

# STATE OF WISCONSIN

## Senate Journal

### 1983 October Special Session

---

FRIDAY, October 21, 1983

11:55 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by Fred A. Risser, president of the senate.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Present -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarneski, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, and Van Sistine -- 31.

Absent -- Senators Opitz and Thompson -- 2.

Absent with leave -- None.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the senate dispensed with the pledge of allegiance and the prayer.

---

#### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Harsdorf, with unanimous consent, Senator Opitz was granted a leave of absence for today's session.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, Senator Thompson was granted a leave of absence for today's session.

---

#### CALENDAR OF OCTOBER 21

##### **Senate Bill 3, October Special Session**

Relating to authorizing hospitals and other health care providers to use innovative forms of medical technology without receiving formal approval from the department of health and social services.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1? Adopted.

Senator Norquist asked unanimous consent that **Senate Bill 3, October Special Session** be placed on the calendar of October 25.

Senator Cullen objected.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

##### **Senate Bill 3, October Special Session**

Read a third time and passed.

##### **Senate Bill 4, October Special Session**

Relating to the development and implementation of a plan to promote and increase exports and foreign investment and making an appropriation.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1? Adopted.

Senate amendment 2 offered by Senators Adelman, Czarneski, Feingold and Moen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?

Senator Kleczka moved rejection of senate amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl and Theno -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarneski, Feingold, Helbach, Lee, Moen, Norquist and Van Sistine -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Opitz and Thompson -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendments 3, 4, and 5 offered by Senator Harsdorf.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3?

Senator Van Sistine moved rejection of senate amendment 3.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 27; noes, 4; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarneski, Davis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Helbach, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 27.

Noes -- Senators Ellis, Harsdorf, Johnston and Norquist -- 4.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Opitz and Thompson -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4?

Senator Van Sistine moved rejection of senate amendment 4.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 25; noes, 5; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarneski, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Helbach, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 25.

Noes -- Senators Davis, Harsdorf, Johnston, Lasee and Lorman -- 5.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis, Opitz and Thompson -- 3.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5?

Senator Van Sistine moved rejection of senate amendment 5.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 5?  
The motion prevailed.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

**Senate Bill 4, October Special Session**

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 29; noes, 2; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarneski, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 29.

Noes -- Senators Lee and Norquist -- 2.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Opitz and Thompson -- 2.

So the bill passed.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged.

Upon motion of Senator Cullen the senate adjourned until 10:00 A.M. Tuesday, October 25.

12:43 P.M.