

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Eighty-Second Regular Session

WEDNESDAY, June 11, 1975.

9:00 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by the president pro tempore of the senate.

Prayer was offered by Reverend Dan Apra, Pastor of Orchard Ridge United Church of Christ, Madison.

The senate remained standing and Senator Sensenbrenner led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, he was granted a leave of absence at 6:00 P.M. for the balance of the day.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Whittow -- 32.

Absent -- Senator Hollander -- 1.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

Absent with leave -- None.

BILLS INTRODUCED

Read first time and referred:

Senate Bill 427

Relating to authorization for employes covered by the Wisconsin retirement fund to transfer credits between fixed and variable annuities.

By Senator Frank, by request of Mr. Fred Brinkman, City Treasurer, City of Oak Creek.

To Joint Survey Committee on Retirement Systems.

Senate Bill 428

Relating to persons who fail to render aid in emergency situations, civil immunity for emergency assistance rendered in good faith and creating a penalty.

By Senator Whittow, by request of Jose Lowry.

To committee on Agriculture, Human Services, Labor and Taxation.

RULING OF THE CHAIR

As it relates to the point of order raised on June 6 on the germaneness of senate amendment 39, the chair ruled the point of order not well taken. Senate amendment 39 to **Assembly Bill 222** is germane.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Everett E. Bolle, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has adopted and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Joint Resolution 45

and

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

Passed and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Bill 101
Assembly Bill 454

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY CONSIDERED

Assembly Joint Resolution 45

Relating to regular meetings of the legislature excluding Sundays.

By Representatives Jackamonis, N.C. Anderson, Willkom, Wahner, Lingren, Norquist and Rutkowski; co-sponsored by Senators Risser and Whittow.

Read and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

Assembly Bill 101

Relating to membership of board of election commissioners in populous cities.

By Representative Elconin.

Read first time and referred to committee on Governmental and Veterans' Affairs.

Assembly Bill 454

Relating to repealing the "Fair Trade Act".

By Representatives Sanasarian, Flintrop, Tropman, Ferrall, Lingren, Norquist, Kirby, Tuczynski and Wahner; co-sponsored by Senators Cullen and Berger.

Read first time and referred to committee on Commerce.

SPECIAL ORDERS

Assembly Bill 222

Relating to state finances and appropriations constituting the executive budget bill of the 1975 legislature, and making appropriations.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 39?

Senator Whittow moved that the amendment be laid on the table.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Kleczka, Knowles, Knutson, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Risser, Swan, Thompson and Whittow -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Devitt, Dorman, Harnisch, Keppler, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Martin, Petri, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Hollander -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 77?

Senator Whittow moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Kleczka, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, McKenna, Martin, Morrison, Peloquin, Risser, Thompson and Whittow -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Devitt, Harnisch, Keppler, LaFave, Lorge, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Hollander -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 78 was placed after senate amendment 80.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 79?

Senator Kleczka moved rejection.

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 28; noes, 4; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Knowles, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Whittow -- 28.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Cullen, Kleczka and Thompson -- 4.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Hollander -- 1.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

So the amendment was adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 80?

Senator Whittow moved rejection.

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Knutson, Lorge, Maurer, Morrison, Parys, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Whittow -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Krueger, LaFave, McKenna, Martin, Murphy, Peloquin, Risser and Thompson -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Hollander and Knowles -- 2.

So the amendment was adopted.

By request of Senators Whittow and Krueger, pursuant to senate rule 76, a 3 minute limit on debate was placed on each senator for each question before the senate.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 78?

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 78 to **Assembly Bill 222** offered by Senator Dorman.

By request of Senator Dorman, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 78 was considered for action at this time.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Hollander, Keppler, Kleczka, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Parys, Risser, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Whittow -- 26.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Cullen, Knutson, Murphy, Peloquin, Petri and Sensenbrenner -- 7.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 78 was withdrawn and returned to the author.

Senator Whittow moved rejection of senate amendment 78.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 6; noes, 27; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Chilsen, Cullen, Knutson, Murphy and Whittow -- 6.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Bidwell, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Hollander, Keppler, Kleczka, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Thompson -- 27.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 78 to **Assembly Bill 222** offered by Senator Petri.

Senator Dorman moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 28; noes, 4; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Chilsen, Cullen, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Thompson -- 28.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Hollander, Knutson and Petri -- 4.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Whittow -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 78?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 81?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 82?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 82.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

The motion did not prevail.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 82 adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 82?

Senator Parys raised the point of order that senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 82 was not germane.

The chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

Senator Harnisch moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 82.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Cullen, Dorman, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Knutson, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Martin, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Swan, Thompson and Whittow -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Devitt, Flynn, Hollander, Kleczka, Knowles, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 82?

Senator Kleczka moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Bidwell, Cullen, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hollander, Kleczka, Knowles, Krueger, McKenna, Martin, Morrison, Peloquin, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Theno, Thompson and Whittow -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Chilsen, Harnisch, Keppler, LaFave, Lorge, Maurer, Murphy, Parys and Swan -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Knutson -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 83?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 84?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

Senator McKenna moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lorge, McKenna, Morrison, Murphy and Peloquin -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Devitt, Hollander, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Martin, Maurer, Parys, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Whittow -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Knutson and Thompson -- 2.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 84?

Adopted.

By request of Senator Whittow, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 1:30 P.M.

12:02 P.M.

RECESS

1:30 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Hollander, with unanimous consent, he was granted a leave of absence at 4:45 P.M. for the balance of the day.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 85?

Adopted.

Senator Martin in the chair.

1:45 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 86?

Senator Sensenbrenner moved rejection.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Senators Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hollander, Keppler, Kleczka, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Theno, Thompson and Whittow -- 26.

Absent -- Senators Berger, Cullen, Harnisch, Morrison, Risser and Swan -- 6.

Absent with leave -- Senator Knutson -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 87?

Senator Whittow moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Hollander, Keppler, Kleczka, Knowles, Krueger, Lorge, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Parys, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan and Whittow -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Devitt, Dorman, LaFave, McKenna, Murphy, Peloquin, Risser, Theno and Thompson -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Knutson -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 88?

Senator Sensenbrenner moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Devitt, Goyke, Hollander, Keppler, Kleczka, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Martin, Murphy, Parys, Petri, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Harnisch, Maurer, Morrison, Peloquin, Risser, Swan, Thompson and Whittow -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Knutson and McKenna -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 88.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

The motion did not prevail.

Senate amendment 88 adopted.

President pro tempore of the senate in the chair.

2:30 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 89?

Senator McKenna in the chair.

2:35 P.M.

By request of Senator Whittow, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 89 was placed after senate amendment 196.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 90?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 90 to **Assembly Bill 222** offered by Senator Thompson.

Adopted.

Senate amendment 90 adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 91?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 91 to **Assembly Bill 222** offered by Senator Thompson.

Adopted.

QUORUM CALL

Senator Chilsen called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Hollander, Keppler, Kleczka, Knowles, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Whittow -- 30.

Absent -- Senators Bablitch and Krueger -- 2.

Absent with leave -- Senator Knutson -- 1.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 91?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Cullen, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, Knowles, Krueger, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Peloquin, Risser, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Whittow -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Frank, Hollander, Keppler, Kleczka, LaFave, Lorge, Parys, Petri and Sensenbrenner -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Knutson and Martin -- 2.

So the amendment was adopted.

President pro tempore of the senate in the chair.

3:00 P.M.

Senator Swan in the chair.

3:05 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 92?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Cullen, Devitt, Dorman, Goyke, Harnisch, Knowles, Krueger, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Peloquin, Risser, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Whittow -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Hollander, Keppler, Kleczka, LaFave, Lorge, Parys, Petri and Sensenbrenner -
- 12.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Berger and Knutson -- 2.

So the amendment was adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 93?

Senator McKenna moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Goyke, Harnisch, Hollander, Knowles, Krueger, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Peloquin, Petri, Risser, Theno and Thompson -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Devitt, Flynn, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, LaFave, Parys, Sensenbrenner, Swan and Whittow -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Knutson -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 94?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

Senator Kleczka moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hollander, Keppler, Kleczka, Knowles, Krueger, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Parys, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Theno and Whittow -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Harnisch, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Murphy, Peloquin, Risser, Swan and Thompson - - 12.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Knutson -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 95?

Adopted.

Senator Whittow moved rejection of senate amendment 95.

President pro tempore of the senate in the chair.

3:25 P.M.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 6; noes, 26; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Frank, Kleczka, Parys, Swan and Whittow -- 6.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, Hollander, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Peloquin, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Theno and Thompson -- 26.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Knutson -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senate amendment 95 adopted.

By request of Senator McKenna, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 6 was laid on the table.

By request of Senator McKenna, with unanimous consent, the authors of senate amendment 6 were made coauthors of senate amendment 95.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

Senator Flynn in the chair.

3:40 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 96?

Senator Sensenbrenner moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Devitt, Dorman, Frank, Goyke, Hollander, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Martin, Murphy, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Theno and Thompson -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Flynn, Harnisch, Kleczka, McKenna, Morrison, Parys, Peloquin, Swan and Whittow -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Knutson and Maurer -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 97?

Senator Lorge moved rejection.

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 98?

Senator Sensenbrenner moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Frank, Hollander, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, Martin, Maurer, Murphy, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Theno and Whittow -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Cullen, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, McKenna, Morrison, Parys, Peloquin, Swan and Thompson -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Knutson -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Berger, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 99 was withdrawn and returned to the author.

President pro tempore of the senate in the chair.

4:05 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 100?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 100 to **Assembly Bill 222** offered by Senators Parys, Kleczka, LaFave, McKenna, Berger, Goyke and Krueger.

Senator LaFave moved rejection of senate amendment 100.

Senator Thompson asked unanimous consent to be excused from voting on senate amendment 100 due to a conflict of interest.

Senator Petri objected.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Devitt, Flynn, Frank, Hollander, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Murphy, Peloquin, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Cullen, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Morrison, Parys, Swan, Thompson and Whittow -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Knutson -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Berger, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 101 was withdrawn and returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 102?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Chilsen, Devitt, Keppler, Kleczka, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Peloquin, Risser, Swan, Theno and Whittow -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Hollander, Parys, Petri, Sensenbrenner and Thompson -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Knutson -- 2.

So the amendment was adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 103?

Adopted.

By request of Senator Peloquin, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 104 was laid on the table.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 105?

Senator Petri moved rejection.

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 105?

Adopted.

Senator Parys in the chair.

4:55 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 106?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Devitt, Dorman, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, McKenna, Parys, Risser, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Cullen, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, Lorge, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Peloquin, Petri, Swan, Thompson and Whittow -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Hollander and Knutson -- 2.

So the amendment was not adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 107?

Senator Berger moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 29; noes, 1; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Whittow -- 29.

Noes -- Senator Chilsen -- 1.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Cullen, Hollander and Knutson -- 3.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 108?

Senator Whittow moved rejection.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Cullen, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Parys, Peloquin, Risser, Swan, Thompson and Whittow -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, Murphy, Petri, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Hollander and Knutson -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 109?

Senator Kleczka moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 6; noes, 25; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Kleczka, Martin, Murphy, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 6.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Chilsen, Cullen, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Risser, Swan, Thompson and Whittow -- 25.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Hollander and Knutson -- 2.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 109?

Adopted.

By request of Senator Petri, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 110 was placed after senate amendment 125.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 111?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 111 to **Assembly Bill 222** offered by Senator Parys.

By request of Senator Sensenbrenner, with unanimous consent, he was made a coauthor of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 111.

President pro tempore of the senate in the chair.

5:30 P.M.

Senator Peloquin raised the point of order that senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 111 was not germane.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 112?

By request of Senators McKenna, Berger and Harnisch, with unanimous consent, they were added as coauthors of senate amendment 112.

Senate amendment 112 adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 113?

By request of Senators Krueger, Harnisch and Berger, with unanimous consent, they were added as coauthors of senate amendment 113.

Senator Whittow moved rejection.

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 113?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 28; noes, 3; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Devitt, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Knowles, Krueger, LaFave, Lorge, McKenna, Martin, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Thompson -- 28.

Noes -- Senators Keppler, Kleczka and Whittow -- 3.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Hollander and Knutson -- 2.

So the amendment was adopted.

By request of Senator Swan, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 114 was placed after senate amendment 110.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 115?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 11, 1975]

Senator Whittow moved rejection.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Flynn, with unanimous consent, he was granted a leave of absence for the balance of today's session and for Thursday morning.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 119 to **Assembly Bill 222** by Senator Peloquin.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 195 to **Assembly Bill 222** by Senator Theno.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 208 to **Assembly Bill 222** by Senator Dorman.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 247 to **Assembly Bill 222** by Senator Parys.

Senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 222** by Senator Dorman.

Upon motion of Senator Whittow the senate adjourned until 9:00 A.M. Thursday, June 12.

6:10 P.M.

GUESTS

During today's session, the following visitors honored the senate with their presence:

St. Eugene Girl Scouts, guests of Senator Sensenbrenner.