothers of dependent children.

By Senators Soik, Cirilli, Hollander, Steinhilber, Devitt, Lorge, Schuele, Whittow, Swan, Parys, Martin, Frank, Heinzen, Bidwell, Roseleip, Keppler, Johnson and Chilsen; co-sponsored by Representative Helgeson.

To committee on Health and Social Services.

#### Senate Bill 414

Relating to prohibiting shining of wild animals. By Senators Heinzen, Johnson and Chilsen.

To committee on Natural Resources.

#### Assembly Joint Resolution 9

Authorizing the use of the assembly and senate chambers and caucus rooms by the Wisconsin Young Democrats and Young Republicans on February 26 and 27, 1971, for a model legislative session.

By Representative Anderson.

To calendar.

#### MOTION UNDER JOINT RULE 26

The State of Wisconsin \* \* \* Citation by the Legislature Know you by these presents:

Whereas, Ray R. Simpson entered the electrical instruments business in 1906 and has, in the course of over 60 years of active business life, paid the strictest attention to his products, customers, employes and friends; and

Whereas, in 1946, Mr. Simpson, founder of the Simpson Electric Company, ended his search for a solid and capable labor force, choosing Lac du Flambeau as the site of his branch plant; and

Whereas, the past 25 years of operations in Lac du Flambeau have vindicated the wisdom of Mr. Simpson's choice as well as his wholesome business philosophy; now, therefore,

The Members of the Wisconsin Legislature, on the motion of Representative Gaulke, under Joint Rule 26, take this opportunity to congratulate the Simpson Electric Company on this its 25th anniversary of Wisconsin operations, and to commend its founder, Ray R. Simpson, for his contributions to the Lac du Flambeau area.

The question was: Adoption? Motion carried.

#### MOTION UNDER JOINT RULE 26

The State of Wisconsin \* \* \* Citation by the Legislature Know you by these presents:

Whereas, The Oconto County Reporter will on October 28, 1971 complete its 100 year of reporting the news and history of Oconto County; now, therefore,

The Members of the Wisconsin Legislature, on the motion of Representative McDougal and Senator LaFave, under Joint Rule 26, wishes to congratulate and commend this fine paper on the outstandingly fine job it has done through the years in bringing to the people of Oconto County first

hand information and news of events both in the immediate area and our great State of Wisconsin in general.

The question was: Adoption? Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that the assembly's action on the motion be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

#### MOTION UNDER JOINT RULE 26

The State of Wisconsin \* \* \* Citation by the Legislature Know you by these presents:

Whereas, in 1921 James Murphy started the Murphy Products Company in Burlington; and

Whereas, through the vigorous efforts of its founder, the Murphy Products Company, manufacturers of livestock and poultry feeds, concentrates and specialties and its Murpac division, manufacturers of electric generators and animal vacuum cleaners, have grown and prospered, employing nearly 150 persons in their present Wisconsin operations; now, therefore.

The Members of the Wisconsin Legislature, on the motion of Representative Stalbaum and Senator Devitt, under Joint Rule 26, take this opportunity to congratulate the Murphy Products Company on this its 50th anniversary, and to commend its founder, James Murphy, for his contributions to business and agriculture in this state.

The question was: Adoption?
Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that the assembly's action on the motion be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

#### MOTIONS

#### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent for a leave of absence for today's sesison for Representative Conts. Granted.

Representative Shabaz asked unanimous consent for a leave of absence for today's session for Representative Froehlich. Granted.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Senate Bill 213 be withdrawn from the calendar of October 1 and be taken up at this time.

Representative Helgeson objected.

Representative Anderson moved that Senate Bill 213 be withdrawn from the calendar of October 1 and be taken up at this time.

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that Senate Bill 213 be withdrawn from the calendar of October 1 and be taken up at this time?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson, Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Boeckmann, Bolle, Brown. Bultman, Czerwinski, Day, Dorff, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Gaulke, Giese, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Hanson, Hephner, Jackamonis, Jackson, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Kleczka, Klicka, Korpela, Menos, Looby, Lynn, McCormick, McEssy, Mato, Merkel, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Pabst, Robertson, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Sensenbrenner, Sicula, Slaby, Stack, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Wahner, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—75.

Noes—Alberts, Azim, Bradley, Byers, Conradt, Greider, Helgeson, Kafka, LaFave, Lewison, Luckhardt, McDougal, Quackenbush, Quinn, Schwefel, Shabaz, Stalbaum, Thompson T. G., Wackett, Wilcox and Wilger—21.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich, Nager and Tregoning—4.

Motion carried.

Representative Tregoning asked unanimous consent to be recorded as voting "No". Granted.

Representative Shabaz moved that Senate Bill 213 be nonconcurred in.

Representaive Helgeson moved the rules be suspended that Senate Bill 213 be made a special order of business at 4:00 P.M., Tuesday, January 18, 1972.

Representative Helgeson asked unanimous consent to make a statement. Granted.

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that Senate Bill 213 be made a special order of business at 4:00 P.M., Tuesday, January 18, 1972?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alberts, Atkinson, Azim, Byers, Conradt, Ellis, Giese, Greider, Guiles, Helgeson, Kafka, Klicka, LaFave, Lewison, Luckhardt, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Miller, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Schowalter, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Slaby, Stalbaum, Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Wackett, Wilcox and Wilger—34.

Noes—Anderson, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Brown, Czerwinski, Day, Dorff, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Everson, Ferrall, Gaulke, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hanson, Hephner, Jackamonis, Jackson, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Kleczka, Korpela, Menos, Looby, Lynn, McCormick, Mato, Mielke, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Pabst, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Schricker, Sicula, Stack, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Wahner, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—63.

Absent or not voting—Bultman, Conta and Froehlich—3. Motion failed.

The question was: Shall the bill be nonconcurred in? The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alberts, Atkinson, Azim, Bradley, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Dorff, Ellis, Giese, Greider, Guiles, Helgeson, Hephner, Kafka, Klicka, LaFave, Lewison, Luckhardt, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Miller, Molinaro, Nager, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Slaby, Stalbaum, Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Wackett, Wilcox and Wilger—41.

Noes—Anderson, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Boeckmann, Bolle, Brown, Czerwinski, Day, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Everson, Gaulke, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hanson, Jackamonis, Jackson, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Kleczka, Korpela, Menos, Looby, Lynn, McCormick, Mato, Mielke, Mittness, Mohn, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Pabst, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Sicula, Stack, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Wahner, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—56.

Absent or not voting-Froehlich-1.

Paired—Ferrall for nonconcurrence, Conta against non-concurrence—2.

Motion failed.

The question was: This bill having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Boeckmann, Bolle, Brown, Czerwinski, Day, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Everson, Gaulke, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hanson, Jackamonis, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Kleczka, Korpela, Menos, Looby, Lynn, McCormick, Mato, Mielke, Mittness, Mohn, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Pabst, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Sicula, Stack, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Wahner, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—55.

Noes—Alberts, Atkinson, Azim, Bradley, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Dorff, Ellis, Giese, Greider, Guiles, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackson, Kafka, Klicka, LaFave, Lewison, Luckhardt, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Miller, Molinaro, Nager, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Slaby, Stalbaum, Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Wackett, Wilcox and Wilger—42.

Absent or not voting—Froehlich—1.

Paired—Conta for concurrence, Ferrall against concurrence—2.

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that the assembly's action on Senate Bill 213 be immediately messaged to the senate.

Representative Helgeson objected.

Representative Anderson moved that the rules be suspended and that the assembly's action on Senate Bill 213 be immediately messaged to the senate.

Representative Sanasarian asked unanimous consent that the record be expunged on messaging Senate Bill 213.

Representative Sensenbrenner objected.

Representative McEssy moved that the rules be suspended that the record be expunged on messaging Senate Bill 213.

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that the record be expunged on messaging Senate Bill 213?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alberts, Anderson, Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Brown, Bultman, Conradt, Czerwinski, Day, Dorff, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Gaulke, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Hanson, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackamonis, Jackson, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kessler, Kleczka, Korpela, LaFave, Lewison, Menos, Looby, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal,

McEssy, Mato, Merkel, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Pabst, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Schowalter, Schricker, Shabaz, Sicula, Slaby, Stack, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tobiasz, Tregoning, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wahner, Wilcox, Wilger, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—93.

Noes—Byers, Klicka, Schroeder and Sensenbrenner—4. Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich and Schwefel—3. Motion carried.

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended and that the assembly's action on Senate Bill 213 be immediately messaged to the senate?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Boeckmann, Bolle, Brown, Bultman, Czerwinski, Day, Dorff, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Gaulke, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hanson, Jackamonis, Jackson, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Kleczka, Korpela, Menos, Looby, Lynn, McCormick, McEssy, Mato, Mielke, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Pabst, Robertson, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Sicula, Stack, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Wahner, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—64.

Noes—Alberts, Atkinson, Azim, Bradley, Byers, Conradt, Giese, Greider, Guiles, Helgeson, Hephner, Kafka, Klicka, LaFave, Lewison, Luckhardt, McDougal, Merkel, Miller, Quackenbush, Quinn, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Slaby, Stalbaum, Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Wackett, Wilcox and Wilger—34.

Absent or not voting—Conta and Froehlich—2.

Motion failed.

Representative Shabaz moved that Assembly Bill 414 be made a special order of business at 2:00 P.M. today.

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 414 be made a special order of business at 2:00 P.M. today?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alberts, Azim, Bradley, Byers, Conradt, Day, Ellis, Giese, Greider, Guiles, Helgeson, Kafka, Klicka, LaFave, Lewison, Luckhardt, McDougal, McEssy, Quinn, Robertson, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Stalbaum, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Wackett, Wilcox and Wilger—33.

Noes—Anderson, Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Boeckmann, Bolle, Brown, Bultman, Czerwinski, Dorff, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Everson, Ferrall, Gaulke, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hanson, Hephner, Jackamonis, Jackson, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Kleczka, Korpela, Menos, Looby, Lynn, McCormick, Mato, Merkel, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Pabst, Quackenbush, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Sicula, Slaby, Stack, Sweda, Swoboda, Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Wahner, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—65.

Absent or not voting—Conta and Froehlich—2.

Motion failed.

#### Senate Bill 195

Representative Stalbaum asked unanimous consent to make a statement. Granted.

Representative W. A. Johnson asked unanimous consent to read from a printed document. Granted.

Representative McEssy asked unanimous consent that the assembly adjourn today in honor of the birthday of Representative Schwefel. Granted.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the assembly stand recessed until 2:30 P.M. today. Granted.

The assembly stood recessed.

12:05 P.M.

#### RECESS

2:45 P.M.

The assembly reconvened.

Representative Jackson moved a

#### CALL OF THE ASSEMBLY

There were sufficient seconds.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the chief clerk to call the roll.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the assembly precede with its other business. Granted.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Senate Bill 434 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time.

Representative Jackson asked unanimous consent that the call of the assembly be lifted.

Representative Dueholm objected.

Representative Jackson moved that the call of the assembly be lifted.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent to make a statement. Granted.

The chief clerk called the roll.

Members absent with leave—Conta and Froehlich—2.

Members absent without leave—Korpela, Mato, Orlich, Otte and Tobiasz—5.

The question was: Shall the call of the assembly be lifted?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alberts, Anderson, Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Brown, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Day, Dorff, Duren, Earl, Early, Ellis, Everson, Gaulke, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackamonis, Jackson, Johnson G. K., Jones, Kafka, Kleczka, Klicka, Lewison, Menos, Looby, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Miller, Mittness, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Pabst, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Sicula, Stack, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wilcox, Wilger, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—79.

Noes—Barbee, Berger, Czerwinski, Dueholm, Ferrall, Hanson, Johnson W. A., Kessler, LaFave, Mielke, Mohn, Schwefel, Slaby and Wahner—14.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich, Korpela, Mato, Orlich, Otte and Tobiasz—7.

Motion carried.

Representative G. K. Johnson asked unanimous consent to speak on both the amendment and the bill. Granted.

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 195 be referred to the committee on Health and Social Services?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson, Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Czerwinski, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Ferrall, Hanson, Jackamonis, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Kessler, Looby, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oestreicher, Orlich, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Sicula, Slaby, Stack and Wahner—34.

Noes—Alberts, Azim, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Brown, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Day, Dorff, Ellis, Everson,

Gaulke, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackson, Jones, Kafka, Kleczka, Klicka, LaFave, Menos, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, Merkel, Oberle, Pabst, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Roberts, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wilcox, Wilger, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—58.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich, Korpela, Lewison, McEssy, Mato, Otte and Tobiasz—8.

Motion failed.

Representative McEssy asked unanimous consent to be recorded as voting "No". Granted.

Representative Jackson moved rejection of assembly amendment 1 to Senate Bill 195.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alberts, Baldus, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Day, Dorff, Earl, Ellis, Everson, Gaulke, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackson, Jones, Kafka, Kleczka, Klicka, LaFave, Lewison, Menos, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Oberle, Orlich, Pabst, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Roberts, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Wackett, Wilcox, Wilger and Willkom—59.

Noes—Anderson, Atkinson, Azim, Barbee, Berger, Brown, Czerwinski, Dueholm, Duren, Early, Ferrall, Hanson, Jackamonis, Johnson G. K., Kessler, Looby, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oestreicher, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Sicula, Slaby, Stack, Wahner and Mr. Speaker—33.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich, Johnson W. A., Korpela, Mato, Otte, Tobiasz and Vanderperren—8.

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 2 to Senate Bill 195 offered by Representative Czerwinski.

Representative Jackson moved rejection of assembly amendment 2 to Senate Bill 195.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 2 be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alberts, Azim, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Day, Dorff, Ellis, Everson, Gaulke, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackson, Jones, Kafka, Kleczka, Klicka, LaFave, Lewison, Menos, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Oberle, Pabst, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Roberts, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wilcox, Wilger and Willkom—58.

Noes—Anderson, Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Brown, Czerwinski, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Ferrall, Hanson, Jackamonis, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Kessler, Looby, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oestreicher, Orlich, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Sicula, Slaby, Stack, Wahner and Mr. Speaker—36.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich, Korpela, Mato, Otte and Tobiasz—6.

Motion carried.

Representative Schneider moved that Senate Bill 195 be referred to the committee on Labor.

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 195 be referred to the committee on Labor?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Czerwinski, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Ferrall, Jackamonis, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Kessler, Looby, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn,

Nager, O'Malley, Oestreicher, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Sicula, Slaby and Wahner—26.

Noes—Alberts, Anderson, Azim, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Brown, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Day, Dorff, Early, Ellis, Everson, Gaulke, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Hanson, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackson, Jones, Kafka, Kleczka, Klicka, LaFave, Lewison, Menos, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Molinaro, Oberle, Orlich, Pabst, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Roberts, Rogers, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Stack, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wilcox, Wilger, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—67.

Absent or not voting—Atkinson, Conta, Froehlich, Korpela, Mato, Otte and Tobiasz—7.

Motion failed.

Representative Berger moved that Senate Bill 195 be nonconcurred in.

The question was: Shall the bill be nonconcurred in? The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson, Atkinson, Azim, Barbee, Berger, Brown, Czerwinski, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Ferrall, Hanson, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Korpela, Looby, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Sicula, Slaby, Stack, Tobiasz, Wahner and Mr. Speaker—39.

Noes—Alberts, Baldus, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Day, Dorff, Ellis, Everson, Gaulke, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackamonis, Jackson, Kafka, Kleczka, Klicka, LaFave, Lewison, Menos, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Oberle, Pabst, Quackenbush, Robertson, Roberts, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wilcox, Wilger and Willkom—57.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich, Mato and Quinn—4.

Motion failed.

Representative Barbee asked unanimous consent that Senate Bill 195 be referred to joint committee on Finance. Representative Kleczka objected.

Representative Barbee moved that Senate Bill 195 be referred to joint committee on Finance.

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 195 be referred to joint committee on Finance?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson, Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Brown, Czerwinski, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Ferrall, Grover, Hanson, Jackamonis, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Kessler, Korpela, Looby, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Sicula, Slaby, Stack, Tobiasz, Wahner, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—41.

Noes—Alberts, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Day, Dorff, Early, Ellis, Everson, Gaulke, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Guiles, Hanna, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackson, Jones, Kafka, Kleczka, Klicka, LaFave, Lewison, Menos, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Oberle, Pabst, Quackenbush, Robertson, Roberts, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wilcox and Wilger—55.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich, Mato and Quinn—4.

Motion failed.

Representative Czerwinski moved that a fiscal note be prepared for Senate Bill 195.

The question was: Shall the chief clerk be instructed to obtain a fiscal note for Senate Bill 195?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson, Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Brown, Czerwinski, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Ferrall, Hanson, Jackamonis, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Kessler, Korpela, Looby, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Sicula, Slaby, Tobiasz, Wahner, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—39.

Noes—Alberts, Azim, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Day, Dorff, Ellis, Everson, Gaulke, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackson, Jones, Kafka, Kleczka, Klicka, LaFave, Lewison, Menos, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Oberle, Pabst, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Roberts, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Stack, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wilcox and Wilger—58.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich and Mato—3.

Motion failed.

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 195 be ordered to a third reading?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alberts, Azim, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Day, Dorff, Ellis, Everson, Gaulke, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackson, Kafka, Kleczka, Klicka, LaFave, Lewison, Menos, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Oberle, Pabst, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Roberts, Sanasarian, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Stack, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wilcox, Wilger and Willkom—59.

Noes—Anderson, Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Brown, Czerwinski, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Hanson, Jackamonis, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Korpela, Looby, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro,

Nager, O'Malley, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Rogers, Rutkowski, Schneider, Sicula, Slaby, Tobiasz, Wahner and Mr. Speaker—37.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Ferrall, Froehlich and Mato—4.

Motion carried.

Representative Sanasarian moved reconsideration of the vote by which Senate Bill 195 was ordered to a third reading. Entered.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Senate Bill 355 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time.

Representative Shabaz objected.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Senate Bill 401 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time. Granted.

#### Senate Bill 401

Relating to remedial legislation suggested by the department of health and social services.

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 401 be ordered to a third reading?

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Senate Bill 401 be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: This bill having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that the assembly's action on Senate Bill 401 be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Senate Bill 501 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time. Granted.

#### Senate Bill 501

Relating to the construction of a bridge and highway improvements in Menominee county, and making appropriations.

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 501 be ordered to a third reading?

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Senate Bill 501 be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: This bill having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alberts, Anderson, Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Brown, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Czerwinski, Day, Dorff, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Gaulke, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Hanson, Helgeson, Hephner. Jackamonis, Jackson, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kessler, Kleczka, Klicka, Korpela, LaFave, Lewison, Menos, Looby, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Pabst, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Sicula, Slaby, Stack, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tobiasz, Tregoning, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wahner, Wilcox, Wilger, Willkom and Mr. Speaker -97.

Noes—None.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich and Mato—3.

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that the assembly's action on Senate Bill 501 be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Joint Resolution 94 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time. Granted.

#### Assembly Joint Resolution 94

Memorializing Congress to take appropriate action to preserve and protect the Lake Chippewa Flowage.

The question was: Adoption?

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that the assembly's action on Assembly Joint Resolution 94 be immediately messaged to the senate, Granted.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Joint Resolution 79 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time. Granted.

#### **Assembly Joint Resolution 79**

Requesting the governor and the various counties to preserve the dairy farm programs operated by institutions.

The question was: Adoption?

Representative Bultman moved rejection of Assembly Joint Resolution 79.

Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly Joint Resolution 79 offered by Representative Shabaz.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Joint Resolution 79 be laid on the table. Granted.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 659 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time. Granted.

## Assembly Bill 659

Relating to time limits on special assessment appeals.

The question was: Shall the bill be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Assembly Bill 659 be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: This bill having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that the assembly's action on Assembly Bill 659 be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 814 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time. Granted.

#### Assembly Bill 814

Relating to property tax payment date resolutions by county boards.

The question was: Shall the bill be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Assembly Bill 814 be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: This bill having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that the assembly's action on Assembly Bill 814 be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 1043 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time. Granted.

#### Assembly Bill 1043

Relating to requiring approval of marketing orders by referendum of apple growers.

#### POINT OF ORDER

Representative Duren raised the point of order that assembly substitute amendment 1 was not germane under Assembly Rule 55 (1).

#### RULING ON THE POINT OF ORDER

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 1026 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time. Granted.

#### Assembly Bill 1026

Relating to licenses to operate motor-driven cycles.

The question was: Shall the bill be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Assembly Bill 1026 be given a third reading, Granted.

The question was: This bill having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alberts, Anderson, Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Bolle, Bradley, Brown, Bultman, Byers, Conradt, Czerwinski, Day, Dorff, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Everson, Ferrall, Gaulke, Greider, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Hanson, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackamonis, Jackson, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kessler, Kleczka, Klicka, Korpela, LaFave, Lewison, Menos, Looby, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McEssy, Merkel, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Otte, Pabst, Quackenbush, Quinn, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Slaby, Stack, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swo-

boda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tobiasz, Tregoning, Vanderperren, Wahner, Wilcox, Wilger, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—86.

Noes—Boeckmann, Ellis, Giese, McDougal, Robertson, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz and Wackett—9.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich, Mato, Orlich and Sicula—5.

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 1026 be referred to joint committee on Finance. Granted.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 974 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time.

Representative Tregoning objected.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 260 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time. Granted.

## Assembly Bill 260

Relating to service credits for retirement of legislative employes.

The question was: Shall the bill be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Assembly Bill 260 be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: This bill having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alberts, Anderson, Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Brown, Bultman, Byers, Czerwinski, Day, Dorff, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Everson, Ferrall, Gaulke, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Grover,

Guiles, Hanna, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackamonis, Jackson, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kessler, Kleczka, Korpela, LaFave, Lewison, Menos, Looby, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Pabst, Quackenbush, Robertson, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Shabaz, Slaby, Stalbaum, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tobiasz, Tregoning, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wahner, Wilcox, Wilger, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—88.

Noes—Conradt, Ellis, Hanson, Schwefel and Sensenbrenner—5.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich, Klicka, Mato, Quinn, Sicula and Stack—7.

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that the assembly's action on Assembly Bill 260 be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 1200 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time. Granted.

## Assembly Bill 1200

Relating to pardons to restore civil rights of convicts.

The question was: Shall the bill be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Assembly Bill 1200 be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: This bill having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 1200 be laid on the table.

Representative Shabaz objected.

Representative Anderson moved that Assembly Bill 1200 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1200 be laid on the table?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Anderson, Atkinson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Bolle, Brown, Bultman, Czerwinski, Day, Dorff, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Everson, Ferrall, Gaulke, Groshek, Grover, Hanna, Hanson, Hephner, Jackamonis, Jackson, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Jones, Kessler, Kleczka, Korpela, Menos, Looby, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Pabst, Quackenbush, Quinn, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Schowalter, Sicula, Slaby, Stack, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wahner, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—69.

Noes—Alberts, Boeckmann, Bradley, Byers, Conradt, Ellis, Giese, Greider, Guiles, Helgeson, Kafka, Klicka, La-Fave, Lewison, Luckhardt, McEssy, Merkel, Robertson, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwefel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Stalbaum, Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Wilcox and Wilger—28.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich and Mato—3. Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that Senate Bill 246 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time. Granted.

#### Senate Bill 246

Relating to certificates accompanying land plats.

Assembly amendment 1 to Senate Bill 246 offered by Representative Robertson.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Atkinson, Bultman, Duren, Ellis, Giese, Greider, Helgeson, Johnson G. K., Kleczka, Klicka, LaFave, Merkel, Mielke, Mittness, Pabst, Robertson, Schowalter, Schwefel, Shabaz, Stalbaum, Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Wilcox, Wilger and Willkom—25.

Noes—Alberts, Anderson, Azim, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Boeckmann, Bolle, Bradley, Brown, Byers, Conradt, Czerwinski, Day, Dorff, Dueholm, Earl, Early, Everson, Ferrall, Gaulke, Groshek, Grover, Guiles, Hanna, Hanson, Hephner, Jackamonis, Jackson, Johnson W. A., Jones, Kafka, Kessler, Korpela, Lewison, Menos, Looby, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Miller, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Orlich, Otte, Quackenbush, Quinn, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Schricker, Schroeder, Sensenbrenner, Sicula, Slaby, Stack, Sweda, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Wackett, Wahner and Mr. Speaker—72.

Absent or not voting-Conta, Froehlich and Mato-3.

Motion failed.

Representative Stalbaum moved that Senate Bill 246 be nonconcurred in.

The question was: Shall the bill be nonconcurred in? Motion carried.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that the assembly's action on Senate Bill 246 be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Representative Helgeson asked unanimous consent to be recorded as voting as follows:

Tuesday, August 24, 1971

Adoption of Assembly Resolution 30?-"Aye";

Shall Senate Bill 225 be withdrawn from committee on Elections and referred to calendar?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 218 be withdrawn from committee on Natural Resources and referred to calendar?—"Aye":

Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 23 be withdrawn from committee on Natural Resources and referred to the calendar?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 14 be withdrawn from committee on Taxation and referred to the calendar?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 536 be withdrawn from committee on Judiciary and referred to the calendar?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 638 be indefinitely postponed?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 675 be rejected?—"Ave":

Shall Assembly Bill 675 be withdrawn from the calendar of June 10 and referred to the committee on Natural Resources?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 676 be indefinitely postponed?—"Ave":

Shall Assembly Bill 853 be ordered engrossed and read a third time?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 5 to Assembly Bill 871 be rejected?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 871 be ordered engrossed and read a third time?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 873 be indefinitely postponed?—"No":

Shall Assembly Bill 873 be placed at the foot of the calendar of June 10?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 873 be withdrawn from the calendar of June 10 and referred to the committee on Natural Resources?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 873 be rejected?—

Shall Assembly Bill 875 be laid on the table?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 875 be ordered engrossed and read a third time?—"Aye";

Assembly Bill 875 having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 3 to Assembly Bill 873 be rejected?—"No":

Shall Assembly Bill 873 be ordered engrossed and read a third time?—"Aye";

Shall the rules be suspended and Assembly Bill 873 be given a third reading?—"Aye";

Assembly Bill 873 having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye".

#### Wednesday, August 25, 1971

Shall assembly amendment 2 to Assembly Bill 878 be rejected?—"No".

#### Thursday, August 26, 1971

Senate Bill 157 having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 1 to Senate Bill 50 be rejected?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 2 to Senate Bill 50 be rejected?—"Aye";

Shall Senate Bill 50 be nonconcurred in?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 492 be withdrawn from the calendar of June 10 and referred to the foot of the calendar of June 13?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 663 having been read three times pass?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 878 be indefinitely postponed?—"No":

Assembly Bill 878 having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 300 be indefinitely postponed?—"Aye";

Senate Bill 226 having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?—"Aye";

Shall assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 908 be adopted?—"Aye";

Senate Bill 353 having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?—"Aye";

Senate Bill 363 having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?—"Aye";

Shall the vote by which Assembly Bill 199 was refused passage be reconsidered?—"Aye";

Assembly Bill 199 having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—Aye".

Tuesday, August 31

Shall the rules be suspended and Assembly Resolution 34 be taken up at this time?—"No":

Shall Assembly Resolution 34 be adopted?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 479 be withdrawn from the committee on Elections and referred to the calendar?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 45 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time?—"Aye";

Assembly Bill 177 having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 384 be ordered engrossed and read a third time?—"No":

Assembly Bill 384 having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"No";

Assembly Bill 427 having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 828 be indefinitely postponed?—"Aye";

Senate Bill 80 having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 407 be withdrawn from the table and referred to the foot of the calendar of June 29?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 518 be withdrawn from the calendar of June 22 and referred to the joint Survey committee on Tax Exemptions?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 518 be rejected?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 2 to Assembly Bill 518 be rejected?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 2 to Assembly Bill 518 be adopted?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 4 to Assembly Bill 518 be rejected?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 5 to Assembly Bill 518 be rejected?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 6 to Assembly Bill 518 be rejected?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 6 to Assembly Bill 518 be adopted?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 518 be indefinitely postponed?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 518 be engrossed and read a third time?—"Aye";

Shall the rules be suspended and Assembly Bill 518 be given a third reading?—"Aye";

Shall the vote by which Senate Joint Resolution 38 was nonconcurred in be reconsidered?—"Aye";

Shall Senate Joint Resolution 38 be ordered to a third reading?—"Aye";

Senate Joint Resolution 38 having been read three times, shall the resolution be concurred in?—"Aye";

Shall the vote by which Assembly Bill 269 was indefinitely postponed be reconsidered?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 269 be indefinitely postponed?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 269 be ordered engrossed and read a third time?—"No";

Shall the rules be suspended and Assembly Bill 269 be given its third reading?—"No";

Shall the rules be suspended and the motion for reconsideration of Assembly Bill 269 be taken up at this time?—
"No";

Shall Representative Merkel be allowed to make a statement?—"Aye";

Shall the motion for reconsideration be withdrawn from the calendar of June 22 and referred to the foot of the calendar of June 22?—"Aye";

Shall the motion for reconsideration of Assembly Bill 482 be placed on the table?—"No":

Shall the vote by which Assembly Bill 482 was engrossed be reconsidered?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 482 be indefinitely postponed?—"No"; Assembly Bill 482 having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye":

## September 1, 1971

Shall assembly amendment 5 to Assembly Bill 774 be rejected?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 7 to Assembly Bill 774 be rejected?—"Aye":

Shall Assembly Bill 774 be indefinitely postponed?—"No";

Assembly Bill 774 having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

Shall the vote by which Assembly Bill 487 was indefinitely postponed be reconsidered?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 487 be indefinitely postponed?—"Aye":

Shall the vote by which Assembly Bill 491 was refused engrossment be reconsidered?—"Aye";

Assembly Bill 491 having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"Aye".

## Thursday, September 2, 1971

Shall Assembly Bill 768 be withdrawn from the committee on Natural Resources and referred to the calendar?—"Aye";

Shall Senate Bill 225 be withdrawn from the committee on Elections and referred to the calendar?—"Aye".

#### Wednesday, September 8, 1971

Shall Assembly Bill 756 be withdrawn from the joint committee on Finance and referred to the calendar?—"Aye":

Shall Assembly Bill 373 be withdrawn from the committee on Insurance and Banking and referred to the calendar?—"No":

Shall Senate Bill 138 be tabled?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 2 to assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 3 to assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"Aye".

## September 9, 1971

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1283 be withdrawn from the calendar of September 9 and referred to the committee on Municipalities?—"Aye":

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 2 be rejected?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1283 be withdrawn from the calendar of September 9 and referred to the committee on Municipalities?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 3 be rejected?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 4 be rejected?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1283 be withdrawn from the calendar of September 9 and referred to the committee on Taxation?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall the bill (Assembly Bill 1283) be ordered engrossed and read a third time?—"No";

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended and Assembly Bill 1283 be given a third reading?—"No";

The question was: This bill (Assembly Bill 1283) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"No";

The question was: This bill (Assembly Bill 1160) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"Paired";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be adopted?—"No";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 4 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"No";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 5 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"Aye":

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be adopted?—"No".

#### September 10, 1971

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended and Assembly Resolution 37 be taken up at this time?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 9 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"No":

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 10 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"Paired";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"No";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 12 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"No";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be adopted?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be adopted?—"No";

The question was: Shall the decision of the presiding officer stand as the judgment of the assembly?—"No".

## September 14, 1971

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that Senate Bill 713 be made a special order of business at 2:00 P.M. tomorrow?—"No".

## September 15, 1971 (Wednesday)

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended and Assembly Resolution 37 be withdrawn from the calendar of September 15 and be taken up at this time?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 707 be withdrawn from the joint committee on Finance and referred to the calendar?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 399 be withdrawn from the joint committee on Finance and referred to the calendar?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 138 be laid on the table? "No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 477 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 16 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 335 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 673 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 682 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended and the report of the committee of Conference on Assembly Bill 414 be withdrawn from the committee of Conference and be made a special order of business at 2:00 P.M. on Tuesday, September 21?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 687 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that Senate Bill 713 be made a special order of business at 2:00 P.M. today?—"No".

# September 16, 1971

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that Assembly Resolution 37 be withdrawn from the calendar of September 15 and be taken up at this time?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?—"No";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?
—"No":

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?
—"No":

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?
—"No":

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 4 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?
—"No":

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 5 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?
—"No":

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?
—"No":

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 8 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?
—"Aye";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 9 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?
—"No";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 10 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?—"No";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?—"No";

Ruling on the point of order: The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the judgement of the house?—"No";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 12 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?—"No";

The question was: Shall assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 13 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be rejected?—"No";

The question was: Shall assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 713 be adopted?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 713 be nonconcurred in?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 713 be ordered to a third reading?—"No":

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended and Senate Bill 713 be given a third reading?—"No";

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that the motion for reconsideration of Senate Bill 713 be taken up at this time?—"No";

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended to allow Representative Merkel to make a statement?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that the motion for reconsideration of Senate Bill 713 be made a special order of business at 2:00 P.M., Tuesday, September 21?

—"Aye":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 414 be made a special order of business at 11:00 A.M., Tuesday, September 21?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 689 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall the assembly proceed to its other business?—"No";

The question was: Shall the call of the assembly be lifted?
—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 748 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 738 be taken from the table and be taken up at this time?—"Aye";

The question was: Adjournment?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 771 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that the motion for reconsideration of Senate Bill 713 be taken up at this time?—"Paired":

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended for Representative Sensenbrenner to make a statement?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 783 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 824 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Adjournment?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 713 be made a special order of business at 2:00 P.M., Monday, September 20?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 854 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 894 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 901 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 47 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 278 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 279 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 691 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended for Representative Alberts to make a statement?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 771 be taken from the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 856 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 958 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 253 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 350 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 291 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 407 be laid on the table?—"Aye":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 583 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Adjournment?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 593 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 607 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 665 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 686 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 794 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 876 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 434 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 24 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 459 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Joint Resolution 29 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 855 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1036 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 38 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 154 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 113 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 713 be made a special order of business at 10:00 A.M., Tuesday, September 21?
—"Aye";

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that the motion for reconsideration of Senate Bill 713 be taken up at this time?—"Paired":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 409 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 423 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Adjournment?-"Aye":

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that the motion for reconsideration of Senate Bill 713 be taken up at this time?—"Paired":

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that the motion for reconsideration of Senate Bill 713 be made a special order of business at 9:00 A.M. tomorrow?—"Paired";

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that the motion for reconsideration of Senate Bill 713 be made a special order of business at 9:00 A.M., Wednesday, September 22?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 423 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 652 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 713 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 801 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 840 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 842 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 906 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 960 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 961 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 118 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 217 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that Representative Greider be allowed to make a statement?—"Ave":

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 318 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 622 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 646 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that Representative Luckhardt be allowed to make a statement?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended for Representative Greider to make a statement?—"Aye":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 649 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 784 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 18 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Resolution 26 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 278 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 316 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 467 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 521 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 745 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that Representative Tregoning be allowed to make a statement?—"Aye":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 797 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 867 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 227 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 228 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 251 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 351 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 372 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 396 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 437 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall the assembly recess?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 451 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 454 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 135 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 186 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 201 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 14 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 182 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 80 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Senate Joint Resolution 54 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 21 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 257 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 317 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 8 be laid on the table?
—"No":

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 49 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended for Representative Greider to make a statement?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 111 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 361 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 398 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 477 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 428 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 464 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Resolution 28 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 86 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 74 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 258 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 522 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 651 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 672 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 879 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 882 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 345 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 378 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 90 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 56 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 11 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 370 be laid on the table?—"Ave":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 380 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 454 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 542 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 655 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 656 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 692 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 697 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 770 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 787 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 811 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 897 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 943 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 948 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 980 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1044 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1060 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1087 be laid on the table?—"Aye":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1089 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1110 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1121 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1126 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 195 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 355 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 401 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 501 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 93 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 94 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 79 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 17 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 62 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 152 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 659 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 731 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 814 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 850 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 946 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1043 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 181 be laid on the table?—"No":

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 827 be laid on the table?—"No";

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 1005 be laid on the table?—"No":

Shall Assembly Bill 1026 be laid on the table?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 492 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 956 be laid on the table?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 974 be laid on the table?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 1049 be laid on the table?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 1119 be laid on the table?—"No";

Shall Senate Bill 277 be laid on the table?—"No";

```
Shall Assembly Bill 611 be laid on the table?—"No";
  Shall Senate Bill 31 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Assembly Bill 845 be laid on the table?—"Aye":
  Shall Assembly Bill 8 be laid on the table?—"No";
  Shall Assembly Bill 65 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Assembly Bill 260 be laid on the table?—"No";
  Shall Assembly Bill 342 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Assembly Bill 355 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Assembly Bill 648 be laid on the table?—"No";
  Shall Assembly Bill 922 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Assembly Bill 1096 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Assembly Bill 1200 be laid on the table?—"No";
  Shall Senate Bill 246 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Senate Bill 373 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Senate Bill 394 be laid on the table?—"No";
  Shall Assembly Bill 638 be laid on the table?—"Aye";
  Shall Assembly Bill 676 be laid on the table?—"Aye";
  Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 98 be laid on the table?
 -"No":
  Shall Assembly Bill 199 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Assembly Bill 269 be laid on the table?—"No";
  Shall Assembly Bill 300 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Assembly Resolution 33 be laid on the table?—
"No";
  Shall Assembly Bill 162 be laid on the table?—"No";
  Shall Assembly Bill 566 be laid on the table?—"No";
  Shall Assembly Bill 785 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Assembly Bill 1180 be laid on the table?—"No";
 Shall Senate Bill 33 be laid on the table?—"No";
 Shall Assembly Bill 482 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Assembly Bill 518 be laid on the table?—"No";
 Shall Assembly Bill 218 be laid on the table?—"No";
 Shall Assembly Bill 276 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Assembly Bill 1105 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Assembly Bill 1125 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Senate Bill 187 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Senate Bill 216 be laid on the table?—"No":
```

```
Shall Senate Bill 222 be laid on the table?—"No";
 Shall Senate Bill 248 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Senate Bill 419 be laid on the table?--"No":
 Shall Senate Bill 422 be laid on the table?—"No";
 Shall Assembly Bill 487 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 82 be laid on the table?
-"No";
 Shall Assembly Bill 726 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Assembly Bill 790 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Assembly Bill 791 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Assembly Bill 947 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Assembly Bill 1075 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Assembly Bill 1098 be laid on the table?—"No":
 Shall Assembly Bill 1140 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Assembly Bill 1141 be laid on the table?—"No";
  Shall Assembly Bill 1169 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Assembly Bill 1259 be laid on the table?—"No";
  Shall Senate Joint Resolution 5 be laid on the table?—
"No":
  Shall Senate Bill 349 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Senate Bill 453 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 109 be laid on the table?
---"No":
  Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 110 be laid on the table?
—"No":
  Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 1 be laid on the table?
—"No";
  Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 25 be laid on the table?
---"No":
  Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 73 be laid on the table?
---"No":
  Shall Assembly Bill 576 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Assembly Bill 1154 be laid on the table?—"No":
  Shall Assembly Resolution 37 be laid on the table?—
"No":
  Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 112 be laid on the table?
--"No":
```

"No".

Shall Senate Joint Resolution 34 be laid on the table?—

#### September 17, 1971

Shall the rules be suspended that the motion for reconsideration of Senate Bill 713 be withdrawn from the calendar of Saturday, September 18 and be taken up at this time?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 506 be laid on the table?—"No":

Shall Assembly Resolution 38 be laid on the table?—"No":

Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 114 be laid on the table?
—"No":

Shall Assembly Bill 364 be laid on the table?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 489 be laid on the table?—"No";

Shall Senate Bill 225 be laid on the table?—"No";

Shall the rules be suspended that the motion for reconsideration of Senate Bill 713 be withdrawn from the calendar of Saturday, September 18 and be taken up at this time?—"No":

Shall Assembly Bill 735 be laid on the table?—"No".

#### September 20, 1971

Shall Senate Bill 138 be withdrawn from the table and referred to the calendar?—"No":

Shall Assembly Bill 1300 be withdrawn from the joint committee on Finance and referred to the calendar?—"Aye":

Shall the vote by which Senate Bill 713 was ordered to a third reading be reconsidered?—"Aye";

Shall Senate Bill 713 be non-concurred in?—"Aye";

Senate Bill 713 having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?—"No":

Shall the rules be suspended and the assembly's action on Senate Bill 713 be immediately messaged to the senate?
—"Paired":

Shall the rules be suspended that all the bills that were placed on the table on Thursday and Friday, September 16 and 17 be returned to their respective positions on the calendars?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 414 be withdrawn from the committee on Conference and be made a special order of business at 10:00 A.M. Wednesday, September 22?—"Aye".

September 21, 1971

Shall Assembly Bill 465 be withdrawn from the table and referred to the calendar?—"Aye":

Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 16 be withdrawn from the table and referred to the calendar?—"Aye":

Shall Assembly Bill 708 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 1145 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 13 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 14 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 15 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 138 be rejected?—"No";

Shall the bill (Senate Bill 138) be nonconcurred in?—"Paired";

Shall Senate Bill 138 be ordered to a third reading?
—"Paired";

Shall the rules be suspended and that Senate Bill 138 be given a third reading?—"No";

Shall the rules be suspended and the motion for reconsideration of Senate Bill 138 be taken up at this time?—
"No":

Shall the rules be suspended and that all the motions for reconsideration of Senate Bill 138 be taken up at this time?
—"No":

Shall the committee of Conference report on Assembly Bill 414 be made a special order of business at 10:00 A.M. Thursday, September 23?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 465 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time?—"Aye";

Shall the vote by which Assembly Bill 465 was passed be reconsidered?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 477 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 16 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time?—"Aye".

September 22, 1971

This bill (Assembly Bill 673) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

Shall the bill (Assembly Bill 771) be ordered engrossed and read a third time?—"Aye":

Shall Assembly Bill 854 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time?—"Aye":

Shall the rules be suspended that the report of the committee on Conference on Assembly Bill 414 be made a special order of business at 9:00 A.M. tomorrow?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 278 be rejected?—"No";

This bill (Assembly Bill 856) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

This bill (Assembly Bill 583) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

This bill (Assembly Bill 665) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 794 be laid on the table?—"Aye";

This bill (Assembly Bill 794) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 876 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time?—"Aye";

Shall Senate Bill 434 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 2 to Senate Bill 434 be rejected?—"No";

Shall Assembly Bill 855 be referred to the committee on Commerce and Consumer Affairs?—"No";

This bill (Assembly Bill 1036) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

Shall the bill (Assembly Bill 409) be indefinitely post-poned?—"No".

# September 23, 1971

Shall the rules be suspended and that Senate Bill 213 be made a special order of business at 2:00 P.M. today?—"No":

Shall Senate Bill 188 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time?—"Aye";

Shall Senate Bill 188 be referred to joint committee on Finance—"No":

Shall the rules be suspended and that Senate Bill 213 be made a special order of business at 10:00 A.M. Tuesday, September 28?—"Aye";

Shall the rules be suspended and that Assembly Bill 414 be made a special order of business at 10:02 Tuesday, September 28?—"Aye":

Shall Assembly Bill 994 be laid on the table?—"No";

Shall the rules be suspended that Representative Tregoning make a statement?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 1074 be laid on the table?—"No":

Shall the rules be suspended and that Senate Bill 138 be made a special order of business at 10:01 A.M. Tuesday, September 28?—"Aye";

Shall the bill (Assembly Bill 291) be ordered engrossed and read a third time?—"Aye";

This bill (Assembly Bill 291) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 2 to Senate Bill 154 be rejected?—"No":

This bill (Senate Bill 154) having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 409 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time?—"Aye";

Shall the bill (Assembly Bill 409) be indefinitely post-poned?—"No":

Shall the bill (Senate Bill 188) be nonconcurred in?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 1 to Senate Bill 188 be rejected?—"Aye";

Shall Senate Bill 188 be ordered to a third reading?—"Aye";

Shall the rules be suspended and that Senate Bill 188 be given a third reading?—"Aye":

This bill (Senate Bill 188) having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?—"Aye";

Shall the rules be suspended and that the assembly's action on Senate Bill 188 be immediately messaged to the senate?—"Aye";

This bill (Senate Bill 217) having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?—"Aye";

This bill (Senate Bill 318) having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?—"Aye";

This bill (Assembly Bill 745) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

This bill (Senate Bill 351) having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?—"Aye";

This bill (Senate Bill 8) having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?—"Aye";

Shall Senate Bill 49 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time?—"Aye";

This bill (Senate Bill 49) having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?—"Aye";

Shall Senate Bill 111 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 74 be withdrawn from the table and be taken up at this time?—"Aye";

This bill (Assembly Bill 74) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye".

#### September 28, 1971

Shall assembly substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 1 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 2 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 3 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 4 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 5 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 6 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 7 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 8 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 9 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 10 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 11 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No":

Shall assembly amendment 13 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No":

Shall assembly amendment 14 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No":

Shall the rules be suspended that Assembly Bill 414 be made a special order of business at 10:00 A.M., Thursday, September 30?—"Aye".

### September 29, 1971

Shall assembly amendment 15 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No";

Shall assembly amendment 16 to Senate Bill 213 be rejected?—"No":

Shall Senate Bill 213 be nonconcurred in?—"Paired":

Shall Senate Bill 213 be ordered to a third reading?— "Paired":

Shall the rules be suspended and that Senate Bill 213 be given a third reading?—"No";

Shall the vote by which Senate Bill 138 was ordered to a third reading be reconsidered?—"Paired";

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 138 be nonconcurred in?—"Paired";

This bill having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in?—"Paired":

Shall assembly amendment 5 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 651 be rejected?—"No":

Shall the bill (Assembly Bill 258) be indefinitely post-poned?—"No";

This bill having been read three times, shall the bill pass?
—"Aye";

Shall assembly amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 651 be rejected?—"No";

This bill (Assembly Bill 651) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"No";

This bill (Assembly Bill 655) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?—"Aye";

This bill (Assembly Bill 787) having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

Shall the rules be suspended that the committee of Conference on 1971 Assembly Bill 414 be discharged?—"Aye".

September 30, 1971

Shall Assembly Bill 454 be withdrawn from the table and referred to the calendar of September 28?—"Aye";

Shall Assembly Bill 414 be withdrawn from the committee of Conference and be made a special order of business at 10:00 A.M. Tuesday, October 5?—"Aye";

Shall Senate Bill 213 be withdrawn from the calendar of October 1 and be taken up at this time?—"No". Granted.

Representative Helgeson asked unanimous consent to make a statement. Granted.

Representative Anderson asked unanimous consent that the assembly adjourn today in honor of the birthday of Representative Ferrall. Granted.

Representative Tregoning asked unanimous consent that the assembly adjourn today in honor of the birthday of Representative Schwefel, Granted.

Representative Shabaz moved the rules be suspended that Senate Bill 195 be withdrawn from the calendar of October 7 and be made a special order of business at 9:00 A.M. tomorrow.

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended that Senate Bill 195 be withdrawn from the calendar of October 7 and be made a special order of business at 9:00 A.M. tomorrow?

The roll was taken.

The vote follows:

Ayes—Alberts, Azim, Boeckmann, Bradley, Byers, Conradt, Day, Dorff, Ellis, Giese, Greider, Groshek, Guiles, Helgeson, Hephner, Jackson, Jones, Kafka, Kleczka, Klicka, LaFave, Lewison, Menos, Luckhardt, Lynn, McCormick, McDougal, McEssy, Merkel, Otte, Pabst, Quackenbush, Quinn, Robertson, Schowalter, Schricker, Schroeder, Schwe-

fel, Sensenbrenner, Shabaz, Stalbaum, Swoboda, Thompson R. M., Thompson T. G., Tregoning, Wackett, Wilcox and Wilger—48.

Noes—Anderson, Atkinson, Baldus, Barbee, Berger, Bolle, Brown, Bultman, Czerwinski, Dueholm, Duren, Earl, Early, Everson, Ferrall, Gaulke, Grover, Hanna, Hanson, Jackamonis, Johnson G. K., Johnson W. A., Kessler, Korpela, Looby, Mielke, Miller, Mittness, Mohn, Molinaro, Nager, O'Malley, Oberle, Oestreicher, Orlich, Roberts, Rogers, Rutkowski, Sanasarian, Schneider, Sicula, Slaby, Stack, Sweda, Tobiasz, Vanderperren, Wahner, Willkom and Mr. Speaker—49.

Absent or not voting—Conta, Froehlich and Mato—3. Motion failed.

#### CHIEF CLERK'S CORRECTIONS

as provided by Legislative Reference Bureau

Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 974 In line 7, substitute "least" for "lease".

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 1104 On page 7, line 1, after "this" insert "act".

Assembly Bill 1157

On page 4, line 14, substitute "Clarno" for "Vlarno".

#### **VISITORS**

During today's session, the following visitors honored the assembly by their presence, and were welcomed by the presiding officer and the members.

Oregon Senior Social Problems Class with teacher, Tom Mueller from Oregon, Wisconsin, guests of Representative O'Malley.

Pastor Elmer Neitzel of Mount Calvary Lutheran Church and his daughter Mary, from Milwaukee, Wisconsin, guests of Representative Sicula.

Mrs. Henrietta Duren from Cazenovia, Wisconsin, mother and special guest of Representative Duren.

Rev. Thomas F. Crowley, and 58 students of Regis High School from Eau Claire, Wisconsin, guests of Representatives Looby and Mato.

Bob Johnson from Mondovi, Wisconsin, guest of Representative Early.

Brian Early and Mark Larson from River Falls, Wisconsin, guests of Representative Early.

Paul H. Melrood from Milwaukee, Wisconsin, guest of Representative Sicula.

Representative Anderson moved that the assembly stand adjourned until 9:00 A.M. tomorrow.

The question was: Shall the assembly stand adjourned? The motion carried.

The assembly stood adjourned.

6:10 P. M.