STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Eighty-Fourth Regular Session

SATURDAY, March 29, 1980.

10:00 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by the president of the senate.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 30.

Absent -- Senators Frank and Goyke -- 2.

Absent with leave -- None.

The senate stood for the prayer which was offered by Reverend J. Carl Hillmer, pastor of Christ Memorial Lutheran Church, Fitchburg.

The senate remained standing and Senator Theno led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

Senator Chilsen moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 2 to Assembly Bill 1180 was rejected.

Assembly Bill 1180

Relating to state finances and appropriations, constituting the 1980 budget review bill and making appropriations.

Read.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 62?

By request of Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 62 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 63?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 63.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 63?

Senator Flynn in the chair.

10:15 A.M.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, Senator Risser was granted a leave of absence until 1:18 P.M.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 63?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Harnisch, Kleczka, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Roshell, Strohl, Swan and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Opitz, Theno and Thompson -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Frank, Goyke and Risser -- 3.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 64?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 64.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 64?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Harnisch, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum, Maurer, Roshell and Strohl -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Goyke, Hanaway, Kleczka, Lorge, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Frank and Risser -- 2.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 64 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 65?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 65.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 65?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 10; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Kleczka, Moody, Offner, Radosevich and Van Sistine -- 10.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bear, Bidwell, Chilsen, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno and Thompson -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Frank, Maurer and Risser -- 3.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 65 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 66?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 66.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 66?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 7; noes, 23; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Braun, Kleczka, Maurer, Radosevich, Roshell and Van Sistine -- 7.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Strohl, Swan, Theno and Thompson -- 23.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Frank and Risser -- 2.

So the motion did not prevail.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 66 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 67?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 67.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 67?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bidwell, Chilsen, Goyke, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Frank and Risser -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 68?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 68.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 68?

By request of Senator Berger, with unanimous consent, he was made a co-author of senate amendment 68.

Senator Hanaway asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 68 be placed after senate amendment 98.

Senator Cullen objected.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 68? The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 69?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 69.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 69?

Senator Moody asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 69 be placed after senate amendment 95.

Senator Kleczka objected.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 69.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 11:56 A.M.

10:55 A.M.

RECESS

11:56 A.M.

The senate reconvened.

Senator Bablitch asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 69 be placed after senate amendment 96.

Senator Krueger objected.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 69 be laid on the table

The question was: Shall senate amendment 69 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendment 96 be considered before senate amendment 70.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 96 be considered before senate amendment 70?

Senator Chilsen raised the point of order that the rules must be suspended to consider senate amendment 96 before senate amendment 70.

The chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 96 be considered before senate amendment 70?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 96 offered by Senators Cullen, Bablitch, Krueger, Maurer, Van Sistine, Goyke, Offner, Thompson, Radosevich, Harnisch, Berger, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Bidwell, Kreul and Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 96?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 96.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 96?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 6; noes, 25; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Hanaway, Johnston, Lasee, McCallum and Opitz -- 6.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lorge, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 25.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 96?

The president of the senate in the chair.

1:18 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 96?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 2:03 P.M.

1:35 P.M.

RECESS

2:03 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

QUORUM CALL

Senator Flynn called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 29.

Absent -- Senators Bear, Cullen and Swan -- 3.

Absent with leave -- None.

By request of Senator Lorge, with unanimous consent, he was made a co-author of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 96.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 96?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lorge, Maurer, Offner, Radosevich, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes 2- Senators Braun, Hanaway, Kleczka, Lasee, McCallum, Moody, Opitz, Risser and Swan -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 96 offered by Senators McCallum, Hanaway and Krueger.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 96?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 3:31 P.M.

2:18 P.M.

RECESS

3:31 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 96?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 96.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 96?

By request of Senator McCallum, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 96 was returned to the author.

By request of Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, the journal showed he should have voted "aye" for adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 96 to Assembly Bill 1180.

Senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 96 offered by Senators Hanaway, Opitz, McCallum, Bidwell and Kreul.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 96?

Digitized by Google

By request of Senator Hanaway, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 96 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 96 offered by Senator McCallum.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 96?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 96.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 96?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 96?

Senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 96 offered by Senator Hanaway.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 96?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 96.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 96?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 96?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Bear, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lorge, Maurer, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum, Moody, Opitz, Swan and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So thé amendment was adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 70?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 95 was considered before senate amendment 70.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 95?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 95 offered by Senators Goyke, McCallum, Roshell and Theno.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 95?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 95.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 95?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Bear, Chilsen, Goyke, Hanaway, Johnston, Krcul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell, Swan, Theno and Van Sistine -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 95 offered by Senator Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 95?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate unendment 95.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 95?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Maurer, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Bear, Braun, Chilsen, Goyke, Hanaway, Johnston, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Moody, Opitz, Strohl, Swan and Thompson -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 95 offered by Senator Swan.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 95?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 95.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 95?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Cullen, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Frank, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Moody, Opitz, Swan and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 95 offered by Senator Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 95?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 95.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 95?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 95 offered by Senator Theno.

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 95.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 95?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan and Thompson -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Opitz, Theno and Van Sistine -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Scnate amendment 6 to senate amendment 95 offered by Senator Theno.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 95?

Senator Flynn moved rejection of senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 95.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 95?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lorge, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum, Opitz, Swan and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Cullen -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 95?

By request of Senator Maurer, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 6:50 P.M.

4:45 P.M.

RECESS

6:50 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 97 to Assembly Bill 1180 by Senator Kreul, by request of Representative Barry.

Senate amendment 98 to Assembly Bill 1180 by Senator Johnston.

Senate amendment 99 to Assembly Bill 1180 by Senator Swan.

Senate amendment 100 to Assembly Bill 1180 by Senator Swan.

Senate amendment 101 to Assembly Bill 1180 by Senator Chilsen.

Senate amendment 102 to Assembly Bill 1180 by Senator Johnston.

Senate amendment 103 to Assembly Bill 1180 by Senator Thompson.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 71 to Assembly Bill 1180 by Senator Johnston.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 95?

Senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 95 offered by Senator Kleczka.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 95?

Adopted.

Senator Bablitch moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 95 was rejected.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 95 was rejected?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 95?

1747 Figitized by Google

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 95?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 95?

Adopted.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 90 to Assembly Bill 1180 was considered at this time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 90? Adopted.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 89 was considered at this time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 89? Adopted.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 24 was taken from the table and considered at this time.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 24?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 24 offered by Senator Bear.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 24?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 24? Adopted.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 43 was taken from the table and considered at this time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 43?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 43.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 43?

The motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the senate stood informal.

6:56 P.M.

7:01 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 43 offered by Senator Bear.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 43?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 43? Adopted.

Senator Bablitch asked unanimous consent that senate amendments 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 91, 56, 57, 92, 93, 94, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102 and 103 be laid on the table.

Senator Lorge objected.

Senator Krueger asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 1 be taken from the table and considered at this time.

Senator Lorge objected to senate amendments 75, 76 and 77 being laid on the table.

Senator Krueger moved that senate amendment 1 be taken from the table and considered at this time.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 1 be taken from the table and considered at this time?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

1749 jitized by Google

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 75, 76 and 77 were considered at this time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 75?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 75.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 75?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Scnators Adelman, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 26.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Chilsen, Hanaway, Johnston, Lorge and McCallum -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 76?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 76.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 76?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 26.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Chilsen, Hanaway, Johnston, Lorge and McCallum -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 77?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 77.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 77?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee,

Digitized by Google

Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 26.

Noes -- Senators Bablitch, Chilsen, Hanaway, Johnston, Lorge and McCallum -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Bablitch asked unanimous consent that senate amendments 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 91, 56, 57, 92, 93, 94, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102 and 103 be laid on the table.

Senator Swan objected to senate amendment 99 being laid on the table.

Senator Bablitch asked unanimous consent that senate amendments 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 91, 56, 57, 92, 93, 94, 97, 98, 100, 101, 102 and 103 be laid on the table.

Senator Chilsen objected.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 99 was considered at this time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 99?

Senator Bablitch moved rejection of senate amendment 99.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 99?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Harnisch, Kleczka, Lorge, Maurer, Offner, Opitz, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Goyke, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, McCallum, Moody, Swan, Theno and Thompson -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Bablitch moved that senate amendments 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 91, 56, 57, 92, 93, 94, 97, 98, 100, 101, 102 and 103 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendments 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 91, 56, 57, 92, 93, 94, 97, 98, 100, 101, 102 and 103 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Swan, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 42 was rejected?

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, reconsideration of the votes by which senate amendments 42, 40, 3 and 2 were rejected was laid on the table.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Assembly Bill 1180

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Bear, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Krueger, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Radosevich, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bidwell, Chilsen, Frank, Hanaway, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Opitz, Swan and Theno--13.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill was concurred in as amended.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged.

Upon motion of Senator Bablitch the senate adjourned until 12:00 Noon, Monday, March 31.

8:00 P.M.

GUESTS

During today's session, the following visitors honored the senate with their presence:

Jerry Dries and his two sons Jason and Mitchell of Port Washington were guests of Senator Opitz.