

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

STATE OF WISCONSIN
Senate Journal
Eighty-Third Regular Session

THURSDAY, February 16, 1978.

9:00 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by Fred A. Risser, president pro tempore of the senate.

The senate stood for the prayer which was offered by Reverend Julius Stagner, Pastor of Midvale Baptist Church in Madison.

The senate remained standing and Senator Kleczka led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 32.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- Senator Krueger -- 1.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

The joint committee on Finance reports and recommends:

Senate Bill 320

Relating to creating a wetlands protection board, establishing a wetlands protection program, granting rule-making authority, making an appropriation and providing a penalty.

Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

Ayes, 10 -- Senators Dorman, Kleczka, Morrison and Maurer, Representatives Johnson, Otte, Roberts, Norquist, Kirby and Quackenbush;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 9 -- Senators Dorman, Kleczka, and Morrison, Representatives Johnson, Otte, Roberts, Norquist, Kirby, and Quackenbush

Noes, 1 -- Senator Maurer.

Passage as amended:

Ayes, 8 -- Senators Dorman, Kleczka, and Morrison, Representatives Johnson, Otte, Roberts, Norquist and Kirby;

Noes, 2 -- Senator Maurer and Representative Quackenbush;

Senate Bill 362

Relating to aircraft registration and providing a penalty.

Adoption of senate amendment 1:

Ayes, 11 -- Senators Dorman, Kleczka, Maurer, Morrison and Chilsen; Representatives Johnson, Otte, Roberts, Norquist, Kirby and Quackenbush;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Adoption of senate amendment 3:

Ayes, 11 -- Senators Dorman, Kleczka, Morrison, Maurer and Chilsen; Representative Johnson, Otte, Roberts, Norquist, Kirby and Quackenbush;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Passage as amended:

Ayes, 9 -- Senators Dorman, Kleczka, Morrison and Maurer; Representatives Johnson, Otte, Roberts, Kirby and Quackenbush;

Noes, 2 -- Senator Chilsen and Representative Norquist.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

Senate Bill 689

An Act to appropriate \$25,362.73 from the conservation fund for payment of a claim made by Juneau county against the state.

Passage:

Ayes, 11 -- Senators Dorman, Kleczka, Morrison, Maurer and Chilsen; Representatives Johnson, Otte, Roberts, Norquist, Kirby and Quackenbush;

Noes, 0 -- None.

HENRY DORMAN

Chairman

The committee on Commerce reports and recommends:

Senate Bill 211

Relating to eligibility of relatives of business owners for unemployment compensation benefits.

Introduction of senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Goyke, Keppler, Bidwell and Petri;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Goyke, Keppler, Bidwell and Petri;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Passage as amended:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Goyke, Keppler, Bidwell and Petri;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Senate Bill 607

Relating to requiring color coding of underground transmission facilities for nonemergency demolition or excavation.

Passage:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Goyke, Keppler, Bidwell and Petri;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Senate Bill 643

Relating to the limitation of premium and coverage discrimination by insurers issuing motor vehicle liability policies.

Introduction of senate substitute amendment 2:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Keppler and Bidwell;

Noes, 2 -- Senators Goyke and Petri.

Adoption of senate substitute amendment 2:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Keppler and Bidwell;
Noes, 2 -- Senators Goyke and Petri.

Passage as amended:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Keppler and Bidwell;
Noes, 2 -- Senators Goyke and Petri.

Senate Bill 646

Relating to closing hours for establishments selling fermented malt beverages and intoxicating liquor.

Passage:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan and Goyke;
Noes, 3 -- Senators Keppler, Bidwell and Petri.

Assembly Bill 149

Relating to photographs on drivers' licenses, elimination of "race" from drivers' licenses, alteration of the license renewal period and license fees, authorizing issuance of an identification card, granting rule-making authority and making an appropriation.

Introduction of senate amendment 1:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Goyke, Bidwell and Petri;
Noes, 1 -- Senator Keppler.

Adoption of senate amendment 1:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Goyke, Bidwell and Petri;
Noes, 1 -- Senator Keppler.

Introduction of senate amendment 2:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Goyke, Keppler, and Petri;
Noes, 1 -- Senator Bidwell.

Adoption of senate amendment 2:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Goyke, Keppler, and Petri;
Noes, 1 -- Senator Bidwell.

Introduction of senate amendment 3:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Goyke and Keppler;
Noes, 2 -- Senators Bidwell and Petri.

Adoption of senate amendment 3:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Goyke and Keppler;
Noes, 2 -- Senators Bidwell and Petri.

Concurrence as amended:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Goyke, Keppler and Petri;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Senate Bill 324

Relating to authorizing credit unions as public depositories.

Passage:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Goyke and Petri;

Noes, 2 -- Senators Keppler and Bidwell.

and recommends for introduction:

Senate Bill 699

Relating to rural letter box supports.

By request of the Governor's Office of Highway Safety.

Introduction:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Parys, Berger, Swan, Goyke, Keppler, Bidwell and Petri;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Read first time and referred to committee on Commerce.

**RONALD G. PARYS
Chairman**

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS

**State of Wisconsin
Office of the Governor
Madison, Wisconsin**

February 15, 1978.

To the Honorable, the Senate:

Pursuant to the provisions of the statutes governing, I have nominated and with the advice and consent of the senate do appoint Linda Reivitz, of Madison, as Secretary of the Department of Employment Relations, to serve for the term ending at the pleasure of the Governor.

**Sincerely,
MARTIN J. SCHREIBER
Governor**

Read and referred to committee on Governmental and Veteran's Affairs.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Everett E. Bolle, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has adopted and asks concurrence in::

Assembly Joint Resolution 82
Assembly Joint Resolution 87

Passed and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Bill 349
Assembly Bill 898
Assembly Bill 1033
Assembly Bill 1040
Assembly Bill 1100
Assembly Bill 1111
Assembly Bill 1069

Concurred in:

Senate Bill 287

Amended and concurred in as amended:

Senate Bill 393, assembly substitute amendment 1 adopted

Adopted and asks concurrence in:

MOTIONS UNDER JOINT RULE 7

A joint certificate of congratulations by Representative Conradt; co-sponsored by Senator Lorge for ELLINGTON MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY on 100 years in business.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY CONSIDERED

Assembly Joint Resolution 82

Relating to legislative council study of recodification of the laws governing alcoholic beverages.

By Representative Dorff.

Read first time and referred to committee on Commerce.

Assembly Joint Resolution 87

Granting the use of the legislative chambers on March 4 and 5, 1978, to the 1978 Model Legislature of the Young Republicans and Young Democrats.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

By Representatives Thompson, Shabaz, Jackamonis, Ferrall AND Kedrowski, co-sponsored by Senators Risser, Kleczka, Krueger and Sensenbrenner.

Read first time and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

Assembly Bill 349

Relating to recodification of the code of ethics for state public officials, granting rule-making authority, making an appropriation and providing penalties.

By Representatives Munts, Johnston, Ferrall, Rutkowski, Tuczynski, Brist, Engeleiter, Wahner, Metz, Day, McClain, Quackenbush, Schmidt, Tropman, Elconin, Kedrowski, Lee, Leopold, Lingren, Plewa, Coggs, Loftus, Potter, Clarenbach, Litscher, Flintrop, Bear, Barry, Ausman, Gunderson, Donoghue, Byers, Travis, Soucie and Miller, co-sponsored by Senators Adelman, Maurer, Radosevich, Flynn, Offner, Braun, Berger, VanSistine, Goyke, Morrison, Petri, McKenna and Bablitch, by request of The Ethics Board.

Read first time and referred to committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

Assembly Bill 898

Relating to various changes in the state mental health act and related provisions, granting rule-making authority and providing penalties.

By Representatives Munts, Flintrop and Czerwinski, co-sponsored by Senators Morrison and Chilsen, by request of Department of Health and Social Services.

Read first time and referred to committee on Human Services.

Assembly Bill 1033

Relating to referendum ballot information concerning capital construction costs.

By Representative Dueholm, by request of Raymond L. Johnson and Lowell Nelson.

Read first time and referred to committee on Governmental and Veteran's Affairs.

Assembly Bill 1040

Relating to permitting minors to enter liquor stores.

By Representative Plewa, co-sponsored by Senator Frank, by request of the City of Milwaukee.

Read first time and referred to committee on Commerce.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

Assembly Bill 1100

Relating to residency requirements for real estate branch office supervisors.

By Representative Thompson.

Read first time and referred to committee for Review of Administrative Rules.

Assembly Bill 1111

Relating to placing chapter 608 (except section 15), laws of 1913, as amended, in the statutes, sewerage commissions in cities of the 1st class and metropolitan sewerage districts.

By Representatives Lee, Soucie, Moody, Jackamonis, Wahner, Leopold, Ward, Tuczynski and Norquist, co-sponsored by Senators Adelman, Braun, Berger and Sensenbrenner.

Read first time and referred to committee on Governmental and Veteran's Affairs.

Assembly Bill 1069

Relating to the time for filing a purchase money security interest.

By Representatives Barczak, Hauke, McClain, Ausman, Pabst, Clarenbach, Snyder, Soucie, Groshek and Thompson.

Read first time and referred to committee on Commerce.

CALENDAR OF FEBRUARY 16

Senate Bill 286

Relating to regulation of lobbying, granting rule-making authority, providing penalties and making appropriations.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Swan moved that **Senate Bill 286** be referred to committee on Governmental and Veteran's Affairs.

Senator Parys called the chair's attention to **Assembly Bill 321** which was a special order of business.

Assembly Bill 321

Relating to prohibiting and restricting state and local abortion subsidies.

Read a second time.

Senate amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 321** offered by Senators Flynn, Frank, Lorge, Berger, Kleczka and Braun.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, future speakers may speak on the bill as a whole as well as each individual amendment.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?

Senator Radosevich moved rejection of senate amendment 1.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Dorman, Goyke, Harnisch, Morrison, Offner, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson -- 11.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine -- 21.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Krueger -- 1.

So the amendment was not rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Dorman, Goyke, Harnisch, Morrison, Offner, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Krueger -- 1.

So the amendment was adopted.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Dorman, with unanimous consent, he was granted a leave of absence from 8:30 P.M. until 11:00 P.M.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 4:00 P.M.

12:05 P.M.

RECESS

4:00 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senators Bablitch, Dorman, Radosevich and Morrison.

Senate amendment 2 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senators Flynn, Braun, Kleczka, Berger, Lorge, McKenna Van Sistine, Frank and Chilsen.

Senate amendment 3 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senator Dorman.

Senate amendment 4 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senator Bablitch.

Senate amendment 5 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senators Morrison and Bablitch.

Senate amendments 6, 7 and 8 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senator Thompson.

Senate amendment 9 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senator Radosevich.

Senate amendment 10 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senator Thompson.

Senate amendment 11 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senator Radosevich.

Senate amendment 12 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senators Offner, Radosevich and Risser.

Senate amendment 13 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senators Morrison, Bablitch, Offner and Radosevich.

Senate amendment 14 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senator Offner.

Senate amendments 15,16,17 and 18 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senator Thompson.

Senate amendment 19 to **Assembly Bill 321** by Senators Morrison and Bablitch.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

Senate amendment 20 to Assembly Bill 321 by Senator Radosevich.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 321?

Senator Kleczka moved that senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

QUORUM CALL

Senator Bablitch called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Morrison, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 28.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- Senators Bidwell, Flynn, Krueger, Maurer and Offner -- 5.

Senator Kleczka withdrew his motion to lay senate substitute amendment 1 on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Berger moved that senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

By request of Senator Berger, with unanimous consent, he withdrew his motion to lay senate substitute amendment 1 on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Kleczka asked unanimous consent that senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

Senator Bablitch objected.

Senator Kleczka moved that senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 26.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Cullen, Dorman, Morrison, Radosevich and Risser -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Krueger -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?

By request of Senator Flynn, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 2 was placed after senate amendment 21.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3?

Senator Kleczka in the chair.

5:25 P.M.

Senator Berger moved rejection of senate amendment 3.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 25; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 25.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Cullen, Dorman, Keppler, Morrison, Radosevich and Risser -- 7.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Krueger -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 4.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4?

Senator Berger asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 4 be returned to the author.

Senator Bablitch objected.

Senator Chilsen moved that senate amendment 4 be laid on the table.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

The question was: Shall senate amendment 4 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 30; noes, 2; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 30.

Noes -- Senators Keppler and Radosevich -- 2.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Krueger -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5?

By request of Senator Morrison, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 5 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 6.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 6?

Senator Flynn asked unanimous consent to withdraw his motion to reject senate amendment.

Senator Sensenbrenner objected.

By request of Senator Thompson, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 6 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7?

Senator Thompson asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 7 be returned to the author.

Senator Peloquin objected.

By request of Senator Peloquin, with unanimous consent, he withdrew his objection and senate amendment 7 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8?

Senator Thompson asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 8 be returned to the author.

Senator Braun objected.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

By request of Senator Braun, with unanimous consent, he withdrew his objection.

Senator Bablitch objected.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, he withdrew his objection and senate amendment 8 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 9?

By request of Senator Flynn, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 9 was placed after senate amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 10?

Senator Murphy moved rejection of senate amendment 10.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 10?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine -- 24.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Cullen, Harnisch, Petri, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson -- 7.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11?

Senator Murphy moved rejection of senate amendment 11.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 11?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Dorman, Harnisch, McCallum, Morrison, Offner, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Krueger -- 1.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 12.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 12?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, Maurer, Murphy, Sensenbrenner and Swan — 13.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Dorman, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, McCallum, McKenna, Morrison, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine — 19.

Absent or not voting — Senator Krueger — 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12?

Senator Berger moved that senate amendment 12 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 12 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, Maurer, Murphy, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine — 17.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Dorman, Goyke, Harnisch, McCallum, McKenna, Morrison, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson — 15.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Krueger -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 13?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 13.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 13?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

Ayes — Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Kleczka, Lorge, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan and Theno — 17.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Dorman, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Lasee, McCallum, Morrison, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Thompson and Van Sistine — 15.

Absent or not voting — Senator Krueger — 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 14?

President pro tempore of the senate in the chair.

7:23 P.M.

Senator Berger moved rejection of senate amendment 14.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 14?

Senator Offner asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 14 be placed after senate amendment 24.

Senator Bidwell objected.

Senator Offner moved that senate amendment 14 be placed after senate amendment 24.

By request of Senator Offner, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 14 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 15?

Senator Kleczka moved rejection of senate amendment 15.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 15?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 29; noes, 3; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 29.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Cullen and Dorman -- 3.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Krueger -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 16?

Senator Kleczka moved rejection of senate amendment 16.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 16?

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Dorman, with unanimous consent, he was granted a leave of absence from 7:50 P.M. until 11:00 P.M.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 16?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Chilsen, Cullen, Morrison, Offner, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 17?

Senator Kleczka moved rejection of senate amendment 17.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 17?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 25; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 25.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Chilsen, Cullen, Harnisch, Radosevich and Risser -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 18?

Senator Kleczka moved rejection of senate amendment 18.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 18?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 18 was placed after senate amendment 24.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 19?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 19.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 19?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine — 21.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Harnisch, McCallum, Morrison, Offner, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson — 10.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 20?

Senator Berger moved rejection of senate amendment 20.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 20?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine — 20.

Noes — Senators Bablitch, Cullen, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, McCallum, Morrison, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson — 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 21 to **Assembly Bill 321** offered by Senator Morrison.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 21?

By request of Senator Morrison, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 21 was placed after senate amendment 18.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 8:25 P.M.

8:10

RECESS

8:25

The senate reconvened.

QUORUM CALL

Senator Bablitch called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 26.

Absent -- Senators Bidwell, Goyke, Morrison, Peloquin and Swan -- 5.

Absent with leave -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?
Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 9?

Senator Sensenbrenner moved rejection of senate amendment 9.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 9?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine — 20.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Harnisch, Keppler, McCallum, Morrison, Offner, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson — 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

Senate amendment 22 to Assembly Bill 321 offered by Senator Harnisch.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 22?

Senator Goyke moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 10 was rejected.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 10 was rejected?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 10; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Cullen, Goyke, Harnisch, Morrison, Offner, Petri, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson -- 10.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine -- 21.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 22?

Senator Berger moved rejection of senate amendment 22.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 22?

By request of Senator Berger, with unanimous consent, he withdrew his motion to reject senate amendment 22.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 22?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 23 to Assembly Bill 321 offered by Senator Bablitch.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 23?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 23.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 23?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, Lorge, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine — 18.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

Noes — Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Goyke, Harnisch, Lasee, McCallum, Morrison, Offner, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson — 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 24 to Assembly Bill 321 offered by Senator Thompson.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 24?

Senator Sensenbrenner moved rejection of senate amendment 24.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 24?

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 18?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 18.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 18?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 29; noes, 2; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 29.

Noes -- Senators Cullen and Radosevich -- 2.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 21?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 21.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 21?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Goyke, Harnisch, Morrison, Offner, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson -- 10.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Offner moved that senate amendment 12 be taken from the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 12 be taken from the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Chilsen, Cullen, Goyke, Harnisch, McCallum, McKenna, Morrison, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Radosevich, Risser, Thompson and Van Sistine — 16.

Noes — Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Flynn, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, Maurer, Murphy, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan and Theno — 15.

Absent or not voting — Senators Dorman and Krueger — 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 12.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 12?

Senator Kleczka moved that senate amendment 12 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 12 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Flynn, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Murphy, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine — 18.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Chilsen, Cullen, Goyke, Harnisch, McKenna, Morrison, Offner, Parys, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson — 13.

Absent or not voting — Senators Dorman and Krueger — 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Shall the bill be ordered to a third reading?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine -- 24.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Cullen, Morrison, Offner, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson -- 7.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Bablitch asked unanimous consent that the bill be given a third reading at this time.

Senator Morrison objected.

Senator Bablitch moved that the rules be suspended and the bill be given a third reading at this time.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 321** be given a third reading at this time.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 5; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine -- 26.

Noes -- Senators Morrison, Offner, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson -- 5.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

More than two-thirds having voted in the affirmative the motion prevailed.

Assembly Bill 321

Read a third time.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 321** be concurred in?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine -- 24.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [February 16, 1978]

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Cullen, Morrison, Offner, Radosevich, Risser and Thompson -- 7.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

So the bill was concurred in as amended.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, all action on **Assembly Bill 321** was ordered immediately messaged.

Senate Bill 286

Relating to regulation of lobbying, granting rule-making authority, providing penalties and making appropriations.

Read a second time.

Senator Parys asked unanimous consent that **Senate Bill 286** be referred to committee on Senate Organization.

Senator Adelman objected.

By request of Senator Swan, with unanimous consent, he withdrew his motion to refer **Senate Bill 286** to committee on Governmental and Veteran's Affairs.

Senator Parys moved that **Senate Bill 286** be referred to committee on Senate Organization.

The question was: Shall **Senate Bill 286** be referred to committee on Senate Organization?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Frank, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, Lorge, McKenna, Murphy, Parys, Peloquin, Swan and Theno — 14.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, McCallum, Maurer, Morrison, Offner, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Thompson and Van Sistine — 17.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Dorman and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 286**?

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Frank, with unanimous consent, he was granted a leave of absence for the balance of today's session.

QUORUM CALL

Senator Sensenbrenner called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Lasee, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Petri, Radosevich, Risser, Sensenbrenner, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine — 26.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave — Senators Berger, Bidwell, Dorman, Frank, Kleczka, Krueger and Lorge — 7.

The senate stood informal for five minutes.

10:20 P.M.

10:25 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

Senator Bablitch moved that **Senate Bill 286** be referred to committee on Senate Organization.

The question was: Shall **Senate Bill 286** be referred to committee on Senate Organization?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 4; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Dorman, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, Keppler, Kleczka, Lasee, McCallum, McKenna, Maurer, Morrison, Murphy, Offner, Parys, Peloquin, Radosevich, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine — 26.

Noes — Senators Braun, Petri, Risser and Sensenbrenner — 4.
Absent or not voting — Senators Frank, Krueger and Lorge — 3.

So the motion prevailed.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 452** by Senator Cullen.

Senate amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 413** by Senator Braun.

Senate amendment 2 and 3 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 286** by Senator Sensenbrenner.

Senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 286** by Senator Swan.

Senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 643** by Senator Braun.

Senate amendments 1 and 2 to **Assembly Bill 664** by Senator Parys.

Senate amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 353** by Senator Petri.

Senate amendment 6 to **Assembly Bill 519** by Senators Harnisch and Adelman.

Upon motion of Senator Bablitch the senate adjourned until 10:00 A.M., Tuesday, February 21.

10:35 P.M.

CHIEF CLERK'S CORRECTION

Suggested by Legislative Reference Bureau

Relating to:

Senate Bill 49

In enrolling, the words "to repeal" were deleted in line 1, and ";" and "and" was deleted in line 2 of page 1, to reconcile the effects of adopted senate amendments 1 and 2 which deleted all proposed repeal actions originally contained in 1977 Senate Bill 49.

Relating to:

Assembly Bill 1111, assembly substitute amendment 1

1. On page 27, line 16, substitute "62.66 and 62.67" for "66.66 and 66.67".
2. On page 27, line 17, substitute "62.66" for "66.66".
3. On page 28, line 5, substitute "62.67" for "66.67".