

STATE OF WISCONSIN
Senate Journal
Eighty-Seventh Regular Session

SATURDAY, June 22, 1985

12:55 P.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by Fred A. Risser, president of the senate.

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 4:03 P.M.

12:56 P.M.

RECESS

4:03 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

Senator Norquist in the chair.

4:03 P.M.

By request of Senator Feingold, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 6:00 P.M.

4:04 P.M.

RECESS

6:00 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 99 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator Cullen.

Senate amendments 100 and 101 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator McCallum.

Senate amendment 102 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator Theno.

Senate amendment 103 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator McCallum.

Senate amendment 104 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Engeleiter and Stitt.

Senate amendment 105 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Engeleiter, Davis, Lorman, Hanaway, Lecaan, Kreul, Rude, Harsdorf, Theno, McCallum, Chilsen and Stitt.

Senate amendment 106 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Engeleiter, Kreul, Lecaan, Rude, Chilsen, Stitt and Theno.

Senate amendment 107 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator Engeleiter.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 107 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator Engeleiter.

Senate amendment 108 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Rude, Lecaan and Stitt.

Senate amendment 109 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Rude, Lasec, Lecaan, Kreul, Chilsen, Stitt and Theno.

Senate amendment 110 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Engeleiter, Davis, Lorman, Kreul, Lecaan, Hanaway, Chilsen and Stitt.

Senate amendment 111 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Engeleiter, Davis, Lecaan, Lorman, Harsdorf, Hanaway, Stitt and Theno.

Senate amendment 112 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Engeleiter, Rude, Lorman, Davis, Kreul, Lecaan, Harsdorf, Hanaway, Chilsen, Stitt and Theno.

Senate amendment 113 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Engeleiter, Stitt and Theno.

Senate amendment 114 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Engeleiter, Lorman, Rude, Kreul, Lecaan, Hanaway, Theno, McCallum, Chilsen and Stitt.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 99 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator Engeleiter.

Senate amendment 115 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Rude, Lasec and Kreul.

Senate amendment 116 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator Rude.

Senate amendments 117 and 118 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator Davis.

Senate amendment 119 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator Lasec.

Senate amendments 120, 121, 122, 123 and 124 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator Davis.

Senate amendment 125 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Harsdorf, Rude and Lecaan.

Senate amendment 126 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Harsdorf, Lecaan and Rude.

Senate amendment 127 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Harsdorf, Lasec, Lecaan and Rude.

Senate amendment 128 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Harsdorf and Rude.

The president of the senate in the chair.

6:00 P.M.

The senate stood for a moment of silent prayer.

The senate remained standing and Senator Strohl led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 33.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- None.

SPECIAL ORDER

Assembly Bill 85

Relating to state finances and appropriations, constituting the executive budget bill of the 1985 legislature, and making appropriations.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 1 was laid on the table.

Senator Norquist in the chair.

6:07 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 2 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3?

By request of Senator Ellis, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 3, 4 and 6 were returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 5 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 7 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 7 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8?

By request of Senator McCallum, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 8 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 9?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 9 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 10?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 10 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 10 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11?

By request of Senator McCallum, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 11 and 12 were returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 13?

By request of Senator McCallum, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 13 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 14?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 14 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 14 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 15?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 15 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 16?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 16 and 17 were laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 18?

By request of Senator Ellis, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 18 was returned to the author.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 22, 1985]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 19?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 19 offered by Senator Hanaway.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 19?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 19?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 19 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 19 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Llean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Helbach in the chair.

6:52 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 20?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 20 offered by Senator Lasee.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 20?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 20?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 20 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 20 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Llean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis and Risser -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 21?

By request of Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 21 to **Assembly Bill 85** was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 22?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 22 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 22 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Llean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 23?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 23 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 23 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Llean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 24?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 24 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 25?

By request of Senator Ellis, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 25 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 26?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 26 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 26 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lcean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis and Risser -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 27?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 27 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 27 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 28?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 28 and 29 were laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 30?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 30 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 30 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lcean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 31 to **Assembly Bill 85?**
Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 31?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 31 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 31 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 32?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 32 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 33?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 33 was laid on the table.

Senator Adelman in the chair.

7:17 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 34?

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 34 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 35?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 35 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 35 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 36?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 36 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 36 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 37?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 37 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 37 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lcean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude and Stitt -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Davis, Risser and Theno -- 3.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 38?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 38 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 38 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 39?

Senator George moved that senate amendment 39 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 39 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude and Stitt -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis, Risser and Theno -- 3.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 40?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 40?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 40 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 40 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 41?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 41?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 41 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 41 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis and Risser -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 42?

By request of Senator Lorman, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 42 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 43?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 43 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 43 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Leraan, Lorman and Theno -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Norquist in the chair.

7:40 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 44?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 44 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 44 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 25; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Davis, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Leraan, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 25.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Lorman and Stitt -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis and Risser -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 22, 1985]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 45?

By request of Senator Lorman, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 45 to Assembly Bill 85 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 46?

Senator Cullen asked unanimous consent that senate amendment 46 be laid on the table.

Senator Leean objected.

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 46 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 46 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnetzki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Stitt and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis and Risser -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 47?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 47 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 48?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 48 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 48 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnetzki, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senator Plewa in the chair.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 49?

By request of Senator Theno, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 49 and 50 were returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 51?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 51 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 51 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 52?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 52 and 53 were laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 54?

By request of Senator Engeleiter, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 54 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 55?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 55 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 55 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnetzki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude and Stitt -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Davis and Risser -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 56?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 56 was laid on the table.

Senator Lee in the chair.

8:02 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 57?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 57 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 57 be laid on the table?

7:52 P.M.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 9; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Ellis, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Stitt and Theno -- 9.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Davis, Engeleiter and Risser -- 3.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 58?

By request of Senator Lekan, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 58 to **Assembly Bill 85** was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 59?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 59 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 59 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Risser and Roshell -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 60?

By request of Senator Lekan, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 60 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 61?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 61 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 61 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis, Risser and Roshell -- 3.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 62?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 62 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 62 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Cullen, Ellis, Risser and Roshell -- 4.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 63?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 63 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 63 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Risser and Roshell -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 64?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 64 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 65?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 65 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 65 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 66?

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 66 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 67?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 67 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 68?

By request of Senator Rude, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 68 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 69?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 69 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 69 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasec, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis, Risser and Roshell -- 3.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 70?

By request of Senator Ellis, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 70 to **Assembly Bill 85** was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 71?

By request of Senator Ellis, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 71 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 72?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 72 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 72 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 73?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 73 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 73 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasec, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis, Risser and Roshell -- 3.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 74?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 74 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 74 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasec, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Cullen, Ellis, Risser and Roshell -- 4.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 75?

By request of Senator Lorman, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 75 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 76?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 76 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 76 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lcean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 77?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 77 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 77 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarneski, Feingold, George, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Lasee, Lcean, Lorman, McCallum, Stitt and Theno -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 78?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 78 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 78 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 79?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 79 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 79 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 80?

QUORUM CALL

Senator Hanaway called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarneski, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lcean, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 32.

Absent -- Senator Risser -- 1.

Absent with leave -- None.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 80?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 80 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 80 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarneski, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lcean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 81?

Senator George moved that senate amendment 81 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 81 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarneski, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lcean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis, Risser, Roshell and Stitt -- 4.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 82?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 82 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 83?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 83 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 84?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 84 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 84 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 22, 1985]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 85?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 85 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 85 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 86?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 86 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 86 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 87?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 87 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 87 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 88?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 88 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 88 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 89?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 89 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 89 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 90?

Senator George moved that senate amendment 90 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 90 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 91?

Senator George moved that senate amendment 91 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 91 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 92?

Senator George moved that senate amendment 92 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 92 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude and Theno -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Andrea, Ellis, Risser and Stitt -- 4.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 93?

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 93 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 94?

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 94 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 95?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 95 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 95 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Risser and Stitt -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 96?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 96 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 96 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

Senator Czarnecki in the chair.

9:32 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 97?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 97 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 97 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 4; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis, Otte, Risser and Stitt -- 4.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 98?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 98 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 98 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 99?

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 99 to **Assembly Bill 85**?

Senator Lasee moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 99.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 99?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 30; noes, 1; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 30.

Noes -- Senator Adelman -- 1.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser and Stitt -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 99 offered by Senator Roshell.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 99?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 99 offered by Senator Davis.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 99?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Leean, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Risser -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 99 offered by Senator Leean.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 99?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 99 offered by Senators Hanaway, Lorman, Leean, Stitt, Lasee, Rude and Harsdorf.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 99?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 99 offered by Senators Lasee, Stitt, Harsdorf, Kreul, Rude and Davis.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 99?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Lasee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis and Kreul -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 99 offered by Senator Theno.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 99?

The president of the senate in the chair.

9:50 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 99?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 99 to **Assembly Bill 85** be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Hanaway -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 99 offered by Senator Lorman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 99?

Senator George moved that senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 9 to senate amendment 99 offered by Senator Lorman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 9 to senate amendment 99?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 9 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 9 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 99 offered by Senator Davis.

By request of Senator Davis, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 99 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 99 offered by Senator Leraan.

By request of Senator Davis, with unanimous consent, he was made a co-author of senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 99.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 99?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leraan, Lorman, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 12 to senate amendment 99 offered by Senators Van Sistine, Roshell, Otte, Moen, Ulichny, Plewa, Helbach, George, Strohl, Norquist, Cullen, Chvala, Czarnezki, Adelman, Feingold, Andrea, Lee and Risser.

By request of Senator Kreul, with unanimous consent, Senators Rude, Hanaway, Leraan, Engeleiter, Lorman and Davis were made co-authors of senate amendment 12 to senate amendment 99.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12 to senate amendment 99?

By request of Senator Roshell, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 10:20 P.M.

10:02 P.M.

RECESS

10:20 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 99 was placed after senate amendment 137.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 100?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 100 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 100 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Ellis -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 101?

The amendment failed to be adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 102?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 102 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 102 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 103?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 103 offered by Senator McCallum.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 103?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 103?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 103 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 103 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 104?

By request of Senator Adelman, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 104 was placed after senate amendment 139 to **Assembly Bill 85**.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 105?

By request of Senator Engeleiter, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 105 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 106?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 106 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 106 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Ellis -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 107?

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 107?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 107?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 107 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 107 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 108?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 108 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 108 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude and Stitt -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Theno -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 109?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 109 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 109 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 110?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 110 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 110 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 111?

By request of Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, he was made a co-author of senate amendment 111.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 111?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 111 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 111 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Chilsen -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 112?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 112 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 112 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Ellis -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 113?

By request of Senator Engeleiter, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 113 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 114?

By request of Senator Engeleiter, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 114 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 115?

By request of Senator Rude, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 115 was returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 116?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 116 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 116 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude and Stitt -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Cullen, Ellis and Theno -- 3.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 117?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 117 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 117 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 118?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 118 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 118 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 119?

Senator Lee moved that senate amendment 119 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 119 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis and Hanaway -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 120?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 120 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 120 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis and Hanaway -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 121?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 121 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 121 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis and Roshell -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

By request of Senator Hanaway, with unanimous consent, the journal will show that he would have voted "no" to lay senate amendments 119 and 120 to **Assembly Bill 85** on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 122?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 122 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 122 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Ellis -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 123?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 123 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 123 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Stitt, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Chilsen -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 124?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 124 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 124 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 125?

By request of Senator Davis, with unanimous consent, he was made a co-author of senate amendments 125 and 126.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 125?

Senator George moved that senate amendment 125 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 125 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Roshell -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 126?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 126 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 126 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 3; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis, Roshell and Stitt -- 3.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 127?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 127 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 127 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 128?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 128 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 128 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Roshell and Stitt -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 129 to Assembly Bill 85 offered by Senators Andrea, Cullen, Norquist, Van Sistine, Otte, Moen, Feingold, Czarnezki, Lee, Strohl, Kincaid, Helbach, Ulichny, Plewa, George, Roshell and Risser.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 129?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 130 offered by Senators Rude, Lekan, Lorman, Kreul and Harsdorf.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 130?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 130 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 130 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Stitt -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 131 offered by Senator Davis.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 131?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 131 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 131 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude and Stitt -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Theno -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 132 offered by Senators Davis and Hanaway.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 132?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 132 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 132 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 133 offered by Senators Rude, Lasee, Stitt and Leean.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 133?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 133 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 133 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendments 134 and 135 offered by Senator Kreul.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 134?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 134 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 134 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 135?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 135 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 135 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Hanaway -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 136 offered by Senator Rude.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 136?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 22, 1985]

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 136 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 136 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasec, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 137 offered by Senators Cullen, George, Helbach, Lee, Plewa, Norquist, Andrea, Ulichny, Moen, Van Sistine, Roshell, Strohl, Feingold, Kincaid, Czarnecki, Otte, Chvala and Risser.

Senate amendments 1 and 2 to senate amendment 137 offered by Senator Harsdorf.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 137?

By request of Senator Harsdorf, with unanimous consent, senate amendments 1 and 2 to senate amendment 137 were placed after senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 137.

Senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 137 offered by Senator Engeleiter.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 137?

Senator George moved that senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 137 offered by Senators Lorman and Lekan.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 137?

Senator George moved that senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 137 to Assembly Bill 85 offered by Senator Lekan.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 137?

Senator George moved that senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 5 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasec, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Ellis -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 137?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 137?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 137 offered by Senators Rude, Harsdorf, Chilsen and Kreul.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 137?

Senator Norquist moved that senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 6 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasec, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Ellis -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 137 offered by Senators Engeleiter, Ellis, Davis, Harsdorf.

Rude, Kreul, Chilsen, Lasee, Theno, Stitt, Leean, Hanaway and Lorman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 137?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 7 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 137 offered by Senators Lasee, McCallum, Stitt, Davis, Chilsen, Harsdorf, Kreul, Rude, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Lorman, Leean and Theno.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 137?

Senator Chvala moved that senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 8 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Czarnezki and Ellis -- 2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 9 to senate amendment 137 to **Assembly Bill 85** offered by Senators Plewa, Cullen, Strohl, Andrea, Moen, Lee, Chvala, Kincaid, Feingold, Helbach, Ulichny, Otte, Van Sistine, George, Norquist, Czarnezki and Adelman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 9 to senate amendment 137?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 137 offered by Senators Rude and Davis.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 137?

By request of Senator Rude, with unanimous consent, Senators Davis, Chilsen, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Stitt and Theno were made co-authors of senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 127.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 137?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 10 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Ellis -- 1.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 137 offered by Senator George.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11 to senate amendment 137?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 137?

Adopted.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 36 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator Theno.

Senate amendment 138 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Risser, Cullen, Van Sistine, Moen, Otte, Lee, Strohl, Roshell, Kincaid, Feingold, Adelman, Czarnezki, Chvala, Norquist, George, Helbach, Andrea, Ulichny and Plewa.

Senate amendment 139 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Adelman, Engeleiter and Stitt.

Senate amendment 140 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Harsdorf and Rude.

Senate amendment 141 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Harsdorf, Lasee, Rude and Leean.

Senate amendments 142 and 143 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senators Harsdorf and Rude.

Senate amendment 144 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator Chilsen.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 105 to **Assembly Bill 85** by Senator Engeleiter.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 22, 1985]

**Upon motion of Senator Cullen the senate adjourned
until 1:52 A.M. Sunday, June 23.**

12:28 A.M.