STATE OF WISCONSIN Assembly Journal

October 1989 Special Session

3:30 P.M.

THURSDAY, March 22, 1990

The assembly met.

Speaker pro tempore Clarenbach in the chair.

The prayer was offered by Representative Stower:

"Dear God,

We stand before you today, still tired from the night, and happy for the days ahead to be at home.

We bow in Thanksgiving.

Thanksgiving that in a sense, we here are <u>Family</u>, brought together in this place by neighbors who have placed their trust in us.

We lift up before you, especially this day, our brother -<u>Tom Loftus</u>, with gratitude for his years as speaker and leader.

Bob Larson, again with gratitude for his years of service with wit and integrity. Be with them and others here in their new roads taken.

And with whatever road he takes, grant strength and bless our elder brother, Cletus, who embodies the best of who we want to be.

Dear God, <u>Thank you</u> for the wonderful privilege of serving and of being in this crucible where we <u>have</u> to learn, day in and day out to <u>love</u> our enemies.

Thank you, God, for the excellent people here who are staff, pages, press, and all who also serve in this place.

Thanks, especially, for our families and friends, who put up with this odd life and sustain us.

<u>Forgive</u> us for the moments when arrogance has supplanted servanthood in our dealings with family, constituents, and one another.

Dear God, we are family. Blest <u>be</u> the tie that binds our hearts in love. Amen."

Representative Loftus led the membership in reciting the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Present — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Brancel, Brandemuehl, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Fergus, Fortis, Foti, Gard, Goetsch, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Huelsman, Johnsrud, Klusman, Krug, Krusick, Kunicki, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lautenschlager, Lehman, Lepak, Lewis, Linton, Lorge, Loucks, Medinger, Moore, Musser, Notestein, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Plachc, Porter, Potter, C., Potter, R., Prosser, Radtke, Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneider, Schneiders, Schultz, Seery, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Travis, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Dreel, Van Gorden, Vanderperren, Vergeront, Volk, Walling, Welch, Williams, Wimmer, Wineke, Wood, Young, Zeuske, Zien, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 97.

Absent — None.

Absent with leave — None.

Vacancies --- 10th and 14th Assembly Districts -- 2.

MOTIONS

Representative Hauke introduced a privileged joint resolution.

Assembly Joint Resolution 2, October 1989 Special Session

Relating to the final adjournment of the October 1989 special session.

By Representative Hauke.

The question was: Shall Assembly Joint Resolution 2, October 1989 Special Session be adopted?

The roll was taken.

The result follows:

Ayes — Representatives Antaramian, Baldus, Barca, Bell, Black, Bock, Bolle, Boyle, Carpenter, Clarenbach, Coggs, M., Coggs, S., Fergus, Fortis, Grobschmidt, Gronemus, Gruszynski, Hamilton, Hasenohrl, Hauke, Hinkfuss, Holperin, Holschbach, Huber, Hubler, Krug, Krusick, Kunicki, Lautenschlager, Linton, Medinger, Moore, Notestein, Plache, Potter, C., Potter, R., Roberts, Robson, Rohan, Schneider, Seery, Stower, Swoboda, Thompson, Travis, Van Dreel, Vanderperren, Volk, Williams, Wineke, Wood, Young, Zweck and Speaker Loftus — 54.

Noes – Representatives Brancel, Brandemuehl, Coleman, Deininger, Duff, Foti, Gard, Goetsch, Harsdorf, Huelsman, Johnsrud, Klusman, Ladwig, Lahn, Larson, Lehman, Lepak, Lewis, Lorge, Loucks, Musser, Ott, Ourada, Panzer, Porter, Prosser, Radtke, Rosenzweig, Rutkowski, Schmidt, Schneiders, Schultz, Tregoning, Turba, Underheim, Urban, Van Gorden, Vergeront, Walling, Welch, Wimmer, Zeuske and Zien - 43.

Absent or not voting --- None.

Motion carried.

Representative Hauke asked unanimous consent that the rules be suspended and that Assembly Joint Resolution 2, October 1989 Special Session be immediately messaged to the senate. Granted.

Representative Lewis asked unanimous consent to be recorded as voting on the following questions from November 9 and 10, 1989. Granted.

Thursday, November 9

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Assembly Bill 10, October 1989 Special Session having been read three times, shall the bill be passed? Aye.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? Aye.

The question was: Shall the decision of the chair stand as the decision of the assembly? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 8 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? Aye.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? Aye.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 12 to assembly substitute amendment I to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? Aye.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 13 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? Aye.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 15 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? Aye.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 15 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted? Aye.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 16 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? Aye.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 17 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 17 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be laid on the table? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 17 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9. October 1989 Special Session be laid on the table? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 19 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? Aye.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 20 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9. October 1989 Special Session be rejected? Ayc.

The question was: Shall assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be adopted? Aye.

The question was: Shall Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session be ordered engrossed and read a third time? Aye.

The question was: Assembly Bill 9, October 1989 Special Session having been read three times, shall the bill be passed? Aye.

Friday, November 10

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 3 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 4 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? Ayc.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 7 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 9 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 10 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12. October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be laid on the table? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 12 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 13 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 14 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 16 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be laid on the table? Aye.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 17 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 20 to assembly substitute amendment I to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? Ayc.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be taken from the table and taken up at this time? Aye.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? Aye.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 11 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be adopted? Aye.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 22 to assembly substitute amendment 1 to Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall the rules be suspended and Assembly Bill 8, October 1989 Special Session be withdrawn from the Joint Committee on Finance and taken up at this time? Aye.

The question was: Assembly Bill 12, October 1989 Special Session having been read three times, shall the bill be passed? Aye. The question was: Shall assembly amendment 1 to Senate Joint Resolution 2, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall assembly amendment 2 to Senate Joint Resolution 2, October 1989 Special Session be rejected? No.

The question was: Shall Senate Joint Resolution 2, October 1989 Special Session be concurred in? No.

Representative Hauke moved that the assembly stand adjourned pursuant to Assembly Joint Resolution 2, October 1989 Special Session. Granted.

The question was: Shall the assembly stand adjourned pursuant to Assembly Joint Resolution 2, October 1989 Special Session?

Motion carried.

The assembly stood adjourned.

3:38 P.M.