

STATE OF WISCONSIN
Senate Journal
Eighty-Sixth Regular Session

TUESDAY, October 25, 1983

10:00 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by Fred A Risser, president of the senate.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Present -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Opitz, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 33.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- None.

The senate stood for the prayer which was offered by Pastor Stephen L. Kurth of Bethel Lutheran Church, Poynette.

The senate remained standing and Senator Feingold led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS

Senate Joint Resolution 45

Relating to authorizing the nonuniform taxation of improvements to property in municipal conservation or redevelopment areas (first consideration).

By Senators Engeleiter, Lorman, Davis and Hanaway; cosponsored by Representative Zeuske, Merkt, Rosenzweig, Stewart, Luckhardt, Huelsman, Volk and Schneiders.

Read first time and referred to committee on Tourism and Revenue.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Read first time and referred:

Senate Bill 476

Relating to changing the legal age for possession and consumption of alcohol beverages, prohibiting certain persons from driving with any blood alcohol content and providing penalties.

By Senator Davis.

To committee on Labor, Business, Veterans Affairs and Insurance.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

The committee on Senate Organization reports and recommends:

Senate Joint Resolution 42

Relating to commemorating the 500th anniversary of the birth of Martin Luther.

Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Cullen, Risser, Harsdorf and Engeleiter;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Passage as amended:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Cullen, Risser, Harsdorf and Engeleiter;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Joint Resolution 59

Commending John Brzek for 50 years of service to the state and to the ports of Milwaukee and Green Bay.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Cullen, Risser, Harsdorf and Engeleiter;

Noes, 0 -- None.

TIMOTHY F. CULLEN

Chair

The committee on Health, Education, Corrections and Human Services reports and recommends:

Assembly Bill 655

Relating to compensation of health department employes.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Norquist, Strohl, Moen, Davis and Lorman;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 319

Relating to community services for children under the age of 3.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Norquist, Strohl, Moen and Thompson;

Noes, 2 -- Senators Lorman and Davis.

CHARL THOMPSON

Chair

The committee on Energy and Environmental Resources reports and recommends:

Senate Bill 410

Relating to bifurcating the arbitration process for solid waste disposal facilities and hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal facilities and including the need for the facility as a factor in the siting process.

Introduction and adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Strohl, Adelman, Helbach, Opitz and Ellis;

Noes, 1 -- Senator Lee.

Introduction and adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Strohl, Adelman, Helbach, Lee, Opitz and Ellis;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Introduction and adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Strohl, Lee, Helbach, Opitz and Ellis;

Noes, 1 -- Senator Adelman.

Introduction and adoption of senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Strohl, Adelman, Helbach, Opitz and Ellis;

Noes, 1 -- Senator Lee.

Passage as amended:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Strohl, Adelman, Helbach, Opitz and Ellis;

Noes, 1 -- Senator Lee.

JOE STROHL
Chair

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Senate Petition 27

A petition by 636 residents of Crawford County in support of legislation that would require all nursing homes in Wisconsin to install central air conditioning before a license would be granted.

By Senator Offner.

Read and referred to committee on Health, Education, Corrections and Human Services.

Senate Petition 28

A petition by 30 residents of the state of Wisconsin in opposition to any change in the state constitution that would allow pari-mutual betting or a state sponsored lottery.

By Senator Theno.

Read and referred to committee on Urban Affairs and Government Operations.

CALENDAR OF OCTOBER 25

ARNOTT, DONNA, of Racine, as a member of the Barbers Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1985.

Read.

The question was: Shall the appointment be confirmed?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 33; noes, 0; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Opitz, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 33.

Noes -- None.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the appointment was confirmed.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the appointments were considered enmasse.

BAIME, CLEMENS, of Monona, as a member of the Nursing Home Administrators Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1985.

Read.

BERGSCHNEIDER, DIANE K., of Bayside as a member of the Dentistry Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1988.

Read.

BOLZAK, CAROL, of Wausau, as a member of the Barbers Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1986.

Read.

BREITBACH, RAYMOND E., D.C., of Kaukauna, as a member of the Chiropractic Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1989.

Read.

CAMOSY, DONALD W., D.D.S., of Kenosha as a member of the Dentistry Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1987.

Read.

CUDAHY, JANET S., of Winnetka, Illinois as a member of the Medical College of Wisconsin Board of Trustees, to serve for the term ending May 1, 1988.

Read.

DEAN, SARAH of Milwaukee, as a member of the Nursing Home Administrators Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1984.

Read.

KIESOW, JIM, of Menomonie, as a member of the Hearing Aid Dealers and Fitters Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1989.

Read.

KITTLESON, MICHAEL, of Ettrick, as a member of the Nursing Home Administrators Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1986.

Read.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 25, 1983]

MILAVITZ, LLOYD, of Menomonie, as a member of the Optometry Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1988.

Read.

NEVINS, M.E., of Waukesha, as a member of the Medical College of Wisconsin Board of Trustees, to serve for the term ending May 1, 1987.

Read.

OESTREICHER, JOHN, of Marshfield, as a member of the Hospital Rate-Setting Commission, to serve for the term ending March 1, 1991.

Read.

PENCIKOWSKI, MARY JO, of Milwaukee, as a member of the Nursing Home Administrators Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1986.

Read.

PRASSE, DAVID P., of Whitefish Bay, as a member of the Psychology Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1986.

Read.

ZAKRZEWSKI, NANCY L., of Wisconsin Rapids, as a member of the Cosmetology Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1984.

Read.

The question was: Shall the appointments be confirmed?

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 33; noes, 0; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Opitz, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 33.

Noes -- None.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the appointments were confirmed.

Senate Bill 91

Relating to animal fighting and providing penalties.

Read.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 2?

Concurred in as amended.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 3?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence in assembly amendment 4?

Senator Otte moved non-concurrence of assembly amendment 4.

The question was: Non-concurrence of assembly amendment 4?

The motion prevailed.

Senate Bill 218

Relating to extending the jurisdiction of the public service commission to include certain sewage services.

Read.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

Concurred in.

Assembly Bill 26

Relating to liability for nonmoving traffic violations with rented or leased vehicles.

Read.

The question was: Shall the Senate adhere to its position?

By request of Senator George, with unanimous consent, **Assembly Bill 26** was placed at the foot of the calendar.

Assembly Bill 90

Relating to composition of the Milwaukee board of school directors.

Read.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Davis moved non-concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Non-Concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Concurred in.

Senate Bill 1

Relating to changing the legal age for drinking and obtaining alcohol beverage licenses and permits and providing penalties.

Read a second time.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent **Assembly Bill 260** was considered at this time.

Assembly Bill 260

Relating to official identification cards, changing the legal drinking age, establishing a curfew and creating and changing penalties.

Read a second time.

By request of Senator Maurer, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 11:24 A.M.

10:35A.M.

RECESS

11:24 A.M.

The senate reconvened.

Senator Strohl in the chair.

Senate amendments 1 and 2 offered by Senator Maurer.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1?

Senator Risser moved non-concurrence of **Assembly Bill 260**.

The question was: Shall the bill be non-concurred in?

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendments 3 and 4 to **Assembly Bill 260** by Senator Offner.

Senate amendment 5 to **Assembly Bill 260** by Senator Cullen.

Senate amendment 6 to **Assembly Bill 260** by Senators Lee and Risser.

Senate amendment 7 to **Assembly Bill 260** by Senator Lee.

Senate amendment 8 to **Assembly Bill 260** by Senator George.

Senate amendment 9 to **Assembly Bill 260** by Senator Ellis. tf

Senate amendment 10 to **Assembly Bill 260** by Senators Czarnezki and Engeleiter.

Senate amendment 11 to **Assembly Bill 260** by Senator Thompson.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 1:45 P.M.

12:14 P.M.

RECESS

1:45 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

The president of the senate in the chair.

QUORUM CALL

The president of the senate called attention to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Chilsen, Cullen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Opitz, Otte, Risser, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 27.

Absent -- Senators Adelman, Czarnezki, Kleczka, Maurer, Roshell and Thompson -- 6.

Absent with leave -- None.

Senator Strohl in the chair.

1:52 P.M.

The question was: Non-concurrence of **Assembly Bill 260**?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 5; noes, 27; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Feingold, George, Kincaid, Lee and Risser -- 5.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Opitz, Otte, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 27.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Johnston -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 28; noes, 5; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 28.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Ellis, Lorge, Maurer and Opitz -- 5.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?

Senator Cullen raised the point of order that senate amendment 2 was not germane.

The chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2? The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 2 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 2 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 20; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kreul, Lorman, McCallum, Roshell, Theno and Thompson -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Davis, Ellis, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Opitz, Otte, Risser, Strohl and Van Sistine -- 20.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Adelman -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Johnston, Kleczka, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Opitz, Otte, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Cullen, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lorman, McCallum, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3?

Senator Lasee moved rejection of senate amendment 3.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3?

By request of Senator Offner, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 3 was placed after senate amendment 14.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4.

By request of Senator Offner, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 4 was placed after senate amendment 3.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 5 was placed after senate amendment 8.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6?

Senator Chilsen raised the point of order that senate amendment 6 was not germane.

The chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 6.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 6?
The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7?
Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8?

Senator Chilsen moved rejection of senate amendment 8.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 8?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell, Strohl and Theno -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, Maurer, Moen, Offner, Otte, Risser, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Ellis, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Norquist, Opitz, Roshell, Strohl and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 5 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 9?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 9.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 9?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 28; noes, 5; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Opitz, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 28.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Ellis, Johnston, Lorge and Maurer -- 5.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 10?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 10.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 10?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Davis, Ellis, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Opitz, Otte, Roshell, Theno and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Czarnezki, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Kincaid, Lee, McCallum, Risser, Strohl and Thompson -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11?

The amendment was not adopted.

Senator Risser moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 11 failed to be adopted.

Senate amendment 12 offered by Senators Van Sistine, Kleczka and Cullen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12?

By request of Senator Van Sistine, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 12 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 13 offered by Senators Risser and Hanaway.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 13?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 14 offered by Senator Risser.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 14?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 14.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 14?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Cullen, Ellis, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kleczka, Kreul, Lorman, Maurer, Norquist, Offner, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Czarnezki, Davis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, McCallum, Moen, Opitz, Otte, Risser and Thompson -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 14?

Adopted.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Cullen, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Opitz, Otte, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Czarnezki, Davis, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Maurer, Norquist, Offner, Risser and Thompson -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4? Adopted.

Senate amendment 15 offered by Senator Risser.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 15?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 15.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 15?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Offner, Otte, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 26.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Feingold, George, Norquist, Risser and Thompson -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Opitz -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 11 failed to be adopted?

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Otte moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 2 was adopted.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 2 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 11; noes, 22; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Cullen, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kreul, McCallum, Norquist, Otte, Roshell, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 11.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, Lorman, Maurer, Moen, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Strohl and Theno -- 22.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Assembly Bill 260

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 28; noes, 5; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Opitz, Otte, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 28.

Noes -- Senators Feingold, George, Kincaid, Lee and Risser -- 5.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill was concurred in as amended.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged.

The president of the senate in the chair.

5:03 P.M.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the Senate returned to the eighth order of business.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Joanne M. Duren, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has passed and asks concurrence in:

- Assembly Bill 513
- Assembly Bill 714
- Assembly Bill 738
- Assembly Bill 268
- Assembly Bill 296
- Assembly Bill 671
- Assembly Bill 681
- Assembly Bill 689
- Assembly Bill 703

Adopted and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Joint Resolution 72

Concurred in:

- Senate Bill 321
- Senate Bill 17
- Senate Bill 305
- Senate Bill 395
- Assembly Bill 451, senate amendment 7

Nonconcurred in:

Assembly Bill 298, senate amendment 1

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY
CONSIDERED

Assembly Bill 513

Relating to authorizing adult patients to direct the withholding or withdrawal of life-sustaining procedures if the patient becomes terminally ill and providing a penalty.

By Representatives Kunicki, Stower, M. Coggs, Johnson, Robinson, Neubauer, Rude, Plous, Sell, Gilson, Medinger, Crawford, Seery, Goetsch, Goodrich, Panzer and Rooney, co-sponsored by Senators Norquist, Lorman and Hanaway.

Read first time and referred to committee on Health, Education, Corrections and Human Services.

Assembly Bill 714

Relating to foreclosure of mortgages by advertisement.

By Representatives Stower, Jauch, Gronemus, Wineke, Neubauer, Shoemaker, Krusick and Tesmer, co-sponsored by Senator Feingold.

Read first time and referred to committee on Aging, Financial Institutions and State Institutions.

Assembly Bill 738

Relating to October 1983 local transportation aids payments.

By Representatives Vanderperren, Jauch, Stower, Byers, Hasenohrl, T. Thompson, Johnson, Holperin, Quackenbush, Larson, Kasten, Volk, Brist, Smith, Swoboda, Goodrich, Shoemaker, Donoghue, R. Travis, Hephner, Jaronitzky and Rude, co-sponsored by Senators Otte, Kreul, Harsdorf, Van Sistine, Moen, Kincaid, Theno, Lasee, Roshell, Feingold, Chilsen and Lorge.

Read first time and referred to committee on Transportation.

By request of Senator Otte, with unanimous consent, **Assembly Bill 738** was withdrawn from the committee on Transportation and referred to the committee on Senate Organization.

Assembly Bill 268

Relating to vaccination against brucellosis.

By Representatives Hephner, Swoboda, Vanderperren, Van Gorden, Schultz, Smith, Kincaid, Gilson, Bolle, Donoghue, Rude, Brist, Williams, Radtke, Crawford, Moyer, Andrea and Kasten, co-sponsored by Senator Kreul.

Read first time and referred to committee on Agriculture and Rural Affairs.

Assembly Bill 296

Relating to reporting of child abuse and neglect, and providing a penalty.

By Representatives Ulichny, Bell, Czarnezki, Metz, Gilson, D. Travis, M. Coggs, Neubauer, Williams, Becker, Johnson, Smith, Shoemaker, Rosenzweig and Stower, co-sponsored by Senators Adelman, Otte,

Theno, Cullen, Moen, George, Hanaway, Lorman, Engeleiter and Norquist.

Read first time and referred to committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

Assembly Bill 671

Relating to privileged communications between a patient and a registered nurse.

By Representative Kunicki, co-sponsored by Senator Czarnezki.

Read first time and referred to committee on Health, Education, Corrections and Human Services.

Assembly Bill 681

Relating to making technical and minor substantive revisions to the waste facility siting process and making an appropriation.

By Representative Neubauer, co-sponsored by Senator Strohl, by request of Waste Facility Siting Board.

Read first time and referred to committee on Energy and Environmental Resources.

Assembly Bill 689

Relating to exempting public highway rights-of-way from adverse possession claims.

By Representatives Lewis, Stower, Vanderperren, Shoemaker, Hasenohrl, Rosenzweig, Radtke, Goodrich, McEssy and Stitt, co-sponsored by Senators Otte, Moen, Engeleiter, Theno and Johnston.

Read first time and referred to committee on Transportation.

Assembly Bill 703

Relating to grants for the replacement of contaminated wells.

By Representative Schneider, co-sponsored by Senator Helbach, by request of city of Wisconsin Rapids.

Read first time and referred to committee on Energy and Environmental Resources.

Assembly Joint Resolution 72

Congratulating Lech Walesa on winning the 1983 Nobel Peace Prize.

By Representatives Plewa, Hasenohrl, Krusick, Kunicki, Rutkowski, Gerlach, Tesmer, Matty, M. Coggs, Ulichny, Holschbach, Potter, Chvala, Andrea, Lewis, Loftus, Neubauer, Smith, D. Travis, Munts, Wimmer, Manske, Coleman, Cowles, Goodrich, Van Gorden, Walling, Schneiders, Kasten, Schultz and Donoghue, co-sponsored by Senators Kleczka, Czarnezki, Lee, Kincaid, Helbach, Van Sistine, Norquist, Maurer and Lorman.

Read first time and referred to committee on Senate Organization.

Read a second time.

By request of Senator Opitz, with unanimous consent, **Senate Bill 1** was referred to committee on Senate Organization.

Senate Bill 118

Relating to retention of information under the employes' right-to-know law, providing a hearing procedure for violations of that law, extending information requirements relating to pesticides and granting rule-making authority.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 1?

By request of Senator Feingold, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2? Adopted.

Senate amendments 3, 4 and 5 offered by Senator Opitz.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3?

Senator Feingold moved rejection of senate amendment 3.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, Lorman, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4?

Senator Feingold moved rejection of senate amendment 4.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4?

By request of Senator Opitz, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 4 was placed after senate amendment 7.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5?

Senator Feingold moved rejection of senate amendment 5.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 5?

Senator Lorge moved that **Senate Bill 118** be indefinitely postponed.

The question was: Shall the bill be indefinitely postponed?

CALENDAR OF OCTOBER 25

Senate Bill 1

Relating to changing the legal age for drinking and obtaining alcohol beverage licenses and permits and providing penalties.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 25, 1983]

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 8; noes, 25; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Davis, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman and Opitz -- 8.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Ellis, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 25.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 5?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Roshell -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Theno -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 6 offered by Senator Roshell.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6?

Senator Feingold moved rejection of senate amendment 6.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 6?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz, Roshell and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Ellis -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 7 offered by Senator Opitz.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 7 offered by Senator Feingold.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 7?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7? Adopted.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Opitz and Roshell -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter and Helbach -- 4.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 8 offered by Senator Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8?

Senator Feingold moved rejection of senate amendment 8.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 8?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Ordered to a third reading.

Senator Cullen asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and the bill be considered for final action at this time.

Senator Opitz objected.

Senator Cullen moved that the rules be suspended and the bill be considered for final action at this time.

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended and **Senate Bill 118** be considered for final action at this time?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Helbach, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman and Opitz -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Two-thirds having voted in the affirmative, the motion prevailed.

Senate Bill 118

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 28; noes, 5; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 28.

Noes -- Senators Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge and Opitz -- 5.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill passed.

Senate Bill 141

Relating to minimum underinsurance and underinsurance motor vehicle liability coverage.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Kleczka moved indefinite postponement of **Senate Bill 141**.

The question was: Shall the bill be indefinitely postponed?

Senator Van Sistine asked unanimous consent that **Senate Bill 141** be referred to committee on Labor, Business, Veterans Affairs and Insurance.

Senator Lorge objected.

Senator Van Sistine moved that **Senate Bill 141** be referred to committee on Labor, Business, Veterans Affairs and Insurance.

The question was: Shall the bill be referred to committee on Labor, Business, Veterans Affairs and Insurance?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Cullen, Ellis, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorman, McCallum, Offner, Opitz, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Czarnezki, Davis, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Johnston, Lee, Lorge, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Otte and Thompson -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate Bill 169

Relating to collection and filing of marriage certificates by city health officers.

Read a second time.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 169

Read a third time and passed.

Senate Bill 275

Relating to the fee on liming materials sold in the state and making an appropriation.

Read a second time.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 275

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 27; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, Lorman, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 27.

Noes -- Senators Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, McCallum, Opitz and Roshell -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill passed.

Senate Bill 346

Relating to shipment of fireworks (suggested as remedial legislation by the department of industry, labor and human relations).

Read a second time.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 346

Read a third time and passed.

Senate Bill 364

Relating to experience requirements for professional members of the boards, examining boards and examining councils in the department of regulation and licensing.

Read a second time.

Senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Kleczka.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 364

Read a third time and passed.

Senate Bill 365

Relating to the use of drug product equivalents in filling prescriptions and directing the medical examining

board to publish a pamphlet explaining the drug product equivalent law.

Read a second time.

By request of Senator Kleczka, with unanimous consent, **Senate Bill 365** was referred to the joint committee on Finance.

By request of Senator Kleczka, with unanimous consent, **Senate Bill 365** was withdrawn from the joint committee on Finance and considered for action at this time.

Senate Bill 365

Relating to the use of drug product equivalents in filling prescriptions and directing the medical examining board to publish a pamphlet explaining the drug product equivalent law.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 365

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 32; noes, 0; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 32.

Noes -- None.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Opitz -- 1.

So the bill passed.

Senate Bill 410

Relating to bifurcating the arbitration process for solid waste disposal facilities and hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal facilities and including the need for the facility as a factor in the siting process.

Read a second time.

By request of Senator Kleczka, with unanimous consent, **Senate Bill 410** was referred to the joint committee on Finance.

By request of Senator Kleczka, with unanimous consent, **Senate Bill 410** was withdrawn from the joint committee on Finance and considered for action at this time.

Senate Bill 410

Relating to bifurcating the arbitration process for solid waste disposal facilities and hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal facilities and including the need for the facility as a factor in the siting process.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Otte and Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 6 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Otte.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 410

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 33; noes, 0; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Opitz, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 33.

Noes -- None.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill passed.

Senate Bill 434

Relating to state chartered savings and loan associations, fees for finding loans and granting rule-making authority.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?
Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 434

Read a third time and passed.

Senate Bill 460

Relating to unemployment compensation benefit eligibility.

Read a second time.

Senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Cullen, Norquist, Maurer, Van Sistine and Otte.

By request of Senator Maurer, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 7:34 P.M.

7:08 P.M.

RECESS

7:34 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

Senate amendments 1 and 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Opitz.

By request of Senator Kleczka, with unanimous consent, **Senate Bill 460** was referred to the joint committee on Finance.

By request of Senator Kleczka, with unanimous consent, **Senate Bill 460** was withdrawn from the joint committee on Finance and considered for action at this time.

Senate Bill 460

Relating to unemployment compensation benefit eligibility.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Opitz, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 1 and 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 were laid on the table.

Senate amendments 3 and 4 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Harsdorf.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman and Opitz -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Cullen moved rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorman, Opitz and Theno -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 460

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 24; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Ellis, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, Lorge, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 24.

Noes -- Senators Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kreul, Lasee, Lorman and Opitz -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill passed.

Assembly Bill 147

Relating to creating a child abuse and neglect prevention board and a children's trust fund, and making an appropriation.

Read a second time.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Assembly Bill 147

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 31; noes, 2; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 31.

Noes -- Senators Lee and Opitz -- 2.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill was concurred in.

Assembly Bill 26

Relating to liability for nonmoving traffic violations with rented or leased vehicles.

Read.

The question was: Shall the senate adhere to its position on senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Lorge moved that the senate recede from its position on senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Shall the senate recede from its position on senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 10; noes, 23; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Hanaway, Helbach, Kreul, Lasee, Lorge, Lorman, McCallum, Opitz and Roshell -- 10.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Harsdorf, Johnston, Kincaid, Kleczka, Lee, Maurer, Moen, Norquist, Offner, Otte, Risser, Strohl, Theno, Thompson and Van Sistine -- 23.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Shall the senate adhere to its position on senate substitute amendment 1?

The motion prevailed.

By request of Senator George, with unanimous consent, a Committee of Conference on senate substitute amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 26** was requested.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 1 to **Senate Resolution 14** by Senator Otte.

Senate amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 313** by Senator Lorge.

Upon motion of Senator Cullen the senate adjourned until 10:00 A.M. Wednesday, October 26.

8:32 P.M.