STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Eighty-Fourth Regular Session

THURSDAY, May 31, 1979.

9:00 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by the president of the senate.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Murphy, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 31.

Absent -- Senator Swan -- 1.

Absent with leave -- None.

The senate stood for the prayer which was offered by Reverend Julius Stagner, pastor of Midvale Baptist Church in Madison.

The senate remained standing and Senator Radosevich led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Read first time and referred:

Senate Bill 250

Relating to safe driving practices courses and granting rule-making authority.

By Senator Murphy; cosponsored by Representative Klicka.

To committee on Aging, Business and Financial Institutions and Transportation.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Marcel Dandeneau, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has passed and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Bill 187 Assembly Bill 188 Assembly Bill 190 Assembly Bill 208 Assembly Bill 224

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY CONSIDERED

Assembly Bill 187

Relating to separation of hospital indemnity insurance coverage from required skilled nursing care coverage.

By Representative Gerlach.

Read first time and referred to committee on Insurance and Utilities

Assembly Bill 188

Relating to authorization of savings and loan associations to invest in securities of any state or territory of the United States or any local governmental unit of any state or territory of the United States.

By Representatives Hauke and Rooney, by request of Savings League of Wisconsin.

Read first time and referred to committee on Aging, Business and Financial Institutions and Transportation

Assembly Bill 190

Relating to a mandatory risk sharing plan for foster homes.

By Representatives Leopold, Otte and Andrea, by request of Wisconsin Action for Foster Children.

Read first time and referred to committee on Insurance and Utilities

Assembly Bill 208

Relating to warning lights on emergency vehicles.

By Representatives Wahner and Shabaz, co-sponsored by Senator Krueger.

Read first time and referred to committee on Aging, Business and Financial Institutions and Transportation

Assembly Bill 224

Relating to a mandatory risk sharing plan for group homes.

By Representative Leopold.

Read first time and referred to committee on Insurance and Utilities

SPECIAL ORDERS

Senate Bill 79

Relating to state finances and appropriations, constituting the executive budget bill of the 1979 legislature, and making appropriations.

Read a second time.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 142 to senate substitute amendment 1 was taken up at this time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 142 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 142 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 142 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Strohl, Theno and Thompson -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bidwell, Chilsen, Goyke, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Murphy, Roshell and Van Sistine -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Swan -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 18, 19 and 20 to senate substitute amendment 1 were placed after senate amendment 57 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 31 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 31 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 31 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson, Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum and Murphy -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Swan -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 32 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 32 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 32 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Lorge, McCallum, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 24.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Cullen, Krueger, Lasee, Maurer, Murphy and Thompson -- 7.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Swan -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 33 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 33 and 34 to senate substitute amendment 1 were placed after senate amendment 57 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 35 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 35 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 35 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13: noes, 19; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Johnston, Krueger. McCallum, Maurer, Murphy, Offner, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 13.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Moody, Radosevich, Risser, Strohl, Swan and Thompson -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 35 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 36 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 36 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 36 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun. Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz, Roshell, Swan and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 12

By request of Senator Lorge, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, McCallum, Maurer, Murphy, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Roshell and Theno -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bear, Braun, Cullen, Krueger, Lorge, Moody, Risser, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 39 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 39 to senate substitute amendment 1 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, the Senate recessed until 10:32 A.M.

9:52 A.M.

RECESS

10:32 A.M.

The senate reconvened.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Lorge, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1 was placed after senate amendment 42 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 41 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 41 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 41 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Bear, Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno and Thompson -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 41 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 42 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 42 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 42 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lorge, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Goyke, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz, Strohl, Swan, Theno and Thompson -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, he withdrew his motion for rejection of senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 offered by Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Kleczka moved that senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1 and senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

By request of Senator Kleczka, with unanimous consent, he withdrew his motion to lay senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1 and senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1 on the table.

Senator Cullen asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 244 to senate substitute amendment 1 be made a Special Order of business at 1:30 P.M. today.

Senator Lorge objected.

Senator Cullen asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 244 to senate substitute amendment 1 be considered at 1:30 P.M today.

Senator Krueger objected.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the Senate recessed until 2:00 P.M.

12:20 P.M.

RECESS

2:00 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 269 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Kreul.

Senate amendment 270 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Van Sistine.

Senate amendment 271 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senators Lorge, Chilsen, McCallum, Lasee, Murphy, Theno, Adelman, Roshell, Van Sistine, Kreul, Frank, Krueger, Goyke, Opitz, Johnston, Berger, Bear and Strohl.

Senate amendment 272 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senators Kleczka and Bidwell.

Senate amendment 273 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Braun.

Senate amendment 274 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Braun.

Senate amendment 275 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Goyke.

Senate amendment 276 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Swan.

Senate amendment 277 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Swan.

Senate amendment 278 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Swan.

Senate amendment 279 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senators Moody and Johnston.

Senate amendment 280 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Offner.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 78 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Berger.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 139 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Risser.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 266 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senators Thompson, Risser and Goyke.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 266 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senators Thompson, Risser and Goyke.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 43 to senate substitute amendment 4?

By request of Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, the Senate recessed until 2:34 P.M.

2:11 P.M.

RECESS

2:34 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 43 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 43 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 43 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Frank, Harmsell, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 44 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 44 to senate substitute amendment 1 was placed after senate amendment 45 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 45 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 45 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 45 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Frank in the chair.

2:45 P.M.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 45 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Bear, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Maurer, Moody, Murphy, Risser, Roshell, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Krueger, McCallum, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich and Strohl -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 44 to senate substitute amendment 1 was placed after senate amendment 114 to senate substitute amendment 1.

Senator Bablitch asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 46 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

Senator Chilsen objected.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 46 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 46 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleezka, Lasee, Lorge, Maurer, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz, Theno and Thompson -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Moody -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 12

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The president of the senate in the chair.

3:31 P.M.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 8; noes, 24; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Flynn, Harnisch, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum and Swan -- 8.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Frank, Goyke, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Maurer, Moody, Murphy, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 24.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

Senator Bablitch asked unanimous consent that senate amendments 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, and 55 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

Senator Chilsen objected.

Senator Bablitch asked unanimous consent that senate amendments 48, 49, 50, 53, 54 and 55 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 51 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 51 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 51 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz, Theno and Thompson -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Cullen -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 56 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 56 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 56 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Harnisch, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson, Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Goyke, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz, Swan and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Kleczka -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 57 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 33, senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 34, senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 19, senate amendment 20 and senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 were placed after senate amendment 274 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 1 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Krueger.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, he withdrew his motion for rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson, Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasce, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Nocs -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy and Theno -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Kleczka moved rejection of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 62 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 62 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 62 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 3; noes, 29; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Goyke and Murphy -- 3.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson, and Van Sistine -- 29.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Berger asked unanimous consent that he be granted a leave of absence for the balance of today's session.

Senator Bablitch objected.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 62 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 63 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 63 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Chilsen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 1 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleezka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Strohl, Swan, Thompson, Van Sistine and -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz, Radosevich, Roshell and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Cullen -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Upon motion of Senator Maurer, the senate recessed until 5:20 P.M.

4:50 P.M.

RECESS

5:20 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 281 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Moody.

Senate amendment 282 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Goyke.

Senate amendment 283 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Goyke.

Senate amendment 284 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Cullen.

Senate amendment 285 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Kreul.

Senate amendment 286 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Kreul.

Senate amendment 287 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Kreul.

Senate amendment 288 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Bablitch.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 114 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Kleczka.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 121 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Goyke.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 139 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Risser.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 157 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Lasee.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 184 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senator Braun.

Senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 266 to senate substitute amendment 1 to Senate Bill 79 by Senators Thompson, Risser and Goyke.

Upon motion of Senator Bablitch the senate adjourned until 9:00 A.M. Friday, June 1.

5:21 P.M.