

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY

FRIDAY, December 17, 1971.

The chief clerk makes the following entries under the above date.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Joint Resolution 128** offered by Representatives Helgeson, Wilger, Giese, Schowalter, Klicka and Merkel.

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Joint Resolution 33** offered by Representatives Brown, G. K. Johnson and Ferrall.

Assembly amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 929** offered by Representatives Baldus and Looby.

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 1403** offered by Representative Mittness.

INTRODUCTION AND REFERENCE OF RESOLUTIONS

Read and referred:

Assembly Joint Resolution 131

Directing the legislative council to study motor vehicle noise pollution.

By Representatives Jackamonis and Hanson, by request of Alderman Paul Keenan, Waukesha.

To committee on Highways.

INTRODUCTION AND REFERENCE OF BILLS

Read first time and referred:

Assembly Bill 1448

Relating to a no fault insurance plan for compensating victims of auto accidents.

By Representative Sanasarian.

To committee on Insurance and Banking.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY

Assembly Bill 1449

Relating to the establishment of a procedure for unsupervised administration of estates.

By Representatives Berger, Jackamonis, Wahner, Hanson, Schneider, Mielke, Boeckmann, Conta, Korpela, Dueholm, Grover, Stack, Ferrall, G. K. Johnson, Miller and Kleczka.

To committee on Judiciary.

COMMUNICATIONS

Wisconsin Legislature
Assembly Chamber
Madison
53702

December 10, 1971.

Mr. Tom Fox, Chief Clerk
Wisconsin Assembly
220 West, State Capitol
Madison, Wisconsin

Dear Mr. Chief Clerk:

Please be advised that this letter is to be considered a letter of resignation from the assembly, effective December 13, 1971.

I would like to—at the convenience of the assembly—have the opportunity to personally thank the members and staff for their support and consideration during the 1971 session.

In the meantime, best wishes for a successful 1972 session.

Sincerely yours,

ROBERT T. HUBER,
Assembly Speaker.

Department of State
Madison 2 Wisconsin

December 16, 1971.

To Whom It May Concern:

Dear Sir: Acts, Joint Resolutions and Resolutions, deposited in this office, have been numbered and published as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY

Bill, Jt. Res. or Res. No.	Chapter No.	Publication Date
Senate Bill 345 -----	150 -----	December 16, 1971
Assembly Bill 346 -----	151 -----	December 16, 1971
Senate Bill 47 -----	152 -----	December 16, 1971
Senate Bill 419 -----	153 -----	December 16, 1971

Very truly yours,

ROBERT C. ZIMMERMAN,
Secretary of State.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATION

State of Wisconsin
Office of the Governor
Madison, Wisconsin 53702

December 17, 1971.

To the Honorable, the Assembly:

The following bills, originating in the assembly, have been approved, signed and deposited in the office of the Secretary of State.

Assembly Bill	Chapter No.	Date Approved
274 -----	162 -----	December 17, 1971
427 -----	163 -----	December 17, 1971

Respectfully submitted,

PATRICK J. LUCEY,
Governor.

GOVERNOR'S VETO MESSAGES

December 16, 1971.

To the Honorable, the Assembly:

I am today returning **Assembly Bill 289** without my approval.

Assembly Bill 289 provides for the collection of a \$10.00 fee from any party to an action affecting marriage for whom or on whose behalf the family court commissioner drafts or prepares any legal petition or order, including a temporary order for support.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY

After analyzing the bill, it seems that it would not be in the best interests of the people of the State of Wisconsin to sign the bill at this time for several reasons.

The \$10.00 fee imposed is exorbitant. It is higher than the actual cost to the family court commissioner of preparing the order and the fee places continued emphasis by the courts on user charges. User charges can make the court less accessible to some citizens, and in this case the \$10.00 fee would promote the securing of alimony support outside of the court. Furthermore, the impact of the \$10.00 fee would fall in a high percentage of the cases on the very poor, because, in fact, a substantial number of alimony support payment cases involve persons of low income status.

For the above reasons, I do not think it would be in the best interests of the people of the State of Wisconsin to sign Assembly Bill 289 into law at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

PATRICK J. LUCEY,
Governor.

December 16, 1971.

To the Honorable members of the Assembly:

I am returning Assembly Bill 319 without my approval.

Assembly Bill 319 doubles fees paid sheriffs for serving process or other legal documents or performing other services chargeable to involved parties.

Sheriffs' fees bear no direct relationship to the costs involved with the services rendered. Furthermore, the charges can result in diminished accessibility to the legal system to those with particularly low incomes.

Because sheriff's fees are not geared to any particular logical cost figures, I am asking the Citizens Study Committee on Judicial Organization, which I impanelled earlier this year, to examine sheriffs' process fees along with other litigational costs to determine whether the whole framework of court costs ought to be readjusted.

For the above stated reasons, I do not think it would serve the best interests of the people of Wisconsin to sign Assembly Bill 319 into law at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

PATRICK J. LUCEY,
Governor.