

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Eighty-Fifth Regular Session

WEDNESDAY, October 7, 1981.

9:00 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by the president of the senate.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 33.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- None.

The senate stood for the prayer which was offered by Reverend Mary Jean Bartholomew, assistant pastor of Westminister Presbyterian Church, Madison.

The senate remained standing and Senator Engeleiter led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

The committee on Education and State Institutions reports and recommends for introduction:

Senate Bill 612

Relating to the Wisconsin resource center.

Introduction:

Ayes, 3 -- Senators Goyke, George and Thompson;

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

Noes, 1 -- Senator Harsdorf.

Read first time and referred to committee on Education and State Institutions.

GARY R. GOYKE
Chair

The joint committee for Review of Administrative Rules reports and recommends:

Senate Bill 68

Relating to notification of the loss of hospital staff privileges and license suspension by the medical examining board.

Introduction and adoption of senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 10 -- Senators Berger, Goyke, Harnisch, George and Kreul, Representatives Rogers, Wagner, Helbach, Crawford and Thompson;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Passage as amended:

Ayes, 10 -- Senators Berger, Goyke, Harnisch, George and Kreul, Representatives Rogers, Wagner, Helbach, Crawford and Thompson;

Noes, 0 -- None.

DAVID G. BERGER
Co-Chair

WILLIAM J. ROGERS
Co-Chair

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By David R. Kedrowski, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has adopted and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Joint Resolution 71

Assembly Joint Resolution 73

Assembly Joint Resolution 76

Passed and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Bill 32

Assembly Bill 161

Assembly Bill 373

Assembly Bill 616

Assembly Bill 797

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

Assembly Bill 798

Assembly Bill 799

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY CONSIDERED

Assembly Joint Resolution 71

Granting the use of the legislative chambers on November 7 and 8, 1981, to the 1981 Model Legislature of the Young Republicans.

By Representatives Thompson and Shabaz, co-sponsored by Senators Hanaway and Chilsen.

Read and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

Assembly Joint Resolution 73

Relating to proclaiming the week ending October 17, 1981, as 'Domestic Violence Awareness Week'.

By Representatives Robinson, Plewa, Miller, Ulichny, Norquist, Kunicki, D. Travis, Otte, Plous, Czarnezki, Panzer, Kirby, Prosser, McEwen, Leopold, Metz, Munts, Knox, Ladwig, Nelsen, Harer, Jaronitzky, Berndt, Smith, Crawford and Loftus, co-sponsored by Senators Flynn, Frank, Hanaway and Opitz.

Read and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

Assembly Joint Resolution 76

Relating to recalling 1981 Senate Bill 368 from the senate for further action.

By Representative Roberts.

Read and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

Assembly Bill 32

Relating to special state awards and making an appropriation.

By Representatives Schneider and Jackamonis.

Read first time and referred to committee on Labor, Government, Veterans Affairs and Tourism.

Assembly Bill 161

Relating to county register of deeds filing fees.

By Representatives DeLong, Tregoning, Ellis, Goodrich, Wagner, Ladwig, Porter, Prosser, McEwen and Laatsch, co-sponsored by Senator Lorge.

Read first time and referred to committee on State and Local Affairs and Taxation.

Assembly Bill 373

Relating to the procedure for legislative approval of state employee collective bargaining agreements.

By Legislative Council.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

Read first time and referred to committee on Labor, Government, Veterans Affairs and Tourism.

Assembly Bill 616

Relating to congressional districts.

By Committee on Reapportionment.

Read first time and referred to special committee on Reapportionment.

Assembly Bill 797

An Act to ratify the agreement negotiated between the state of Wisconsin and the Wisconsin State Attorneys Association for the 1981-83 biennium, covering employes in the professional legal collective bargaining unit, and authorizing an expenditure of funds.

By Joint Committee on Employment Relations.

Read first time and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

Assembly Bill 798

An Act to ratify the agreement negotiated between the state of Wisconsin and the United Professionals for Quality Health Care, Local 1199, AFL-CIO, for the 1981-83 biennium, covering employes in the professional patient care collective bargaining unit, and authorizing an expenditure of funds.

By Joint Committee on Employment Relations.

Read first time and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

Assembly Bill 799

An Act to ratify the agreement negotiated between the state of Wisconsin and the Wisconsin Federation of Teachers, Local 3271 for the 1981-83 biennium, covering employes in the professional education collective bargaining unit, and authorizing an expenditure of funds.

By Joint Committee on Employment Relations.

Read first time and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

CALENDAR OF OCTOBER 7

Senate Bill 558

Relating to interest rate ceilings, prepayment of loans and finance charges.

Read a second time.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Maurer, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 10:45 A.M.

9:09 A.M.

RECESS

10:45 A.M.

The senate reconvened.

QUORUM CALL

Senator Flynn called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 31.

Absent -- Senators Adelman and Hanaway -- 2.

Absent with leave -- None.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum and Opitz -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Cullen.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Cullen.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Cullen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Cullen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Berger and Braun.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell and Van Sistine -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Engeleiter, George, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Strohl, Theno and Thompson -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 558** offered by Senator Kleczka.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Engeleiter, George, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Strohl, Theno and Thompson -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Kleczka, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Risser, Roshell and Van Sistine -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Berger and Braun.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Lorman, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Flynn, George, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum and Strohl -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Krueger -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 2:00 P.M.

12:10 P.M.

RECESS

2:00 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

QUORUM CALL

Senator Flynn called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 32.

Absent -- Senator Krueger -- 1.

Absent with leave -- None.

Senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Berger and Braun.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 1.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Braun, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 1 was placed after senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 1.

Senate amendment 6 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 558** offered by Senators Berger and Braun.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 6 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 6 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Lorman, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, George, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Strohl and Thompson -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Bablitch -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 7 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Berger and Braun.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 8 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Berger and Braun.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Bidwell moved rejection of senate amendment 8 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 8 to senate substitute amendment 1?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 28; noes, 4; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 28.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Braun, George and Moody -- 4.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Bablitch -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 9 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Berger and Braun.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 9 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 9 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 9 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bidwell, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorman, McCallum, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell and Theno -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Braun, Chilsen, George, Lorge, Maurer, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Bablitch -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 10 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 558** offered by Senators Braun, Moody, George, Berger and Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 10 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 10 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 10 to senate substitute amendment 1?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 25; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Offner, Opitz, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 25.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Braun, George, Lorge, Moody, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 8.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Berger.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The motion prevailed.

Senator Johnston moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1 was adopted.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 27; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 27.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, George, Lorge and Strohl -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- Senator -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator McCallum.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Maurer, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, George, Harsdorf, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser and Thompson -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 13 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator McCallum.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 13 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 13 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 13 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1 was adopted.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Lorman, Maurer, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, George, Harsdorf, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Moody, Strohl, Theno and Thompson -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bablitch, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Johnston, Kleczka, Lorman, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Chilsen, George, Harsdorf, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Strohl, Theno and Thompson -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Hanaway moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 1 was rejected.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 1 was rejected?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser and Thompson -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Kleczka, Maurer, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Moody, Offner, Opitz and Risser -- 17.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Bidwell, Engeleiter, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Krueger, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Moody, Offner, Opitz and Risser -- 14.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Berger, Braun, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, George, Goyke, Hanaway, Kleczka, Lasee, Maurer, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was not adopted.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the senate stood informal.

4:18 P.M.

4:55 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

Senate amendment 14 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators McCallum, Bablitch and Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 14 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 14 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Bablitch.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 14 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 14 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Senator Berger moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 1 failed to be adopted.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 1 failed to be adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berger, Bidwell, Braun, Engeleiter, George, Harsdorf, Johnston, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Offner and Opitz -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Chilsen, Cullen, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Maurer, Moody, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?
Adopted.

The question was: Shall the bill be ordered to a third reading?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 30; noes, 3; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 30.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Braun and George -- 3.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill was ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 558

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 30; noes, 3; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Bablitch, Bidwell, Chilsen, Cullen, Engeleiter, Flynn, Frank, Goyke, Hanaway, Harnisch, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Krueger, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moody, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 30.

Noes -- Senators Berger, Braun and George -- 3.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill passed.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged.

By request of Senator Bablitch, with unanimous consent, the Senate returned to the second, third and fourth orders of business.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS

Senate Joint Resolution 43

Relating to the deregulation of natural gas prices.

By Senators Strohl, Braun, Van Sistine, George, Thompson and Berger; cosponsored by Representatives Crawford, Flintrop, Becker, Neubauer, Kunicki, Miller, Munts, Robinson, Tuczynski, Kirby and Plous.

Read and referred to committee on Energy.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Read first time and referred:

Senate Bill 613

Relating to establishing a 2nd mortgage loan program in the Wisconsin housing finance authority.

By Senator Van Sistine; cosponsored by Representative Vanderperren.

To Joint Survey committee on Debt Management.

Senate Bill 614

Relating to real property violating codes or health orders.

By Senator Braun; cosponsored by Representative Becker.

To committee on Human Services.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

The joint committee for Review of Administrative Rules reports and recommends for introduction:

Senate Bill 615

Relating to the fee for a duplicate operator's license issued to change an address and to the issuance of photo licenses by the department of transportation.

Introduction:

Ayes, 10 -- Senators Berger, Goyke, Harnisch, George and Kruel, Representatives Rogers, Wagner, Helbach, Crawford and Thompson;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Read first time and referred to committee on Aging, Business and Financial Institutions and Transportation.

DAVID G. BERGER

Co-Chair

WILLIAM J. ROGERS

Co-Chair

The committee on Aging, Business and Financial Institutions and Transportation reports and recommends:

Senate Bill 305

Relating to accrual of a cause of action in and time periods for the commencement of a product liability action.

Passage:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Roshell, Maurer, Goyke, Bidwell, Engeleiter and Cullen;

Noes, 1 -- Senator Moody.

Senate Bill 499

Relating to establishing a uniform statewide bar closing time.

Adoption of senate amendment 1:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Roshell, Moody, Maurer, Goyke, Bidwell, Engeleiter and Cullen;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Passage as amended:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Roshell, Moody, Maurer, Goyke, Bidwell, Engeleiter and Cullen;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 17

Relating to the sale of fermented malt beverages and intoxicating liquor on interstate vessels carrying passengers.

Introduction and adoption of senate amendment 1:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Roshell, Moody, Maurer, Bidwell, Engeleiter and Cullen;

Noes, 1 -- Senator Goyke.

Concurrence as amended:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Roshell, Moody, Maurer, Bidwell, Engeleiter and Cullen;

Noes, 1 -- Senator Goyke.

Assembly Bill 91

Relating to authorizing brewers, bottlers and wholesalers of fermented malt beverages to furnish money or other things of value to private colleges and universities that hold a license for the retail sale of beer.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Roshell, Moody, Maurer, Goyke, Bidwell, Engeleiter and Cullen;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 215

Relating to restrictions applicable to the sale of alcoholic beverages at wholesale to university of Wisconsin system campuses.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Roshell, Moody, Maurer, Goyke, Bidwell, Engeleiter and Cullen;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 300

Relating to a recodification of alcoholic beverage laws, granting rule-making authority and providing penalties.

Introduction and adoption of senate amendment 2:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Roshell, Moody, Maurer, Goyke, Bidwell, Engeleiter and Cullen;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Concurrence as amended:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Roshell, Moody, Maurer, Goyke, Bidwell and Cullen;

Noes, 1 -- Senator Engeleiter.

TIM CULLEN
Chair

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 7, 1981]

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 66** by Senator Berger.

Senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 536** by Senators Goyke and Chilsen.

Upon motion of Senator Bablitch the senate adjourned until 10:00 A.M. Thursday, October 8.

5:35 P.M.

CHIEF CLERK'S CORRECTION

Suggested by Legislative Reference Bureau

Senate Joint Resolution 40

1. Page 1, line 9: strike through “, which shall continue”.

Senate Joint Resolution 30

1. Page 1, line 6: substitute “recent” for “recant”.