## STATE OF WISCONSIN

# **Assembly Journal**

## October 1989 Special Session

8:30 P.M.

THURSDAY, November 9, 1989

The assembly met.

Speaker Loftus in the chair.

The prayer was offered by Representative Bell.

Representative Hauke led the membership in reciting the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Present — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Fergus, Fortis, Foti, Gard, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Huelsman, Johnsrud, Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Kunicki, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lautenschlager, Lehman, Lepak, Linton, Lorge, Loucks, Medinger, Moore, Musser, Nelsen, Notestein, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Plache, Porter, Potter, C., Potter, R., Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Schneiders, Schultz, Seery, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Travis, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Dreel, Van Gorden, Vanderperren, Vergeront, Volk, Walling, Welch, Williams, Wimmer, Wineke, Wood, Young, Zeuske, Zien, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 97.

Absent - None.

Absent with leave — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Vacancies — None.

#### **AMENDMENTS OFFERED**

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Joint Committee on Finance.

Assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session offered by Joint Committee on Finance.

#### COMMITTEE REPORTS

The Joint Committee on Finance reports and recommends:

Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session

Relating to controlled substances: organized crime: drug paraphernalia, citations and disposition for certain juvenile offenses; obstructing an officer; prohibiting a pupil from using or possessing an electronic paging or 2way communication device on the premises of a public school: drug tests in accidents involving fatalities: substitution of judges; designating 2 circuit court branches in Milwaukee county as drug courts; granting to counties the right to prohibit by ordinance and impose forfeitures for, the possession of marijuana; regulating pawnbrokers and dealers in secondhand articles and iewelry; health maintenance organization coverage of treatment for students away from home; a study of the enrollment of certain recipients of medical assistance in health maintenance organizations; and providing penalties.

Adoption of assembly substitute amendment 1: Ayes: (16) Noes: (0)

Passage: Ayes: (15) Noes: (1)

To calendar.

Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session

Relating to increasing the number of circuit court branches and establishing a state crime laboratory at Wausau.

Adoption of assembly substitute amendment 1: Ayes: (16) Noes: (0)

Passage: Ayes: (16) Noes: (0) To calendar.

WALTER KUNICKI Assembly Chairperson

### LEAVES OF ABSENCE

Representative Radtke asked unanimous consent for a leave of absence for today's session for Representatives Goetsch and Lewis, Granted.

Representative Gruszynski asked unanimous consent that the assembly stand recessed for fifteen minutes. Granted.

The assembly stood recessed.

8:37 P.M.

#### **RECESS**

9:30 P.M.

The assembly reconvened.

Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session

Relating to increasing the number of circuit court branches and establishing a state crime laboratory at Wausau.

The question was: Shall assembly substitute amendment I to Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

Motion carried.

Representative Prosser asked unanimous consent that Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session be laid on the table.

Representative Hauke objected.

Representative Hauke asked unanimous consent that the assembly stand recessed for ten minutes. Granted.

The assembly stood recessed.

9:40 P.M.

#### RECESS

9:45 P.M.

The assembly reconvened.

Assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representative Panzer.

Representative Lautenschlager moved rejection of assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Fergus, Fortis, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Johnsrud, Krug, Krusick, Kunicki, Lautenschlager, Linton, Medinger, Moore, Notestein, Ourada, Plache, Potter, C., Potter, R., Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rutkowski, Schneider, Seery, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Travis, Van Dreel, Vanderperren, Volk, Williams, Wineke, Wood, Young, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 58.

Noes — Representatives Brancel, Brandemuehl, Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Foti, Gard, Harsdorf, Huelsman, Klusman, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lehman, Lepak, Lorge, Loucks, Musser, Nelsen, Ott, Panzer, Porter, Prosser, Radtke, Rosenzweig, Schmidt, Schneiders, Schultz, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Gorden, Vergeront, Walling, Welch, Wimmer, Zeuske and Zien — 39.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

Motion carried.

Representative Hauke asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session having been read three times, shall the bill be passed?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, S., Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Fergus, Fortis, Foti, Gard, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Hoischbach, Huber, Hubler, Huelsman, Johnsrud. Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Kunicki, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lautenschlager, Lehman, Lepak, Linton, Lorge, Loucks, Medinger, Moore, Musser, Nelsen, Notestein, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Plache, Porter, Potter, C., Potter, R., Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schmidt. Schneider. Schneiders, Schultz, Seery, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Travis, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Dreel, Van Gorden, Vanderperren, Vergeront, Volk, Walling, Welch, Williams, Wimmer, Wineke, Wood, Young, Zeuske, Zien, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 96.

Noes — Representative Coggs, M. - 1.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion carried.

Representative Hauke asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session

Relating to controlled substances; organized crime; drug paraphernalia, citations and disposition for certain juvenile offenses; obstructing an officer; prohibiting a pupil from using or possessing an electronic paging or 2-way communication device on the premises of a public school; drug tests in accidents involving fatalities; substitution of judges; designating 2 circuit court branches in Milwaukee county as drug courts; granting to counties the right to prohibit by ordinance and impose

forfeitures for, the possession of marijuana; regulating pawnbrokers and dealers in secondhand articles and jewelry; health maintenance organization coverage of treatment for students away from home; a study of the enrollment of certain recipients of medical assistance in health maintenance organizations; and providing penalties.

Assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representatives Nelsen and Barca.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

Motion carried.

Speaker pro tempore Clarenbach in the chair.

Assembly amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representative Schneider.

Representative Lautenschlager moved rejection of assembly amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session.

#### POINT OF ORDER

Representative Carpenter rose to the point of order that assembly amendment 2 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session was not germane under Assembly Rule 54(3).

The chair (Speaker pro tempore Clarenbach) ruled the point of order well taken.

Speaker Loftus in the chair.

Assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representative Lautenschlager.

#### POINT OF ORDER

Representative Schneider rose to the point of order that assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session was not germane under Assembly Rule 54(3).

The speaker ruled the point of order not well taken.

Representative Schneider moved rejection of assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Bolle, Coggs, M., Deininger, Harsdorf, Johnsrud, Lehman, Lorge, Musser, Porter, Schmidt, Schneider, Tregoning, Underheim, Urban, Van Gorden, Volk, Wood and Zeuske — 18.

Noes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Boyle, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, S., Coleman. Duff. Fergus, Fortis, Foti, Gard, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Huelsman, Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Kunicki, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lautenschlager, Lepak, Linton, Loucks, Medinger, Moore, Nelsen, Notestein, Ott. Ourada. Panzer, Plache, Potter, C., Potter, R., Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schultz. Seery, Stower, Schneiders. Swoboda. Thompson, Travis, Turba, Van Dreel, Vanderperren, Vergeront, Walling, Welch, Williams, Wimmer, Wineke, Young, Zien, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 79.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion failed.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 4 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representatives Krusick, Nelsen, Rosenzweig and Lautenschlager.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 4 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 5 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representatives Lepak, Loftus, Schneider and Radtke.

Assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 5 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representative Lepak.

Speaker pro tempore Clarenbach in the chair.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 5 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

Motion carried.

## POINT OF ORDER

Representative Carpenter rose to the point of order that assembly amendment 5 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session was not germane under Assembly Rule 54(3).

The chair (Speaker pro tempore Clarenbach) ruled the point of order well taken.

Speaker Loftus in the chair.

Representative Welch appealed the decision of the chair.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the decision of the assembly?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Fergus, Fortis, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Krug, Krusick, Kunicki, Lautenschlager, Lepak, Linton, Medinger, Moore, Notestein, Plache, Potter, C., Potter, R., Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rutkowski, Schneider, Seery, Stower, Swoboda, Travis, Tregoning, Van Dreel, Vanderperren, Volk, Wineke, Wood, Young, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 56.

Noes — Representatives Brancel, Brandemuehl, Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Foti, Gard, Harsdorf, Huelsman, Johnsrud, Klusman, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lehman, Lorge, Loucks, Musser, Nelsen, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Porter, Prosser, Radtke, Rosenzweig, Schmidt, Schneiders, Schultz, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Gorden, Vergeront, Walling, Welch, Wimmer, Zeuske and Zien — 39.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch, Lewis, Thompson and Williams — 4.

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representatives Lepak and Schneider.

Representative Lepak asked unanimous consent that assembly amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be faid on the table. Granted.

Speaker pro tempore Clarenbach in the chair.

Assembly amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representatives Van Dreel, Kunicki and Lautenschlager.

## POINT OF ORDER

Representative Welch rose to the point of order that assembly amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session was not germane under Assembly Rule 54(3).

The chair (Speaker pro tempore Clarenbach) took the point of order under advisement.

Speaker Loftus in the chair.

Assembly amendment 8 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representative Thompson.

Representative Lautenschlager moved rejection of assembly amendment 8 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 8 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Boyle, Brandemuehl, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, S., Fergus, Fortis, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holschbach, Huelsman, Krug, Kunicki, Lautenschlager, Lepak, Medinger, Moore, Notestein, Plache, Potter, R., Robson, Rohan, Rutkowski, Seery, Van Dreel, Vanderperren, Wineke, Young, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 39.

Noes — Representatives Antaramian, Bolke, Brancel, Coggs, M., Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Foti, Gard, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Harsdorf, Holperin, Huber, Hubler, Johnsrud, Klusman, Krusick, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lehman, Linton, Lorge, Loucks, Musser, Nelsen, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Porter, Potter, C., Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Rosenzweig, Schmidt, Schneider, Schneiders, Schultz, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Travis, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Gorden, Vergeront, Volk, Walling, Welch, Williams, Wimmer, Wood, Zeuske and Zien — 58.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion failed.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment's to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly B#1 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 9 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representatives Schneider, Stower, Lepak and Hamilton.

Representative Lautenschlager moved rejection of assembly amendment 9 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session.

Representative Lautenschlager withdrew her motion.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 9 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 10 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representatives Ladwig and Deininger.

#### POINT OF ORDER

Representative Lautenschlager rose to the point of order that assembly amendment 10 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session was not germane under Assembly Rule 54(3).

The speaker took the point of order under advisement.

Speaker pro tempore Clarenbach in the chair.

## RULING ON THE POINT OF ORDER

The chair (Speaker pro tempore Clarenbach) ruled not well taken the point of order raised by Representative Welch that assembly amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session was not germane.

Representative Welch moved rejection of assembly amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Coleman, Deininger, Fergus, Klusman, Krug, Lahn, Larson, Lehman, Loucks, Nelsen, Potter, C., Prosser, Schneiders, Schultz, Tregoning, Turba, Urban, Van Gorden, Vergeront, Welch and Zien — 24.

Noes — Representatives Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Duff, Fortis, Foti, Gard, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Huelsman, Johnsrud, Krusick, Kunicki, Ladwig, Lautenschlager, Lepak, Linton, Lorge, Medinger, Moore, Musser, Notestein,

Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Plache, Porter, Potter, R., Radtke, Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Seery, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Travis, Underheim, Van Dreel, Vanderperren, Volk, Walling, Williams, Wimmer, Wineke, Wood, Young, Zeuske, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 73.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion failed.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representative Schmidt.

Assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representative Schmidt.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

Motion carried.

Representative Lautenschlager moved rejection of assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Deininger, Fergus, Fortis, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Klusman, Krug, Kunicki, Ladwig, Lautenschlager, Linton, Loucks, Medinger, Moore, Notestein, Ott, Ourada, Plache, Potter, R., Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Schneider, Seery, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Travis, Van Dreel, Van Gorden, Vanderperren, Volk, Walling, Wimmer, Wineke, Young, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 63.

Noes — Representatives Coleman, Duff, Foti, Gard, Huelsman, Johnsrud, Krusick, Lahn, Larson, Lehman, Lepak, Lorge, Musser, Nelsen, Panzer, Porter, Potter, C., Prosser, Radtke, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneiders, Schultz, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim,

Urban, Vergeront, Welch, Williams, Wood, Zeuske and Zien — 34.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 12 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representative Antaramian.

Representative Lautenschlager moved rejection of assembly amendment 12 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 12 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Baldus, Barrett, Bell, Black, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Coggs, S., Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Gard, Grobschmidt, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Holperin, Huber, Huelsman, Klusman, Ladwig, Larson, Lautenschlager, Lehman, Lepak, Lorge, Medinger, Moore, Musser, Nelsen, Ott, Ourada, Porter, Potter, C., Potter, R., Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Schneiders, Stower, Swoboda, Travis, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Dreel, Van Gorden, Vanderperren, Volk, Walling, Welch, Wimmer, Zeuske, Zien and Zweck — 58.

Noes — Representatives Antaramian, Barca, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Fergus, Fortis, Foti, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Hinkfuss, Holschbach, Hubler, Johnsrud, Krug, Krusick, Kunicki, Lahn, Linton, Loucks, Notestein, Panzer, Plache, Robson, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Schultz, Seery, Thompson, Vergeront, Williams, Wineke, Wood, Young and Speaker Loftus — 39.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 13 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representative Thompson.

Representative Lautenschlager moved rejection of assembly amendment 13 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 13 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, S., Deininger, Duff, Fergus, Fortis, Grobschmidt, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Huber, Kunicki, Lahn, Lautenschlager, Linton, Medinger, Moore, Notestein, Ourada, Panzer, Plache, Potter, C., Potter, R., Prosser, Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Seery, Travis, Turba, Underheim, Van Dreel, Vanderperren, Wimmer, Wineke, Young, Zeuske, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 56.

Noes — Representatives Coggs, M., Coleman, Foti, Gard, Gronemus, Holschbach, Hubler, Huelsman, Johnsrud, Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Ladwig, Larson, Lehman, Lepak, Lorge, Loucks, Musser, Nelsen, Ott, Porter, Radtke, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Schneiders, Schultz, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Tregoning, Urban, Van Gorden, Vergeront, Volk, Walling, Welch, Williams, Wood and Zien — 41.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 14 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representatives Schmidt, Musser and Lehman.

## POINT OF ORDER

Representative Carpenter rose to the point of order that assembly amendment 14 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Biff 9, October 1989 Special Session was not germane under Assembly Rule 54(3).

The chair (Speaker pro tempore Clarenbach) ruled the point of order well taken.

Assembly amendment 15 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly BM 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representatives Hauke and Prosser.

Assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 15 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly 1919, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representative M. Coggs.

Representative Hauke moved rejection of assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 15 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly BiH 9, October 1989 Special Session.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 15 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

## JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [November 9, 1989]

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Bolle, Boyle, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Fergus, Fortis, Gard, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Hamilton, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Hubler, Huelsman, Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Kunicki, Ladwig, Lahn, Lehman, Lepak, Linton, Loucks, Nelsen, Notestein, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Plache, Porter, Potter, C., Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schneider, Schneiders, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Vanderperren, Vergeront, Volk, Walling, Welch, Wimmer, Wineke, Wood, Zeuske, Zien, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 71.

Noes — Representatives Black, Bock, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Foti, Gruszynski, Huber, Johnsrud, Larson, Lautenschlager, Lorge, Medinger, Moore, Musser, Potter, R., Schmidt, Schultz, Seery, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Travis, Van Dreel, Van Gorden, Williams and Young — 26.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 15 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Beil, Black, Bock, Boile, Boyle, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, S., Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Fergus, Fortis, Gard, Grobschmidt, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Huelsman, Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Kunicki, Ladwig, Lahn, Lautenschlager, Lehman, Lepak, Linton, Lorge, Loucks, Medinger, Moore, Musser, Nelsen, Notestein, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Plache, Porter, Potter, C., Potter, R., Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Schneiders, Schultz, Stower, Swoboda, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Dreel, Van Gorden, Vanderperren, Vergeront, Volk, Walling, Welch, Wimmer, Wineke, Wood, Zeuske, Zien, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 88.

Noes — Representatives Coggs, M., Foti, Gronemus, Johnsrud, Larson, Seery, Thompson, Williams and Young — 9.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 16 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representatives Plache, Young, Bock, Moore and Notestein.

Representative Lautenschlager moved rejection of assembly amendment 16 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 16 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Baldus, Barca, Bell, Boyle, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Foti, Gard, Grobschmidt, Harsdorf, Hauke, Holperin, Huber, Hubler, Huelsman, Johnsrud, Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lautenschlager, Lehman, Lepak, Linton, Lorge, Loucks, Musser, Nelsen, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Porter, Potter, C., Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Schneiders, Schultz, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Dreel, Van Gorden, Vanderperren, Vergeront, Walling, Welch, Wimmer, Wood, Zeuske, Zien and Zweck — 65.

Noes — Representatives Antaramian, Barrett, Black, Bock, Bolle, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Fergus, Fortis, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Hasenohrl, Hinkfuss, Holschbach, Kunicki, Medinger, Moore, Notestein, Plache, Potter, R., Robson, Rohan, Seery, Travis, Volk, Williams, Wineke, Young and Speaker Loftus — 32.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 17 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representatives Krusick, Panzer, Schneiders, Schmidt, Deininger, Vanderperren, Wood, Hamilton and C. Potter.

Representative Lautenschlager moved rejection of assembly amendment 17 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 17 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Fergus, Fortis, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Huber, Huelsman, Kunicki, Lautenschlager,

Medinger, Moore, Notestein, Plache, Potter, R., Roberts, Rohan, Schneider, Seery, Travis, Van Dreel, Vanderperren, Williams, Wimmer, Wineke, Young, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 38.

Nocs — Representatives Barrett, Boyle, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Carpenter, Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Foti, Gard, Grobschmidt, Hamilton, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Holperin, Holschbach, Hubler, Johnsrud, Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lehman, Lepak, Linton, Lorge, Loucks, Musser, Nelsen, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Porter, Potter, C., Prosser, Radtke, Robson, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneiders, Schultz, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Gorden, Vergeront, Volk, Walling, Welch, Wood, Zeuske and Zien — 59.

Absent or not voting Representatives Goetsch and Lewis -- 2.

Motion failed.

Representative Young moved that assembly amendment 17 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 17 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be laid on the table?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Fergus, Fortis, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Huber, Huelsman, Kunicki, Lautenschlager, Medinger, Moore, Notestein, Plache, Potter, R., Roberts, Rohan, Schneider, Seery, Travis, Van Dreel, Vanderperren, Volk, Williams, Wimmer, Wineke, Young, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 43.

Noes — Representatives Brancel, Brandemuehl, Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Foti, Gard, Grobschmidt, Hamilton, Harsdorf, Holperin, Holschbach, Hubler, Johnsrud, Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lehman, Lepak, Linton, Lorge, Loucks, Musser, Nelsen, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Porter, Potter, C., Prosser, Radtke, Robson, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneiders, Schultz, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Gorden, Vergeront, Walling, Welch, Wood, Zeuske and Zien — 54.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion failed.

Representative Loftus asked unanimous consent that assembly amendment 17 be placed after assembly amendment 20.

Representative Krusick objected.

Representative Loftus asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that assembly amendment 18 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be taken up at this time.

Representative Krusick objected.

Representative Loftus moved that assembly amendment 17 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 17 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be laid on the table?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Fergus, Fortis, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Huber, Huelsman, Kunicki, Lautenschlager, Medinger, Moore, Notestein, Plache, Potter, R., Roberts, Rohan, Schneider, Seery, Travis, Van Dreel, Vanderperren, Volk, Williams, Wimmer, Wineke, Young and Speaker Loftus — 42.

Noes — Representatives Brancel, Brandemuehl, Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Foti, Gard, Grobschmidt, Hamilton, Harsdorf, Holperin, Holschbach, Hubler, Johnsrud, Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lehman, Lepak, Linton, Lorge, Loucks, Musser, Nelsen, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Porter, Potter, C., Prosser, Radtke, Robson, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneiders, Schultz, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Gorden, Vergeront, Walling, Welch, Wood, Zeuske, Zien and Zweck — 55.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion failed.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 17 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

Motion carried.

Representative Loftus moved reconsideration of the vote by which assembly amendment 17 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session was adopted. Entered.

Assembly amendment 18 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representative Schneider.

## POINT OF ORDER

Representative Grobschmidt rose to the point of order that assembly amendment 18 to assembly

## JOURNAL OF THE ASSEMBLY [November 9, 1989]

substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session was not germane under Assembly Rule 54(3).

The chair (Speaker pro tempore Clarenbach) ruled the point of order not well taken.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 18 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 19 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representatives Moore, Plache, M. Coggs, Williams and S. Coggs.

Representative Lautenschlager moved rejection of assembly amendment 19 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session.

Representative Lautenschlager withdrew her motion.

Representative Panzer moved rejection of assembly amendment 19 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 19 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Brandemuehl, Foti, Gard, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Huelsman, Johnsrud, Klusman, Krug, Kunicki, Lahn, Larson, Lepak, Loucks, Nelsen, Ourada, Panzer, Potter, C., Radtke, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Swoboda, Tregoning, Welch, Wineke and Zeuske — 29.

Noes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Brancel, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Fergus, Fortis, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Harsdorf, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Krusick, Ladwig, Lautenschlager, Lehman, Linton, Lorge, Medinger, Moore, Musser, Notestein, Ott, Plache, Porter, Potter, R., Prosser, Roberts, Robson, Schneiders, Schultz, Seery, Stower, Thompson, Travis, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Dreel, Van Gorden, Vergeront, Volk, Walling, Williams, Wimmer, Wood, Young, Zien, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 67.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch, Lewis and Vanderperren — 3.

Motion failed.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 19 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

Motion carried.

Assembly amendment 20 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session offered by Representatives Schmidt, Lehman, Lepak and Schneider.

Speaker Loftus in the chair.

Representative Lautenschlager moved rejection of assembly amendment 20 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 20 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Brandemuehl, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Deininger, Duff, Fergus, Fortis, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Klusman, Kunicki, Lautenschlager, Linton, Medinger, Moore, Nelsen, Notestein, Ourada, Panzer, Plache, Potter, R., Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Schneider, Seery, Stower, Thompson, Travis, Tregoning, Van Dreel, Van Gorden, Vanderperren, Volk, Williams, Wineke, Wood, Young, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 60.

Noes — Representatives Brancel, Coleman, Foti, Gard, Grobschmidt, Harsdorf, Huelsman, Johnsrud, Krug, Krusick, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lehman, Lepak, Lorge, Loucks, Musser, Ott, Porter, Potter, C., Prosser, Radtke, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneiders, Schultz, Swoboda, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Vergeront, Walling, Welch, Wimmer, Zeuske and Zien — 37.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion carried.

## RULING ON THE POINT OF ORDER

The speaker ruled well taken the point of order raised by Representative Lautenschlager that assembly amendment 10 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session was not germane.

Representative Lepak asked unanimous consent that assembly amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be taken from the table. Granted.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 6 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9. October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall assembly substitute amendment I to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Fergus, Fortis, Foti, Gard, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Huelsman, Johnsrud, Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Kunicki, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lautenschlager, Lehman, Lepak, Linton, Lorge, Loucks, Medinger, Moore, Musser, Nelsen, Notestein, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Plache, Porter, Potter, C., Potter, R., Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Stower, Swoboda, Schneiders, Schultz, Seery, Thompson, Travis, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban. Van Dreel. Van Gorden. Vanderperren. Vergeront, Volk, Walling, Welch, Williams, Wimmer, Wineke, Wood, Young, Zeuske, Zien, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 97.

Noes - None.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion carried.

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be ordered engrossed and read a third time?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, S., Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Fergus, Fortis, Foti, Gard, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton. Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Huelsman, Johnsrud, Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Kunicki, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lautenschlager, Lehman, Lepak, Linton, Loucks, Medinger, Moore, Musser, Nelsen, Notestein, Ott. Ourada, Panzer, Plache, Porter, Potter, C., Potter, R., Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schneider, Schneiders, Schultz, Seery, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Travis, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Dreel, Van Gorden, Vanderperren, Vergeront, Volk, Walling, Welch, Williams, Wimmer, Wineke, Wood, Young, Zeuske, Zien, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 94.

Noes — Representatives Coggs, M., Lorge and Schmidt — 3.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion carried.

Representative Hauke asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be given a third reading. Granted.

The question was: Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session having been read three times, shall the bill be passed?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Barrett, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Brancel, Coggs, S., Coleman. Brandemuehl. Carpenter, Foti. Deininger, Duff, Fergus, Fortis, Gard. Gruszynski, Hamilton, Grobschmidt. Gronemus. Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Huelsman, Johnsrud, Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Kunicki, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lautenschlager, Lehman, Lepak, Linton, Lorge, Loucks, Medinger, Moore, Musser, Nelsen, Notestein, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Plache, Porter, Potter, C., Potter, R., Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski. Schmidt. Schneider. Schneiders, Swoboda, Schultz, Seery, Stower. Thompson, Travis, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Van Dreel, Van Gorden, Vanderperren. Urban. Vergeront, Volk, Walling, Welch, Wimmer, Wineke. Wood, Zeuske, Zien, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 93.

Noes — Representatives Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Williams and Young — 4.

Absent or not voting — Representatives Goetsch and Lewis — 2.

Motion carried.

Representative Hauke asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that **Assembly Bill 9**, October 1989 Special Session be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Representative Hauke moved that the assembly stand adjourned until 9:30 A.M. tomorrow.

The question was: Shall the assembly stand adjourned?

Motion carried.

The assembly stood adjourned.

1:32 A.M.