

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Senate Journal

Eighty-Ninth Regular Session

10:00 A.M.

Thursday, March 8, 1990

The senate met.

The senate was called to order by Fred A. Risser, president of the senate.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Berndt, Buechner, Burke, Chilsen, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnecki, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasec, Lecan, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 33.

Absent — None.

Absent with leave — None.

The senate stood for a moment of silent prayer.

The senate remained standing and Senator Ellis led the senate in the pledge of allegiance to the flag of the United States of America.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS

Senate Joint Resolution 81

Relating to memorializing the President and congress to fully fund the low-income energy assistance program.

By Senators Te Winkle, Ulichny, Cowles, Plewa and Chilsen; cosponsored by Representatives Schneider, Swoboda and Van Gorden.

To committee on Science, Technology, Communications and Energy.

Senate Joint Resolution 82

Relating to memorializing congress to investigate recent increases in the price of liquefied petroleum gas and home heating oil.

By Senators Te Winkle, Ulichny, Plewa and Chilsen; cosponsored by Representatives Schneider, Swoboda and Van Gorden.

To committee on Science, Technology, Communications and Energy.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

Read first time and referred:

Senate Bill 515

Relating to various changes affecting district attorneys and employes of the office of district attorney and making appropriations.

By Senator Te Winkle; cosponsored by Representative Rutkowski.

To Joint Survey committee on Retirement Systems.

Senate Bill 516

Relating to notice to property owners and prospective property buyers of potential tax liabilities for delinquent sewerage service charges that may be owed by certain municipalities.

By Senators Czarnecki, Plewa and Burke; cosponsored by Representatives Bock, Bell, Notestein, Krug, Grobschmidt, Seery, R. Potter, Fortis, Moore, M. Coggs and Kunicki.

To committee on Housing, Government Operations and Cultural Affairs.

Senate Bill 517

Relating to crane and hoist regulation, creating a council, granting rule-making authority, providing penalties and making an appropriation.

By Senators Van Sistine, Strohl and Feingold; cosponsored by Representatives Clarenbach, Boyle, Van Drael, Robson and Carpenter.

To committee on Labor, Business, Insurance, Veterans' and Military Affairs.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

The committee on Labor, Business, Insurance, Veterans' and Military Affairs reports and recommends:

Assembly Bill 275

Relating to discharges from underground petroleum product storage tanks and making an appropriation.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Van Sistine, Roshell, Kincaid, Plewa, Te Winkle and Rude;

Noes, 1 -- Senator Farrow.

Jerome Van Sistine
Chair

The committee on Housing, Government Operations and Cultural Affairs reports and recommends:

JEFFRIS, THOMAS, of Janesville, as a member of the Historical Society, Board of Curators, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1993.

Confirmation:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Plewa, Ulichny, Van Sistine, Burke, Rude and Lorman;

Noes, 0 -- None.

BECHTHOLD, NED W., of Waukesha, as a member of the Bradley Center Sports and Entertainment Corporation, to serve for the interim term ending July 1, 1990 and for the full term ending July 1, 1997.

Confirmation:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Plewa, Ulichny, Van Sistine, Burke, Rude and Lorman;
Noes, 0 -- None.

HENDRICKS, ERNEST, of Milwaukee, as a member of the Bradley Center Sports and Entertainment Corporation, to serve for the interim term ending July 1, 1995.

Confirmation:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Plewa, Ulichny, Van Sistine, Burke, Rude and Lorman;
Noes, 0 -- None.

WHITELAW, ESSIE, of Milwaukee, as a member of the Bradley Center Sports and Entertainment Corporation, to serve for the interim term ending July 1, 1990 and for the full term ending July 1, 1997.

Confirmation:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Plewa, Ulichny, Van Sistine, Burke, Rude and Lorman;
Noes, 0 -- None.

WHITTOV, GEORGE W., of Milwaukee, as a member of the Bradley Center Sports and Entertainment Corporation, to serve for the interim term ending July 1, 1992.

Confirmation:

Ayes, 6 -- Senators Plewa, Ulichny, Van Sistine, Burke, Rude and Lorman;
Noes, 0 -- None.

John R. Plewa
Chair

The committee on Judiciary and Consumer Affairs reports and recommends:

Senate Bill 470

Relating to requiring legal descriptions on assignments or satisfactions of mortgages in certain counties, increasing damages for failure to record full satisfactions and providing a penalty.

Passage:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Adelman, Feingold, Chvala, Stitt and Buettner;
Noes, 0 -- None.

Senate Bill 476

Relating to the clerk of court fee for copying documents.

Passage:

Ayes, 4 -- Senators Adelman, Feingold, Chvala and Buettner;
Noes, 1 -- Senator Stitt.

Assembly Bill 651

Relating to authorizing municipalities with municipal courts to permit the appointment of guardians ad litem.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Adelman, Feingold, Chvala, Stitt and Buettner;
Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 661

Relating to an affirmative defense if a person is charged with causing death or injury while under the influence of an intoxicant, drugs or both.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Adelman, Feingold, Chvala, Stitt and Buettner;
Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 722

Relating to the clerk of court fee for receiving and disbursing contempt deposits.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Adelman, Feingold, Chvala, Stitt and Buettner;
Noes, 0 -- None.

Lynn S. Adelman
Chair

The committee on Aging, Banking, Commercial Credit and Taxation reports and recommends:

TENUTA, RALPH J., of Kenosha, as a member of the Banking Review Board, to serve for the term ending May 1, 1995.

Confirmation:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Feingold, Burke, Moen, Buettner and Farrow;
Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Joint Resolution 16

Requesting that the governor of Wisconsin consider the appointment of older citizens to state boards and commissions in equal proportion to their percentage of the population.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Feingold, Burke, Moen, Buettner and Farrow;
Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 100

Relating to a sales tax and use tax exemption for snowmobile trail groomers.

Introduction and adoption of Senate amendment 1:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Feingold, Burke, Moen, Buettner and Farrow;
Noes, 0 -- None.

Concurrence as amended:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Feingold, Burke, Moen, Buettner and Farrow;
Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 282

Relating to a sales and use tax exemption for equipment required by handicapped persons to allow them to operate, enter or leave vehicles.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Feingold, Burke, Moen, Buettner and Farrow;
Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 731

Relating to bank and trust company trust fund investments.

Introduction and adoption of Senate amendment 1:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Feingold, Burke, Moen, Buettner and Farrow;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Concurrence as amended:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Feingold, Burke, Moen, Buettner and Farrow;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Senate Bill 453

Relating to motor vehicle dealer license fees and examination costs and costs for community currency exchange, collection agency and insurance premium finance company investigations or examinations conducted by the commissioner of banking.

Passage:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Feingold, Burke, Moen, Buettner and Farrow;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Russell D. Feingold
Chair

The committee on Agriculture, Corrections, Health and Human Services reports and recommends:

Senate Bill 138

An act relating to medical assistance reimbursement of certain facilities based on classification of medical assistance recipients residing in the facilities.

Introduction and adoption of Senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Passage as amended:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Senate Bill 473

Relating to permitting joint maintenance and funding of certain accredited programs by a county board of supervisors in a populous county and by a corporation.

Passage:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Senate Bill 499

Relating to the regulation of barbers or cosmetologists, aestheticians, electrologists and manicurists and schools of barbering or cosmetology, aesthetics, electrology and manicuring and granting rule-making authority.

Passage:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

LEONE, EDWARD F. of Milwaukee, as a member of the State Fair Park Board, to serve for the term ending May 1, 1995.

Confirmation:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

MCHENRY, VICTOR, of Milwaukee, as a member of the Pharmacy Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1994.

Confirmation:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

HUDSON, WILL, JR., of Wauwatosa, as a member of the Optometry Examining Board, to serve for the interim term ending July 1, 1992.

Confirmation:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

GOLZ, ALVIN R., of Appleton, as a member of the Dentistry Examining Board, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1994.

Confirmation:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

BANCROFT, REBECCA G., of Pewaukee, as a member of the Council on Domestic Abuse, to serve for the term ending July 1, 1993.

Confirmation:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Senate Bill 271

Relating to suspension of aid to families with dependent children payments because of certain acts.

Introduction and adoption of Senate amendment 2:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Passage as amended:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Joint Resolution 104

Relating to requesting several state agencies and the university of Wisconsin system to implement the recommendations of the Wisconsin Aquaculture plan.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 347

Relating to requiring physicians to accept assignment of certain medicare benefits, requiring a legislative audit bureau audit and providing penalties.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 550

Relating to the uniform anatomical gift act and granting rule-making authority.

Introduction and adoption of Senate amendment 1:

Ayes, 5 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch and Barrett

Noes, 2 -- Senators Lorman and Weeden.

Introduction and adoption of Senate amendment 2:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Concurrence as amended:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 612

An act relating to domestic abuse services and making an appropriation.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 622

Relating to child abuse and neglect reporting requirements.

Concurrence:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Assembly Bill 700

Relating to creating a rural hospital loan guarantee program and making an appropriation.

Introduction and adoption of Senate substitute amendment 1:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Concurrence as amended:

Ayes, 7 -- Senators Moen, Te Winkle, Feingold, Jauch, Barrett, Lorman and Weeden;

Noes, 0 -- None.

Rodney C. Moen
Chair

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

State of Wisconsin
Office of the Secretary of State

March 5, 1990

To the Honorable the Senate

I have the honor to transmit to you the following information pursuant to s. 13.685(7).

If you have any questions concerning this transmittal, please contact (608) 266-5503.

NEWLY REGISTERED LOBBYISTS AND THEIR PRINCIPALS:

Bill Broydrick February 26, 1990, 600 E. Mason, Milwaukee WI 53202; (414)224-9393.

1) Assn. of State Prosecutors Jon Reddin; 612 N. 70th St., Wauwatosa WI 53213; (414)771-4495 & (414)278-4645.

Subjects: Any matter affecting the organization in any way by any state agency, or the legislature.

Sandra T. Butler February 26, 1990, 660 E. Mason St., Milwaukee WI 53202; (414)271-5900.

1) Milwaukee Brewers Baseball Club Richard J. Hackett; 201 S. 46th St., Milwaukee WI 53214; (414)933-1818.

Subjects: Operation of major league baseball club.

Gary R. Goyle February 26, 1990, 22 N. Carroll St., Madison WI 53703; (608) 251-5997.

1) International Game Technology Jerome A. Young; 520 S. Rock Blvd., Reno NV 89502; (702)688-0100.

Subjects: All areas dealing with electronic video gaming or affecting the state lottery and state and local regulations of the lottery and gambling.

Michael D. Leffel March 2, 1990, 120 N. Franklin St., #2, Madison WI 53703; (608)251-8770.

1) WI Student Public Interest Research Group, Inc. (WISPIRG, Inc.) Michael Leffel; 306 N. Brooks St., Madison WI 53715; (608)251-1918.

Subjects: Environmental issues, homelessness, hunger, consumer protection.

Sybil H. Letzing March 2, 1990, 2 E. Mifflin St., Ste. 600, Madison WI 53703; (608)255-8891.

1) Browning-Ferris Industries Milan Kluko; 1827 Walden Office Sq., Ste. 107, Schaumburg IL 60173; (708)397-7760.

Subjects: Any function or activity of any branch, department or phase of federal, state or local government; agriculture, outdoors or environment; taxation; regulation of business, finance or insurance; or other topics pertaining to the general public health or welfare.

Brian L. Mitchell March 2, 1990, 660 E. Mason St., Milwaukee WI 53202; (414)271-5900.

1) Bradley Center Corp. Joseph E. Tierney, Jr.; 660 E. Mason St., Milwaukee WI 53202; (414)271-5900.

Subjects: Matters affecting sports and entertainment regulation.

Ruthann Nelson February 26, 1990, 22 N. Carroll, Madison WI 53703; (608)251-5997.

1) International Game Technology Jerome A. Young; 520 S. Rock Blvd., Reno NV 89502; (702)688-0100.

Subjects: All areas dealing with electronic video gaming of affecting the state lottery and state and local regulations of the lottery and gambling.

Jane M. Olson February 27, 1990, %WI Hospital Assn., 5721 Odana Rd., Madison WI 53719; (608)274-1820.

1) WI Hospital Assn. David R. Hewett; 5721 Odana Rd., Madison WI 53719; (608)274-1820.

Subjects: Licensure of health care professionals and institutions, and other health care issues addressed by the legislature, the Department of Health & Social Services, and Department of Regulation and Licensing.

Peter A. Peshek March 2, 1990, 2 E. Mifflin St., Ste. 600, Madison WI 53703; (608)255-8891.

1) Browning-Ferris Industries Milan Kluko; 1827 Walden Office Sq., Ste. 107, Schaumburg IL 60173; (708)397-7760.

Subjects: Any function or activity of any branch, department or phase of federal, state or local government; agriculture, outdoors or environment; taxation; regulation of business, finance or insurance; or other topics pertaining to the general public health or welfare.

Daniel E. Wisniewski February 27, 1990, 7 N. Pinckney St., Ste. 110, Madison WI 53703; (608)255-5788.

1) Dane, County of 210 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd., Madison WI 53709; (608)266-4114.

Subjects: All matters affecting Dane County government.

TERMINATION OF LOBBYIST LICENSES:

Gary R. Goyke terminated as of February 26, 1990 for Syntech International, Inc.

Ruthann Nelson terminated as of February 26, 1990 for Syntech International, Inc.

TERMINATION OF PRINCIPALS:

Syntech International, Inc. terminated as of February 26, 1990.

Sincerely,
DOUGLAS LAFOLLETTE
Secretary of State

SENATE CLEARINGHOUSE ORDERS

Senate Clearinghouse Rule 89-142

Relating to establishing requirements for warranty plans and warranty contracts.

Submitted by Office of the Commissioner of Insurance.

Report received from agency, March 7, 1990.

Referred to committee on Labor, Business, Insurance, Veterans' and Military Affairs, March 8, 1990.

SPECIAL ORDER

Senate Bill 300

Relating to disposal and recycling of solid waste, granting rule-making authority, providing a penalty and making appropriations.

Read.

CHAIR

8:41 A.M.

Senator Helbach in the Chair.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 46 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Andrea, Barrett, Buettner, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lecan, Lorman, Moen, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 21.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Berndt, Burke, Chilsen, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, Helbach, Plewa, Risser, Strohl and Te Winkle — 12.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 50 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 50 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 8, 1990]

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle and Ulichny — 15.

Noes — Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, Moen, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Van Sistine and Weeden — 18.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3 to senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 52 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Risser, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 47 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Adelman, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2 be laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Davis moved rejection of senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Risser, with unanimous consent, asked that the record be expunged by which the Senate adopted senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senator Adelman objects.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnecki, Davis, Feingold, George, Jauch, Moen, Risser, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Weeden — 14.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Ellis, Farrow, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl and Van Sistine — 19.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 54 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 57 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 57 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 62 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 62 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 62 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine — 18.

Noes — Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kreul, Lasee, Leean, Lorman, Moen, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Weeden — 15.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Rude, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 58 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Stitt moved rejection of senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 15; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Ellis, Jauch, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden -- 18.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Davis, Farrow, Feingold, George, Helbach, Kincaid, Kreul, Plewa and Risser -- 15.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Van Sistine, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 60 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 12 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 13 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Davis, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendments 13 and 14 to senate substitute amendment 2 be laid on the table.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 15 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Barrett moved rejection of senate amendment 15 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 15 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Feingold, George, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 16.

Noes -- Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Czarnecki, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Helbach, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Weeden -- 17.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 15 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 15 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Davis.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 15 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 15 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 14; noes, 19; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes -- Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Rude, Stitt and Weeden -- 14.

Noes -- Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine -- 19.

Absent or not voting -- None.

Adoption refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 63 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 63 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 63 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Rejected.

CHAIR

10:19 A.M.

The President in the Chair.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 8, 1990]

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 65 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lcean, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 66 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 67 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senators Kreul, Andrea and Chilsen, with unanimous consent, asked to be listed as co-authors of senate amendment 67 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 68 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lasec, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 68 to senate substitute amendment 2 be considered after senate amendment 72 to senate substitute amendment 2

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 69 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 69 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Lcean.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 69 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 69 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 70 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Chvala.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 70 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lasec moved that the vote by which the Senate rejected senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be reconsidered.

Senator Chvala, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 70 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

Senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senators Strohl and Risser.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lcean moved rejection of senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2 be considered after senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2

Senate amendment 72 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Adelman.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 72 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lasec moved rejection of senate amendment 72 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 72 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Adelman, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 72 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 68 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lasec, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 68 to senate substitute amendment 2 be considered after senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2

Senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Lasec.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Chvala moved rejection of senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, Helbach, Jauch, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine — 15.

Noes — Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lcean, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Weeden — 18.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 73 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 68 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 68 to senate substitute amendment 2 be returned to the author.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2 be considered after senate amendment 74 to senate substitute amendment 2

Senate amendment 74 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Davis.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 74 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Roshell, with unanimous consent, asked that the senate recess until 1:24 P.M..

12:06 P.M.

RECESS

1:24 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

Senator Chvala moved that **Senate Bill 300** be laid on the table.

The question was: Shall **Senate Bill 300** be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 9; noes, 24; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, Helbach, Risser and Te Winkle — 9.

Noes — Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lcean, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 24.

Absent or not voting — None.

Tabling refused.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lasee, with unanimous consent, asked that the senate recess until 1:42 P.M..

1:29 P.M.

RECESS

1:42 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

QUORUM CALL

Senator Strohl called the attention of the chair to the possible lack of a quorum.

The roll was called and the following senators answered to their names:

Present — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Berndt, Buettner, Burke, Chilsen, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnecki, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Feingold, George, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lcean, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 32.

Absent — Senator Helbach — 1.

Absent with leave — None.

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 8, 1990]

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Plewa moved that the vote by which the Senate rejected senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be reconsidered.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the motion for reconsideration of the vote by which the Senate rejected senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be taken up.

The question was: Shall the vote by which the Senate rejected senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be reconsidered?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 17; noes, 16; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine — 17.

Noes — Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kreul, Lasec, Leean, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Weeden — 16.

Absent or not voting — None.

Reconsidered.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Leean, with unanimous consent, withdrew his motion to reject senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Strohl.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked to suspend the rules and introduce and consider senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2.

Senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Davis.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Berndt, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 20.

Noes — Senators Andrea, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kreul, Lasec, Leean, Roshell, Rude and Stitt — 13.

Absent or not voting — None.

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Leean moved rejection of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kreul, Lasec, Leean, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt and Weeden — 15.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine — 18.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 61 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kreul, Lasec, Leean, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Ulichny and Weeden — 16.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle and Van Sistine — 17.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** offered by Senator Lekan.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Strohl moved rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 16; noes, 17; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle and Van Sistine 16.

Noes — Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Ulichny and Weeden — 17.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2 to senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 19.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl and Te Winkle — 14.

Absent or not voting — None.

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 71 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Shall the vote by which the Senate rejected senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be reconsidered?

Senator Roshell moved that the vote by which the Senate adopted senate amendment 23 to senate

substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be reconsidered.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the motion for reconsideration of the vote by which the Senate adopted senate amendment 23 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be taken up.

The question was: Shall the vote by which the Senate adopted senate amendment 23 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be reconsidered?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Jauch, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 22.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnecki, Feingold, Helbach, Kincaid and Risser — 11.

Absent or not voting — None.

Reconsidered.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 23 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Lekan moved rejection of senate amendment 23 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 23 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 19; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 19.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Risser and Te Winkle — 14.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejected.

Senator Plewa moved that the vote by which the Senate rejected senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be reconsidered.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the motion for reconsideration of the vote by which the Senate rejected senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be taken up.

The question was: Shall the vote by which the Senate rejected senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be reconsidered?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 20; noes, 13; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

JOURNAL OF THE SENATE [March 8, 1990]

Ayes — Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 20.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Lasee, Risser, Strohl and Te Winkle — 13.

Absent or not voting — None.

Reconsidered.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Rejection refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 21.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Risser, Strohl and Te Winkle — 12.

Absent or not voting — None.

Adopted.

Senator Kreul moved that the vote by which the Senate rejected senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be reconsidered.

The question was: Shall the vote by which the Senate rejected senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be reconsidered?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the motion to reconsider the vote by which the Senate rejected senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be laid on the table.

Senator Ellis objected.

The question was: Shall the vote by which the Senate rejected senate amendment 55 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be reconsidered?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 15; noes, 18; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chilsen, Chvala, Czarnecki, Davis, Feingold, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Plewa, Risser and Roshell — 15.

Noes — Senators Berndt, Buettner, Cowles, Ellis, Farrow, George, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 18.

Absent or not voting — None.

Reconsideration refused.

The question was: Shall the vote by which the Senate rejected senate amendment 59 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 300** be reconsidered?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 9; noes, 24; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Feingold, Kreul and Risser — 9.

Noes — Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 24.

Absent or not voting — None.

Reconsideration refused.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the rules be suspended and that the bill be considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 300

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 33; noes, 0; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Berndt, Buettner, Burke, Chilsen, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnecki, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Lekan, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 33.

Noes — None.

Absent or not voting — None.

Passed.

Senator Roshell, with unanimous consent, asked that the senate recess until 3:45 P.M..

3:20 P.M.

RECESS

3:45 P.M.

The senate reconvened.

SECOND READING AND AMENDMENTS
OF SENATE JOINT RESOLUTIONS AND

SENATE BILLS

Senate Bill 382

Relating to ozone-depleting refrigerant, granting rule-making authority, providing penalties and making appropriations.

Senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 382** offered by Senator Chvala.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Cowles moved rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate amendment 1 to senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 21; noes, 12; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, George, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Leman, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Van Sistine and Weeden — 21.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, Helbach, Jauch, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle and Ulichny — 12.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 2?

Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the bill be considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 382

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 33; noes, 0; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Berndt, Buettner, Burke, Chilsen, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnezki, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Leman, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Stitt, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 33.

Noes — None.

Absent or not voting — None.

Passed.

Senate Bill 415

Relating to attempted battery as a lesser included crime of sexual assault crimes.

Read a second time.

Ordered to a third reading.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the bill be considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 415

Read a third time and passed.

Senate Bill 437

Relating to grain transactions and grain inspections, providing penalties and making appropriations.

Read a second time.

Senator George, with unanimous consent, asked that **Senate Bill 437** be referred to joint committee on Finance.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that all action be ordered immediately messaged.

Senate Bill 454

Relating to temporarily prohibiting the commercial use of synthetic bovine somatotropin and the transfer of milk produced during that time from cows used in synthetic bovine somatotropin research and granting rule-making authority.

Read a second time.

Senate substitute amendment 1 to **Senate Bill 454** offered by Senator Lorman.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 1?

Senator Feingold moved rejection of senate substitute amendment 1.

The question was: Rejection of senate substitute amendment 1?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 18; noes, 14; absent or not voting, 1; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Czarnezki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny and Van Sistine — 18.

Noes — Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Cowles, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Kreul, Lasee, Leman, Lorman, Rude, Stitt and Weeden — 14.

Absent or not voting — Senator Roshell — 1.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?

Senate substitute amendment 2 to **Senate Bill 454** offered by Senators Lasee, Rude, Chilsen, Berndt and Kreul.

The question was: Adoption of senate substitute amendment 2?

Senator Feingold moved rejection of senate substitute amendment 2.

The question was: Rejection of senate substitute amendment 2?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 23; noes, 10; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Buettner, Burke, Chvala, Czarnecki, Davis, Farrow, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 23.

Noes — Senators Berndt, Chilsen, Cowles, Ellis, Kreul, Lasee, Leman, Lorman, Rude and Stitt — 10.

Absent or not voting — None.

Rejected.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 3?

Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 4?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 5 to **Senate Bill 454** offered by Senator Feingold.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 5?

Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the rules be suspended and that the bill be considered for final action at this time.

Senate Bill 454

Read a third time.

Senator Lorman moved to table **Senate Bill 454**.

The question was: Shall **Senate Bill 454** be laid on the table?

The ayes and noes were demanded and the vote was: ayes, 12; noes, 21; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Berndt, Buettner, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Leman, Lorman, Rude, Stitt, Ulichny and Weeden — 12.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Te Winkle and Van Sistine — 21.

Absent or not voting — None.

Tabling refused.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 22; noes, 11; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Adelman, Andrea, Barrett, Berndt, Burke, Chvala, Cowles, Czarnecki, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Strohl, Te Winkle and Van Sistine — 22.

Noes — Senators Buettner, Chilsen, Davis, Ellis, Farrow, Leman, Lorman, Rude, Stitt, Ulichny and Weeden — 11.

Absent or not voting — None.

Passed.

SECOND READING AND AMENDMENTS OF ASSEMBLY JOINT RESOLUTIONS AND ASSEMBLY BILLS

Assembly Bill 201

Relating to sale or lease of abandoned rail property.

Read a second time.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that **Assembly Bill 201** be laid on the table.

Assembly Bill 211

Relating to requiring training for foster parents, granting rule-making authority and making appropriations.

Read a second time.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that **Assembly Bill 211** be laid on the table.

Assembly Bill 229

Relating to licensure of hospices, establishing rule-making authority, providing penalties and making an appropriation.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1? Adopted.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2? Adopted.

Ordered to a third reading.

Senator Rude, with unanimous consent, asked that he be listed as cosponsor of **Assembly Bill 229**.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the bill be considered for final action at this time.

Assembly Bill 229

Read a third time.

The ayes and noes were required and the vote was: ayes, 28; noes, 5; absent or not voting, 0; as follows:

Ayes — Senators Andrea, Berndt, Buettner, Burke, Chilsen, Chvala, Cowles, Ellis, Farrow, Feingold, George, Helbach, Jauch, Kincaid, Kreul, Lasee, Leman, Lorman, Moen, Plewa, Risser, Roshell, Rude, Strohl, Te Winkle, Ulichny, Van Sistine and Weeden — 28.

Noes — Senators Adelman, Barrett, Czarnecki, Davis and Stitt — 5.

Absent or not voting — None.

Concurred in as amended.

Assembly Bill 516

Relating to granting creditable service to university of Wisconsin instructional faculty for teacher improvement leave.

Read a second time.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 1?

Adopted.

Senate amendment 2 to **Assembly Bill 516** offered by Senator Davis.

The question was: Adoption of senate amendment 2?

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that **Assembly Bill 516** be laid on the table.

The Chair, with unanimous consent, asked that the rules be suspended and that the senate return to the second order of business.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS

Senate Joint Resolution 83

Relating to earmarking the net proceeds of the state lottery for December property tax relief on the primary residence of every resident of this state, distinguishing the state lottery from prohibited gambling, and removing from the gambling section of the constitution the prohibition of individual divorce grants by the legislature (first consideration).

By Senators Feingold and Moen; cosponsored by Representatives Roberts, Hamilton and Lewis.

Read first time and referred to committee on Aging, Banking, Commercial Credit and Taxation.

Senate Joint Resolution 84

Relating to earmarking the net proceeds of the state lottery for December property tax relief on farms and on

the primary residence of every resident of this state, distinguishing the state lottery from prohibited gambling, and removing from the gambling section of the constitution the prohibition of individual divorce grants by the legislature (first consideration).

By Senator Feingold; cosponsored by Representatives Roberts and Lewis.

Read first time and referred to committee on Aging, Banking, Commercial Credit and Taxation.

Senator Farrow, with unanimous consent, asked that the senate adjourn today in honor of the FLOW community officials and the negotiators for the MMSD along with the staffs who cooperated with Howard Belman, James Klauser and Pat Osborne, the facilitators, which brought a tentative agreement today.

Senator Ulichny, with unanimous consent, asked that the senate adjourn today in honor of Senator George's birthday, which is today.

Senator Cowles, with unanimous consent, asked that the senate adjourn today in honor of Rebecca Treadwell who worked so hard drafting the recycling bill.

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that all action be ordered immediately messaged.

ADJOURNMENT

Senator Strohl, with unanimous consent, asked that the Senate adjourn until 10:00 A.M. Tuesday, March 13, 4:26 P.M.

AMENDMENTS OFFERED

Senate amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 211** offered by Senator Farrow.

Senate amendment 1 to **Assembly Bill 201** offered by Senators Te Winkle, Strohl, Davis and Berndt.