

# STATE OF WISCONSIN

## Senate Journal

1985 September Special Session

FRIDAY, October 18, 1985

10:00 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by Fred A. Risser, president of the senate.

The senate stood for the prayer which was offered by Pastor Robert Kenas of Our Masters United Methodist Church, Madison.

The senate remained standing and Senator Andrea led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 33.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- None.

### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, Senator Lee was granted a leave of absence until 10:45 A.M.

### SPECIAL ORDER

#### Senate Bill 5, Special Session

Relating to commencement of fall semester classes at the university of Wisconsin system.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, **Senate Bill 5, Special Session** was placed at the foot of the calendar.

### CALENDAR OF OCTOBER 18

#### Senate Bill 1, Special Session

Relating to state assistance for the Wisconsin cooperative development council, inc., and making an appropriation.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1? Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2? Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

#### Senate Bill 1, Special Session

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 31; noes, 2; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 31.

Noes -- Senators Lasee and Stitt -- 2.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill passed.

#### Senate Bill 8, Special Session

Relating to making an appropriation for funding for Forward Wisconsin, inc.

Read a second time.

Senator Harsdorf asked unanimous consent that **Senate Bill 8, Special Session** be laid on the table.

Senator Ulichny objected.

Senator Harsdorf moved that **Senate Bill 8, Special Session** be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall **Senate Bill 8, Special Session** be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 6; noes, 27; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Czarnezki, Harsdorf, Lasee, Lee, McCallum and Norquist -- 6.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Leraan, Lorman, Moen, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 27.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

**Senate Bill 8, Special Session**

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 27; noes, 6; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, Hanaway, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Llean, Lorman, Moen, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 27.

Noes -- Senators George, Harsdorf, Lasee, Lee, McCallum and Norquist -- 6.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill passed.

**Senate Bill 13, Special Session**

Relating to requiring reports by the investment board and the department of development on investing public funds in this state.

Read a second time.

Senator Theno asked unanimous consent that **Senate Bill 13, Special Session** be laid on the table.

Senator Cullen objected.

Senator Theno moved that **Senate Bill 13, Special Session** be indefinitely postponed.

The question was: Shall **Senate Bill 13, Special Session** be indefinitely postponed?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Llean, McCallum, Plewa, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Lorman, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 21.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Andrea moved that **Senate Bill 13, Special Session** be referred to Joint Survey committee on Retirement Systems.

The question was: Shall **Senate Bill 13, Special Session** be referred to Joint Survey committee on Retirement Systems?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Llean, Lorman, McCallum, Plewa, Risser, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen,

Norquist, Otte, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

**Senate Bill 15, Special Session**

Relating to exemptions from registration for offers and sales of securities by issuers and sales in the secondary market under certain conditions.

Read a second time.

Senate amendments 1 and 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Davis and George.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Norquist moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Norquist moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, Hanaway, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Llean, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 26.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, George, Harsdorf and Rude -- 7.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Davis.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Norquist moved rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

**Senate Bill 15, Special Session**

Read a third time and passed.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 5:46 P.M.

12:11 P.M.

---

**RECESS**

5:46 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

By request of the president of the Senate, with unanimous consent, the Senate returned to the fourth and eighth orders of business.

---

**COMMITTEE REPORTS**

The joint committee on Finance reports and recommends:

**Senate Bill 3, Special Session**

Relating to creation of a strategic planning council and making an appropriation.

Introduction and adoption of senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 10 -- Senators George, Roshell, Strohl, Norquist and Stitt, Representatives Schneider, Metz, Jauch, Nelsen and Panzer;

Noes, 1 -- Representative Prosser.

Passage as amended:

Ayes, 8 -- Senators Roshell, Strohl and Chvala, Representatives Schneider, Jauch, Nelsen, Panzer and Prosser;

Noes, 4 -- Senators George, Norquist and Stitt, Representative Metz.

**Senate Bill 9, Special Session**

Relating to authorizing additional positions for the department of natural resources and making an appropriation.

Introduction and adoption of senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators George, Roshell, Norquist and Stitt, Representatives Metz, Jauch and Nelsen;

Noes, 6 -- Senators Strohl, Chvala and Davis, Representatives Schneider, Panzer and Prosser.

Indefinite postponement:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators George, Roshell, Norquist and Stitt, Representatives Metz, Jauch and Nelsen;

Noes, 5 -- Senators Strohl, Chvala and Davis, Representatives Panzer and Prosser.

**Assembly Bill 1, Special Session**

Relating to making an appropriation for staffing for the German trade office.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 12 -- Senators George, Roshell, Strohl, Helbach, Chvala, Stitt and Davis, Representatives Schneider, Jauch, Travis, Panzer and Prosser;

Noes, 3 -- Senator Norquist, Representatives Metz and Nelsen.

**Assembly Bill 5, Special Session**

Relating to state membership in the midwest technology development institute and making an appropriation.

Introduction of senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 8 -- Senators George, Roshell, Norquist, Helbach, Strohl, Stitt and Davis, Representative Panzer;

Noes, 7 -- Senator Chvala, Representatives Schneider, Metz, Jauch, Travis, Nelsen and Prosser.

Introduction and adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 9 -- Senators George, Roshell, Norquist, Stitt and Davis, Representatives Metz, Travis, Nelsen and Panzer;

Noes, 6 -- Senators Helbach, Strohl and Chvala, Representatives Schneider, Jauch and Prosser.

Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1 as amended:

Ayes, 12 -- Senators George, Roshell, Strohl, Norquist, Helbach, Chvala, Stitt and Davis, Representatives Metz, Travis, Nelsen and Panzer;

Noes, 3 -- Representatives Schneider, Jauch and Prosser.

Concurrence as amended:

Ayes, 9 -- Senators Roshell, Helbach, Strohl, Chvala, Stitt and Davis, Representatives Schneider, Nelsen and Panzer;

Noes, 6 -- Senators George and Norquist, Representatives Metz, Jauch, Travis and Prosser.

**GARY R. GEORGE**  
Chair

---

**MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY**

By Joanne M. Duren, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has concurred in:

**Senate Bill 1, Special Session**

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 18, 1985]

**Assembly Bill 2, Special Session**, senate amendments 1 and 2

Amended and concurred in as amended:

**Senate Bill 2, Special Session**, assembly amendment 1

CALENDAR OF OCTOBER 18

**Senate Bill 3, Special Session**

Relating to creation of a strategic planning council and making an appropriation.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Engeleiter moved rejection of senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate substitute amendment 1?

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarneski, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

**Senate Bill 3, Special Session**

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarneski, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Norquist, Stitt and Theno -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill passed.

**Senate Bill 9, Special Session**

Relating to authorizing additional positions for the department of natural resources and making an appropriation.

Read a second time.

The question was: Shall the bill be indefinitely postponed?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Feingold, George, Kincaid, Lasee, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarneski, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kreul, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Otte, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill failed to be indefinitely postponed.

Senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Cullen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate substitute amendment 1?

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

**Senate Bill 9, Special Session**

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 25; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarneski, Davis, Engeleiter, Feingold, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Otte, Plewa, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 25.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Ellis, George, Lasee, Norquist, Risser and Roshell -- 8.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill passed.

**Assembly Bill 1, Special Session**

Relating to making an appropriation for staffing for the German trade office.

Read a second time.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

**Assembly Bill 1, Special Session**

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 30; noes, 3; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Davis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 30.

Noes -- Senators Ellis, McCallum and Norquist -- 3.  
Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill was concurred in.

**Assembly Bill 5, Special Session**

Relating to state membership in the midwest technology development institute and making an appropriation.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Czarnecki.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator George asked unanimous consent that senate substitute amendment 1 be laid on the table.

Senator Strohl objected.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Ulichny moved rejection of senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate substitute amendment 1?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1?

By request of Senator Czarnecki, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 1 was placed after senate amendment 2.

Senate amendment 2 offered by Senators Czarnecki, McCallum and Ulichny.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2? Adopted.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 8; noes, 25; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chvala, Cullen, Feingold, Hanaway, Helbach, Lee, Strohl and Theno -- 8.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Czarnecki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, George, Harsdorf, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 25.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1? Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

**Assembly Bill 5, Special Session**

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 33; noes, 0; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 33.

Noes -- None.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the bill was concurred in as amended.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, **Senate Bill 5, Special Session** was referred to committee on Senate Organization.

---

MOTIONS

Senator Feingold moved reconsideration of the vote by which **Senate Bill 13, Special Session** was referred to Joint Survey committee on Retirement System.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 18, 1985]

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which **Senate Bill 13, Special Session** was referred to Joint Survey committee on Retirement Systems?

QUORUM CALL

Senator Harsdorf called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 33.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- None.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which **Senate Bill 13, Special Session** was referred to Joint Survey committee on Retirement Systems?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Andrea, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Plewa, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

**Senate Bill 13, Special Session**

Relating to requiring reports by the investment board and the department of development on investing public funds in this state.

Read a second time.

The question was: Shall **Senate Bill 13, Special Session** be referred to Joint Survey committee on Retirement Systems?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

**Senate Bill 13, Special Session**

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnecki, Davis, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lee, Moen, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Stitt, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Andrea, Engeleiter, Feingold, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, McCallum, Norquist, Rude and Theno -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Ellis -- 1.

So the bill passed.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 7:39 P.M.

7:32 P.M.

RECESS

7:39 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

By request of the president of the Senate, with unanimous consent, the Senate returned to the eighth order of business.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Joanne M. Duren, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has amended and concurred in as amended:

**Senate Bill 6, Special Session**, assembly amendments 1 and 3

**Senate Bill 7, Special Session**, assembly amendment 1

Concurred in:

**Senate Bill 4, Special Session**

CALENDAR OF OCTOBER 18

**Senate Bill 2, Special Session**

Relating to making an appropriation for technology development grants.

Read.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

Senator Ulichny moved non-concurrence of assembly amendment 1.

The question was: Non-concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Davis, Hanaway, Helbach, Kreul, Leraan, Lorman, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 13.

Noes -- Senators Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Ellis, Engleleiter, Feingold, George, Harsdorf, Kincaid, Lasee, Lee, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Rude and Stitt -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Andrea -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

Concurred in.

### Senate Bill 6, Special Session

Relating to creating an exemption from nonresident tuition at the university of Wisconsin system for certain persons relocated to this state by their employers.

Read.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 20; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Cullen, Engleleiter, Harsdorf, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Risser, Rude, Stitt, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Chvala, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Helbach, Kreul, Lasee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Norquist, Otté, Plewa, Roshell and Strohl -- 20.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Andrea -- 1.

So the amendment failed to be concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 3?

Senator Engleleiter moved non-concurrence of assembly amendment 3.

Non-concurred in.

### Senate Bill 7, Special Session

Relating to authorizing the state superintendent of public instruction to make grants to school boards to fund education for employment projects, providing for a study and making an appropriation.

Read.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Davis, Ellis, Engleleiter, Feingold, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Leraan, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Otte, Plewa, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Czarnezki, George, Lee, Norquist, Risser, Roshell, Rude and Stitt -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was concurred in.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 11:40 P.M..

7:58 P.M.

---

### RECESS

11:40 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the Senate returned to the eighth order of business.

---

### MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Joanne M. Duren, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has concurred in:

**Senate Bill 8, Special Session**  
**Senate Bill 10, Special Session**  
**Senate Bill 12, Special Session**

Non-concurred in:

**Assembly Bill 5, Special Session**, senate amendments 1 and 2

Adheres to its position on:

**Senate Bill 6, Special Session**, assembly amendments 1 and 3

---

### MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY CONSIDERED

### Senate Bill 6, Special Session

Relating to creating an exemption from nonresident tuition at the university of Wisconsin system for certain persons relocated to this state by their employers.

Read.

The question was: Shall the senate adhere to its position on assembly amendment 1?

Senator Norquist moved that the senate recede from its position on assembly amendment 1.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [October 18, 1985]

The question was: Shall the senate recede from its position on assembly amendment 1?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

Concurred in.

The question was: Shall the senate adhere to its position on assembly amendment 3?

Senator Norquist moved that the senate recede from its position on assembly amendment 3.

The question was: Shall the senate recede from its position on assembly amendment 3?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 3?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Chvala, Czarnetzki, Feingold, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lee, Moen, Otte, Roshell and Van Sistine -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Cullen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Lasee, Llean, Lorman, McCallum, Norquist, Plewa, Risser, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Theno and Ulichny -- 21.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment failed to be concurred in.

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, he requested a Committee of Conference on **Senate Bill 6, Special Session.**

**Assembly Bill 5, Special Session**

Relating to state membership in the midwest technology development institute and making an appropriation.

Read.

The question was: Shall the senate adhere to its position on senate amendment 1?

Senator Strohl moved that the senate recede from its position on senate amendment 1.

The question was: Shall the senate recede from its position on senate amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 20; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chilsen, Chvala, Czarnetzki, Ellis, Feingold, Hanaway, Helbach, Lee, Llean, Lorman, McCallum and Strohl -- 13.

Noes -- Senators Andrea, Cullen, Davis, Engeleiter, George, Harsdorf, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 20.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Shall the senate adhere to its position on senate amendment 1?

The senate adheres to its position on senate amendment 1.

The question was: Shall the senate adhere to its position on senate amendment 2?

The senate adheres to its position on senate amendment 2.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 1:11 A.M.

12:06 A.M.

RECESS

1:11 A.M.

The senate reconvened.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the Senate returned to the eighth order of business.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Joanne M. Duren, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has concurred in:

**Senate Bill 9, Special Session**  
**Senate Bill 14, Special Session**  
**Senate Bill 15, Special Session**

Amended and concurred in as amended:

**Senate Bill 3, Special Session**, assembly substitute amendment 1

Upon motion of Senator Cullen the senate adjourned until 1:15 A.M. Saturday, October 19.

1:12 A.M.