

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Assembly Journal

Eighty-Fourth Regular Session

TUESDAY, February 5, 1980.

10:00 A.M.

The assembly met.

Speaker Jackamonis in the chair.

The prayer was offered by Reverend Robert Anthony of Grace Baptist Church, Plover.

Representative Dorff led the membership in reciting the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Present -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Clarenbach, Coggs, Conradt, Czerwinski, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gagin, Gerlach, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Hopkins, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lee, Leopold, Lewison, Lingren, Loftus, Luckhardt, McClain, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Menos, Merkt, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Nelsen, Norquist, Omernick, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Roberts, Robertson, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Smith, Snyder, Soucie, Stitt, Swoboda, Tesmer, Thompson, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood, Young and Mr. Speaker -- 96.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- None.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Assembly amendment 1 to **Assembly Resolution 22** offered by Representative Quackenbush.

Assembly amendment 2 to **Assembly Resolution 22** offered by Representative Clarenbach.

Assembly amendment 2 to **Assembly Bill 495** offered by committee on Financial Institutions.

Assembly amendment 3 to **Assembly Bill 495** offered by committee on Financial Institutions.

Assembly amendment 4 to **Assembly Bill 495** offered by committee on Financial Institutions.

Assembly amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 827** offered by Representative Wood.

Assembly amendment 2 to **Assembly Bill 951** offered by Representative Lallensack.

Assembly amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 959** offered by Representative Omernick.

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 1054** offered by committee on Financial Institutions.

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 1078** offered by committee on Revenue.

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 1090** offered by Representatives Thompson, Luckhardt, Donoghue, Nelsen and Goodrich.

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 26** offered by committee on Revenue.

Assembly amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 171** offered by committee on Financial Institutions.

Assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 9 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Gerlach, Metz, Loftus, Munts, Ferrall and Clarenbach.

Assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 13 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Kedrowski.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Assembly amendment 18 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Laatsch.

Assembly amendment 19 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Laatsch.

Assembly amendment 20 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Laatsch.

Assembly amendment 21 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Hephner.

Assembly amendment 22 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Hephner.

Assembly amendment 23 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Hephner.

Assembly amendment 24 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representatives Rogers and Hephner.

Assembly amendment 25 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Hauke.

Assembly amendment 26 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Medinger.

Assembly amendment 27 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Kirby.

Assembly amendment 28 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Behnke.

Assembly amendment 29 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Ferrall.

Assembly amendment 30 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Ferrall.

Assembly amendment 31 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representatives Barczak, Shoemaker, Hopkins and Harer.

Assembly amendment 32 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representatives Flintrop and DeLong.

Assembly amendment 33 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Ferrall.

Assembly amendment 34 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representatives Johnson and Schneider.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Assembly amendment 35 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Broydrick, Kirby and Metz.

Assembly amendment 36 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Barczak and Shoemaker.

Assembly amendment 37 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Jackamonis, Barczak and Shoemaker.

Assembly amendment 38 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Jackamonis.

Assembly amendment 39 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Jackamonis.

Assembly amendment 40 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Duren.

Assembly amendment 41 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Soucie, Schneider and Metz.

Assembly amendment 42 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Soucie, Schneider and Metz.

Assembly amendment 43 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Metz and Porter.

Assembly amendment 44 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Kincaid, Swoboda, Vanderperren, Lallensack, Andrea, Becker, Omernick, Merkt, Gagin and Clarenbach.

Assembly amendment 45 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Ferrall and Kedrowski.

Assembly amendment 46 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Fischer, Potter, Lallensack and Radtke.

Assembly amendment 47 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Wood.

Assembly amendment 48 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Wood.

Assembly amendment 49 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Wood.

Assembly amendment 50 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Wood.

Assembly amendment 51 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Duren.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Assembly amendment 52 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Duren.

Assembly amendment 53 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Duren.

Assembly amendment 54 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Kedrowski, Shoemaker, Roberts, Thompson, DeLong, Kincaid, Smith, Byers, Schneider, Lallensack, Matty, Tregoning, Paulson, Hopkins, Bradley, Medinger, Hasenohrl, Goodrich, Lewison, Behnke, Potter, Gagin, Otte, Quackenbush, Larson, Donoghue, Vanderperren, R. Travis, Robertson and Schmidt.

Assembly amendment 55 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Miller.

Assembly amendment 56 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Everson.

Assembly amendment 57 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Gerlach.

Assembly amendment 58 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Duren, Gerlach and Kirby.

Assembly amendment 59 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Duren and Leopold.

Assembly amendment 60 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Wood.

Assembly amendment 61 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Becker.

Assembly amendment 62 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Duren.

Assembly amendment 63 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Duren.

Assembly amendment 64 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Metz, Munts, Gerlach and Harer.

Assembly amendment 65 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representatives Metz, McClain, Ferrall, Munts, Harsdorf and Radtke.

Assembly amendment 66 to **Senate Bill 500** offered by Representative Duren.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Assembly amendment 67 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Miller.

Assembly amendment 68 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Duren.

Assembly amendment 69 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Duren.

Assembly amendment 70 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representatives Metz and Fischer.

Assembly amendment 71 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Metz.

Assembly amendment 72 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representative Metz.

Assembly amendment 73 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representatives Gerlach, Vanderperren, Munts, Ferrall, Matty and Metz.

Assembly amendment 74 to Senate Bill 500 offered by Representatives Smith, Donoghue, McClain, Swoboda, Snyder, Quackenbush and Wagner.

INTRODUCTION AND REFERENCE OF PROPOSALS

Read first time and referred:

Assembly Joint Resolution 112

Relating to use of university of Wisconsin system sports facilities to conduct a sports festival as an alternative to the 1980 Summer Olympic Games.

By Representatives Ferrall, Soucie, Kedrowski, D. Travis, Tuczynski and Ulichny.

To committee on State Affairs.

Assembly Joint Resolution 113

Relating to funding of public primary and secondary education (first consideration).

By Representatives Kincaid, Swoboda, Duren and Omernick, co-sponsored by Senators Krueger, Strohl and Braun.

To committee on Revenue.

Assembly Joint Resolution 114

Memorializing congress to review and reform federal regulations which affect the production, utilization and sale of alcohol for fuel.

By Representatives Laatsch, Hopkins, Harsdorf, Porter, Harer, Robertson, Donoghue, Paulson, Schmidt, Stitt, Merkt, Conradt, Goodrich, Matty, Nelsen, Omernick, Young, Bradley, Tregoning and R. Travis.

To committee on Energy.

Assembly Bill 1172

Relating to the admission of blood test results in paternity actions.

By Representatives Prosser, Czerwinski, Loftus, Schmidt, Dilweg, Young, Robertson, Flintrop, Barry, Matty, Ladwig and Thompson.

To committee on Judiciary.

Assembly Bill 1173

Relating to treatment of honorariums, fees and reimbursements of expenses paid to state public officials.

By Representatives Plewa and Lee, co-sponsored by Senators Adelman, Johnston and Radosevich, by request of State Ethics Board.

To committee on State Affairs.

Assembly Bill 1174

Relating to requalification for unemployment compensation benefits after failure to accept suitable work.

By Representatives Goodrich, Tregoning, Shabaz and Luckhardt, by request of Wisconsin Manufacturers and Commerce and Metropolitan Milwaukee Association of Commerce.

To committee on Labor.

Assembly Bill 1175

Relating to requalification for unemployment compensation benefits after voluntary termination of employment.

By Representatives Goodrich, Tregoning, Shabaz and Luckhardt, by request of Wisconsin Manufacturers and Commerce and Metropolitan Milwaukee Association of Commerce.

To committee on Labor.

Assembly Bill 1176

Relating to the maximum number of weeks of eligibility for unemployment compensation benefits.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

By Representatives Goodrich, Tregoning, Shabaz and Luckhardt, by request of Wisconsin Manufacturers and Commerce and Metropolitan Milwaukee Association of Commerce.

To committee on Labor.

Assembly Bill 1177

Relating to the number of qualifying weeks necessary to be eligible to claim unemployment compensation benefits.

By Representatives Goodrich, Tregoning, Shabaz and Luckhardt, by request of Wisconsin Manufacturers and Commerce and Metropolitan Milwaukee Association of Commerce.

To committee on Labor.

Assembly Bill 1178

Relating to permitting reserve judges to officiate at marriage ceremonies.

By Representatives Ferrall and Rooney, co-sponsored by Senator Strohl.

To committee on Judiciary.

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Read and referred:

Clearinghouse Rule 79-15

Relating to building and heating, ventilating and air conditioning code.

Submitted by Department of Industry, Labor and Human Relations.

To committee on Local Affairs.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

The committee on Education reports and recommends:

Assembly Bill 876

Relating to remedial legislation affecting the statute concerning school corporations (suggested as remedial legislation by the educational approval board).

Adoption of assembly amendment 1:

Ayes: (15) Noes: (0)

Passage: Ayes: (15) Noes: (0)

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

To committee on Rules.

Assembly Bill 975

Relating to remedial legislation affecting the statutes concerning school district reorganization and the election of school board officers (suggested as remedial legislation by the department of public instruction).

Adoption of assembly substitute amendment 1:

Ayes: (15) Noes: (0)

Passage: Ayes: (15) Noes: (0)

To committee on Rules.

Senate Bill 59

Relating to referenda concerning school district reorganizations.

Adoption of assembly amendment 1:

Ayes: (15) Noes: (0)

Adoption of assembly amendment 2:

Ayes: (15) Noes: (0)

Concurrence: Ayes: (15) Noes: (0)

To committee on Rules.

RICHARD FLINTROP

Chairperson

The committee on Enrolled Bills reports and recommends:

Assembly Joint Resolution 107

Correctly enrolled.

JOSEPH ANDREA

Chairperson

The committee on State Affairs reports and recommends:

Assembly Bill 367

Relating to increasing marriage license application fees, and increasing the fee for the dispensation of the waiting period prior to obtaining a marriage license and, in Milwaukee county, allowing the county board to determine the amount of the fee for marriage ceremonies, and giving the county clerk the power to shorten the waiting period for a marriage license and having marriage license fees in Milwaukee county be collected by the county clerk instead of the county treasurer.

Passage: Ayes: (11) Noes: (0)

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

To committee on Rules.

Assembly Bill 1079

Relating to review and disapproval of administrative rules.

Passage: Ayes: (11) Noes: (0)

To committee on Rules.

JOHN PLEWA
Chairperson

REPORT OF COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE
ON SENATE BILL 345

The members of the Committee of Conference on 1979 **Senate Bill 345** report and recommend, that, by the adoption of this report:

1. Both houses recede from their respective positions on assembly amendment 1.
2. The Senate and the Assembly, respectively, adopt and concur in Conference Amendment 1 (LRB-10567/1) which is attached to and made a part of this report.

Senators Flynn, Adelman and Murphy.
Representatives McClain, Ulichny and Thompson.
To calendar.

OPINION OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
OAG 5-80

January 29, 1980

The Honorable Ed Jackamonis
Speaker of the Assembly
211 West, State Capitol
Madison, Wisconsin 53702

Sir:

As chairman of the Assembly Committee on Organization you have asked whether sec. 120.50, Stats., violates the one person, one vote principle because it weights the votes of a school district fiscal board on the basis of the equalized valuation of property.

In my opinion there is no constitutional violation by the weighted voting.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

The board exercises fiscal control over a school district whose jurisdiction includes component municipal units of government. Sec. 120.50(1), Stats. The fiscal board exercises responsibilities in respect to joint city school districts. See secs. 120.41(1) and 120.50(1)(intro.), Stats. A joint city school district comprises component municipalities. See sec. 120.41, Stats. At its embryonic stage, the board determines whether to abolish the city school district in favor of a common or unified school district or whether to continue as a city school district under the control of a fiscal board. Sec. 120.50(1), Stats. Once the decision is made to continue as a joint city school district, the board has the power to approve the school budget, to levy the property tax for school purposes, and to exercise all other fiscal controls over the district. Sec. 120.50(3), Stats.

The members of the board are not elected by the voters to serve on the board. Rather, they are *ex officio* members by reason of their popular election to offices in the component municipalities, or they are designees of such elected officers. Sec. 120.50(2), Stats. The weighting of the voting rights on the board is based on the equalized valuation of property within the respective component municipalities and is set forth in sec. 120.50(2), Stats., as follows:

(a) Each town chairman or designee of the chairman and village president shall have one vote for each full \$200,000 of equalized valuation and remaining major fraction thereof of the school district within his or her town or village, but in no case may a town chairman or village president have less than one vote.

(b) Each mayor of a city having territory which lies within a city school district operated by another city shall have one vote for each full \$200,000 of equalized valuation and remaining major fraction thereof of the school district within his city, but in no case shall the mayor have less than one vote.

(c) The aldermen of the city operating the city school district shall have one vote for each full \$200,000 of equalized valuation and remaining major fraction thereof of the school district within the city. Each alderman present at a meeting of the fiscal board shall have the number of votes determined by dividing the total number of votes to which the aldermen are entitled by the total number of aldermen present at such meeting.

This method of weighting the votes was established by ch. 501, sec. 7, Laws of 1949. The Wisconsin Supreme Court sustained its

constitutionality as being neither unreasonable nor arbitrary in *Zawerschnik v. Joint County School Comm.*, 271 Wis. 416, 437, 73 N.W.2d 566 (1955).

The court in *Zawerschnik* did not explain in detail the basis for its conclusion. But since the fiscal board essentially decides how much money is to be spent and imposed on the local taxpayer, it is not unreasonable to conclude that voting strength should be in proportion to the taxpayer burden.

Zawerschnik, however, preceded the evolution of the one person, one vote principle. If that principle is applicable here, two other considerations now must be examined: (1) taxpayers in more populous and poorer property areas have relatively reduced voting strength; and (2) to sustain such vote dilution the state must show that it has a compelling interest. To show that the plan is not unreasonable is insufficient.

The one person, one vote principle is embodied in the equal protection clause of the fourteenth amendment to the United States Constitution. It is based on the conception of political equality. The object is to have equal representation for equal numbers of people. *Reynolds v. Sims*, 377 U.S. 533, 558, 559-60 (1964). "Legislators represent people, not trees or acres." *Id.* at 562. To say that a vote is worth more in one district than in another runs counter to the fundamental ideas of democratic government. *Id.* at 563-64. Each and every citizen has an inalienable right to full and effective participation in the political processes of his state's legislative bodies. *Id.* at 565. The one person, one vote principle, then, protects against dilution of the weight of votes, not merely the outright denial of the right to vote. *Id.* at 566 and *City of Phoenix, Arizona v. Kolodziejcki*, 399 U.S. 204, 209 (1970).

Under this principle states may not draw lines on the basis of wealth, see *Harper v. Virginia State Board of Elections*, 383 U.S. 663, 668 (1966), or where one happens to reside. *Reynolds*, 377 U.S. at 563. Any line-drawing is subject to exacting judicial scrutiny, and the test is whether the state's action is necessary to promote a compelling interest. *Kramer v. Union Free School District*, 395 U.S. 621, 626, 627 (1969), and *Hill v. Stone*, 421 U.S. 289, 297 (1975).

If the one person, one vote principle is applicable, it is unlikely that classifications on the basis of place of residence or wealth could ever meet the required burden for the Court has compared both criteria to classifications on the basis of race. *Reynolds*, 377 U.S. at 566, and *Harper*, 383 U.S. at 668. *But compare Salyer Land Co. v.*

Tulare Lake Basin Water Stor. Dist., 410 U.S. 719 (1973) (voting may be based on wealth in special election concerning proportionate benefits and burdens on land).

The question whether the one person, one vote principle is applicable depends on whether the right to vote in an election is involved. The principle concerns "the right to vote in an election." *Hadley v. Junior College Dist. of Metro. Kansas City, Mo.*, 397 U.S. 50, 54 (1970). It applies "whenever a state or local government decides to select persons *by popular election* to perform governmental functions." *Id.* at 56 (emphasis added). Compare *Hill v. Stone*, 421 U.S. 289, 297 (1975) ("as long as the election in question is not one of special interest"). If the fiscal board functions as a nonelected administrative agency, the one person, one vote principle does not apply. See *West Milwaukee v. Area Bd. Vocational, T. & A. Ed.*, 51 Wis. 2d 356, 380-86, 187 N.W.2d 387 (1971), appeal dismissed for want of a substantial federal question, 404 U.S. 981 (1971). On the other hand, if the state has granted the franchise over the fiscal board to the electorate, the lines must be drawn in accord with the one person, one vote principle. See *Kramer v. Union Free School District*, 395 U.S. 621, 629 (1969).

In my opinion a fiscal board is not an elected body within the meaning of the one person, one vote principle. Rather, its membership being *ex officio*, it is a statutorily appointed body not subject to the one person, one vote principle.

In *Sailors v. Board of Education of County of Kent*, 387 U.S. 105 (1967), the Court upheld the composition of county school boards. The members of these boards were not elected by the general electorate. Instead, they were chosen by the elected representatives of local school boards. "Since the choice of members of the county school board did not involve an election ..., the principle of 'one man, one vote' has no relevancy." 387 U.S. at 111.

There is a sense, of course, in which the choice of fiscal board members "involves an election" inasmuch as only those who have been popularly elected to certain offices are *ex officio* members of the fiscal board. But in that sense, an election also was "involved" in *Sailors* because the members of the county school board were chosen by the designees of persons who had been elected. Further, under sec. 120.50(2)(am), Stats., towns are represented on the fiscal board by the chairman "or designee of the chairman," and the voting strength of absent aldermen is distributed among the aldermen present under sec. 120.50(2)(c), Stats. Thus, in Wisconsin there is no election to

the fiscal board. The fiscal board simply is composed of certain persons elected to other offices, or their designees.

The Wisconsin Supreme Court faced a similar situation in the *West Milwaukee* case. The court upheld the composition of district boards of vocational education. These members were appointed by elected officials of the component units of government. The court said that the requirement of Wis. Const. art. XIII, sec. 9, calling for the popular election of certain officials, was met because "elected representatives ... make the appointments." *West Milwaukee*, 51 Wis. 2d at 382 (emphasis the court's). But the court found these same officials to be appointive for purposes of the one person, one vote principle by force of the holding in *Sailors*. *West Milwaukee*, 51 Wis. 2d at 383-84. I see no constitutionally significant distinction between a body appointed by elected representatives, as in *Sailors* and *West Milwaukee*, and a body statutorily composed of those same elected representatives, as here.

In contrast to cases like *Sailors* and *West Milwaukee*, where there was no election to the board in question, the one person, one vote principle was applied where trustees of a junior college district were directly elected by the people from component districts. In *Hadley* the selection of members from separate districts required that each district be established on a one person, one vote basis. 397 U.S. at 56. Here, on the other hand, there is no popular election of members to the fiscal board.

Sailors left open the question whether a popular election was required for legislative functions. 387 U.S. at 109-11. It confined its holdings to boards whose powers are "nonlegislative." *Id.* at 111. But as *West Milwaukee* points out, the powers to approve a budget and to levy a tax--powers enjoyed by the fiscal board--are regarded as administrative and not legislative for purposes of the one person, one vote principle. *West Milwaukee*, 51 Wis. 2d at 384. Further, there is no constitutional right to vote in school district boundary matters. *State ex rel. La Crosse v. Rothwell*, 25 Wis. 2d 228, 233-35, 130 N.W.2d 806, 131 N.W.2d 699 (1964).

Accordingly, it is my opinion that the one person, one vote principle is not applicable to the weighted voting of a fiscal board. As a result, the decision of the Wisconsin Supreme Court in *Zawerschnik v. Joint County School Comm.*, 271 Wis. 416, 73

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

N.W.2d 566 (1955), upholding this voting plan remains in full force and effect.

Sincerely yours,
BRONSON C. La FOLLETTE
Attorney General

Caption:

Decision of the Wisconsin Supreme Court upholding weighted voting on school district fiscal boards remains in full force and effect notwithstanding subsequent developments in the law of one person, one vote.

SPEAKER'S APPOINTMENTS

February 1, 1980

Mr. Marcel Dandeneau
Assembly Chief Clerk
State Capitol, 329-West
Madison, WI 53702

Dear Marcel:

This is to inform you that I have, of this date, made the following legislative appointments:

Representative John Norquist to serve as Co-Chairperson of the Joint Finance Committee.

Representative Jonathan Barry to the Joint Finance Committee to replace Representative Gary Johnson who has resigned from the Committee.

ED JACKAMONIS
Speaker

MOTIONS

Representative Andrea moved reconsideration of the vote by which **Senate Bill 156** was nonconcurrent in. Entered.

CALENDAR OF MONDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 1980

Senate Bill 23

Relating to revision of the insurance security fund law and granting rule-making authority.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

The question was: **Shall Senate Bill 23** pass notwithstanding the objections of the governor?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Broydrick, Byers, Clarenbach, Cogs, Czerwinski, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gerlach, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Lallensack, Larson, Leopold, Lingren, Loftus, McClain, Medinger, Menos, Metz, Miller, Murray, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Potter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schneider, Shoemaker, Smith, Swoboda, Tesmer, Travis, D., Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood and Mr. Speaker -- 65.

Noes -- Bradley, Conradt, DeLong, Gagin, Goodrich, Hopkins, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lee, Luckhardt, McEssy, Matty, Merkt, Munts, Nelsen, Norquist, Omernick, Porter, Robertson, Schmidt, Shabaz, Snyder, Soucie, Stitt, Thompson, Travis, R., Tregoning and Young -- 29.

Absent or not voting -- Lewison and Roberts -- 2.

Motion carried.

Representative Roberts asked unanimous consent to be recorded as voting "Aye" on the previous question. Granted.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Senate Bill 23** be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the assembly remain informal awaiting the arrival of the senate. Granted.

10:30 A.M.

JOINT CONVENTION

The assembly was called to order by the speaker.

The sergeant-at-arms announced the arrival of the senate.

The houses went into joint convention.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Senate president Risser presiding.

Escorted by Senators Braun, Chilsen and Radosevich and Representatives Norquist and Hopkins, Governor Dreyfus took his place at the rostrum to deliver his budget review message.

Pursuant to joint rule 73 the governor's message is printed in full in the senate journal only.

Representative Johnson moved that the joint convention arise.

The question was: Shall the joint convention arise?

Motion carried.

11:50 A.M.

The assembly reconvened.

Representative Radtke asked unanimous consent to be recorded on the following questions from Thursday, January 31. Granted.

Thursday, January 31

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 249 be rejected? No

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 3 to Assembly Bill 249 be rejected? Aye

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 4 to Assembly Bill 249 be rejected? No

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 5 to Assembly Bill 249 be rejected? No

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 6 to Assembly Bill 249 be rejected? No

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 7 to Assembly Bill 249 be rejected? No

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 249 be indefinitely postponed? No

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended and Assembly Bill 249 be given a third reading? No

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 2 to Assembly Bill 282 be adopted? Aye

The question was: Assembly Bill 282 having been read three times, shall the bill pass? Aye

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 818 be adopted? Aye

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 818 be laid on the table?
Aye

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 3 to Assembly Bill 902 be rejected? No

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 3 to Assembly Bill 902 be laid on the table? No

The question was: Assembly Bill 902 having been read three times, shall the bill pass? Aye

The question was: Assembly Bill 908 having been read three times, shall the bill pass? Aye

The question was: Assembly Bill 974 having been read three times, shall the bill pass? Aye

The question was: Assembly Bill 179 having been read three times, shall the bill pass? Aye

The question was: Senate Bill 152 having been read three times, shall the bill be concurred in? Aye

The question was: Shall Senate Bill 156 be nonconcurrent in? No

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the assembly stand recessed until 3:30 P.M. Granted.

The assembly stood recessed.

11:55 A.M.

RECESS

3:40 P.M.

The assembly reconvened.

Assembly Bill 596

Relating to exempting solar energy home heating systems and electricity generating devices from the property tax.

Representative Johnson moved nonconcurrence in senate amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 596**.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 596** be nonconcurrent in?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Clarenbach, Czerwinski, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Flintrop, Gerlach, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach,

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Hephner, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Laatsch, Lallensack, Leopold, Lewison, Lingren, Loftus, McClain, Menos, Metz, Miller, Murray, Nelsen, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Potter, Radtke, Roberts, Rutkowski, Schneider, Smith, Swoboda, Tesmer, Travis, D., Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood and Mr. Speaker -- 57.

Noes -- Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Conradt, Ferrall, Fischer, Gagin, Goodrich, Harer, Hopkins, Klicka, Ladwig, Larson, Lee, Luckhardt, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Merkt, Munts, Norquist, Omernick, Porter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Robertson, Rogers, Rooney, Schmidt, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Snyder, Soucie, Stitt, Thompson, Travis, R., Tregoning and Young -- 38.

Absent or not voting -- Coggs -- 1.

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 596** be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Assembly Bill 249

Relating to the recruitment of strike breakers for employment and providing a penalty.

The question was: Shall the vote by which **Assembly Bill 249** was ordered to a third reading be reconsidered?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Byers, Conradt, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Ellis, Gagin, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hopkins, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Larson, Lewison, Luckhardt, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Merkt, Nelsen, Omernick, Paulson, Porter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Robertson, Schmidt, Shabaz, Snyder, Stitt, Thompson, Travis, R., Tregoning and Young -- 37.

Noes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Clarenbach, Coggs, Czerwinski, Dorff, Duren, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gerlach, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Lallensack, Lee, Leopold, Lingren, Loftus, McClain, Menos, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Norquist, Otte, Pabst, Plewa, Potter, Roberts, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schneider, Shoemaker, Smith, Soucie,

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Swoboda, Tesmer, Travis, D., Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood and Mr. Speaker -- 59.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion failed.

Representative Thompson moved indefinite postponement of **Assembly Bill 249**.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 249** be indefinitely postponed?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Bradley, Byers, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Ellis, Gagin, Goodrich, Hopkins, Kedrowski, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Larson, Lewison, McEssy, Matty, Merkt, Nelsen, Omernick, Prosser, Robertson, Shabaz, Snyder, Stitt, Thompson, Tregoning and Young -- 28.

Noes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Broydrick, Clarenbach, Coggs, Conradt, Czerwinski, Dorff, Duren, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gerlach, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Johnson, Kincaid, Kirby, Lallensack, Lee, Leopold, Lingren, Loftus, McClain, Medinger, Menos, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Norquist, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Quackenbush, Roberts, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shoemaker, Smith, Soucie, Swoboda, Tesmer, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood and Mr. Speaker -- 66.

Absent or not voting -- Luckhardt and Radtke -- 2.

Motion failed.

The question was: **Assembly Bill 249** having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Clarenbach, Czerwinski, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gerlach, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Johnson, Kincaid, Kirby, Lallensack, Lee, Leopold, Lingren, McClain, McEssy, Medinger,

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Menos, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Norquist, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Quackenbush, Radtke, Roberts, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shoemaker, Smith, Soucie, Swoboda, Tesmer, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Wood and Mr. Speaker -- 68.

Noes -- Conradt, DeLong, Dilweg, Gagin, Goodrich, Hopkins, Kedrowski, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Larson, Lewison, Luckhardt, Matty, Merkt, Nelsen, Omernick, Prosser, Robertson, Shabaz, Snyder, Stitt, Thompson, Tregoning and Young -- 25.

Absent or not voting -- Coggs, Loftus and Ward -- 3.

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 249** be immediately messaged to the senate.

Representative Thompson objected.

Representative Johnson moved that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 249** be immediately messaged to the senate.

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended and **Assembly Bill 249** be immediately messaged to the senate?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Clarenbach, Coggs, Czerwinski, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gerlach, Harer, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Laatsch, Lallensack, Lee, Leopold, Lingren, Loftus, McClain, Medinger, Menos, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Norquist, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Potter, Roberts, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schneider, Shoemaker, Smith, Soucie, Swoboda, Tesmer, Travis, D., Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood and Mr. Speaker -- 66.

Noes -- Conradt, DeLong, Dilweg, Gagin, Goodrich, Harsdorf, Hopkins, Klicka, Ladwig, Larson, Lewison, Luckhardt, McEssy, Matty, Merkt, Nelsen, Omernick, Porter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Robertson, Schmidt, Shabaz, Snyder, Stitt, Thompson, Travis, R., Tregoning and Young -- 30.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion carried.

CALENDAR OF TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 1980

Assembly Resolution 22

Commending President Jimmy Carter for his handling of the crisis in Iran.

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Resolution 22** offered by Representatives Shabaz, Matty, Robertson, Hopkins, Young, Thompson, Donoghue, Stitt, R. Travis, Conradt, Snyder, Radtke and Klicka.

Representative Kedrowski moved rejection of assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Resolution 22**.

Representative Kedrowski asked unanimous consent to be made a co-author of **Assembly Resolution 22**. Granted.

Representative Ellis asked unanimous consent that **Assembly Resolution 22** be referred to the Joint Committee on Finance.

Representative Johnson objected.

Representative Ellis moved that **Assembly Resolution 22** be referred to the Joint Committee on Finance.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Resolution 22** be referred to the Joint Committee on Finance?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Clarenbach, Conradt, Czerwinski, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Ellis, Fischer, Flintrop, Gagin, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hopkins, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Larson, Lewison, Luckhardt, McEssy, Matty, Merkt, Nelsen, Omernick, Paulson, Porter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Robertson, Schmidt, Shabaz, Snyder, Stitt, Thompson, Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski and Young -- 44.

Noes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Coggs, Dorff, Duren, Everson, Ferrall, Gerlach, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Lallensack, Lee, Leopold, Lingren, Loftus, McClain, Medinger, Menos, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Norquist, Otte, Pabst, Plewa, Potter, Roberts, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schneider, Shoemaker, Smith, Soucie,

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Swoboda, Tesmer, Travis, D., Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood and Mr. Speaker -- 52.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion failed.

Representative Shabaz moved that **Assembly Resolution 22** be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Resolution 22** be laid on the table?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Barczak, Becker, Bradley, Byers, Clarenbach, Coggs, Conradt, Czerwinski, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Ellis, Fischer, Flintrop, Gagin, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hauke, Hopkins, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Larson, Lee, Lewison, Loftus, Luckhardt, McEssy, Matty, Merkt, Miller, Nelsen, Omernick, Paulson, Porter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Robertson, Schmidt, Shabaz, Snyder, Stitt, Tesmer, Thompson, Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ward and Young -- 51.

Noes -- Andrea, Barry, Behnke, Broydrick, Dorff, Duren, Everson, Ferrall, Gerlach, Hasenohrl, Helbach, Hephner, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Lallensack, Leopold, Lingren, McClain, Medinger, Menos, Metz, Munts, Murray, Norquist, Otte, Pabst, Plewa, Potter, Roberts, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schneider, Shoemaker, Smith, Soucie, Swoboda, Travis, D., Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Wood and Mr. Speaker -- 45.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion carried.

Assembly Joint Resolution 78

Relating to removing obsolete provisions regarding feudal tenures and restraints upon alienation of lands (first consideration).

Assembly amendment 1 to **Assembly Joint Resolution 78** offered by Representative Omernick.

Representative Miller asked unanimous consent that **Assembly Joint Resolution 78** be referred to the committee on Judiciary. Granted.

Assembly Bill 386

Relating to limitations on veterans' housing loans.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 386** be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 2 to **Assembly Bill 386** offered by Representatives Barczak, Dorff, Hephner, Pabst, Lallensack, Rooney, Hauke, Andrea and Thompson.

Representative Johnson moved rejection of assembly amendment 2 to **Assembly Bill 386**.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 2 to **Assembly Bill 386** be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Becker, Broydrick, Clarenbach, Dilweg, Ellis, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gerlach, Goodrich, Hopkins, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Lee, Leopold, Lingren, McClain, Matty, Medinger, Metz, Miller, Munts, Norquist, Prosser, Roberts, Schmidt, Schneider, Smith and Soucie -- 31.

Noes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Behnke, Bradley, Byers, Coggs, Conradt, Czerwinski, DeLong, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Everson, Gagin, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lewison, Loftus, Luckhardt, McEssy, Menos, Merkt, Murray, Nelsen, Omernick, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Quackenbush, Radtke, Robertson, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Snyder, Stitt, Swoboda, Tesmer, Thompson, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood, Young and Mr. Speaker -- 65.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion failed.

Representative Johnson moved that assembly amendment 2 to **Assembly Bill 386** be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 2 to **Assembly Bill 386** be laid on the table?

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Barry, Becker, Broydrick, Clarenbach, Coggs, Dilweg, Ellis, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gagin, Gerlach, Goodrich, Hopkins, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Ladwig, Lee, Leopold, Lingren, McClain, Medinger, Metz, Miller, Munts, Nelsen, Norquist, Omernick, Otte, Prosser, Quackenbush, Roberts, Rogers, Rooney, Schmidt, Schneider, Smith, Soucie and Ward -- 41.

Noes -- Andrea, Barczak, Behnke, Bradley, Byers, Conradt, Czerwinski, DeLong, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Everson, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Klicka, Laatsch, Lallensack, Larson, Lewison, Loftus, Luckhardt, McEssy, Matty, Menos, Merkt, Murray, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Radtke, Robertson, Rutkowski, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Snyder, Stitt, Swoboda, Tesmer, Thompson, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Wood, Young and Mr. Speaker -- 55.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion failed.

Representative Kincaid asked unanimous consent to be recorded as voting "No" on the previous question. Granted.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 2 to **Assembly Bill 386** be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Behnke, Byers, Conradt, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Everson, Harer, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Kincaid, Lallensack, Larson, Lewison, Loftus, Luckhardt, McEssy, Matty, Menos, Murray, Pabst, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Radtke, Robertson, Rutkowski, Shoemaker, Snyder, Swoboda, Tesmer, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wood and Mr. Speaker -- 45.

Noes -- Barry, Becker, Bradley, Broydrick, Clarenbach, Coggs, Czerwinski, Ellis, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gagin, Gerlach, Goodrich, Harsdorf, Hopkins, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kirby, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lee, Leopold, Lingren, McClain, Medinger, Merkt, Metz, Miller, Munts, Nelsen, Norquist, Omernick, Otte, Paulson, Prosser, Quackenbush, Roberts, Rogers, Rooney, Schmidt,

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Schneider, Shabaz, Smith, Soucie, Stitt, Thompson, Wagner, Ward and Young -- 51.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion failed.

Assembly amendment 3 to **Assembly Bill 386** offered by Representative Barczak.

POINT OF ORDER

Representative Johnson rose to the point of order that assembly amendment 3 to **Assembly Bill 386** was not germane under Assembly Rule 54 (3)(f).

The speaker ruled the point of order well taken.

Assembly amendment 4 to **Assembly Bill 386** offered by Representative Leopold.

Representative Barczak moved rejection of assembly amendment 4 to **Assembly Bill 386**.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 4 to **Assembly Bill 386** be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Conradt, Czerwinski, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Gagin, Gerlach, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Hopkins, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lewison, Lingren, Loftus, Luckhardt, McClain, Matty, Medinger, Menos, Merkt, Metz, Munts, Murray, Nelsen, Omernick, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Roberts, Robertson, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Snyder, Stitt, Swoboda, Tesmer, Thompson, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood, Young and Mr. Speaker -- 84.

Noes -- Clarenbach, Coggs, Flintrop, Kirby, Lee, Leopold, Miller, Norquist, Smith and Soucie -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- McEssy and Tregoning -- 2.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 386** be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 386** be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: **Assembly Bill 386** having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Conradt, Czerwinski, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gagin, Gerlach, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Hopkins, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lewison, Lingren, Loftus, Luckhardt, McClain, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Menos, Merkt, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Nelsen, Omernick, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Roberts, Robertson, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Smith, Snyder, Stitt, Swoboda, Tesmer, Thompson, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Wood, Young and Mr. Speaker -- 88.

Noes -- Clarenbach, Coggs, Kirby, Lee, Leopold, Norquist, Soucie and Ward -- 8.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 386** be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Assembly Bill 248

Relating to periods when vehicle lamps are required to be lighted and providing a penalty.

The question was: Shall assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 248** be adopted?

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 248** be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 248** be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: **Assembly Bill 248** having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 248** be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Assembly Bill 658

Relating to reports from the department of health and social services to the legislature regarding juveniles adjudged delinquent.

Assembly amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 658** offered by Representative Thompson.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 658** be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 658** be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 658** be given a third reading. Granted.

Representative Thompson moved indefinite postponement of **Assembly Bill 658**.

Representative Kirby in the chair.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 658** be indefinitely postponed?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Ayes -- Andrea, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Clarenbach, Coggs, Conradt, Czerwinski, DeLong, Dilweg, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gagin, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hephner, Hopkins, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lewison, Loftus, Luckhardt, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Menos, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Nelsen, Omernick, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Porter, Potter, Quackenbush, Radtke, Roberts, Robertson, Rogers, Rooney, Schmidt, Schneider, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Smith, Snyder, Stitt, Swoboda, Thompson, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tregoning, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood and Young -- 74.

Noes -- Barczak, Barry, Byers, Donoghue, Dorff, Gerlach, Helbach, Johnson, Kirby, Lee, Leopold, Lingren, McClain, Merkt, Norquist, Plewa, Prosser, Rutkowski, Soucie, Tesmer, Tuczynski and Mr. Speaker -- 22.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion carried.

Assembly Bill 906

Relating to revising the penalty for giving or accepting any thing of value to induce approval of a loan from a savings and loan association or bank.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 906** be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 906** be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: **Assembly Bill 906** having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Clarenbach, Coggs, Conradt, Czerwinski, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gagin, Gerlach, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lee, Leopold,

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Lewison, Lingren, Loftus, Luckhardt, McClain, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Menos, Merkt, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Nelsen, Norquist, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Roberts, Robertson, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Smith, Snyder, Soucie, Stitt, Swoboda, Tesmer, Thompson, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood, Young and Mr. Speaker -- 94.

Noes -- Hopkins and Omernick -- 2.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 906** be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Assembly Bill 952

Relating to entry into locked buildings or dwellings and providing a penalty.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 952** be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 952** be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 952** be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 952** be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: **Assembly Bill 952** having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Clarenbach, Conradt, Czerwinski, DeLong,

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gagin, Gerlach, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Hopkins, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lee, Leopold, Lewison, Lingren, Loftus, Luckhardt, McClain, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Menos, Merkt, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Nelsen, Norquist, Omernick, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Roberts, Robertson, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Smith, Snyder, Soucie, Stitt, Swoboda, Tesmer, Thompson, Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood, Young and Mr. Speaker -- 94.

Noes -- Coggs and Travis, D. -- 2.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 952** be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Assembly Bill 1073

Relating to recognition of subject areas for a doctoral degree in psychology by the psychology examining board.

Representative Lingren moved indefinite postponement of **Assembly Bill 1073**.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 1073** be indefinitely postponed?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Bradley, Byers, Coggs, Conradt, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gagin, Gerlach, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Hopkins, Johnson, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Leopold, Lingren, Loftus, Luckhardt, McClain, McEssy, Menos, Merkt, Metz, Miller, Munts, Nelsen, Omernick, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Roberts, Robertson, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Smith, Stitt, Swoboda, Tesmer, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Vanderperren, Ward, Wood and Young -- 72.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Noes -- Barry, Becker, Behnke, Broydrick, Clarenbach, Czerwinski, Everson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Laatsch, Lee, Lewison, Matty, Medinger, Murray, Norquist, Snyder, Soucie, Thompson, Ulichny, Wagner and Mr. Speaker -- 23.

Absent or not voting -- Klicka -- 1.

Motion carried.

Assembly Bill 878

Relating to the financial interest of members of the public service commission, warning signs for electric lines and assessment of costs of commission investigations of sewerage systems (suggested as remedial legislation by the public service commission).

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 878** be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 878** be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Ferrall asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 878** be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: **Assembly Bill 878** having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Clarenbach, Coggs, Conrard, Czerwinski, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gagin, Gerlach, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Hopkins, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lee, Leopold, Lewison, Lingren, Loftus, Luckhardt, McClain, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Menos, Merkt, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Nelsen, Norquist, Omernick, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Roberts, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Smith, Snyder, Soucie, Stitt, Swoboda, Tesmer, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tregoning,

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood, Young and Mr. Speaker -- 93.

Noes -- Duren, Robertson and Thompson -- 3.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion carried.

Representative Ferrall asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 878** be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Assembly Bill 900

Relating to civil damages for violations of certain laws governing motor vehicle dealers and salesmen.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 900** be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 900** be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Ferrall asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 900** be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: **Assembly Bill 900** having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Clarenbach, Coggs, Conradt, Czerwinski, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Flintrop, Gagin, Gerlach, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Hopkins, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lee, Leopold, Lewison, Lingren, Loftus, Luckhardt, McClain, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Menos, Merkt, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Nelsen, Norquist, Omernick, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Roberts, Robertson, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Smith, Snyder, Soucie, Stitt, Swoboda, Tesmer, Thompson, Travis,

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

D., Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood, Young and Mr. Speaker -- 96.

Noes -- None.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion carried.

Representative Ferrall asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 900** be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS AT 4:00 P.M. ON
TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 5

Assembly Bill 66

Relating to licensure of nurse-midwives by the board of nursing, granting rule-making authority and providing penalties.

Assembly substitute amendment 3 to **Assembly Bill 66** offered by Representatives Hauke, Nelsen, Norquist, Pabst, Roberts, Ulichny and Medinger.

Assembly substitute amendment 4 to **Assembly Bill 66** offered by Representatives Czerwinski and Loftus.

Representative Hauke moved rejection of assembly substitute amendment 4 to **Assembly Bill 66**.

Representative Flintrop moved that **Assembly Bill 66** be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 66** be laid on the table?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Coggs, Flintrop, Gagin, Gerlach, Harer, Hopkins, Kedrowski, Porter, Shabaz, Stitt, Travis, D. and Young -- 12.

Noes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Clarenbach, Conradt, Czerwinski, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Goodrich, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Johnson, Kincaid, Kirby, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lee, Leopold, Lewison, Lingren, Loftus, Luckhardt,

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

McClain, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Menos, Merkt, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Nelsen, Norquist, Omernick, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Potter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Roberts, Robertson, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shoemaker, Smith, Snyder, Soucie, Swoboda, Tesmer, Thompson, Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood and Mr. Speaker -- 84.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion failed.

The question was: Shall assembly substitute amendment 4 to **Assembly Bill 66** be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Byers, Clarenbach, Coggs, Conradt, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Fischer, Gagin, Gerlach, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Hopkins, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lewison, Lingren, Luckhardt, McClain, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Menos, Merkt, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Nelsen, Norquist, Omernick, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Robertson, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Smith, Snyder, Soucie, Stitt, Swoboda, Tesmer, Thompson, Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood and Young -- 82.

Noes -- Broydrick, Czerwinski, Everson, Ferrall, Flintrop, Johnson, Lee, Leopold, Loftus, Otte, Quackenbush, Travis, D., Travis, R. and Mr. Speaker -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 3 to **Assembly Bill 66** offered by Representative Czerwinski.

Representative Hauke moved rejection of assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 3 to **Assembly Bill 66**.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 3 to **Assembly Bill 66** be rejected?

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Clarenbach, Coggs, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Fischer, Gerlach, Goodrich, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Hopkins, Kincaid, Kirby, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lewison, Lingren, Luckhardt, McClain, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Menos, Merkt, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Nelsen, Norquist, Omernick, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shoemaker, Smith, Snyder, Soucie, Swoboda, Tesmer, Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner and Ward -- 72.

Noes -- Barry, Czerwinski, Everson, Ferrall, Flintrop, Gagin, Harer, Johnson, Kedrowski, Lee, Leopold, Loftus, Otte, Quackenbush, Robertson, Shabaz, Stitt, Thompson, Travis, D., Travis, R., Wood, Young and Mr. Speaker -- 23.

Absent or not voting -- Conradt -- 1.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall assembly substitute amendment 3 to **Assembly Bill 66** be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 66** be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 66** be given a third reading. Granted.

Speaker Jackamonis in the chair.

The question was: **Assembly Bill 66** having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Coggs, Conradt, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Ferrall, Fischer, Goodrich, Harer,

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Hopkins, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lee, Leopold, Lewison, Lingren, Luckhardt, McClain, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Menos, Merkt, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Nelsen, Norquist, Omernick, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Potter, Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Robertson, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shoemaker, Smith, Snyder, Soucie, Swoboda, Tesmer, Thompson, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Ward, Wood and Mr. Speaker -- 84.

Noes -- Clarenbach, Czerwinski, Flintrop, Gagin, Gerlach, Johnson, Loftus, Porter, Quackenbush, Shabaz, Stitt and Young -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 66** be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Assembly Bill 322

Relating to partisan primary elections, special elections, election officials, ballots, nominations, canvassing, recounts, vacancies, referenda, voting procedure, residency, notices and administration of elections and making an appropriation.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322** be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322** be adopted?

Motion carried.

Representative Dorff asked unanimous consent that assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322** be placed after assembly amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322**. Granted.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 4 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322** be adopted?

Motion carried.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Assembly amendment 5 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322** offered by Representatives Shabaz, Broydrick and Radtke.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 5 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322** be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322** offered by Representative Dorff.

Representative Broydrick moved rejection of assembly amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322**.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322** be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Barry, Becker, Broydrick, Czerwinski, Everson, Ferrall, Flintrop, Gerlach, Hasenohrl, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kirby, Lallensack, Lee, Leopold, Lingren, Loftus, McClain, Medinger, Metz, Miller, Munts, Norquist, Plewa, Potter, Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shoemaker, Smith, Soucie, Travis, D., Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wood and Mr. Speaker -- 40.

Noes -- Andrea, Barczak, Behnke, Bradley, Byers, Clarenbach, Conradt, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Fischer, Gagin, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Hopkins, Kincaid, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Larson, Lewison, Luckhardt, McEssy, Matty, Menos, Merkt, Murray, Nelsen, Omernick, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Porter, Quackenbush, Robertson, Rogers, Shabaz, Snyder, Stitt, Swoboda, Tesmer, Thompson, Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Wagner, Ward and Young -- 55.

Absent or not voting -- Coggs -- 1.

Motion failed.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322** be adopted?

Motion carried.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Representative Shabaz asked unanimous consent that assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322** be laid on the table.

Representative Broydrick objected.

Representative Shabaz moved that assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322** be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322** be laid on the table?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322** be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall **Assembly Bill 322** be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Ferrall asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 322** be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: **Assembly Bill 322** having been read three times, shall the bill pass?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes -- Andrea, Barczak, Barry, Becker, Behnke, Bradley, Broydrick, Byers, Clarenbach, Coggs, Conradt, Czerwinski, DeLong, Dilweg, Donoghue, Dorff, Duren, Ellis, Everson, Fischer, Flintrop, Gagin, Gerlach, Goodrich, Harer, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Helbach, Hephner, Johnson, Kedrowski, Kincaid, Kirby, Klicka, Laatsch, Ladwig, Lallensack, Larson, Lee, Leopold, Lewison, Lingren, Loftus, Luckhardt, McClain, McEssy, Matty, Medinger, Menos, Merkt, Metz, Miller, Munts, Murray, Nelsen, Norquist, Omernick, Otte, Pabst, Paulson, Plewa, Porter, Potter, Prosser, Quackenbush, Radtke, Roberts, Rogers, Rooney, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Shabaz, Shoemaker, Smith, Snyder, Soucie, Stitt, Swoboda, Tesmer, Thompson, Travis, D., Travis, R., Tregoning, Tuczynski, Ulichny, Vanderperren, Wagner, Wood, Young and Mr. Speaker -- 92.

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Noes -- Ferrall, Hopkins, Robertson and Ward -- 4.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Motion carried.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 322** be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Representative Murray asked unanimous consent that the assembly adjourn in honor of the birthday of Representative Hephner. Granted.

Representative Thompson asked unanimous consent that the assembly adjourn in honor of the birthday of Representative Young. Granted.

Representative Johnson asked unanimous consent to return to the second order of business. Granted.

INTRODUCTION AND REFERENCE OF PROPOSALS

Read first time and referred:

Assembly Bill 1179

Relating to a sales and use tax exemption for American Legion baseball teams.

By Representative Helbach, co-sponsored by Senator Bablitch.
To Joint Survey Committee on Tax Exemptions.

Assembly Bill 1180

Relating to state finances and appropriations, constituting the 1980 budget review bill and making appropriations.

By Joint Committee on Finance, by request of Governor Lee Sherman Dreyfus.

To Joint Committee on Finance.

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU CORRECTIONS

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 322**

1. On page 8, line 7, after "5.64", insert "(1)".
2. On page 39, line 18, substitute "September" for "partisan".
3. On page 40, line 6, after "specified", insert "in".

JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [February 5, 1980]

Assembly amendment 4 to assembly substitute amendment 2 to
Assembly Bill 966

On page 2, line 36, substitute "22.50" for "22.51".

VISITORS

During today's session, the following visitors honored the assembly by their presence, and were welcomed by the presiding officer and the members:

Phil Johnson from Beloit, guest of Representative Johnson.

Ray Kircher and Eva Snowden from Manitowoc, guests of Representative Lallensack.

Franklin Oestreich, Adeline Flegal and Al Flegal from Two Rivers, guests of Representative Fischer.

Mel Olson, Edna McKinley and Agatha Bender from Boscobel, guests of Representative R. Travis.

Walter Dawson from Cuba City, guest of Representative R. Travis.

John Welsh from Mt. Hope, guest of Representative Lewison.

Leona Vandevusse from Milwaukee, guest of Representative Pabst.

D. Mertens from Milwaukee, guest of Representative Norquist.

Representative Johnson moved that the assembly stand adjourned until 10:00 A.M. tomorrow.

The question was: Shall the assembly stand adjourned?

Motion carried.

The assembly stood adjourned.

7:00 P.M.