

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Eighty-Third Regular Session

TUESDAY, May 17, 1977.

9:00 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by the president pro tempore of the senate.

The senate stood for the prayer which was offered by Reverend Joseph Washington, retired Baptist Minister of Madison.

The senate remained standing and Senator Maurer led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 33.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- None.

BILLS INTRODUCED

Read first time and referred:

Senate Bill 403

Relating to prohibiting discrimination in business and imposing a penalty.

By Senators Sensenbrenner and Dorman; cosponsored by Representatives Johnston and Lee.

To committee on Commerce.

MOTIONS

Senator Swan asked unanimous consent that **Senate Bill 357** be withdrawn from the committee on Senate Organization and referred to the committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

Senator Bablitch objected.

Senator Swan moved that **Senate Bill 357** be withdrawn from the committee on Senate Organization and referred to the committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

By request of Senator Parys, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 9:30 A.M.

9:15 A.M.

RECESS

9:30 A.M.

The senate reconvened.

By request of Senator Swan, with unanimous consent, his motion to refer **Senate Bill 357** was withdrawn.

Senator Bablitch called the chair's attention to **Senate Bill 77** which was a special order of business.

Senate Bill 77

Relating to state finances and appropriations, constituting the executive budget bill of the 1977 legislature, and making appropriations.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 35?

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Parys, with unanimous consent, he was granted a leave of absence until senate rule 3(3) is suspended.

By request of Senator Swan, with unanimous consent, he was granted a leave of absence until senate rule 3(3) is suspended.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

Senator Bablitch asked unanimous consent that senate rule 3(3) as it relates to the wearing of coats be suspended.

Senator McCallum objected.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate rule 3(3) as it relates to the wearing of coats be suspended.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 20; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Dorman, Keppler, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McKenna, Murphy, Offner, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 11.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, McCallum, Maurer, Morrison, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Parys and Swan -- 2.

Less than two-thirds having voted in the affirmative the motion did not prevail.

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 35 to senate substitute amendment 1.

By request of Senator McCallum, with unanimous consent, he was removed as a coauthor of senate amendment 35 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Murphy, Petri, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Parys and Swan -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 36 was placed after senate amendment 7 which follows senate amendment 76 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 1?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Keppler, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Petri, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Parys and Swan -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Dorman, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Petri, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Parys and Swan -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 39 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, McCallum, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Petri, Radosevich, Risser and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Harnisch, Lasec, Lorge, McKenna, Murphy, Peloquin, Sensenbrenner, Theno and Thompson -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Parys and Swan -- 2.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 40.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Kleczka, Lorge, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Harnisch, Keppler, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum, McKenna, Murphy, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Parys and Swan -- 2.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Kleczka asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

Senator Murphy objected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senate amendment 1 adopted.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 41 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

By request of Senator Chilsen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 41 was withdrawn and returned to the author.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1 was placed after senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 1.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 42 and 25 to senate substitute amendment 1 were placed after senate amendment 87 to senate substitute amendment 1.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

By request of Senator Murphy, with unanimous consent, he was added as a coauthor of senate amendments 42 and 87 to senate substitute amendment 1.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 43 to senate substitute amendment 1 was placed after senate amendment 103 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 44 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 25; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Sensenbrenner, Theno and Van Sistine -- 25.

Noes -- Senators Braun, Keppler, Krueger, McKenna, Risser and Thompson -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Parys and Swan -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 45 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Harnisch, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Theno and Thompson -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Goyke, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Murphy, Peloquin, Petri and Van Sistine -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman, Parys and Swan -- 3.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 45 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 46 to senate substitute amendment 1?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Dorman, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, Morrison, Offner, Radosevich and Risser -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Murphy, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Maurer, Parys and Swan -- 3.

So the motion did not prevail.

The chair introduced his Excellency Ambassador Sommerfelt of Norway. The ambassador was in Dane County to help area Norwegians celebrate Norwegian Constitution Day, May 17, 1814.

Senator Thompson escorted the ambassador to the rostrum where he addressed a few remarks to the senate.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

By request of Senators Chilsen, Petri, McCallum, Theno, Lasee and Krueger, with unanimous consent, their names were removed as coauthors of senate amendment 24 to senate substitute amendment 1.

Upon motion of Senator Bablitch the senate recessed until 2:00 P.M.

11:55 A.M.

RECESS

2:00 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 102 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators McKenna and Maurer.

Senate amendment 103 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Berger and Braun.

Senate amendment 104 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators McKenna, Goyke, Offner, Morrison, Krueger, Radosevich, Peloquin, Braun, Cullen, Petri, Theno, Swan, Sensenbrenner, Chilsen, Bidwell and McCallum.

Senate amendment 105 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Goyke.

Senate amendment 106 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Peloquin.

Senate amendment 107 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Cullen.

Senate amendment 108 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Cullen.

Senate amendment 109 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Cullen.

Senate amendment 110 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Van Sistine, Thompson, Krueger, Lorge, Cullen, Bablitch, McKenna, Goyke, Harnisch, Bidwell, Keppler, Theno, Sensenbrenner, Chilsen, McCallum, Lasee, Murphy and Petri.

Senate amendment 111 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Frank and Flynn.

Senate amendment 112 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Frank and Flynn.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

Senate amendment 113 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 77 by Senator Kleczka.

Senate amendment 114 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 77 by Senator Offner.

Senate amendment 115 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 77 by Senator Flynn.

Senate amendment 116 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 77 by Senators Flynn and Berger.

Senate amendment 117 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 77 by Senator Cullen.

Senate amendment 118 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 77 by Senator Cullen.

Senate amendment 119 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 77 by Senators Parys, Goyke, Cullen, McKenna, Chilsen, Swan, Bidwell and Krueger.

Senate amendment 120 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 77 by Senators Parys, Goyke, Krueger, Cullen, Chilsen and Swan.

Senate amendment 121 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 77 by Senators Parys, Goyke, Krueger, Cullen, McKenna, Chilsen, Swan and Bidwell.

Senate amendment 122 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 77 by Senators Goyke and Risser.

Senate amendment 123 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 77 by Senators Thompson and Van Sistine.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 48 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Sensenbrenner asked unanimous consent that the record be expunged as it relates to the removal of coauthors on senate amendment 24 to senate substitute amendment 1.

Senator Flynn objected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 49 to senate substitute amendment 1?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Peloquin, Radosevich and Risser -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Keppler, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Murphy, Parys, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Swan -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 50 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator McKenna, with unanimous consent, he was added as a coauthor of senate amendment 50.

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 8; noes, 25; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Braun, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Lasee, Murphy, Offner and Thompson -- 8.

**Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine -
- 25.**

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 50 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 51 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 51 was withdrawn and returned to the author.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 52 was withdrawn and returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 53 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

QUORUM CALL

Senator Parys called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 33.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- None.

The question was: Rejection?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Kleczka, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Murphy, Parys, Petri, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Parys moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 23 was rejected.

The motion prevailed.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

By request of Senator Parys, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 23 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The motion prevailed.

Senator Chilsen moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 54 was rejected.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 9; noes, 24; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Keppler, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Murphy, Petri and Sensenbrenner -- 9.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 24.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Keppler, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Petri, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 56 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

By request of Senators Murphy, Radosevich, Chilsen, Morrison, McKenna and McCallum, with unanimous consent, they were added as coauthors of senate amendment 56 to senate substitute amendment 1.

By request of Senator McCallum, with unanimous consent, he was added as a coauthor of senate amendments 60 through 76 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 56?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 6; noes, 27; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Flynn, Keppler, Lorge, Maurer and Swan -- 6.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum, McKenna, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 27.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 56 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 22 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 22 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 57 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Frank, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Parys, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Swan and Thompson -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Krueger, McCallum, McKenna, Murphy, Peloquin, Sensenbrenner, Theno and Van Sistine -- 13.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Flynn, Frank, Harnisch, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser, Theno and Thompson -- 14.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Parys, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan and Van Sistine -- 17.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Goyke and McKenna -- 2.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 59 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The motion did not prevail.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** offered by Senator Braun.

Senator Harnisch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 9; noes, 24; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Frank, Harnisch, Keppler, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Petri, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 9.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Goyke, Kleczka, Krueger, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 24.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

The question was: Adoption?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 60 adopted.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** offered by Senator Harnisch.

The amendment was not adopted.

By request of Senator Kleczka, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 60 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Kleczka moved rejection.

By request of Senator Murphy, with unanimous consent, he was added as a coauthor of senate amendment 61.

The question was: Rejection?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 8; noes, 25; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Braun, Dorman, McKenna, Morrison, Offner, Risser, Swan and Thompson -- 8.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Sensenbrenner, Theno and Van Sistine -- 25.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 61 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 62 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 62 was laid on the table.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 63 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator McKenna, with unanimous consent, his name was withdrawn as a coauthor of senate amendment 63.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 63 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Goyke, McCallum, McKenna, Morrison, Offner, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser, Swan and Thompson -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Frank, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Murphy, Parys, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Theno and Van Sistine -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Maurer -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** offered by Senator Thompson.

Senator Sensenbrenner asked for a division of the question.

The question was: Adoption of part I of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 64?

Senator Sensenbrenner moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Dorman, Frank, Goyke, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner and Theno -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Harnisch, Keppler, Lorge, McKenna, Peloquin, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 15.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of part II of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 64?

Part II of senate amendment 1 adopted.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

By request of Senator Murphy, with unanimous consent, he was added as a coauthor of senate amendment 65.

The question was: Rejection?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 6; noes, 26; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Braun, McKenna, Peloquin, Risser, Swan and Thompson -- 6.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Petri, Radosevich, Sensenbrenner, Theno and Van Sistine -- 26.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Krueger -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** offered by Senator Thompson.

Senator Petri moved rejection.

The motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Kleczka, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 65 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 1?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** offered by Senator Berger.

Senator Harnisch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 27; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 27.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Frank, Kleczka, Parys, Sensenbrenner and Swan -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 66.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 7; noes, 26; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Krueger and Maurer -- 7.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Cullen, Dorman, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 26.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 66 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 67 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

By request of Senator Morrison, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 67 was placed after senate amendment 76.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 68 to senate substitute amendment 1?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 68 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 69 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 1; noes, 32; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senator Swan -- 1.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 32.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 69 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 70 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 70 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser, Swan and Thompson -- 17.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Parys, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Theno and Van Sistine -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 72 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 5; noes, 28; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Braun, Morrison, Risser and Swan -- 5.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Sensenbrenner, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 28.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 72 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 10; noes, 23; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Braun, Cullen, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Risser, Swan, Theno and Thompson -- 10.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Sensenbrenner and Van Sistine -- 23.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 73 was laid on the table.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

Senator Thompson asked unanimous consent that **Senate Bill 205** be withdrawn from the committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs and referred to the committee on Human Services.

Senator Flynn objected.

Senator Bablitch asked unanimous consent that **Senate Bill 205** be withdrawn from the committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs and referred to the committee on Senate Organization.

Senator Flynn objected.

Senator Thompson asked unanimous consent that **Senate Bill 217** be withdrawn from the committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs and referred to the committee on Human Services.

Senator Sensenbrenner objected.

By request of Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, the journal showed that he intended to vote "Aye" for rejection of senate amendment 39 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77**, and "No" for rejection of senate amendment 50 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77**.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 124 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Cullen, Van Sistine and Theno.

Senate amendment 125 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Harnisch.

Senate amendment 126 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Harnisch.

Senate amendment 127 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Harnisch.

Senate amendment 128 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Harnisch.

Senate amendment 129 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Harnisch.

Senate amendment 130 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Harnisch, Chilsen, McKenna, Peloquin, McCallum and Bablitch.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

Senate amendment 131 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Harnisch and Parys.

Senate amendment 132 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Flynn and Harnisch.

Senate amendment 133 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Swan.

Senate amendment 134 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Van Sistine, Goyke and Parys.

Senate amendment 135 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Van Sistine, Parys and Goyke.

Senate amendment 136 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Flynn.

Senate amendment 137 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Flynn.

Senate amendment 138 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Flynn, McKenna, Swan, Parys, Peloquin and Maurer.

Senate amendment 139 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Radosevich, Peloquin, Goyke, Krueger, Van Sistine, Bablitch, McKenna and Cullen.

Senate amendment 140 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator McKenna.

Senate amendment 141 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators McKenna, Cullen, Goyke, Maurer and Adelman, by request of Jack Reihl, AFL-CIO.

Senate amendment 142 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Parys, Berger, Swan and Cullen.

Senate amendment 143 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Parys, Flynn, Frank, Sensenbrenner and Adelman.

Senate amendment 144 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Braun, Berger, Flynn, Cullen, Parys and Swan.

Senate amendment 145 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Risser and McKenna, by request of the city of Madison.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [May 17, 1977]

Senate amendment 146 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Risser and McKenna, by request of the city of Madison.

Senate amendment 147 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators McKenna, Goyke and Peloquin.

Senate amendment 148 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Risser, Thompson and McKenna.

Senate amendment 149 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Risser, Thompson and McKenna.

Senate amendment 150 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Braun and Flynn, by request of the city of Milwaukee.

Senate amendment 151 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Cullen.

Senate amendment 152 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Cullen.

Senate amendment 153 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senator Cullen.

Senate amendment 154 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Parys and Sensenbrenner.

Senate amendment 155 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 77** by Senators Adelman, McKenna and Goyke.

Senate amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 352** by Senator Adelman.

By request of Senator Thompson, with unanimous consent, the senate adjourned in honor of Norway Constitution Day, May 17, 1814.

Upon motion of Senator Bablitch the senate adjourned until 9:00 A.M. Wednesday, May 18.

7:09 P.M.

GUESTS

During today's session, the following visitors honored the senate with their presence:

The Fourth Grade class of Cambridge Elementary school, Cambridge, guests of Senator McKenna.

Thorp Catholic School students, accompanied by Irene Zoromski and Sister Joanne, guests of Senator Harnisch.

Rita Macewicz, President of the Rock Deanery Council of Catholic Women; John Mueller and Mrs. Ruth Boerner, Beloit, guests of Senator Cullen.

Ironton-LaValle School, Reedsburg, Mrs. Diece and Mrs. Wells, instructors, guests of Senator Bidwell.

The Fourth and Sixth grade from Trinty Lutheran School, Merrill, guests of Senator Krueger.

Oconomowoc Senior High School, Oconomowoc, guests of Senator Adelman.

The Ninth grade from Mercer High School, Mercer, guests of Senator Theno.

"Senators For a Day" students, Platteville, guests of Senator Morrison.

Madison Diocesan Council of Catholic Women and guests from the LaCrosse and Milwaukee Diocese, guests of Senator Risser.