STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Eighty-Seventh Regular Session

TUESDAY, March 11, 1986

10:00 A.M.

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by Fred A. Risser, president of the senate.

The senate stood for the prayer which was offered by Reverend Allen Jurkus of Sacred Heart of Jesus Church, St. Francis.

The senate remained standing and Senator Davis led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 33.

Absent -- None.

Absent with leave -- None.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS

Senate Joint Resolution 78

Relating to private or local law, general law and budget acts (first consideration).

By Senator Hanaway; cosponsored by Representative Rosenzweig.

Read first time and referred to committee on Education and Government Operations.

Senate Joint Resolution 79

Relating to honoring and supporting the efforts to establish a Wisconsin Vietnam Veterans Memorial Park.

By Senators Roshell and Cullen; cosponsored by Representatives Van Gorden and T. Thompson.

Read and referred to committee on Labor, Business, Veterans Affairs and Insurance.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Read first time and referred:

Senate Bill 619

Relating to limitations on awards for pain and suffering.

By Senators Hanaway and Engeleiter.

To committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

Senate Bill 620

Relating to: Wisconsin housing and economic development authority agricultural production loan guarantees and interest reductions; creating a farm mediation program for resolution of disputes with creditors, creating a farm mediation board; the homestead exemption from executions, liens and liability for debts; the proceeds from the sale of real property the taxes on which are delinquent; authorizing county land conservation committees to develop tree planting programs; training and employment services for dislocated workers, including farmers; increasing an appropriation to the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection to provide funds for the volunteer farm credit advisor program; property tax assessment and equalized valuation of agricultural land; and expressing legislative intent regarding development of the Exxon petroleum violation escrow fund by the department of administration, making an appropriation and granting rule-making authority.

By Senators Moen, Otte, Feingold, Harsdorf, Rude, Andrea, Lasee, Lorman, Chvala, Van Sistine, Chilsen and Kreul; cosponsored by Representatives Swoboda, Hephner, Wineke, M. Coggs, Gronemus, Holschbach, Medinger, Tregoning, Metz, Hubler, Holperin, Robinson, Shoemaker, Potter and Brist, by request of Governor Anthony S. Earl.

To committee on Agriculture, Health and Human Services.

Senate Bill 621

Relating to the right of state and local government employes to membership in a labor organization and the rights of members of labor organizations representing state or local government employes.

By Senator Norquist; cosponsored by Representatives Becker, Williams and Krug, by request of Wisconsin Federation of Teachers, AFL-CIO.

To committee on Labor, Business, Veterans Affairs and Insurance.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

The committee on Energy and Environmental Resources reports and recommends:

Assembly Bill 178

Relating to extending coverage of the noxious weed law.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Strohl, Lee, Norquist, Ellis, Hanaway and Theno;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 394

Relating to revising the penalty for the illegal hunting or possession of bear.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 6 - Senators Strohl, Lee, Norquist, Ellis, Hanaway and Theno;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Senate Bill 526

Relating to regulating outdoor advertising.

Introduction and adoption of senate amendment 1: Ayes, 7 -- Senators Strohl, Lee, Chvala, Norquist, Ellis, Hanaway and Theno;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Passage as amended:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Strohl, Lee, Chvala and Norquist;

Noes. 3 -- Senators Ellis, Hanaway and Theno.

Senate Bill 355

Relating to providing additional payments to certain recipients of private sewage system grants.

Passage:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Strohl, Ellis, Hanaway and Theno:

Noes, 2 -- Senators Lee and Norquist. JOE STROHL Chair

The committee on Education and Government Operations reports and recommends:

Senate Bill 343

Relating to the format for state agency budget requests and information contained in the biennial state budget report.

Passage:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Adelman, Moen. Davis. Harsdorf and Lorman:

Noes, 2 -- Senators Czarnezki and Helbach.

Senate Bill 486

Relating to an exemption from licensing and other regulation as a private detective, investigator or private security person.

Passage:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Czarnezki, Adelman, Helbach, Moen, Davis, Harsdorf and Lorman;

Noes, 0 -- None.

JOSEPH J. CZARNEZKI Chair

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

Senate Petition 32

A petition by 136 residents of the state of Wisconsin and the Common Council of the City of Rhinelander, in support of the state of Wisconsin and Department of Transportation efforts to construct a U.S. Highway 8 Bypass south of the city of Rhinelander.

By Senator Kincaid.

Read and referred to committee on Transportation.

State of Wisconsin Department of Health and Social Services

February 10, 19%

To the Honorable, the Senate:

Section 45(2) of 1983 Wisconsin Act 203 contains this legislative mandate:

"PROGRAM BUDGETS AND FEE SCHEDULES. (a) By July 1, 1985, the Department of Health and Social Services shall develop a program budget which identifies its costs and revenues associated with regulating, issuing permits to, investigating and inspecting each type of establishment regulated under subchapter III of chapter 50 and section 140.05(17) of the statutes. The Department shall study the fee schedules established under those statutes and, by January 1, 19%, recommend to the Legislature changes in those schedules to establish fees at levels which meet but do not exceed the Department's costs and which equitably reflect differences in the Department's costs based on the permittee's dollar volume of business."

On June 26, 1985, we sent you a copy of the program budget to be effective July 1, 1985.

This letter is in response to the second portion of the pertinent data and mandate. transmits and recommendations for changes in the fee schedules referenced to in Act 203.

If we can provide any further information, please call Lloyd Riddle at 266-2593.

> Sincerely, LINDA REIVITZ Secretary

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS

State of Wisconsin Office of the Governor Madison, Wisconsin

March 6, 1986

To the Honorable, the Senate:

I am pleased to nominate and with the advice and consent of the Senate, do appoint JEFFREY LEAVEL of Racine to the Dentistry Examining Board pursuant to the statute governing, to serve a term to expire July I, 1990.

> Respectfully, ANTHONY S. EARL Governor

Read and referred to committee on Agriculture, Health and Human Services.

State of Wisconsin Office of the Governor Madison, Wisconsin

March 6, 1986

To the Honorable, the Senate:

I am pleased to nominate and with the advice and consent of the Senate, do appoint DR. EVA DAHL of La Crosse to the Dentistry Examining Board pursuant to the statute governing, to serve a term to expire July 1, 1990.

> Respectfully, ANTHONY S. EARL Governor

Read and referred to committee on Agriculture, Health and Human Services.

SENATE CLEARINGHOUSE ORDERS

Clearinghouse Rule 85-158

AN ORDER to renumber Ins 6.09 (5); and to create Ins 6.09 (5), relating to acceptance of town mutual insurance policies by lenders and mortgage clauses.

Submitted by Office of the Commissioner of Insurance.

Report received from agency, March 6, 1986.

Referred to committee on Labor, Business, Veterans Affairs and Insurance, March 11, 1986.

Clearinghouse Rule 85-190

AN ORDER to amend and revise chapters HRSC 1 to 5, relating to the hospital rate setting commission's policies, procedures and methods.

Submitted by Hospital Rate Setting Commission. Report received from agency, March 10, 1986.

Referred to committee on Agriculture, Health and Human Services, March 11, 1986.

Clearinghouse Rule 86-2

AN ORDER to repeal SEC 2.01 (7); and to amend SEC 2.01 (1) (a) 2 and 2.02 (10) (g) 1, relating to securities registration exemptions and use of preliminary prospectuses to make offers of securities.

Submitted by Office of the Commissioner of Securities.

Report received from agency, March 7, 1986.

Referred to committee on Tourism, Revenue, Financial Institutions and Forestry, March 11, 1986.

The committee on Education and Government Operations reports and recommends:

Clearinghouse Rule 85-168

AN ORDER to repeal and recreate ETF 10.63 (3), relating to postmarks as evidence of timely receipt of reports and remittances by the department of employe trust funds.

Submitted by Department of Employe Trust Funds.

No action taken.

JOSEPH J. CZARNEZKI Chair

State of Wisconsin Revisor of Statutes Bureau

March 1, 1986

Donald J. Schneider Senate Chief Clerk

Dear Mr. Schneider:

The following rules have been published and are effective:

Clearinghouse Rule 83-114 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 83-115 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 83-116 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 83-117 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 84- 69 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 84-235 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85- 5 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85- 86 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-100 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-114 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-115 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-116 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-117 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-118 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-119 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-121 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-122 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-130 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-131 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-145 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-147 effective March 1, 1986. Clearinghouse Rule 85-148 effective March 1, 1986.

Sincerely, GARY L. POULSON Assistant Revisor

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Joanne M. Duren, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has passed and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Bill 38
Assembly Bill 51
Assembly Bill 457
Assembly Bill 463
Assembly Bill 489
Assembly Bill 491
Assembly Bill 493
Assembly Bill 494
Assembly Bill 537
Assembly Bill 688
Assembly Bill 696
Assembly Bill 697
Assembly Bill 744
Assembly Bill 745
Assembly Bill 902

Amended and concurred in as amended:

Senate Bill 52, assembly substitute amendment 1, with assembly amendments 2, 4, 5 with assembly amendment 1, and 6 with assembly amendment 1

Agrees to a Committee of Conference on Assembly Joint Resolution 45 and appoints Representatives Shoemaker, Antaramian and Wimmer as conferees on its part.

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY CONSIDERED

Assembly Bill 38

Relating to criminal damage to cemetery property and seizure and forfeiture of vehicles.

By Representatives Buettner, Rutkowski, Bolle, Goetsch, Wimmer, Walling, Cowles, Schneiders, Radtke, J. Young, Turba, Wood, Plizka, Paulson, Matty, Van Gorden, Barrett, Rosenzweig, Ladwig, Musser, Volk, Merkt, Magnuson, Lepak, T. Thompson and Bradley, cosponsored by Senators Hanaway, Adelman, Kincaid, Theno, Chilsen, Lasee, Moen, Van Sistine, Lorman and Engeleiter.

Read first time and referred to committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

Assembly Bill 51

Relating to authorizing the common council of any lst class city to levy taxes in order to accumulate a liability reserve fund.

By Representative Becker, cosponsored by Senators Plewa and Czarnezki.

Read first time and referred to committee on Urban Affairs, Utilities and Elections.

Assembly Bill 457

Relating to an exception from permit requirements for drawing certain trailers by a motor truck.

By Representatives Bradley and Vanderperren.

Read first time and referred to committee on Transportation.

Assembly Bill 463

Relating to hearsay testimony at preliminary examinations.

By Representatives Tesmer, Rutkowski, Musser, Schneiders and T. Thompson, cosponsored by Senators Chvala, Hanaway and Stitt.

Read first time and referred to committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

Assembly Bill 489

Relating to permitting disclosure of information about children who are absent from juvenile correctional facilities.

By Representatives Schneiders, Nelsen, Plizka, Schober, Musser, Merkt, Welch, Weeden, Prosser, Panzer, Barrett, Vergeront, Zeuske, Tregoning and Buettner, cosponsored by Senators Engeleiter, Lee, Andrea, Davis and Ulichny. Read first time and referred to committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

Assembly Bill 491

Relating to gambling reports.

By Representatives Tesmer and Hopena, cosponsored by Senator Plewa.

Read first time and referred to committee a Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

Assembly Bill 493

Relating to changing the title of the state metul health act.

By Representatives Medinger and Merku cosponsored by Senators Chvala and Chilsen, by request of State Council on Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse.

Read first time and referred to committee of Agriculture, Health and Human Services.

Assembly Bill 494

Relating to changing the definition of alcoholic.

By Representatives Medinger and Merk, cosponsored by Senators Chvala and Chilsen, by reques of State Council on Alcohol and Other Drug Abuse.

Read first time and referred to committee of Agriculture, Health and Human Services.

Assembly Bill 537

Relating to various changes in the laws pertaining to libraries and making an appropriation.

By Representatives Potter, Notestein, Radtke, Byen, Hasenohrl, Shoemaker, R. Young, Krusick, Clarenbach, Fergus, Magnuson, York, Weeden, Margaret Levic, Medinger, Volk, Gruszynski, Manske, Lepak, Lanoa, Williams and Prosser, cosponsored by Senator Czarnezki, Andrea, Moen, Lorman, Helbach, Ott. Chvala and Chilsen.

Read first time and referred to committee on Education and Government Operations.

Assembly Bill 688

Relating to deletions from the county trunk highway system.

By Representatives Mark Lewis, Vanderperten, Hasenohrl, Holperin, Hubler, Bolle, Bradley, Van Gorden, Walling, Clements, R. Young and Goeuch, cosponsored by Senators Moen, Otte and Kincaid.

Read first time and referred to committee on Transportation.

Assembly Bill 696

Relating to revising the penalty for the improper registration of any wild animal.

By Representative Holperin, cosponsored by Senator Strohl.

Read first time and referred to committee on Energy and Environmental Resources.

Assembly Bill 697

Relating to revising the penalties for illegally selling or serving certain fish and game.

By Representative Holperin, cosponsored by Senator Strohl Read first time and referred to committee on Energy and Environmental Resources.

Assembly Bill 744

Relating to failure to appear in court at the time specified in a traffic citation.

By Representative Rutkowski, by request of Judicial Council.

Read first time and referred to committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

Assembly Bill 745

Relating to burden of persuasion at competency hearings.

By Representative Rutkowski, cosponsored by Senator Adelman, by request of Judicial Council.

Read first time and referred to committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

Assembly Bill 902

Relating to public members of the strategic planning council.

By Representatives Loftus and T. Thompson, cosponsored by Senator Cullen.

Read first time and referred to committee on Economic Development.

CALENDAR OF MARCH 11

Senate Joint Resolution 1

Relating to authorizing the creation of a Wisconsin state lottery (first consideration).

Read.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, Senate Joint Resolution 1 was placed at the foot of the calendar.

Senate Joint Resolution 69

Relating to observing the week of April 13 to 19, 1986, as Victims Rights Week.

Read.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

By request of Senator Kreul, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 11:32 A.M.

10:17 A.M.

RECESS

11:32 A.M.

The senate reconvened.

Senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 1 offered by Senator Engeleiter.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 1? Adopted. The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

Concurred in as amended.

Senate Bill 118

Relating to penalties for persons who violate the alcohol beverage laws, to dealings between brewers, wholesalers and retailers, to technical and minor policy changes in regard to alcohol beverages, and alcohol beverage, tobacco, cigarette and fuel taxes, and providing a penalty.

Read.

The chair will appoint a Committee of Conference.

Senate Bill 120

Relating to campaign financing, providing a penalty and making an appropriation.

Read.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

Senator Adelman moved non-concurrence of assembly amendment 1.

The question was: Non-concurrence of assembly amendment 1?

The motion did not prevail.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lecan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment failed to be concurred in.

Senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 3 offered by Senators Lasee, Engeleiter, Kreul, Lorman, Leean and Stitt.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 3?

Senator Adelman moved rejection of senate amendment I to assembly amendment 3.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 3?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19. Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14. Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 3 offered by Senators Engeleiter and Theno.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 3?

By request of Senator Engeleiter, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 3 was returned to the author.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 3?

Concurred in.

Senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 5 offered by Senator Lee.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 5? Adopted.

auopieu.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 5?

Concurred in as amended.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 7?

Senator Engeleiter moved non-concurrence of assembly amendment 7.

The question was: Non-concurrence of assembly amendment 7?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, George, Helbach, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine --17.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 7?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, George, Helbach, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine --17.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 16. Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was concurred in.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 2:00 P.M.

12:07 P.M.

RECESS

2:00 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 8?

Senator Adelman moved non-concurrence of assembly amendment 8.

The question was: Non-concurrence of assembly amendment 8?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 9 offered by Senator Cullen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 9?

Adopted.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 9?

Concurred in as amended.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 11?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 12?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 14?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 15? Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 16?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 17?

Concurred in.

Senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 18 to Senate Bill 120 offered by Senator Engeleiter.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment l to assembly amendment 18?

Senator Czarnezki moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 18.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 18?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 18 offered by Senator Cullen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 18?

Senator Adelman moved that senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 18 be placed after senate amendment 3 to assembly amendment 18.

Senator Cullen objected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 18?

Senator Adelman moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 18.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 18?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 8; noes, 25; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Czarnezki, Davis, Feingold, Lee, Risser and Rude -- 8.

Noes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Cullen, Ellis, Engeleiter, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 25.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 18?

By request of Senator Lee, with unanimous consent, assembly amendment 18 was placed after assembly amendment 50.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 20?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 22?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 23?

Senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23 offered by Senator Cullen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23.

By request of Senator Adelman, with unanimous consent, assembly amendment 23 was placed after assembly amendment 18.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 25?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 28?

Senator Adelman moved non-concurrence of assembly amendment 28.

The question was: Non-concurrence of assembly amendment 28?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 20.

Noes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was non-concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 29?

Senator Adelman moved non-concurrence of assembly amendment 29.

The question was: Non-concurrence of assembly amendment 29?

Non-concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 32?

Senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 32 offered by Senator Adelman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 32?

Adopted.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 32?

Concurred in as amended.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 38?

Concurred in.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 40?

Concurred in.

Senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 41 offered by Senator Adelman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 41.

Adopted.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 41?

Concurred in as amended.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 42?

Concurred in.

Senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 50 offered by Senator Adelman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 50?

By request of Senator Engeleiter, with unanimous consent, assembly amendment 50 was placed after assembly amendment 23.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 18?

By request of Senator Lee, with unanimous consent, assembly amendment 18 was placed after assembly amendment 50.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23?

Senator Engeleiter moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 10; noes, 22; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Kreul. Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum and Stitt -- 10.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine --22.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Ellis -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23.

Senator Davis raised the point of order that senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23 was not germane.

The chair ruled the point of order not well taken.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 23? Adopted.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly

Concurred in as amended.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 - to assembly amendment 50?

Senator Engeleiter moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 50.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment) to assembly amendment 50?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engekin, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Le. Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl. Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment1 to assembly amendment 50? Adopted.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 50?

Concurred in as amended.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 18 offered by Senators Let and Czarnezki.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 2 to assembly amendment 18? Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment? to assembly amendment 18?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Cullen, Ellis. Engeleiter, George, Hanaway, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul. Lasee, Lecan, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist. Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Czarnezki, Davis, Feingold, Harsdorf, Lee, Risser, Rude and Suit-10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senate amendment 3 to assembly amendment ¹⁸ offered by Senator Adelman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment³ to assembly amendment 18?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 8; noes, 25; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Czamezki, Feingold, Lee, Norquist, Risser and Rude - 8.

Noes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Cullen, Davis. Ellis, Engeleiter, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach. Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum. Moen, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Stitt, Strohl, Theno. Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 25. Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment failed to be adopted.

Senate amendment 4 to assembly amendment 18 offered by Senator Chilsen.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to assembly amendment 18?

Senator Adelman moved rejection of senate amendment 4 to assembly amendment 18?

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4 to assembly amendment 18?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 10; noes, 23; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Roshell and Strohl -- 10.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Plewa, Risser, Rude, Stitt, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 23.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to assembly amendment 18?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Risser, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was adopted.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 18?

Concurred in as amended.

Assembly Bill 391

Relating to orders to perform community service work.

Read.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 1 to senate amendment 2?

Concurred in.

By request of the president of the senate, with unanimous consent, he appointed Senators Van Sistine, George and Theno as conferees to the Committee of Conference on Senate Bill 118.

The question was: Shall the conferees be confirmed? Confirmed.

Senate Bill 315

Relating to various changes in the regulation of lobbying, making appropriations and providing penalties.

Read a second time.

Senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Kincaid, Plewa, Harsdorf, Kreul, Chilsen, Rude and Andrea.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator George, with unanimous consent, Senate Bill 315 was referred to joint committee on Finance.

Senate Bill 345

Relating to: review of bills providing for regulation of an occupation previously not regulated; the membership of the examining boards and examining councils attached to the department of regulation and licensing; revising the disciplinary procedures for the department of regulation and licensing and the examining boards in the department; authorizing the department of regulation and licensing to specify the number of business days within which determinations on license applications must be made; eliminating restrictions on advertising and certain other practices by persons licensed, registered or certified by the department of regulation and licensing; and granting rule-making authority.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Czarnezki moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Otte.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 345

Read a third time.

Senator Stitt asked unanimous consent that the bill be referred to a second reading.

Senator Czarnezki objected.

Senator Stitt moved that the bill be referred to a second reading.

The question was: Shall the bill be referred to a second reading?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Cullen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 22.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Risser and Strohl -- 11.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Read a second time.

By request of Senator Stitt, with unanimous consent, the vote by which senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1 was rejected was reconsidered.

By request of Senator Stitt, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1 was adopted.

Senator Adelman moved reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 was adopted.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 was adopted?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 7; noes, 26; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, Lee, Norquist and Risser -- 7.

Noes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Cullen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 26.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Czarnezki, Adelman and Helbach.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Theno moved rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Cullen, Ellis, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lean, Lorman, McCallum, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Czamezki, Davis, Engeleiter, Feingold, Helbach, Kincaid, Le, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Risser and Strohl -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 345

Read a third time and passed.

Senate Bill 390

Relating to weight limitations for vehicles used primarily to transport septage.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator George, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 390

Read a third time and passed.

Senate Bill 461

Relating to satisfactions of judgments by attorneys. Read a second time.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 461

Read a third time and passed.

Senate Bill 477

Relating to summary probate procedures. Read a second time.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 477

Read a third time and passed.

Senate Bill 506

Relating to the definition of 'coowner' for purposes of the property tax deferral program.

Read a second time.

By request of Senator George, with unanimous consent, Senate Bill 506 was referred to joint committee on Finance.

Senate Bill 534

to repeal various provisions of session laws for the purpose of eliminating unnecessary and obsolete provisions regarding courts.

Read a second time.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 534

Read a third time and passed.

Assembly Bill 70

Relating to rights of law enforcement officers.

Read a second time.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Assembly Bill 70

Read a third time and concurred in.

Assembly Bill 84

Relating to minority group representation in election districts and the method of selection of the board of school directors in 1st class cities.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1? Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Assembly Bill 84

Read a third time and concurred in as amended.

Assembly Bill 303

Relating to technical and minor policy changes in respect to the income and franchise taxes.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1? Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?

Senator Czarnezki moved rejection of senate amendment 2?

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Strohl and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Theno and Ulichny -- 17.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?

Senator Czarnezki raised the point of order that senate amendment 2 was not germane.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

Assembly Bill 304

Relating to technical and minor policy items in regard to the sales and use taxes.

Read a second time.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Assembly Bill 304

Read a third time and concurred in.

Assembly Bill 387

Relating to product liability insurance reports. Read a second time.

Senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senator Van Sistine.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Senate amendments 2, 3, 4 and 5 to senate substitute amendment 1 offered by Senators Hanaway and Engeleiter.

Senator Van Sistine raised the point of order that senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 1 was not germane.

The chair took the point of order under advisement.

Assembly Bill 386

Relating to labeling requirements for used oil, liens for petroleum product inspection fees and regulation and inspection of amusement rides and ski lifts (suggested as remedial legislation by the department of industry, labor and human relations).

Read a second time.

Senator Helbach in the chair.

5:05 P.M.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1? Adopted.

Senate amendment 2 offered by Senator Stitt.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2? Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Assembly Bill 386

Read a third time and concurred in as amended.

Assembly Bill 412

Relating to duty to report crimes and providing penalties.

Read a second time.

By request of Senator Lee, with unanimous consent, Assembly Bill 412 was placed at the foot of the calendar.

Assembly Bill 433

Relating to requiring a due process hearing for certain law enforcement officers.

Read a second time.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Assembly Bill 433

Read a third time and concurred in.

Assembly Bill 652

Relating to civil actions to enforce the state fair housing law.

Read a second time.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Assembly Bill 652

Read a third time and concurred in.

Senate Joint Resolution 1

Relating to authorizing the creation of a Wisconsin state lottery (first consideration).

Read.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to assembly amendment 6?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Cullen, Ellis, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Moen, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine --18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Czarnezki, Davis, Engeleiter, Feingold, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Norquist, Risser and Stitt -- 15.

Absent or not voting - None.

So the amendment was adopted.

Senate amendment 5 to assembly amendment 6 offered by Senator Van Sistine.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5 to assembly amendment 6?

By request of Senator Van Sistine, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 5 to assembly amendment 6 was returned to the author.

Senate amendment 6 to assembly amendment 6 offered by Senator Risser.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6 to assembly amendment 6?

Senator Van Sistine moved rejection of senate amendment 6 to assembly amendment 6.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 6 to assembly amendment 6?

The motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 7 to assembly amendment 6 offered by Senator McCallum.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment? to assembly amendment 6?

Senator Van Sistine moved rejection of senate amendment 7 to assembly amendment 6.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment? to assembly amendment 6?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Cullen, Ellis, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Moen, Otte, Pleva, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine-18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Czamezki, Davis, Engeleiter, Feingold, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Norquist, Risser and Stitt -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 8 to assembly amendment 6 offered by Senator Hanaway.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment⁸ to assembly amendment 6?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 9 to assembly amendment 6 offered by Senator Risser.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment⁹ to assembly amendment 6?

Senator Van Sistine moved rejection of senate amendment 9 to assembly amendment 6.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment⁹ to assembly amendment 6?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Cullen, Ellis, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Moen, Otte, Pleva, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine-18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Czarnezki, Davis, Engeleiter, Feingold, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Norquist, Risser and Stitt -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the motion prevailed.

Senate amendment 10 to assembly amendment 6 offered by Senator Adelman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 10 to assembly amendment 6?

Senator Van Sistine moved rejection of senate amendment 10 to assembly amendment 6.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 10 to assembly amendment 6?

The motion prevailed.

The question was: Concurrence of assembly amendment 6?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Cullen, Czarnezki, Ellis, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Norquist, Otte, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine --23.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Chvala, Davis, Engeleiter, Feingold, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Risser and Stitt -- 10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

So the amendment was concurred in as amended.

Assembly Bill 412

Relating to duty to report crimes and providing penalties.

Read a second time.

Senate amendment 1 offered by Senator Engeleiter.

By request of Senator Engeleiter, with unanimous consent, senate amendment 1 was returned to the author.

Ordered to a third reading.

By request of Senator Norquist, with unanimous consent, the bill was considered for final action at this time.

Assembly Bill 412

Read a third time and concurred in.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, the Senate returned to the third order of business.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Read first time and referred:

Senate Bill 622

Relating to various changes in the Wisconsin retirement system and granting rule-making authority.

By Senator Andrea.

To Joint Survey committee on Retirement Systems.

Senate Bill 623

Relating to the residency requirement for general relief eligibility.

By Senators Plewa and Andrea; cosponsored by Representative Grobschmidt, by request of Milwaukee County.

To committee on Agriculture, Health and Human Services.

Senate Bill 624

Relating to authorizing persons to enter additional land under the woodland tax law.

By Senators Leean and Moen.

To committee on Tourism, Revenue, Financial Institutions and Forestry.

Senator Cullen asked unanimous consent that all action be ordered immediately messaged.

Senator Stitt objected.

By request of Senator Kreul, with unanimous consent, the senate recessed until 6:18 P.M.

6:07 P.M.

RECESS

6:18 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

By request of Senator Cullen, with unanimous consent, all action was ordered immediately messaged with the exception of Senate Bill 120.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 2 failed to be concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 2 failed to be concurred in? The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 3 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 3 was concurred in?

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 5 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 5 was concurred in?

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 7 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 7 was concurred in?

QUORUM CALL

Senator Engeleiter called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chilsen, Chvala, Cullen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Feingold, George, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Moen, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Theno, Ulichny and Van Sistine - 31.

Absent -- Senators Czarnezki and Norquist -- 2. Absent with leave -- None.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 7 was concurred in?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 14.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Absent or not voting - Senator Norquist - 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 8 was non-concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 8 was non-concurred in?

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 9 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 9 was concurred in?

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 11 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 11 was concurred in? The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 12 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 12 was concurred in? The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 14 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 14 was concurred in? The motion did not prevail. Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 15 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 15 was concurred in? The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 16 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 16 was concurred in? The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 17 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 17 was concurred in? The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 18 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 18 was concurred in? The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 20 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 20 was concurred in? The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen asked unanimous consent to reconsider the vote by which assembly amendment 22 was concurred in.

Senator Chilsen objected.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 22 was concurred in.

Senator Chilsen moved that the motion to reconsider the vote by which assembly amendment 22 was concurred in be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall the motion to reconsider the vote by which assembly amendment 22 was concurred in be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 13; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Kreul, Lasee, Lecan, Lorman, McCallum, Rude, Stitt and Theno -- 13.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lee, Moen, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- Senators Ellis and Norquist - 2.

So the motion did not prevail.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 22 was concurred in?

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 11, 1986]

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 23 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 23 was concurred in?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 20; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Engeleiter, Hanaway, Harsdorf, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, McCallum, Stitt and Theno -- 12.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Chvala, Cullen, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lee, Moen, Otte, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 20.

Absent or not voting -- Senator Norquist -- 1.

So the motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 25 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 25 was concurred in?

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 28 was non-concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 28 was non-concurred in?

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 29 was non-concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 29 was non-concurred in? The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 32 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 32 was concurred in?

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 38 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 38 was concurred in?

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 40 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 40 was concurred in?

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 41 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 41 was concurred in?

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 42 was concurred in.

• The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 42 was concurred in?

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Cullen moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 50 was concurred in.

The question was: Reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 50 was concurred in?

The motion did not prevail.

Senator Chilsen asked unanimous consent that Senate Bill 195 be withdrawn from committee on Labor, Business, Veterans Affairs and Insurance.

Senator Cullen objected.

By request of Senator Theno, with unanimous consent, the senate adjourned in honor of Senator Leean's birthday.

By request of Senator George, with unanimous consent, the senate adjourned in honor of the Marquette High School boys basketball team and Pius XI girls basketball team that won the WISAA State Tournament.

By request of Senator Kreul, with unanimous consent, the senate adjourned in honor of his grandsons Eric and Rick, who were in the gallery.

By request of Senator Kincaid, with unanimous consent, the senate adjourned in honor of the Eagle River High School hockey team, who won the State Tournament.

By request of Senator Feingold, with unanimous consent, the senate adjourned in honor of the Portage and Waunakee High Schools' girls basketball teams who will be playing in the WIAA State Basketball Tournament.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 315 by Senator Chvala.

Senate amendment 2 to Senate Bill 276 by Senators Lorman, Roshell, Lecan, Plewa and Ellis.

Senate amendment 5 to Assembly Bill 303 by Senators Stitt and George.

Upon motion of Senator Cullen the senate adjourned until 10:00 A.M. Thursday, March 13.

CHIEF CLERK'S CORRECTION

Suggested by Legislative Reference Bureau

Senate Bill 388

In enrolling, the following correction was made: 1. Page 2, line 5: substitute "decedent" for "decendent".

NOTE: Corrects text as shown in drafting record.

Senate Bill 604

1. Page 3, line 24: substitute "home" for "house".

Senate Bill 588

1. Page 1, line 18: before "51.437" insert "49.51(3) (title),".

2. Page 2, line 6: after "(e)," insert "46.23(5)(f),".

3. Page 2, line 14: after "(5a)" insert "(b)".

4. Page 57, line 26: delete that line and substitute:

"SECTION 133. 46.23(5)(f) of the statutes is renumbered 46.23(5)(o) and amended to read:".

5. Page 125, line 2: after that line insert:

"SECTION 330m. 49.51(3)(title) of the statutes is renumbered 46.215(2)(title).".

6. Page 125, line 4: delete the first "and".

7. Page 125, line 5: delete "PURCHASE OF CARE AND SERVICES.".

8. Page 161, line 9: after "(5a)" insert "(b)".

9. Page 230, line 27: substitute "subsection" for "subsections".

10. Page 230, line 28: delete "and (3)".