95hr_AC-ISCP_Misc_pt08b



P

Details: Committee clerk information

(FORM UPDATED: 08/11/2010)

WISCONSIN STATE LEGISLATURE ... PUBLIC HEARING - COMMITTEE RECORDS

1995-96

(session year)

<u>Assembly</u>

(Assembly, Senate or Joint)

Committee on Insurance, Securities and Corporate Policy...

COMMITTEE NOTICES ...

- Committee Reports ... CR
- Executive Sessions ... ES
- Public Hearings ... PH

INFORMATION COLLECTED BY COMMITTEE FOR AND AGAINST PROPOSAL

- Appointments ... Appt (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
- Clearinghouse Rules ... CRule (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)
- Hearing Records ... bills and resolutions (w/Record of Comm. Proceedings)

(ab = Assembly Bill)

(ar = Assembly Resolution)

(air = Assembly Joint Resolution)

(sb = Senate Bill)

(**sr** = Senate Resolution)

(sir = Senate Joint Resolution)

Miscellaneous ... Misc



LEGISLATIVE REVIEW OF STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

INFORMATION BULLETIN 95-2*

INTRODUCTION

An "administrative rule" is a regulation, standard, policy statement or order of general application promulgated by a state agency. An administrative rule has the force of law. Rules are issued by an agency (1) to make specific, implement or interpret provisions of statutes that are enforced or administered by the agency or (2) to establish procedures for the agency to follow in administering its programs. Rules are published in the Wisconsin Administrative Code.

The purpose of this Information Bulletin is to set forth the procedure by which the Legislature (1) reviews proposed administrative rules during the process of their promulgation and (2) reviews the policies contained in existing administrative rules.

The legislative rules review procedure is contained in ch. 227, Stats. This review procedure affords Legislators the opportunity to affect the content of policies, having the force of law, that regulate the lives of Wisconsin citizens. These procedures are summarized in Chart 1 and Chart 2 attached to this Bulletin.

Any questions regarding the rules review process may be directed to Ronald Sklansky, Senior Staff Attorney (266-1946), or Richard Sweet, Senior Staff Attorney (266-2982), Legislative Council Administrative Rules Clearinghouse.

1. A RULE BEGINS WITH AN AGENCY

When an agency decides to promulgate an administrative rule (that is, to either create a new rule or modify an existing rule), it must first draft the proposed rule. The Administrative Rules

^{*}This Information Bulletin was prepared by Ronald Sklansky, Senior Staff Attorney.

Procedures Manual, prepared by the Legislative Council Staff and the Revisor of Statutes Bureau, sets forth, in detail, the format and style to be used by the agency in preparing rule drafts.

When the draft of a rule is ready, it must be submitted to the Legislative Council Administrative Rules Clearinghouse for review.

2. DUTIES OF THE RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

The Administrative Rules Clearinghouse is operated by the Legislative Council Staff. Upon receipt of a proposed administrative rule, the Legislative Council Staff assigns the rule a Clearinghouse rule number, records the date of the submission of the rule in the Bulletin of Proceedings of the Wisconsin Legislature and prepares two numbered rule jackets, one for the Assembly and one for the Senate.

Following receipt of a proposed rule, the Council Staff reviews the proposed rule for form, style and technical adequacy. Of particular importance to the legislative branch, the Council Staff specifically:

- a. Reviews the proposed rule to determine whether there is statutory authority for the agency to adopt the proposed rule; and
 - b. Reviews the text of the proposed rule for clarity and the use of plain language.

The Council Staff review will reveal whether an agency is attempting to regulate matters beyond its legal authority or whether a lack of clarity and precision in a proposed rule will inappropriately affect those persons regulated by the rule.

The period for Rules Clearinghouse review is 20 working days following receipt of the proposed rule. With the consent of the Director of the Legislative Council Staff, the review period may be extended for an additional 20 working days. [Extensions are very rarely necessary.] A written report containing the staff critique of the rule is then forwarded to the agency promulgating the rule.

3. AGENCY RESPONSIBILITIES

After the completion of the Rules Clearinghouse review, an agency is required to hold a public hearing on the proposed rule in most instances. Following the hearing, or if no hearing is required, the agency is required to submit the final version of the proposed rule to the Legislature.

When the agency sends the final draft of a rule to the presiding officers of each House, the agency must include a report that contains, among other things:

- a. Conclusions and recommendations of the agency that demonstrate the need for the proposed rule.
- b. Explanations of modifications made in the proposed rule as a result of testimony received at public hearings.
- c. A list of persons who appeared or registered for or against the proposed rule at any public hearing held by the agency.
- d. A response to Legislative Council Staff recommendations, contained in the Rules Clearinghouse report, indicating acceptance of the recommendations in whole, acceptance of the recommendations in part, rejection of the recommendations and specific reasons for not accepting recommendations.
- e. A final regulatory flexibility analysis, if the proposed rule will have an effect on small businesses.

The other parts of the report include a plain language analysis of the proposed rule, copies of or references to related forms and a fiscal estimate of the cost of the rule.

4. REFERRAL OF RULE BY PRESIDING OFFICER

Within seven working days, following receipt of a proposed administrative rule, the presiding officer of each House refers the rule to one committee. The committee to which a rule is referred may be either a standing committee or a joint legislative committee created by law, other than the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules (JCRAR).

5. COMMITTEE REVIEW PERIOD

Generally, the committee review period extends for 30 days after referral of a proposed rule by the presiding officer. However, a committee review period may be extended. Specifically, the review period may be extended for 30 days from the date of either of the following actions, if taken by the chairperson, within the initial 30-day period:

- a. The chairperson requests in writing that the agency meet with the committee to review the proposed rule; or
- b. The chairperson publishes or posts a notice that the committee will hold a meeting or hearing to review the proposed rule and immediately sends a copy of the notice to the agency.

The agency is prohibited from promulgating the proposed rule until the conclusion of the committee review period, unless both committees waive their jurisdiction over the rule prior to the end of the review period.

6. AUTHORITY OF REVIEWING COMMITTEES

If both committees fail to take any action during the review period, the agency may complete promulgation of the proposed rule.

If a committee, by majority vote of a quorum of the committee, recommends modifications in a proposed rule (and the agency, in writing, agrees to make modifications), the review period for both committees is extended to the later of:

- a. The 10th working day following receipt by the committees of the modified proposed rule; or
 - b. The expiration of the initial or extended committee review period.

There is no limit on the number of times that modifications may be sought, prior to the conclusion of the committee review period.

An agency may unilaterally propose rule modifications during, or following, the committee review period.

A committee may object to a proposed rule, or part of a proposed rule, only for one or more of the following reasons:

- a. An absence of adequate statutory authority.
- b. An emergency relating to public health, safety or welfare.
- c. Failure to comply with legislative intent.
- d. Being contrary to state law.
- e. A change in circumstances since the original date of passage of the earliest law upon which the rule is based.
 - f. Being arbitrary and capricious or imposing an undue hardship.

7. RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE JOINT COMMITTEE FOR REVIEW OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULES (JCRAR)

If either reviewing committee objects to a proposed rule, the rule must be referred to the JCRAR. The JCRAR must take executive action on the rule and may:

- a. Nonconcur in a committee objection;
- b. Object to the rule (that is, concur with the reviewing committee); or
- c. Seek rule modifications.

The review period for the JCRAR is 30 days. The review period may be extended for an additional 30 days (or more, if modifications are agreed to) in the same manner as by the initial reviewing committee.

If the JCRAR objects to a rule, it must introduce, within 30 days, a bill in each House of the Legislature to prevent the promulgation of the rule. If both bills are defeated, or fail to be enacted in any other manner, the agency may promulgate the proposed rule that received an objection. If either bill is enacted, the agency may not promulgate the proposed rule that was objected to unless a subsequent law specifically authorizes its promulgation.

8. LEGISLATIVE REVIEW AFTER PROMULGATION OF A RULE

The statutes give the JCRAR authority to suspend rules that have been promulgated and are being enforced:

- a. If the JCRAR has first received testimony on the suspension at a public hearing; and
- b. If the suspension is based on one or more of the reasons set forth in item 6, above, for a committee objecting to a proposed rule. If the JCRAR suspends a rule, it must introduce, within 30 days, a bill in each House of the Legislature to repeal the suspended rule. If both bills are defeated or fail to be enacted in any other manner, the rule remains in effect and the JCRAR may not suspend it again. If either bill is enacted, the rule is repealed and may not be promulgated again by the agency, unless a subsequent law specifically authorizes such action.

RS:wu;pkc

Attachments

CHART 1

REVIEW OF **PROPOSED** ADMINISTRATIVE RULES IN WISCONSIN





CHART 2

REVIEW OF **EXISTING** ADMINISTRATIVE RULES IN WISCONSIN







Misconsin State Assembly

P.O. BOX 8952 • MADISON, WI 53708

March 1, 1995

To: Assembly Committee Chairs

From: Assembly Speaker David Prosser, Jr.

Subject: Committee Hearing Schedules

A number of committee chairs have asked to hold a committee hearing on a date different from the established committee schedule. In order to avoid committee scheduling conflicts for members, the following guidelines shall be adhered to when committee chairs make such a request.

- * The committee chair shall submit a written request to the Speaker at least two weeks in advance of the proposed hearing date. Please allow at least three business days for the Speaker to approve or deny the request.
- * The written request shall include the purpose for which the hearing will be held, the reason(s) why it is necessary to hold the hearing in advance of a regularly scheduled committee date, and whether an executive session will be held.
- * The committee chair shall determine if committee members will be attending committee meetings previously scheduled for the date and time in question. The two-step verification process requires the committee chair to first determine on which other committees the members serve. Second, the committee chair must contact the committee chairs of potentially affected committees to determine if a committee meeting is scheduled for the date in question. Any potential scheduling conflicts must be included in the written request submitted to the Speaker.
- * Remember that holding a committee meeting on a session day is strongly discouraged. Please verify the floor period schedule with Majority Leader Scott Jensen's office if the desired committee date falls within a scheduled floor period. This must be done before submitting the written request to the Speaker. If the committee chair determines it is absolutely necessary to hold a hearing on a scheduled floor session day, the chair must request a hearing time which would conclude before the time the Assembly is called to the floor or request that the hearing be commenced upon adjournment of the day's floor period.

Thank you for your attention and compliance to this matter.



NOTICE OF ACCESS TO ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE RECORDS

ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON* Room, State Capitol
The office of the Chairperson* of a legislative committee is responsible for the maintenance of committee records such as reports, petitions, written statements and hearing notices.
The office of the Committee Chairperson is open for business and inspection of committee records from <u>(time)</u> , Monday through Friday, excluding holidays.
The legal custodian is the Committee Chairperson (or Committee Clerk) (or Committee Research Clerk) (name). A request for access to, or copies of, records of the Committee may be made at any time during normal business hours.
*Where appropriate, use the terms "Joint Committee" and "Cochairperson."

Motion carried: Introduction recommended PASSAGE: Ayes 12, Noes 0, Absent 0.

Moved by Representative Dobyns, seconded by Representative Ladwig that Assembly Amendment 1 be recommended for adoption.

Ayes: (8) Representatives Gard,
Dobyns, Ladwig, Grothman,
Lazich, Huebsch, Hutchison
and Krusick.

Noes: (4) Representatives Notestein, Krug, Young and Riley.

Absent: (0) None.

Motion carried: Adoption recommended PASSAGE: Ayes 8, Noes 4, Absent 0

Moved by Representative Krug, seconded by Representative Notestein that Assembly Amendment 2 be recommended for adoption.

Ayes: (1) Representative Krusick

Noes: (11) Representatives Gard,
Dobyns, Ladwig, Grothman,
Lazich, Huebsch, Hutchison,
Notestein, Krusick, Young and
Riley.

Absent: (0) None.

Motion Failed: Adoption not recommended. ADOPTION NOT RECOMMENDED: Ayes 1, Noes 11, Absent 0

Moved by Representative Krug, seconded by Representative Notestein that Assembly Amendment 3 be recommended for adoption.

Ayes: (2) Representatives Krusick and Krug

Noes: (10) Representatives Gard,
Dobyns, Ladwig, Grothman,
Lazich, Huebsch, Hutchison,
Notestein, Young and Riley.

Absent: (0) None.

Motion failed: Adoption not recommended. ADOPTION NOT RECOMMENDED: Ayes 2, Noes 10, Absent 0

Moved by Representative Krug, seconded by Representative Riley that Assembly Amendment 4 be recommended for adoption.

Ayes: (5) Representatives Notestein, Krusick, Krug, Young and Riley

Noes: (7) Representatives Gard,
Dobyns, Ladwig, Grothman,
Lazich, Huebsch and
Hutchison

Absent: (0) None.

Motion failed: Adoption not recommended ADOPTION NOT RECOMMENDED: Ayes 5, Noes 7, Absent 0.

Moved by Representative Krug, seconded by Representative Notestein that Assembly Amendment 5 be recommended for adoption.

Ayes: (4) Representatives Notestein, Krug, Young and Riley

Noes: (8) Representatives Gard,
Dobyns, Ladwig, Grothman,
Lazich, Huebsch, Hutchison
and Krusick

Absent: (0) None.

Motion failed: Adoption not recommended. ADOPTION NOT RECOMMENDED: Ayes 4, Noes 8, Absent 0.

Moved by Representative Krug, seconded by Representative Riley that Assembly Amendment 6 be recommended for adoption.

Ayes: (5) Representatives Notestein, Krusick, Krug, Young and Riley

Noes: (7) Representatives Gard,
Dobyns, Ladwig, Grothman,
Lazich, Huebsch and
Hutchison

Absent: (0) None.

Page 4

Motion Failed: Adoption not recommended. ADOPTION NOT RECOMMENDED: Ayes 5, Noes 7, Absent 0.

Moved by Representative Dobyns, seconded by Representative Ladwig that Assembly Bill 21, as amended, be recommended for passage.

Ayes: (9) Representatives-Gard,
Dobyns, Ladwig, Grothman,
Lazich, Huebsch, Hutchison,
Krusick and Krug

Noes: (3) Representatives Notestein, Young and Riley.

Absent: (0) None.

Motion carried: Passage recommended PASSAGE: Ayes 9, Noes 3, Absent 0.

Matthew Kussow, Committee Clerk

Assembly

Assembly Bill 21

January 13, 1995

January 18, 1995

Record of Committee Proceedings

AN ACT relating to requesting federal waivers under the aid to families with dependent children and job opportunities and basic skills programs; limiting increases in aid to families with dependent children for families that have certain additional children; job orientation and job search requirements, participation requirements and sanctions under the job opportunities and basic skills program; establishing a program for publication of delinquent child support obligors; providing exemptions from emergency rule-making procedures; granting rule-making authority; and making appropriations. Introduced by Representatives Gard, Kelso, Huebsch, Hutchison, Dobyns, Ladwig, Krusick, Grothman, Lazich, Ainsworth, Albers, Brandemuehl, Coleman, Duff, Foti, Freese, Goetsch, Green, Grobschmidt, Gunderson, Hahn, Handrick, Harsdorf, Hasenohrl, Hoven, Jensen, Johnsrud, Kaufert, Klusman, Kreibich, Lasee, Lehman, Lorge, Musser, Nass, Olsen, Otte, Ott, Ourada, Owens, Porter, Powers, Prosser, Schneiders, Seratti, Silbaugh, Skindrud, Underheim, Urban, Vander Loop, Vrakas, Walker, Ward, Ziegelbauer and Zukowski; cosponsored by Senators Buettner, Zien, Petak, Cowles, Darling, Drzewiecki, Ellis, Farrow, Fitzgerald, Breske, Huelsman, Lasee, Panzer, Rosenzweig, Rude, Schultz and Weeden.

Referred to committee on Welfare Reform.

PUBLIC HEARING HELD

Present: (12) Representatives Gard,
Dobyns, Ladwig, Grothman,
Lazich, Huebsch, Hutchison,
Notestein, Krusick, Krug,
Young and Riley.

Absent: (0) None.

Appearances For the Bill

- ▶ John Gard, State Representative, Peshtigo
- ▶ Carol Buettner, State Senator, Oshkosh
- ► Jean Rogers, Department of Health and Social Services, Madison
- ► Connie Hagen, Governor's Office, Madison
- ▶ Mary Ann Cook, Self Sufficiency First, Madison
- ▶ John Angeli, Grant County, Lancaster
- ▶ Ronald Stuber, Shawano County, Shawano
- ▶ Gene Lillge, Outagamie County , Appleton

, گ

Appearances Against the Bill

- ► John Huebscher, Executive Director, WI Catholic Conference, Madison
- ▶ Gary Sandefur, self, Madison
- ▶ Bonnee L. Voss, WI Impact Network, Madison
- ▶ Kathleen Kreneic, WI Coalition Against

Domestic Violence, Madison

- ► Carol Medaris, Legal Action of WI, Madison
- ▶ Jonathan Bader, WI Nutrition Project, DeForest
- ► Nan Brien, WI Council on Children and Families, Madison

Appearances for Information Only

- ► Helen Kelley, Planned Parenthood of WI, Milwaukee
- ▶ Beth Forsythe, self, Madison

Registrations For the Bill

- ► David Ward, State Representative, Fort Atkinson
- ▶ John Ainsworth, State Representative, Shawano

Registrations Against the Bill

- ▶ Ellen Rabenharsh, self, Madison
- ▶ Michael Mc Coy, self, Madison
- ▶ Kari Brendefru, self, Cambridge
- ▶ Judy Hoyerman, L.O.P.P.W., Cambridge
- ▶ Phillip Neuenfeldt, AFL-CIO, Milwaukee
- ▶ Julia Belt, WI National Organization of Women, Madison

January 18, 1995

EXECUTIVE SESSION HELD

Present: (12) Representatives Gard,
Dobyns, Ladwig, Grothman,
Lazich, Huebsch, Hutchison,
Notestein, Krusick, Krug,
Young and Riley.

Absent: (0) None.

Moved by Representative Gard, seconded by Representative Dobyns that unanimous consent be given for the introduction of amendments.

Ayes: (12) Representatives Gard,
Dobyns, Ladwig, Grothman,
Lazich, Huebsch, Hutchison,
Notestein, Krusick, Krug,
Young and Riley.

Noes: (0) None.

Absent: (0) None.

Page 2

	Chairperson	
# itreofy pliss! X3X) softimmos)	# 573914 Jost	# CASACHARA ACCUSATO
		The comment of the
Rope William louge		1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
mishabili gal		A Bathal sudios apx
Peps Robin Keeibld		# 345908 4120/2 (1721
# (1)207 KIRW - (1)2		Rep (2, 1/e/2 #
# Warott miT yall		959 SIRYE 11446
Rop Trumk Lasre#		psel # # hours
#		#
#		#
	Public Speaker	
MESSENGER		

Allow at least 1/2 hour before committee meets to set up name plates, pads, pencils and water pitchers. Check with the committee clerk the day before for any special instructions.

	Chairperson	
#	#	
		#
		#
		#
		T .
#		#
•		
#		#
u.		#
#		
#		#
		#
#		11
	Public Speaker	
IESSENGER		

Allow at least 1/2 hour before committee meets to set up name plates, pads, pencils and water pitchers. Check with the committee clerk the day before for any special instructions.