

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Eighty-Seventh Regular Session

SATURDAY, June 29, 1985

1:15 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by Fred A. Risser, president of the senate.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 9:30 A.M.

1:17 A.M.

RECESS

The senate reconvened.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Chilsen, with unanimous consent, Senators Hanaway and Ellis were granted a leave of absence for today's session.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Joanne M. Duren, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has concurred in:

Assembly Bill 85, senate amendments 34, 94, 102, 127, 129, 145, 146, 149, 150 and 151

Amended and concurred in as amended:

Assembly Bill 85

Assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 51

Assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 84

Assembly amendment 5 to senate amendment 99

Assembly amendments 23, 31, and 30, with assembly amendment 7 to assembly amendment 30, to senate amendment 137

Assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 147

Assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 152

Assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 153

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 10:55 A.M.

9:31 A.M.

RECESS

10:55 A.M.

The senate reconvened.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the rules were suspended and Assembly Bill 85 was taken up at this time.

Assembly Bill 85

Relating to state finances and appropriations, constituting the executive budget bill of the 1985 legislature, and making appropriations.

Read.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 51?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 51 was placed after assembly amendment 30 to senate amendment 137.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 84?

Concurred in.

Senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 5 to senate amendment 99 offered by Senator Leean.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 5 to senate amendment 99?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 5 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 5 to senate amendment 99 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 5 to senate amendment 99?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 23 to senate amendment 137?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, assembly amendment 23 to senate amendment 137 was placed after assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 153.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [June 29, 1985]

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 147?

Senator Harsdorf moved non-concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 147.

The question was: Non-concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 147?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis and Hanaway - 2.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 147?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 152?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 153?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 23 to senate amendment 137?

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, assembly amendment 23 to senate amendment 137 was placed after assembly amendment 31 to senate amendment 137.

Senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 30 to senate amendment 137 offered by Senators Engeleiter, Lasee, Davis, Kreul, Lorman, Rude, McCallum, Harsdorf, Stitt and Theno.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 30 to senate amendment 137?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 30 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 30 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis and Hanaway - 2.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 30 to senate amendment 137 offered by Senators Lorman, Engeleiter and Davis.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 30 to senate amendment 137?

Senator Cullen moved that senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 30 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 30 to senate amendment 137 be laid on the table?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 30 to senate amendment 137?

Senator Engeleiter moved non-concurrence of assembly amendment 30 to senate amendment 137.

The question was: Non-concurrence of assembly amendment 30 to senate amendment 137?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Noes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis and Hanaway - 2.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 30 to senate amendment 137?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 51?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 31 to senate amendment 137?

Concurred in.

Senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23 to senate amendment 137 offered by Senators George, Cullen, Norquist, Risser, Lee, Ulichny, Helbach, Plewa, Strohl, Van Sistine, Roshell, Otte, Moen, Chvala, Czarnezki, Adelman, Feingold and Kincaid.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23 to senate amendment 137?

Senator Engeleiter moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23 to senate amendment 137.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23 to senate amendment 137? The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23 to senate amendment 137? Adopted.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 23 to senate amendment 137? Concurred in.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged.

The senate stood informal for two minutes.

11:52 A.M.

\_\_\_\_\_

The senate reconvened.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 1:37 P.M.

11:54 A.M.

11:54 A.M.

RECESS

1:37 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Joanne M. Duren, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has concurred in:

**Assembly Bill 85**, senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23 to senate amendment 137

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the senate adjourned in honor of Ruth Reinl, who has worked for Senator Helbach for four years and is leaving his staff.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the senate adjourned in honor of Charles Glynn and Denise Delwiche, who are being married today.

By request of Senator Adelman, with unanimous consent, the senate adjourned in honor of Tom Dittrich, who had his 21st birthday today.

By request of Senator Theno, with unanimous consent, the senate adjourned in honor of Jim Tenuta, who is leaving Senator Theno's office to work in the Republican Caucus.

Upon motion of Senator Cullen the senate adjourned pursuant to **Assembly Joint Resolution 1**.

1:42 P.M.