STATE OF WISCONSIN

Assembly Journal

Eighty-Ninth Regular Session

THURSDAY, August 16, 1990

The chief clerk makes the following entries under the above date:

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Read and referred:

Assembly Clearinghouse Rule 89-201

Relating to hazardous waste management. Submitted by Department of Natural Resources. To committee on Natural Resources. Referred on August 14, 1990.

Assembly Clearinghouse Rule 90-75

Relating to eligibility for payment of child care costs for former recipients of aid to families with dependent children (AFDC) who lost eligibility for AFDC due to an increase in earned income, an increase in hours of employment or loss of earned income disregards.

Submitted by Department of Health and Social Services.

To committee on Children and Human Services. Referred on August 14, 1990.

Assembly Clearinghouse Rule 90-83

Relating to Chippewa Indian treaty gathering rights. Submitted by Department of Natural Resources. To committee on Natural Resources. Referred on August 14, 1990.

Assembly Clearinghouse Rule 90-87

Relating to administration of the forest crop law and managed forest law.

Submitted by Department of Natural Resources. To committee on Rural Development and Forestry. Referred on August 14, 1990.

Assembly Clearinghouse Rule 90-115

Relating to investments of insurance companies. Submitted by Office of the Commissioner of Insurance.

To committee on Financial Institutions and Insurance.

Referred on August 14, 1990.

Assembly Clearinghouse Rule 90-120

Relating to a business development initiative program.

Submitted by Department of Development.

To committee on Housing, Securities and Corporate Policy.

Referred on August 14, 1990.

COMMUNICATIONS

July 24, 1990

Mr. Donald Schneider, Chief Clerk Wisconsin State Senate Suite 402, I East Main St. P.O. Box 7882 Madison, WI 53707-7882

Mr. Thomas Melvin, Chief Clerk Suite 402, I East Main St. P.O. Box 8952 Madison, WI 53708

Dear Messrs. Schneider and Melvin:

Attached is the report on carp control in Green Bay as called for by AJR 77 passed on February 1, 1990.

Although the Bureau of Fisheries Management agrees that Green Bay would be a much more desirable environment for fish and wildlife without carp, the analysis clearly indicates that to accomplish even temporary control would be very costly. It is also noteworthy that both walleye and perch populations have expanded in Green Bay despite the current carp population.

The Department of Natural Resources believes that carp control on Green Bay may be a feasible but expensive project sometime in the future after nutrient input into the Bay has been reduced. We do not advocate the expenditure of \$5.6 million for temporary control at this time.

Sincerely, C. D. BESADNY Secretary