

Vote Record

Assembly Committee on Housing

Date: November 13, 1997
 Moved by: Baldwin Seconded by: Foti
 AB: 64 Clearinghouse Rule: _____
 AB: _____ Appointment: _____
 AJR: _____ SR: _____ Other: _____
 A: _____ SR: _____

A/S Amdt: _____
 A/S Amdt: _____ to A/S Amdt: _____
 A/S Sub Amdt: _____
 A/S Amdt: _____ to A/S Sub Amdt: _____
 A/S Amdt: _____ to A/S Amdt: _____ to A/S Sub Amdt: _____

Be recommended for:

- Passage
- Introduction
- Adoption
- Rejection

- Indefinite Postponement
- Tabling
- Concurrence
- Nonconcurrence
- Confirmation

Committee Member


Rep. Carol Owens, Chair
 Rep. Neal Kedzie
 Rep. Steven Foti
 Rep. Daniel Vrakas
 Rep. Steve Wieckert
 Rep. Johnnie Morris-Tatum
 Rep. John La Fave
 Rep. Leon Young
 Rep. Tammy Baldwin

<u>Aye</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Excused Absent</u>	<u>Not Voting</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Totals: 8 0 1 _____

Motion Carried

Motion Failed

AB 64 

~~Divided~~ Miles. / acts of prot. 9 ✓ ✓
Statute

in the last 3 years

Police dept. & city atty.
→ bill is is, will not do anything / will not use the new law

→ Tommy thought this would be gonna — intro.
do a S.A. → going into a different direction → that
✓ go to Criminal Justice Center.

city atty. a judgment call → goes to local level

944.30
944.32
944.33
944.34
a 948.08
Line 5
~~944.30~~

Wed. bill
on floor — want
an amendment and
introduce on the floor!



P.O. Box 8953, State Capitol
Madison, Wisconsin 53708-8953
(608) 267-7990 • Toll-Free (800) 362-9472

144 County Road C
Oshkosh, Wisconsin 54904
(414) 589-4262

CAROL OWENS

WISCONSIN STATE REPRESENTATIVE

TO: Members of the Assembly Committee on Housing

FROM: Representative Carol Owens, Chairperson

DATE: November 11, 1997

RE: **AMENDMENT TO AB 64**

Attached is an amendment being offered by Rep. Leon Young on Assembly Bill 64. Please bring this with you to the hearing this Thursday (November 13th) for the executive session.

If you have any questions, please feel free to give either me or Jacqueline Dicks, the Housing Committee Clerk, a call at 267-7990.

CA:jfd



October 17, 1997

Neal Kedzie
307N State Capital
Madison, WI 53702

Dear Mr. Kedzie

I would like to outline my opposition to AB64 which would allow a landlord to evict a tenant involved in prostitution on a rental property.

With due respect to Rep. Kunicki whose district has been hit hard by prostitution and many of his constituents are up in arms about the problem. I think there are easier ways to solve the problem, such as providing fast, easy and cost effective access to criminal records both at the state and county level. Currently state criminal records are available but the process is slow and cumbersome. A simple solution would be to put these records on the Internet.

Another solutions would be increase the penalties for the customer of the prostitutes and offer a stronger disincentive for engaging in this illicit behavior.

We have supported some of these initiatives in the past such as the 5-day criminal gang house eviction, which is not being used effectively. The 5-day drug house eviction, which has indirectly caused many of the prostitution problems on the south side of Milwaukee. When the 5-day drug house law went into effect many of the drug dealers and prostitutes who were doing business on the near west side of Milwaukee were forced to move and set-up their new business on Milwaukee's South side.

I am concerned that if this bill is passed it would have another unintended consequence, the result of the 5-day drug house law has been to chase dealers out of apartments and onto street corners, we already have too much of this illicit crime on street corners and alleys.

Landlords do not have the capital nor training to deal with all of these social problems and I would ask that you vote against AB64.

Thank you,

Orville Scymmer
Legislative Chairman



October 17, 1997

Steve Foti
215 W State Capital
Madison, WI 53702

Dear Mr. Foti

I would like to outline my opposition to AB64 which would allow a landlord to evict a tenant involved in prostitution on a rental property.

With due respect to Rep. Kunicki whose district has been hit hard by prostitution and many of his constituents are up in arms about the problem. I think there are casier ways to solve the problem, such as providing fast, easy and cost effective access to criminal records both at the state and county level. Currently state criminal records are available but the process is slow and cumbersome. A simple solution would be to put these records on the Internet.

Another solutions would be increase the penalties for the customer of the prostitutes and offer a stronger disincentive for engaging in this illicit behavior.

We have supported some of these initiatives in the past such as the 5-day criminal gang house eviction, which is not being used effectively. The 5-day drug house eviction, which has indirectly caused many of the prostitution problems on the south side of Milwaukee. When the 5-day drug house law went into effect many of the drug dealers and prostitutes who were doing business on the near west side of Milwaukee were forced to move and set-up their new business on Milwaukee's South side.

I am concerned that if this bill is passed it would have another unintended consequence, the result of the 5-day drug house law has been to chase dealers out of apartments and onto street corners, we already have too much of this illicit crime on street corners and alleys.

Landlords do not have the capital nor training to deal with all of these social problems and I would ask that you vote against AB64.

Thank you,

Orville Seymer
Legislative Chairman



October 17, 1997

Dan Vrakas
123 W State Capital
Madison, WI 53702

Dear Mr. Varkas

I would like to outline my opposition to AB64 which would allow a landlord to evict a tenant involved in prostitution on a rental property.

With due respect to Rep. Kunicki whose district has been hit hard by prostitution and many of his constituents are up in arms about the problem. I think there are easier ways to solve the problem, such as providing fast, easy and cost effective access to criminal records both at the state and county level. Currently state criminal records are available but the process is slow and cumbersome. A simple solution would be to put these records on the Internet.

Another solutions would be increase the penalties for the customer of the prostitutes and offer a stronger disincentive for engaging in this illicit behavior.

We have supported some of these initiatives in the past such as the 5-day criminal gang house eviction, which is not being used effectively. The 5-day drug house eviction, which has indirectly caused many of the prostitution problems on the south side of Milwaukee. When the 5-day drug house law went into effect many of the drug dealers and prostitutes who were doing business on the near west side of Milwaukee were forced to move and set-up their new business on Milwaukee's South side.

I am concerned that if this bill is passed it would have another unintended consequence, the result of the 5-day drug house law has been to chase dealers out of apartments and onto street corners, we already have too much of this illicit crime on street corners and alleys.

Landlords do not have the capital nor training to deal with all of these social problems and I would ask that you vote against AB64.

Thank you,

Orville Seymer
Legislative Chairman



October 17, 1997

Mr. Steve Wieckert
9N State Capital
Madison, WI 53702

Dear Mr. Wieckert

I would like to outline my opposition to AB64 which would allow a landlord to evict a tenant involved in prostitution on a rental property.

With due respect to Rep. Kunicki whose district has been hit hard by prostitution and many of his constituents are up in arms about the problem. I think there are easier ways to solve the problem, such as providing fast, easy and cost effective access to criminal records both at the state and county level. Currently state criminal records are available but the process is slow and cumbersome. A simple solution would be to put these records on the Internet.

Another solution would be to increase the penalties for the customer of the prostitutes and offer a stronger disincentive for engaging in this illicit behavior.

We have supported some of these initiatives in the past such as the 5-day criminal gang house eviction, which is not being used effectively. The 5-day drug house eviction, which has indirectly caused many of the prostitution problems on the south side of Milwaukee. When the 5-day drug house law went into effect many of the drug dealers and prostitutes who were doing business on the near west side of Milwaukee were forced to move and set-up their new business on Milwaukee's South side.

I am concerned that if this bill is passed it would have another unintended consequence, the result of the 5-day drug house law has been to chase dealers out of apartments and onto street corners, we already have too much of this illicit crime on street corners and alleys.

Landlords do not have the capital nor training to deal with all of these social problems and I would ask that you vote against AB64.

Thank you,

Orville Seymer
Legislative Chairman



October 17, 1997

Johnnie Morris-Tatum
306 W State Capital
Madison, WI 53702

Dear Ms. Morris-Tatum

I would like to outline my opposition to AB64 which would allow a landlord to evict a tenant involved in prostitution on a rental property.

With due respect to Rep. Kunicki whose district has been hit hard by prostitution and many of his constituents are up in arms about the problem. I think there are easier ways to solve the problem, such as providing fast, easy and cost effective access to criminal records both at the state and county level. Currently state criminal records are available but the process is slow and cumbersome. A simple solution would be to put these records on the Internet.

Another solutions would be increase the penalties for the customer of the prostitutes and offer a stronger disincentive for engaging in this illicit behavior.

We have supported some of these initiatives in the past such as the 5-day criminal gang house eviction, which is not being used effectively. The 5-day drug house eviction, which has indirectly caused many of the prostitution problems on the south side of Milwaukee. When the 5-day drug house law went into effect many of the drug dealers and prostitutes who were doing business on the near west side of Milwaukee were forced to move and set-up their new business on Milwaukee's South side.

I am concerned that if this bill is passed it would have another unintended consequence, the result of the 5-day drug house law has been to chase dealers out of apartments and onto street corners, we already have too much of this illicit crime on street corners and alleys.

Landlords do not have the capital nor training to deal with all of these social problems and I would ask that you vote against AB64.

Thank you,

Orville Seymer
Legislative Chairman



October 17, 1997

John LaFave
220 N State Capital
Madison, WI 53702

Dear Mr. LaFave

I would like to outline my opposition to AB64 which would allow a landlord to evict a tenant involved in prostitution on a rental property.

With due respect to Rep. Kunicki whose district has been hit hard by prostitution and many of his constituents are up in arms about the problem. I think there are easier ways to solve the problem, such as providing fast, easy and cost effective access to criminal records both at the state and county level. Currently state criminal records are available but the process is slow and cumbersome. A simple solution would be to put these records on the Internet.

Another solutions would be increase the penalties for the customer of the prostitutes and offer a stronger disincentive for engaging in this illicit behavior.

We have supported some of these initiatives in the past such as the 5-day criminal gang house eviction, which is not being used effectively. The 5-day drug house eviction, which has indirectly caused many of the prostitution problems on the south side of Milwaukee. When the 5-day drug house law went into effect many of the drug dealers and prostitutes who were doing business on the near west side of Milwaukee were forced to move and set-up their new business on Milwaukee's South side.

I am concerned that if this bill is passed it would have another unintended consequence, the result of the 5-day drug house law has been to chase dealers out of apartments and onto street corners, we already have too much of this illicit crime on street corners and alleys.

Landlords do not have the capital nor training to deal with all of these social problems and I would ask that you vote against AB64.

Thank you,

Orville Scymer
Legislative Chairman



October 17, 1997

Leon Young
120N State Capital
Madison, WI 53702

Dear Mr. Young

I would like to outline my opposition to AB64 which would allow a landlord to evict a tenant involved in prostitution on a rental property.

With due respect to Rep. Kunicki whose district has been hit hard by prostitution and many of his constituents are up in arms about the problem. I think there are easier ways to solve the problem, such as providing fast, easy and cost effective access to criminal records both at the state and county level. Currently state criminal records are available but the process is slow and cumbersome. A simple solution would be to put these records on the Internet.

Another solutions would be increase the penalties for the customer of the prostitutes and offer a stronger disincentive for engaging in this illicit behavior.

We have supported some of these initiatives in the past such as the 5-day criminal gang house eviction, which is not being used effectively. The 5-day drug house eviction, which has indirectly caused many of the prostitution problems on the south side of Milwaukee. When the 5-day drug house law went into effect many of the drug dealers and prostitutes who were doing business on the near west side of Milwaukee were forced to move and set-up their new business on Milwaukee's South side.

I am concerned that if this bill is passed it would have another unintended consequence, the result of the 5-day drug house law has been to chase dealers out of apartments and onto street corners, we already have too much of this illicit crime on street corners and alleys.

Landlords do not have the capital nor training to deal with all of these social problems and I would ask that you vote against AB64.

Thank you,

Orville Seymer
Legislative Chairman



October 17, 1997

Tammy Baldwin
124N State Capital
Madison, WI 53702

Dear Ms. Baldwin

I would like to outline my opposition to AB64 which would allow a landlord to evict a tenant involved in prostitution on a rental property.

With due respect to Rep. Kunicki whose district has been hit hard by prostitution and many of his constituents are up in arms about the problem. I think there are easier ways to solve the problem, such as providing fast, easy and cost effective access to criminal records both at the state and county level. Currently state criminal records are available but the process is slow and cumbersome. A simple solution would be to put these records on the Internet.

Another solution would be to increase the penalties for the customer of the prostitutes and offer a stronger disincentive for engaging in this illicit behavior.

We have supported some of these initiatives in the past such as the 5-day criminal gang house eviction, which is not being used effectively. The 5-day drug house eviction, which has indirectly caused many of the prostitution problems on the south side of Milwaukee. When the 5-day drug house law went into effect many of the drug dealers and prostitutes who were doing business on the near west side of Milwaukee were forced to move and set-up their new business on Milwaukee's South side.

I am concerned that if this bill is passed it would have another unintended consequence, the result of the 5-day drug house law has been to chase dealers out of apartments and onto street corners, we already have too much of this illicit crime on street corners and alleys.

Landlords do not have the capital nor training to deal with all of these social problems and I would ask that you vote against AB64.

Thank you,

Orville Seymer
Legislative Chairman

AB 64 testimony presented included amended.
offered by Kitchi

↳ problems arise / goes beyond "drug" laws.
↳ can it be held up in court?

→ * "unreasonable" activity / safety & comfort of neighborhood.

5. of freeway 542 arrests so far for '97
↳ 320 ↵

- pro. is to support drug dealings / prot. are changing 2-06
- children have been approached / plus children are picking up
condoms, needles & other "stuff" #3-\$10

* - daughter of a tenant was involved in drugs & prot.
↳ needs to police activity (make a good home for all tenants)