

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Eighty-Ninth Regular Session

10:00 A.M.

Wednesday, March 7, 1990

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by Fred A. Risser, president of the senate.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Berndt, Buettner, Burke, Chilsen, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Leraan, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 33.

Absent — None.

Absent with leave — None.

The senate stood for a moment of silent prayer.

The senate remained standing and Senator George led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Read first time and referred:

Senate Bill 513

Relating to prohibiting the use of tobacco products on vocational, technical and adult education district board premises.

By Senators Buettner and Czarnezki; cosponsored by Representatives Boyle and Panzer.

To committee on Educational Financing, Higher Education and Tourism.

Senate Bill 514

Relating to renaming the Galena river to be the Fever river.

By Senator Kreul; cosponsored by Representative Tregoning.

To committee on Urban Affairs, Environmental Resources, Utilities and Elections.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

The committee on Urban Affairs, Environmental Resources, Utilities and Elections reports and recommends:

Assembly Bill 541

Relating to referendum ballot form.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Risser, Chvala, Adelman, Burke, Kreul and Lasec;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 705

Relating to public inland lake protection and rehabilitation districts, town sanitary districts and granting rule-making authority.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Risser, Chvala, Adelman, Burke, Kreul and Lasec;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 710

Relating to creating a Dane county lakes and watershed commission, authorizing a tax and granting rule-making authority.

Introduction and adoption of Senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Risser, Chvala, Adelman and Burke;

Noes, 2 -- Senators Kreul and Lasec.

Concurrence as amended:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Risser, Chvala, Adelman and Burke;

Noes, 2 -- Senators Kreul and Lasec.

Assembly Bill 715

Relating to eligibility for lake management planning grants.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Risser, Chvala, Adelman, Burke, Kreul and Lasec;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 723

Relating to designating a portion of the Rock river a scenic urban waterway.

Introduction and adoption of Senate amendment 1:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Risser, Chvala, Adelman, Burke and Kreul;

Noes, 1 -- Senator Lasec.

Concurrence as amended:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Risser, Chvala, Adelman, Burke and Kreul;

Noes, 1 -- Senator Lasec.

Fred A. Risser
Chair

The committee on Labor, Business, Insurance, Veterans' and Military Affairs reports and recommends:

GROSENHEIDER, TERRY W., of Madison, as a member of the Bingo Control Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1995.

Confirmation:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Van Sistine, Roshell, Kincaid,
Plewa, Te Winkle, Rude and Farrow;
Noes, 0 -- None.

Senate Bill 435

Relating to stacking of motor vehicle insurance coverage and other exclusions and limitations on recovery under motor vehicle policies.

Passage:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Van Sistine, Roshell, Kincaid,
Plewa, Rude and Farrow;
Noes, 1 -- Senator Te Winkle.

Senate Bill 462

Relating to technical and minor policy changes to the alcohol beverage laws and providing penalties.

Introduction and adoption of Senate amendment 1:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Van Sistine, Roshell, Kincaid,
Plewa, Te Winkle, Rude and Farrow;
Noes, 0 -- None.

Passage as amended:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Van Sistine, Roshell, Kincaid,
Plewa, Te Winkle, Rude and Farrow;
Noes, 0 -- None.

Jerome Van Sistine
Chair

The committee on Education, Economic Development, Financial Institutions and Fiscal Policies reports and recommends:

Senate Bill 460

Relating to mutual savings and loan holding companies.

Passage:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Ulichny, Czarnezki, Helbach,
Jauch, Barrett, Chilsen and Lorman;
Noes, 1 -- Senator Adelman.

Senate Bill 474

Relating to exemptions from registration of certain securities.

Passage:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Ulichny, Czarnezki, Helbach,
Jauch, Barrett, Chilsen and Lorman;
Noes, 1 -- Senator Adelman.

Assembly Bill 681

Relating to evaluations of preschool to grade 5 programs.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 8 -- Senators Ulichny, Czarnezki, Helbach,
Adelman, Jauch, Barrett, Chilsen and
Lorman;
Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Joint Resolution 107

Relating to requesting the legislative council to study the operation and funding of the schools operated by this state and the salaries of teachers employed by this state.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 8 -- Senators Ulichny, Czarnezki, Helbach,
Adelman, Jauch, Barrett, Chilsen and
Lorman;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Barbara Ulichny
Chair

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

State of Wisconsin
Legislative Audit Bureau

March 6, 1990

To the Honorable the Legislature:

We have completed a financial audit of the State of Wisconsin Unemployment Reserve Fund for the year ending June 30, 1989. The audit was requested by the Department of Industry, Labor and Human Relations and performed by the Legislative Audit Bureau to meet this request and as part of our audit responsibilities under s. 13.94, Wis. Stats.

The cash balance in the Reserve Fund increased \$323 million during the past fiscal year, and had an adjusted cash balance of over \$876 million on June 30, 1989. In accordance with s.108.18(3m), Wis. Stats., if the cash balance of the Reserve Fund is \$1 billion or more on June 30, the lowest tax rate schedule is implemented for tax contributions, the Reserve Fund management staff project that the cash balance could exceed \$1 billion on June 30, 1990.

Our audit report contains the auditor's unqualified opinion on the financial statements and related footnotes, and the supplemental information on the Fund's cash balance and related footnotes. We have included management recommendations in a separate letter issued to the Department. We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended to us by Department staff during the audit.

Sincerely,
DALE CATTANACH
State Auditor

State of Wisconsin
Legislative Council

March 2, 1990

To the Honorable the Legislature:

I am pleased to transmit to you the following reports to the 1989 Legislature on legislation introduced by the Legislative Council:

RL 89-19 -- Legislation on American Indian Education and Economic Development (1989 Assembly Bills 896 and 897)

I would appreciate your including this letter in the Journal for the information of the membership. Additional copies of this report are available, on request.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 7, 1990]

in the Legislative Council offices, One East Main, Suite 401.

Sincerely,
Dave Stute
Director

Milwaukee Public Schools
Department of Planning, Budget, Research, and
Evaluation
Office of Educational Research and Program
Assessment

March 2, 1990

To the Honorable the Legislature:

Enclosed you will find a copy of the report entitled 'Evaluation of the Day Care Kindergarten Project and State-Funded All-Day Kindergarten Program,' for the Milwaukee Public Schools. This report summarizes the projects and the research findings for the 1988-89 school year and was submitted to the Department of Public Instruction prior to January 1, 1990.

It should be noted that this report was prepared in response to Section 119.73 of the 1987 Assembly Bill 850. This states that 'the board shall evaluate the effectiveness of the expanded 5-year-old kindergarten programs under s.119.71 and the early childhood education programs under s.119.72 in meeting the needs of disadvantaged children.'

This report should provide you with a comprehensive summary as well as specific details regarding the positive impact of these two early childhood projects. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (414) 475-8263.

Sincerely,
Dr. Evelyn Koepsel
Research Specialist

SENATE CLEARINGHOUSE ORDERS

Senate Clearinghouse Rule 90-7

Relating to the rearing pond renovation grant program.

Submitted by Department of Natural Resources.

Report received from agency, March 6, 1990.

Referred to committee on Urban Affairs, Environmental Resources, Utilities and Elections, March 7, 1990.

The committee on Urban Affairs, Environmental Resources, Utilities and Elections reports and recommends:

Senate Clearinghouse Rule 89-178

Relating to fee charges for providing endangered resources information.

No action taken.

Fred A. Risser
Chair

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY

By Thomas T. Melvin, chief clerk.

Mr. President:

I am directed to inform you that the assembly has adopted and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Joint Resolution 18
Assembly Joint Resolution 111

Passed and asks concurrence in:

Assembly Bill 275
Assembly Bill 293
Assembly Bill 413
Assembly Bill 424
Assembly Bill 435
Assembly Bill 465
Assembly Bill 496
Assembly Bill 517
Assembly Bill 526
Assembly Bill 554
Assembly Bill 578
Assembly Bill 634
Assembly Bill 736
Assembly Bill 764
Assembly Bill 767
Assembly Bill 822
Assembly Bill 823
Assembly Bill 831
Assembly Bill 849
Assembly Bill 889

Concurred in:

Senate Bill 366
Senate Bill 386
Senate Bill 399

Nonconcurrent in:

Assembly Bill 218, Senate amendment 2

Amended and concurred in as amended:

Senate Bill 267, Assembly substitute amendment 1

MESSAGE FROM THE ASSEMBLY
CONSIDERED

Assembly Joint Resolution 18

Relating to the right to keep and bear arms (first consideration).

By Representatives Thompson, Swoboda, Wood, Schneider, Gronemus, Hubler, Holperin, Duff, Hamilton, Porter, Linton, Johnsrud, Schmidt, Zcuske, Hasenohrl, Schneiders, Schultz, Vergeront, Van Gorden, Gard, Goetsch, Musser, Volk, Ourada, Brandemuehl, Holschbach, Welch, Walling, Bolle, Tregoning and Lepak, cosponsored by Senators Roshell, Feingold.

Shoemaker, Moen, Andrea, Kincaid, Rude, Lasee and Davis.

Read first time and referred to committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

Assembly Joint Resolution 111

Relating to Earth Day and the creation of Earth Month.

By Representatives Robson, Loftus, Black, Seery, Stower, Boyle, Rutkowski, Barca, Roberts, Radtke, Notestein, Schmidt, Lautenschlager, Carpenter, Schultz, Ourada, Holperin, Lorge, Gruszynski, Huber, Johnsrud, Wineke, Vanderperren, Bell, Lepak, Van Dreel, Rohan, Young, R. Potter, Holschbach, Musser, Harsdorf, Zweck, Bock and Zien, cosponsored by Senators Feingold, Strohl, Risser, Te Winkle, Barrett, Chvala, Van Sistine, Cowles, Burke, Andrea and Buettner.

Read and referred to committee on Urban Affairs, Environmental Resources, Utilities and Elections.

Assembly Bill 275

Relating to discharges from underground petroleum product storage tanks and making an appropriation.

By Representatives Medinger, Holperin, Volk, Stower, Gronemus, Roberts, Baldus, Porter, Schneiders, Bolle, Van Gorden, Wood, Turba, Swoboda, Schultz, Musser, Zweck, Brandemuehl, Robson, Holschbach, Lautenschlager, Van Dreel, Lorge, Wimmer, Deininger, Vergeront, Lewis, Hasenohrl, Underheim, Johnsrud, Ott, Lepak, Linton, Ourada, Schneider, Boyle, Barca and Gruszynski, cosponsored by Senators Jauch, Czarnetzki, Andrea, Moen, Ellis, Van Sistine, Kincaid, Weeden, Shoemaker, Chilsen, Rude, Kreul, Lasee, Lorman, Buettner, Roshell and Ulichny.

Read first time and referred to committee on Labor, Business, Insurance, Veterans' and Military Affairs.

Assembly Bill 293

Relating to excluding certain public contracts in towns from competitive bidding requirements.

By Representatives Ladwig, Schmidt, Lehman, Deininger, Van Gorden, Johnsrud, Radtke, Brandemuehl, Schultz, Turba, Bolle, Lorge, Farrow, Huelsman, Klusman and Porter, cosponsored by Senators Andrea, Chilsen, Kreul, Weeden, Lorman, Shoemaker, Lasee and Lekan,

Read first time and referred to committee on Urban Affairs, Environmental Resources, Utilities and Elections.

Assembly Bill 413

Relating to classifying paramedics and ambulance attendants as protective occupation participants for the purposes of the Wisconsin retirement system.

By Representatives Swoboda, Plache, Hauke, Thompson, Musser, Boyle, Fortis and Lorge, cosponsored by Senators Jauch and Kincaid.

Read first time and referred to committee on Housing, Government Operations and Cultural Affairs.

Assembly Bill 424

Relating to the hiring process for deputy sheriffs.

By Representatives Farrow, Schneiders, Brancel, Goetsch, Duff, Wimmer, Huelsman, Foti, Lepak, Vergeront, Ladwig and Lehman.

Read first time and referred to committee on Housing, Government Operations and Cultural Affairs.

Assembly Bill 435

Relating to state capitol view preservation.

By Representatives Lorge, Clarenbach, Schmidt, Musser, Johnsrud, Goetsch, Lehman, Ott, Harsdorf, Brancel, Tregoning, Lepak, Coleman, Prosser, Van Gorden, Porter, Gruszynski, Grobschmidt, Krusick, Carpenter, Larson, Plache, Zeuske, Panzer, Schultz, Hauke, Bolle, Boyle, Young, Thompson, Wineke, Stower, Moore and Loftus, cosponsored by Senators Risser, Ellis, George, Cowles, Rude, Kreul, Helbach, Andrea, Davis, Moen, Feingold, Lorman, Buettner and Ulichny.

Read first time and referred to committee on Urban Affairs, Environmental Resources, Utilities and Elections.

Assembly Bill 465

Relating to restricting the authority of towns and town sanitary districts to levy special assessments on agricultural land for water and sewerage systems.

By Representatives Barca, Porter, Antaramian, Hubler, Goetsch, Brandemuehl, Stower, Thompson, Turba, Musser, Gronemus, Hasenohrl, Johnsrud, Klusman, Brancel, Schmidt, Lewis, Gard, Harsdorf, Van Gorden, Lepak and Tregoning, cosponsored by Senators Andrea, Lasee, Kreul, Feingold and Rude.

Read first time and referred to committee on Urban Affairs, Environmental Resources, Utilities and Elections.

Assembly Bill 496

Relating to notifying the driver license sponsor of an underage person of license suspension or revocation.

By Representatives Gruszynski, Potter, Hamilton, Hasenohrl, Vanderperren, Turba, Hauke, Volk, Zweck, Johnsrud, Lorge, Robson, Brancel, Vergeront, Zeuske, Panzer, Bock, Plache, Stower, Barrett, Linton, Musser, Ladwig, Walling, Schneiders, Porter, Holschbach, Huelsman, Huber, Radtke, Rosenzweig, Goetsch, Zien, Brandemuehl, Barca, Bolle, Grobschmidt and Notestein, cosponsored by Senators Helbach, Andrea, Lekan, Kreul, Weeden, Ulichny, Lorman, Moen, Chilsen, Van Sistine, Kincaid, Roshell, Farrow and Buettner.

Read first time and referred to committee on Transportation, Conservation and Mining.

Assembly Bill 517

Relating to changing the procedures by which metropolitan sewerage districts collect forfeitures for violations of their rules and orders.

By Representatives Krug, Notestein and Bock, cosponsored by Senator Burke.

Read first time and referred to committee on Urban Affairs, Environmental Resources, Utilities and Elections.

Assembly Bill 526

Relating to retirement reciprocity and granting rule-making authority.

By Representatives Bell and Grobschmidt, cosponsored by Senators Jauch and Czarnecki.

Read first time and referred to committee on Housing, Government Operations and Cultural Affairs.

Assembly Bill 554

Relating to creating a statutory constructive trust.

By Representatives Hubler, Rohan, Fergus and Moore, cosponsored by Senators Chvala and Jauch.

Read first time and referred to committee on Aging, Banking, Commercial Credit and Taxation.

Assembly Bill 578

Relating to the designation of tourism regions by the department of development.

By Representatives Brancel, Carpenter, Lorge, Ott, Grobschmidt, Lahn, Klusman, Zien, Tregoning and Zeuske, cosponsored by Senators Lekan and Farrow.

Read first time and referred to committee on Educational Financing, Higher Education and Tourism.

Assembly Bill 634

Relating to residency requirements for local public offices.

By Representatives Urban, Hinkfuss, Johnsrud, Carpenter and Holperin, cosponsored by Senators Roshell, Farrow and Feingold.

Read first time and referred to committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs.

Assembly Bill 736

Relating to reduction in the amount and toxicity of wastes, creating a board and making appropriations.

By Representatives Seery, Stower, Rohan, Van Dreef, Carpenter, Ourada, Black, Loftus, Boyle, Lautenschlager, Travis, Fortis, Plache, Bock, Robson, Lorge, Lewis, Wood, C. Potter, Bell, Fergus and Huber, cosponsored by Senators Feingold, Cowles, Chvala, Burke, Risser, Strohl and Adelman.

Read first time and referred to committee on Urban Affairs, Environmental Resources, Utilities and Elections.

Assembly Bill 764

Relating to various changes in the regulation of the real estate profession by the department of regulation and licensing and the real estate board, creating a council on real estate curriculum and examinations and a division of business regulation and licensing, and providing a penalty.

By Representatives Rohan, Fergus, Fortis, Foti, Gruszynski, Stower, Huelsman, Schneiders, Johnsrud, Ott, Lahn, Van Gorden, Schmidt, Holperin, Lorge, Barca, Rosenzweig and R. Potter, cosponsored by Senators Helbach, Plewa, Rude, Kreul, Lekan, Van Sistine and Burke.

Read first time and referred to committee on Housing, Government Operations and Cultural Affairs.

Assembly Bill 767

Relating to the private sewage system replacement and rehabilitation program, granting rule-making authority and making an appropriation.

By Representatives Volk, Schultz, Stower, Brancel, Holperin, Thompson, Ott, Zien, Van Gorden, Lehman, Turba, Brandemuehl, Baldus, Hamilton, Klusman, Gronemus, Radtke, Bolle, Musser, Johnsrud, Schneiders, Foti, Duff, Vanderperren, Lorge, Roberts, Robson, Lewis, Tregoning, Harsdorf and Hubler, cosponsored by Senators Roshell, Kreul, Rude, Lekan and Farrow.

Read first time and referred to committee on Urban Affairs, Environmental Resources, Utilities and Elections.

Assembly Bill 822

Relating to creating a pilot health care provider program.

By Representatives Plache, Hinkfuss, Porter, Ladwig, R. Potter, Lepak, Fergus, Urban, Loucks, Moore, Notestein, Carpenter, Black, Gronemus, Robson, Young, Zweck, Grobschmidt, Lautenschlager, Huber, Ourada, Johnsrud, Van Gorden, Zien, M. Coggs, Holschbach, Gruszynski, Boyle and Van Dreef, cosponsored by Senators Strohl, Roshell, Andrea, Barrett and Te Winkle.

Read first time and referred to committee on Agriculture, Corrections, Health and Human Services.

Assembly Bill 823

Relating to solid waste disposal information.

By Representative Black, cosponsored by Senator Chvala.

Read first time and referred to committee on Urban Affairs, Environmental Resources, Utilities and Elections.

Assembly Bill 831

Relating to school district boundary appeal board review of a denial of the detachment of territory from one school district and its attachment to another school district, the rights of employes who are laid off as a result of school district reorganization and agreements between consolidating school districts to continue operating a program or facility after consolidation.

By Representatives C. Potter, Deininger, Volk and Roberts, cosponsored by Senator Jauch.

Read first time and referred to committee on Education, Economic Development, Financial Institutions and Fiscal Policies.

Assembly Bill 849

Relating to mutual savings and loan holding companies.

By Representative Fortis, cosponsored by Senator Ulichny.

Read first time and referred to committee on Education, Economic Development, Financial Institutions and Fiscal Policies.

Assembly Bill 889

Relating to division administrator positions for the state historical society and executive salary group reassignment of the historical society director position.

By Representatives Clarenbach and Rosenzweig, cosponsored by Senators Risser and Rude, by request of Board of Curators of the State Historical Society of Wisconsin.

Read first time and referred to committee on Housing, Government Operations and Cultural Affairs.

Senator Kincaid objects to the referral of **Assembly Joint Resolution 18**.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that **Assembly Joint Resolution 18** be withdrawn from committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs and be referred to committee on Senate Organization.

CALENDAR OF MARCH 7, 1990

Assembly Bill 497

Relating to wild plants of endangered or threatened species.

Read.

The question was: Shall the Senate adhere to its position on senate amendment 1?

Senator Burke moved that the Senate recede from its position on senate amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 497**.

The question was: Shall the Senate recede from its position on senate amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 25; noes, 8; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Buettner, Burke, Chilsen, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnecki, Davis, Farrow, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine — 25.

Noes — Senators Berndt, Ellis, Lasee, Lecan, Lorman, Roshell, Rude and Weedon — 8.

Absent or not voting — None.

The Senate recesses.

Senator Chilsen, with unanimous consent, asked that his name be withdrawn as a cosponsor of **Assembly Bill 391**.

SECOND READING AND AMENDMENTS
OF SENATE JOINT RESOLUTIONS AND
SENATE BILLS

Senate Bill 42

Relating to the sampling and testing of sediments from the Fox river and Lake Winnebago and making an appropriation.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?

Senator George, with unanimous consent, asked that **Senate Bill 42** be referred to joint committee on Finance.

Senate Bill 279

Relating to county boards financing and promoting economic and industrial development projects.

Read a second time.

Senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 279** offered by Senator Czarnecki.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the bill be considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 279

Read a third time and passed.

Senate Bill 300

Relating to disposal and recycling of solid waste, granting rule-making authority, providing a penalty and making appropriations.

Read a second time.

CHAIR

10:48 A.M.

Senator Helbach in the Chair.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 1?

By request of Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, senate substitute amendment 1 was laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Burke.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Van Sistine moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 7, 1990]

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Buettner, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden -- 21.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Barrett, Berndt, Burke, Chilsen, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, Helbach, Jauch, Risser and Strohl -- 12.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 2 be considered after senate amendment 25 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendments 3, 4, 5 and 6 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Roshell, Andrea, Van Sistine and Lekan.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Te Winkle, with unanimous consent, would like the journal to state he would like to have been recorded as having vote no on the question of rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300**

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, Helbach, Jauch, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Weeden -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Lekan, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Van Sistine -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the senate recess until 2:05 P.M..

12:01 P.M.

RECESS

2:05 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 2 be taken up after senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Barrett, Berndt, Burke, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, Helbach, Jauch, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl and Te Winkle -- 14.

Noes -- Senators Andrea, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Lekan, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Lekan, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden -- 19.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Barrett, Berndt, Burke, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, Helbach, Jauch, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl and Te Winkle -- 14.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Roshell, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 2 be taken up.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 2?

CHAIR

3:08 P.M.

Senator Czarnetzki in the Chair.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Lcean, Lorman, Roshell, Rude and Stitt — 17.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnetzki, Feingold, Helbach, Jauch, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 16.

Absent or not voting — None.

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Chvala moved rejection of senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 2?

CHAIR

3:20 P.M.

Senator Helbach in the Chair.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Berndt, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnetzki, Feingold, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Rude, Stitt, Strohl and Te Winkle — 18.

Noes — Senators Andrea, Buettner, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Kreul, Lasec, Lcean, Lorman, Roshell, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 15.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 6 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 6 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 6 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Berndt, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnetzki, Davis, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle and Ulichny — 17.

Noes — Senators Andrea, Buettner, Chilsen, Ellis, Farrow, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Lcean, Lorman, Moen, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Van Sistine and Weeden — 16.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejected.

Senate amendments 7 and 8 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Lcean and Roshell.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 7 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Chvala moved rejection of senate amendment 7 to senate substitute amendment 2.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 7, 1990]

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 7 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine — 18.

Noes — Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Weeden — 15.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Moen, with unanimous consent, asked to be listed as a co-author of senate amendment 8 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Chvala moved rejection of senate amendment 8 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 8 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Chilsen, with unanimous consent, asked to be listed as co-author of senate amendment 8 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Chvala, with unanimous consent, asked to make a brief statement.

Senator Strohl objected.

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnezki, Davis, Feingold, George, Jauch, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle and Ulichny — 15.

Noes — Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Ellis, Farrow, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Van Sistine and Weeden — 18.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 8 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Ellis, Farrow, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Van Sistine and Weeden — 18.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnezki, Davis, Feingold, George, Jauch, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle and Ulichny — 15.

Absent or not voting — None.

Adopted.

Senate amendments 9, 10 and 11 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 9 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 10 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendments 12, 13, 14, and 15 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Davis.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 13 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 13 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 14 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 14 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 13 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 15 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 15 to senate substitute amendment 2 be place after senate amendment 14 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendments 16 and 17 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Lecan.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Chvala moved rejection of senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 16 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 2; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine --- 18.

Noes -- Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Ellis, Farrow, Kreul, Lasec, Lecan, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Weeden --- 13.

Absent or not voting --- Senators Davis and Lorman --- 2.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 17 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 17 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 17 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Ellis, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 17 to senate substitute amendment 2 be place after senate amendment 15 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendments 18 and 19 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Lecan and Roshell.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Ellis, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2 be place after senate amendment 17 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 19 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Lecan.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 19 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 19 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Risser and Davis.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Davis.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Risser, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2 be place after senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 21 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Lecan.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 21 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 21 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Lecan.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 21 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lecan, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 21 to senate substitute amendment 2 be place after senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendments 22 and 23 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Chvala.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 22 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 23 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lecan moved rejection of senate amendment 23 to senate substitute amendment 2.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 7, 1990]

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 23 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 23 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 24 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Rude.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 24 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 25 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Buettner and Roshell.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 25 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 25 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 25 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Ellis, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 25 to senate substitute amendment 2 be place after senate amendment 21 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Ellis, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 2 be place after senate amendment 25 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 26 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Farrow.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 26 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Chvala moved rejection of senate amendment 26 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 26 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 26 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 27 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Cowles, Andrea and Van Sistine.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 27 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 28 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Feingold.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 28 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 29 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Adelman, Feingold and Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 29 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 30 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Rude.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 30 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 31 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Feingold.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 31 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 31 to senate substitute amendment 2 offered by Senator Lasec.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 31 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 2 to Senate amendment 31 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Feingold.

Senate amendment 3 to Senate amendment 31 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Lasec.

Senator Lecan, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 31 to senate substitute amendment 2 be place after senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 32 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Rude.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 32 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 33 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Cowles.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 33 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Chvala moved rejection of senate amendment 33 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 33 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Chvala, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 33 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after senate amendment 31 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 34 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 34 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 34 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 34 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 34 to senate substitute amendment 2 be laid on the table.

Senate amendment 35 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Lorman, Kreul, Buettner and Lcean.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 35 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 36 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Berndt.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 36 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Berndt, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 36 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 33 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Van Sistine.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Van Sistine, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 36 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Buettner.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Ellis, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 39 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Roshell and Andrea.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 39 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Roshell, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 39 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

Senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Plewa.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Kreul, with unanimous consent, asked that he be made a co-author of senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Chvala raised the point of order that senate amendment 40 to senate substitute amendment 2 is not germane.

The Chair rules the point well taken.

Senate amendment 41 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Kincaid and Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 41 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 42 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Lasee.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 42 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 42 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

Senate amendment 43 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Adelman.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 7, 1990]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 43 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Barrett, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 43 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2.

CHAIR

5:01 P.M.

Senator Czarnecki in the Chair.

Senate amendments 44, 45, 46, 47 and 48 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Risser.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 44 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 45 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 45 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 45 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 46 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Buettner moved rejection of senate amendment 46 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 46 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Ellis, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 46 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2.

CHAIR

5:14 P.M.

Senator Helbach in the Chair.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 48 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendments 49, 50, 51, 52 and 53 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 49 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 50?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 50 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 46 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 51 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 51 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

Senate amendments 1 and 2 to senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Rude.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 1 senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 50 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 53 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 53 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

Senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Kincaid and Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Adelman moved rejection of senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Adelman, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Adelman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Weeden, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 56 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Kincaid and Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 56 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 57 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Lasec.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 57 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Chvala moved rejection of senate amendment 57 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 57 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lasec, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 57 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 62 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Weeden and Berndt.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Barrett, with unanimous consent, asked to be made a co-author of senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Rude.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Weeden, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 57 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senators Barrett and Andrea, with unanimous consent, asked to be made co-authors of senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Stitt, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Feingold.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 2 be taken up.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lasec moved rejection of senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowlex, Ellis, Farrow, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Van Sistine and Weeden — 18.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle and Ulichny — 14.

Absent or not voting — Senator Davis — 1.

Rejected.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 7, 1990]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Van Sistine.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 2 be taken up.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Chvala moved rejection of senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Chvala, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the senate recess until 7:32 P.M..

5:51 P.M.

RECESS

7:32 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

CHAIR

7:32 P.M.

The President in the Chair.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Strohl.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2 be taken up.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 11 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

CHAIR

7:34 P.M.

Senator Helbach in the Chair.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendments 12, 13, 14 and 15 to senate substitute amendment 2 be placed after consideration of senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 17 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lccan, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Weeden -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Rejected.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 18 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 17.

Noes -- Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kreul, Lasee, Lccan, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Weeden -- 16.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Rejected.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2 be taken up?

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Roshell moved rejection of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Leean, Lorman, Plewa, Roshell, Rude and Stitt -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, Helbach, Jauch, Moen, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden 15.

Absent or not voting None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Leean.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Davis moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Rude moved rejection of senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 20 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Ellis, Farrow, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden -- 23.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnezki, Davis, Feingold, Leean and Risser 10.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 21 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Leean, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 21 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 25 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Berndt, Burke, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Helbach, Kreul, Lasec, Leean, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Weeden -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Risser moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 2.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 7, 1990]

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chilsen, Ellis, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kreul, Lasee, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Stitt and Ulichny -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnezki, Davis, Farrow, Jauch, Kincaid, Leean, Lorman, Moen, Rude, Strohl, Te Winkle, Van Sistine and Weeden -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chvala, Farrow, Jauch, Kincaid, Leean, Lorman, Moen, Rude, Strohl, Te Winkle, Van Sistine and Weeden -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chilsen, Cowles, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kreul, Lasee, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Stitt and Ulichny -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Adoption refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 31 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 4 to senate amendment 31 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Lasee.

Senator Feingold, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 31 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 33 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, Moen, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Weeden -- 17.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 33 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Weeden -- 15.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 18.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Adoption refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 36 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Andrea moved rejection of senate amendment 36 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 36 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 9; noes, 24; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Andrea, Chvala, Czarnezki, Davis, Jauch, Plewa, Risser, Roshell and Ulichny -- 9.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Barrett, Berndt, Buettner, Burke, Chilsen, Cowles, Ellis, Farrow, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, Moen, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle, Van Sistine and Weeden -- 24.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 36 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 7, 1990]

Senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Strohl and Chvala.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 2 be taken up.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Ellis moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Berndt, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle and Van Sistine — 16.

Noes — Senators Andrea, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Lekan, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Ulichny and Weeden — 17.

Absent or not voting — None.

Adoption refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Ellis moved rejection of senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 64 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Andrea, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Lekan, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Ulichny and Weeden — 17.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Berndt, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle and Van Sistine — 16.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 2?

CHAIR

10:18 P.M.

Senator Czarnecki in the Chair.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Chvala moved rejection of senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Berndt, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, Jauch, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Ulichny and Weeden — 15.

Noes — Senators Andrea, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle and Van Sistine — 18.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Lekan.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 37 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Andrea, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lcean, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle and Van Sistine — 19.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Berndt, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, Helbach, Jauch, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Ulichny and Weeden — 14.

Absent or not voting — None.

Adopted.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that his motion for rejection be withdrawn.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Jauch.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Buettner moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 26; noes, 7; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Berndt, Buettner, Burke, Chilsen, Cowles, Czarnecki, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Lasee, Lcean, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine — 26.

Noes — Senators Andrea, Chvala, Feingold, Jauch, Kreul, Strohl and Weeden — 7.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Jauch moved rejection of senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 38 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnecki, Davis, Feingold, George, Jauch, Kincaid, Lasee, Moen, Roshell, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine — 20.

Noes — Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Ellis, Farrow, Helbach, Kreul, Lcean, Lorman, Plewa, Risser, Rude and Weeden — 13.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 43 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 46 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that all action be ordered immediately messaged.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the Senate adjourn until 8:30 A.M. Thursday, March 8, 11:00 P.M.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 1 to Senate amendment 50 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Strohl.

Senate amendment 3 to Senate amendment 52 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Rude.

Senate amendment 1 to Senate amendment 54 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Kincaid.

Senate amendment 2 to Senate amendment 54 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Kincaid and Adelman.

Senate amendment 2 to Senate amendment 58 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Weeden and Rude.

Senate amendment 62 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Chilsen and Ellis.

Senate amendment 63 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Risser.

Senate amendment 65 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Van Sistine.

Senate amendment 66 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Lcean.

Senate amendment 67 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Plewa.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 7, 1990]

Senate amendment 68 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 300 offered by Senator Lasee.

Senate amendment 69 to Senate substitute amendment 2 to Senate Bill 300 offered by Senator Lean.