

STATE OF WISCONSIN
Senate Journal
Eighty-Fourth Regular Session

WEDNESDAY, June 6, 1979.

9:00 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by the president of the senate.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Murphy, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson, Van Sistine -- 32.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- None.

The senate stood for the prayer which was offered by Reverend William Koehler, senior minister of Lake Edge United Church of Christ, Madison.

The senate remained standing and Senator Strohl led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Read first time and referred:

Senate Bill 251

Relating to authorizing delegation of county board investment authority to the county treasurer and extending the scope of county investment authority.

By Senator Maurer; cosponsored by Representatives Dorff, Wagner and Andrea.

To committee on Agriculture, Labor and Local Affairs.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

The committee on Senate Organization, acting under Senate Rule 20(1) reports and recommends the following nominations to committee for appointment by the Senate:

To the Legislative Council, pursuant to s. 13.81 Wis. Stats., Senators Cullen, Radosevich and Lorge.

FRED A. RISSER
Chair

The question was: Adoption of the committee report?
Adopted.

The committee on Senate Organization reports and recommends:

Assembly Joint Resolution 63

Directing the attorney general to intervene in the California nuclear power plant moratorium case.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Risser, Bablitch, Flynn, Krueger and Murphy;

Noes, 0 -- None.

FRED A. RISSER
Chair

The joint committee on Finance reports and recommends:

Senate Bill 146

Relating to miscellaneous corrections and revisions in the insurance code and related statutes.

Adoption of senate amendment 1:

Ayes, 13 -- Senators Kleczka, Flynn, Offner, Braun, Radosevich and Chilsen, Representatives Johnson, Otte, Roberts, Lingren, Norquist, Kirby and Ellis;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Introduction and adoption of senate amendment 3:

Ayes, 14 -- Senators Kleczka, Maurer, Flynn, Offner, Braun, Radosevich and Chilsen, Representatives Johnson, Otte, Roberts, Lingren, Norquist, Kirby and Ellis;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Passage as amended:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Ayes, 14 -- Senators Kleczka, Maurer, Flynn, Offner, Braun, Radosevich and Chilsen, Representatives Johnson, Otte, Roberts, Lingren, Norquist, Kirby and Ellis;

Noes, 0 -- None.

GERALD D. KLECZKA
Chair

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Marcel Dandeneau, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has passed and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Bill 84

Assembly Bill 158

Assembly Bill 447

Assembly Bill 471

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY CONSIDERED

Assembly Bill 84

Relating to tuition payments by the state for children in certain group homes.

By Representatives Lingren, Metz, Medinger, Ward, Shoemaker and Tuczynski.

Read first time and referred to committee on Human Services.

Assembly Bill 158

Relating to consignment of works of fine art, rights of creditors of art dealers and providing a penalty.

By Representatives Otte, Clarenbach, Vanderperren, Becker, Metz, Soucie, Loftus, Leopold, Munts, Ferrall, Lingren, Barry, Young, Behnke, Andrea, Potter, Tuczynski, Medinger, Rutkowski, Broydrick, Tesmer, Kirby, Lorman, Klicka, Radtke and Miller, co-sponsored by Senators Risser, Braun, Radosevich, Krueger, Moody, Adelman, Murphy, Berger, Bear and Roshell.

Read first time and referred to committee on Education and Revenue.

Assembly Bill 447

Relating to the age for application for an identification card.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

By Representatives Wahner, Jackamonis, Lingren, Miller, Munts and Quackenbush, co-sponsored by Senators Cullen, Berger and Maurer.

Read first time and referred to committee on Aging, Business and Financial Institutions and Transportation.

Assembly Bill 471

Relating to suit tax in small claims type actions and the clerk's fee in garnishment actions.

By Representatives Ferrall, Rooney, Wagner and Ladwig, co-sponsored by Senator Strohl, by request of Lawrence Flynn, Racine County Clerk of Courts.

Read first time and referred to committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

By request of Senator Johnston, with unanimous consent, he was recorded as voting with the majority on **Senate Bill 212**.

By request of Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, the Senate recessed until 9:56 A.M.

9:08 A.M.

RECESS

9:56 A.M.

The senate reconvened.

By request of Senator Maurer, with unanimous consent, the Senate recessed until 10:05 A.M.

9:57 A.M.

RECESS

10:05 A.M.

The senate reconvened.

SPECIAL ORDERS

Senate Bill 79

Relating to state finances and appropriations, constituting the executive budget bill of the 1979 legislature, and making appropriations.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79** was considered at this time.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson, Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Johnston -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

The question was: Shall senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosovich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson, Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Johnston -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosovich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Johnston -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Lorge, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 8.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Johnston -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79** offered by Senators Chilsen and McCallum.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 25; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 25.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy and Theno -- 7.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Theno and Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 30; noes, 2; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Murphy, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 30.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen and Theno -- 2.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Chilsen and Johnston.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Chilsen, Theno, Murphy, Bidwell, McCallum and Kreul.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Kleczka -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 9 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Chilsen, Kreul, Lasee, Krueger, Theno, Bidwell and Murphy.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 9 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 9 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 9 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson, Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Chilsen, Theno, McCallum, Bidwell and Murphy.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Murphy, Opitz, Johnston, Bidwell and Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 12 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 12 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 12 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Senate amendment 13 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Lorge.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 13 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 13 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 13 to senate amendment 289 to senate amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 29; noes, 3; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Murphy, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 29.

Noes -- Senators Krueger, Lasee and Lorge -- 3.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 14 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Lorge.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 14 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 14 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 14 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 25; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 25.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Johnston, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy and Opitz -- 7.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 15 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79** offered by Senators Theno and Johnston.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 15 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 15 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 15 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Krueger, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 24.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 8.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 16 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Opitz.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 16 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 16 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 16 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz, Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Cullen -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 17 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Murphy, McCallum, Theno, Opitz and Kreul.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 17 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Murphy asked unanimous consent to change his vote from "no" to "aye" on senate amendment 15 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79**.

Senator Flynn objected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 17 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 17 to senate amendment 189 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 17 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 18 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Chilsen and Murphy.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 18 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 18 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 18 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosевич, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Murphy and Opitz -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 19 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Lorge and Murphy.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 19 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 19 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 19 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosевич, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Johnston, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- None.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 20 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Lasee.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 20 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 20 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 20 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy and Opitz -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 21 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Bidwell and Murphy.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 21 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 21 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 21 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Krueger, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 23.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy and Opitz -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 22 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Lorge, Lasee, Kreul and Murphy.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 22 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 22 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 22 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Krueger, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 24.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy and Opitz -- 8.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 23 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Lorge and Murphy.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 23 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 23 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 23 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy and Opitz -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 24 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Murphy.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 24 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 24 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 24 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 25 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79** offered by Senator Theno.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 25 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 25 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 25 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 26 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Lorge, Murphy and Kreul.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 26 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 26 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 26 to senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 289 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79?**

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senator Lorge moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 32 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79** was rejected.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the motion for reconsideration was laid on the table.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the Senate recessed until 2:30 P.M.

12:03 P.M.

RECESS

2:30 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

Senator Bablitch moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Murphy, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 32.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- None.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the call was raised.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 88, 90, 101, 104, 107, 162, 190, 83, 112, 150, 157, 159, 91, 161, 175, 255, 263, 271 and 286 to senate substitute amendment 1 were considered at this time in the above listed order.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 88 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 88 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79** be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 88 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 90 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 90 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 90 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasec, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy and Opitz -- 8.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Theno -- 1.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 101 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 101 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 101 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 104 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 104 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 104 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, ~~Moody~~, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Chilsen -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 107 to senate substitute amendment 1?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 107 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 107 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 162 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 162 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 162 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lasee, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 24.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy and Theno -- 8.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 190 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 190 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 190 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 83 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 83 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 83 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 112 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 112 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 112 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody,

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 150 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 150 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 150 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 157 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 157 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn in the chair.

3:42 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 157 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 157 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 157 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 159 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 159 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 159 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Moody in the chair.

3:58 P.M.

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 91 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner,

Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Cullen -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 161 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 161 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 161 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Kleczka -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 175 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 175 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 175 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasec, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 255 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 255 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 255 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Murphy, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson, Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 8.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Chilsen and Krueger -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 263 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Kreul.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 263 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Flynn raised the point of order that senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 263 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79** was not germane.

The point of order was well taken.

Senator Kreul appealed the ruling of the chair.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the ruling of the Senate?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lorge, Maurer, Moody, Murphy, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- None.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

The decision of the chair shall stand as the ruling of the senate.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 263 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The president of the senate in the chair.

4:27 P.M.

Senator Flynn moved that senate amendment 263 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 263 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyske, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lorge, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 271 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Kleczka moved that senate amendment 271 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 271 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Frank, Goyske, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Flynn -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 286 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 286 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 286 to senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Kleczka -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Bablitch asked unanimous consent that all senate amendments to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79** that were not acted upon be laid on the table enmasse.

Senator Murphy objected.

Senator Bablitch moved that all senate amendments to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79** that have not been acted upon be laid on the table enmasse.

The question was: Shall all senate amendments to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79** that have not been acted on be laid on the table enmasse?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the motion to reconsider the vote by which senate amendment 32 to senate substitute amendment 1 was rejected was taken from the table.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the vote by which senate amendment 32 to senate substitute amendment 1 was rejected was reconsidered.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 32 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79?**

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, the Senate recessed until 5:10 P.M.

4:55 P.M.

RECESS

5:10 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

Senator Harnisch in the chair.

5:10 P.M.

By request of Senator Maurer, with unanimous consent, the Senate recessed until 5:21 P.M.

5:10 P.M.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

RECESS

5:21 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 88, 112, 157 and 279 to senate substitute amendment 1 were taken from the table and considered for action at this time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 88 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 25; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 25.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Johnston, Lorge, Murphy, Opitz, Swan -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Lasee -- 1.

So the amendment was adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 112 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 29; noes, 3; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson, Van Sistine -- 29.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Kreul and Murphy -- 3.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senator Bablitch moved a

CALL OF THE SENATE

Which motion was supported.

The sergeant-at-arms was directed to close the doors and the clerk to call the roll.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Murphy, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 31.

Absent -- Senator Lasee -- 1.

Absent with leave -- None.

The senate stood informal awaiting the report of the Sergeant-at-Arms.

The Sergeant-at-Arms reported all members present.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 157 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 28; noes, 4; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 28.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Kreul, Lorge and Murphy -- 4.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 279 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Murphy, Opitz, Swan and Theno -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the vote by which senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 1 was rejected was reconsidered.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79?**

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 29; noes, 3; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 29.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Johnston and Murphy -- 3.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 130 to senate substitute amendment 1 was taken from the table and considered for action at this time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 130 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 27; noes, 5; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 27.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Johnston, Kreul, Murphy and Opitz -- 5.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79**?

Senator Lorge asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 1 be taken from the table and considered at this time.

Senator Bablitch objected.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 79**?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Lasee, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Kreul, Krueger, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy, Opitz and Theno -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the substitute amendment was adopted.

The question was: Shall the bill be ordered to a third reading?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 26.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Kreul, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy and Opitz -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill was ordered to a third reading.

Senator Bablitch asked unanimous consent that the bill be read a third time.

Senator Murphy objected.

Senator Bablitch moved that the rules be suspended and the bill read a third time.

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended and the bill be read a third time?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Krueger, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy and Opitz -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- None.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

More than two-thirds having voted in the affirmative, the rules were suspended and **Senate Bill 79** was read a third time.

Senate Bill 79

Read a third time.

The question was: Shall the bill pass?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Krueger, Lasee, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 26.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Kreul, Lorge, McCallum, Murphy and Opitz -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill passed.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged.

The question on which the call of the senate was put having been decided the call was raised.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, **Assembly Bill 158** was withdrawn from committee on Education and Revenue and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, **Assembly Bill 447** was withdrawn from committee on Aging, Business and Financial Institutions and Transportation and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 19** by Senators Cullen and Chilsen.

Senate amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 128** by Senators Braun and Berger.

Senate amendment 3 to **Senate Bill 168** by Senator Bear.

Senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 186** by Senators Goyke, Bear, Offner, Opitz and Lorge.

Senate amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 245** by Senator Bear.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 6, 1979]

Upon motion of Senator Bablitch the senate adjourned until
10:00 A.M. Thursday, June 7.

7:17 P.M.

GUESTS

During today's session, the following visitors honored the senate
with their presence:

Barbara Prestegard, Oregon, Wisconsin; Frank and Lois
Catrambone, Chicago, Illinois; and Rene and Jacqueline Classe,
Paris, France, guest of Senator Thompson.

CHIEF CLERK'S CORRECTION

Suggested by Legislative Reference Bureau

Senate Bill 79, Senate amendment 230 to senate substitute
amendment 1

1. On page 7, line 10, substitute "601.95" for "601.94".