

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0663/1dn
JTK:kg:jf

November 11, 1998

The language of proposed s. 13.0991 (7) to the effect that a bill for which a privacy impact statement is required or requested may not be heard or reported by a standing committee to which the bill is referred until the statement is received creates a rule of procedure under article IV, section 8, of the constitution. The supreme court has held that the remedy for noncompliance with this type of provision lies exclusively within the legislative branch. See *State ex rel. La Follette v. Stitt*, 114 Wis. 2d 358, 363-369 (1983). In other words, while this type of provision may be effective to govern internal legislative procedure, the courts will not enforce this type of provision and it does not affect the validity of any enactment resulting from a procedure that may be viewed as contravening the provision.

Jeffery T. Kuesel
Assistant Chief Counsel
266-6778