	г л	1999 Session		
<u>⊠</u> Original	Updated	LRB or Bill No Adm. Rule No.		
Correcte	d Supplemental	AB-190389/1		
FICAL FOTIMATE				
FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R10/94)		Amendment No. if Applicable		
Subject ADMIN SERVICES, Y2K				
Fiscal Effect				
State: No State Fiscal Effect		Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb		
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation		Within Agency's Budget Yes No		
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues		7		
Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues		Decrease Costs		
Create New Appropriation				
Local: No local government costs				
	e Revenues !	5. Types of Local Government Units Affected		
	se Revenues	│Towns │ Villages │ Cities		
	missive Mandatory	Counties United Others		
		School Districts WTCS Districts		
Fund Sources Affected: GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations:				
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate				
The bill provides that state/local government and their employees could not be sued for any act or omission caused by Y2K computer failure. It also provides that if any contract contains a statement to the contrary, after the bill became law, that statement would be void. And it provides that state/local government would not have to pay late payment interest on late payments caused by Y2K computer failure.				
The bill would save the department money only if there are Y2K related failures for which the department is responsible.				
It is extremely difficult to assign any probability to any Y2K failure, and even more difficult to make any prediction of which programs, divisions, or appropriations would be affected if there are any failures. The department fully expects that there will be no failures of critical systems. If failures do occur, the department will implement manual processes to continue critical program delivery. If there were a critical Y2K systems failure and the department were sued over it, any law suit would probably be a large one, likely in the six figure range, possibly between \$200,000 and \$500,000 if there is a large class action law suit.				
The department is making an enormous effort to prevent any Y2K failures. The department has, and has been working to implement, a comprehensive plan to: (1) identify the problems, (2) remedy the problems ahead of time, and (3) if necessary, ensure continuation of critical program delivery using manual or semi-automated processes, in case of any failures. For example, there are contingency plans to reassign large numbers of DWD employees to manually take UI claims in case of Telephone Initial Claims (TIC) system failure, and to hand-write and mail UI checks in case of FirStar Bank failure to write and send UI benefits checks.				
It is very unlikely that there would be Y2K failures that would payment interest must only be paid if there is at least a 30 d RAPIDS, including their Y2K compliance, are of the very high has a high degree of confidence that there will be no Y2K fait would be very unlikely that the systems' functioning would day old (or older) invoices.	ay delay in payment of an invoic nest priority. Their Y2K complian ilures of these system. Even if th	e. The department's new financial system and nee is being extensively tested. The department nere were a Y2K failure affecting these systems,		
(Continued on next page)				
Long-Range Fiscal Implications				
Agency/Prepared by:(Name & Phone No.)	Authorized Signature/Telephone	No. Date		
DWD / Don Akamatsu 266-1104	MAna	0 175-79		

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate (Continued)

The conclusion is that the bill probably would have no fiscal impact, but does have the potential for a positive fiscal impact. Any attempt at a detailed fiscal estimate of an uncertain, unpredictable, but unlikely event, such as Y2K failure, would be sheer guesswork. There is no experience in dealing with this potential problem, and no way to obtain the information necessary to make such predictions.

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

1999 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect DOA-2047(R10/94) Corrected Updated Supplemental	LRB or Bill No./Adm Rule No. AB-19 / 0389/1	Amendment No.
Subject ADMIN SERVICES, Y2K I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government	ont (do not include in annua	lized fiscal effect):
1. One-time Costs or Revenue impacts for State and/or Local Government	ent too not include in annua	inzed fisodi effocty.
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal Impact or	
A Court Court by Cotomore	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	<u>\$0</u>	- \$0
(FTE Position Changes)	(FTE)	(- FTE)
State Operations - Other Costs	\$0	- \$0
Local Assistance	\$0	- \$0
Aids to Individuals or Organizations	\$0	- \$0
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$0	- \$0
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR	\$0	- \$0
FED	\$0	- \$0
PRO/PRS	\$0	- \$0
SEG/SEG-S	\$0	- \$0
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR Taxes		
GPR Earned	\$0	- \$0
FED	\$0	- \$0
PRO/PRS	\$0	- \$0
SEG/SEG-S	\$0	- \$0
TOTAL State Revenues:	\$0	- \$0
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPA	ст	
STATE	LOCA	<u> </u>
Net Change in Costs: \$0	\$0	
Net Change in Revenues: \$0	\$0	
Agency/Prepared by:(Name & Phone No.) Authorized Signature/Telep		Pate OC
DWD / Don Akamatsu 266-1104		1-25-99