

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: **01/27/99**

Received By: **kuesejt**

Wanted: **01/29/99**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Senate Chief Clerk 6-1803**

By/Representing: **Donna Doyle**

This file may be shown to any legislator: **NO**

Drafter: **kuesejt**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters: **rkite
nilsepe
nelsorp1
olsenje
kahlepj
dykmapj**

Subject: **State Government - miscellaneous
Military Affairs
Legislature - miscellaneous
Trade Regulation
Courts - immunity liability
Counties
Munis - miscellaneous**

Extra Copies:

Topic:

Engrossed 1999 Assembly Bill 51

Instructions:

ASA 1 as affected by AA's 1, 5, 6, 9 and LRB correction dated 1/26/99.

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	kuesejt 01/27/99	jgeller 01/28/99		_____			
/1			lpaasch 01/28/99 haugca	_____	lrb_docadmin 01/29/99	lrb_docadmin 01/29/99	

Vers. Drafted Reviewed Typist Proofed Submitted Jacketed Required

01/29/99 _____

FE Sent For:

<END>

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 01/27/99

Received By: kuesejt

Wanted: 01/29/99

For: Senate Chief Clerk 6-1803

This file may be shown to any legislator: .

May Contact:

copies to each of the attys shown

LRB:

nting: Donna Doyle

sejt

rkite
nilsepe
nelsorp1
olsenje
kahlepj
dykmapj

Subject: State Government - miscellan
Military Affairs
Legislature - miscellaneous
Trade Regulation
Courts - immunity liability
Counties
Munis - miscellaneous

... copies:

Topic:

Engrossed 1999 Assembly Bill 51

Instructions:

ASA 1 as affected by AA's 1, 5, 6, 9 and LRB correction dated 1/26/99.

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1/?	kuesejt 1/28	1/28 Jlg	ch 1-28	ch 1-29 ht			

FE Sent For:

<END>

ASSEMBLY BILL 51 (LRB-1800)

An Act to repeal 13.0992; to amend 19.37 (2), 19.37 (3), 134.99 (1), 218.015 (7), 560.05 (3) and 775.01; and to create 13.0992, 16.528 (3) (f), 66.285 (4) (f), 134.92, 893.83 and 904.17 of the statutes; relating to: gathering of information by state and local governmental officers and agencies concerning year 2000 and leap year-related processing and public access to such information; recovery of damages in certain actions against state and local governmental units and officers, employes and agents thereof caused by the incorrect processing; transmittal or receipt of certain date data; admissibility of statements regarding the year 2000 processing capabilities of a product or service; preparation of year 2000 impact statements; educational outreach concerning year 2000 and leap year-related computer failures; testing of local emergency contingency plans for responding to year 2000 computer problems; granting rule-making authority; and providing a penalty. (FE)

1999

- 01-19. A. Introduced by Representatives Jensen, Albers, Hutchison and F. Lasee.
- 01-19. A. Read first time and referred to committee on Information Policy
- 29
- 01-20. A. Public hearing held .
- 01-21. A. LRB correction
- 44
- 01-21. A. Executive action taken .
- 01-21. A. Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by committee on Information Policy joint committee on Information Policy (LRB s0004)
- 34
- 01-21. A. Report Assembly substitute amendment 1 adoption recommended by committee on Information Policy, Ayes 3, Noes 2
- 35
- 01-21. A. Report passage as amended recommended by committee on Information Policy, Ayes 3, Noes 2
- 35
- 01-21. A. Referred to committee on Rules
- 35
- 01-21. A. Placed on calendar 1-26-99 by committee on Rules .
- 01-26. A. Fiscal estimate received .
- 01-26. A. Fiscal estimate received .
- 01-26. A. Fiscal estimate received .
- 01-26. A. Read a second time
- 41
- 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representative Kaufert (LRB a0041)
- 41
- 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly substitute

- 41 amendment 1 adopted
- 41 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 2 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 offered by Representatives Bock and
Meyerhofer (LRB a0059)
- 41 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 2 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 placed after Assembly amendment 6 to
Assembly substitute amendment 1
- 41 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 3 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 offered by Representatives Schneider,
Kreuser, Gronemus, Williams, Cullen and Bock
(LRB a0043)
- 41 01-26. A. Point of order that Assembly amendment 3 to Assembly
substitute amendment 1 not germane well taken
- 41 01-26. A. Decision of the Chair appealed
- 41 01-26. A. Decision of the Chair upheld, Ayes 54, Noes 43
- 41 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 4 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 offered by Representatives Schneider,
Kreuser, Williams, Black, Wood, La Fave, Plouff,
Hebl and Bock (LRB a0044)
- 42 01-26. A. Point of order that Assembly amendment 4 to Assembly
substitute amendment 1 not germane well taken
- 42 01-26. A. Decision of the Chair appealed
- 42 01-26. A. Decision of the Chair upheld, Ayes 54, Noes 43
- 42 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 5 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 offered by Representatives Wood and
Albers (LRB a0053)
- 42 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 5 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 adopted
- 42 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 6 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 offered by Representatives Walker, Bock,
M. Lehman, Hutchison, Meyerhofer and Gunderson
(LRB a0063)
- 42 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 6 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 adopted
- 42 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 2 to Assembly substitute

- 42 amendment 1 laid on table
- 42 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 7 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 offered by Representative Albers
(LRB a0057)
- 42 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 7 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 laid on table
- 42 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 8 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 offered by Representatives Kreuser,
Gronemus, Williams and Schneider (LRB a0054)
- 42 01-26. A. Point of order that Assembly amendment 8 to Assembly
substitute amendment 1 not germane well taken
- 42 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 9 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 offered by Representatives Albers,
Jensen and Hutchison (LRB a0056)
- 42 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 9 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 adopted
- 42 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 10 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 offered by Representatives Kelso, Olsen,
Gundrum, Walker, Jeskewitz, Grothman and Hutchison
(LRB a0064)
- 43 01-26. A. Point of order that Assembly amendment 10 to
Assembly substitute amendment 1 not germane not
well taken
- 43 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 10 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 rejected, Ayes 56, Noes 41
- 43 01-26. A. LRB Correction (Assembly substitute amendment 1) .
- 43 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 11 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 offered by Representatives Kelso,
Hutchison, Kaufert and Underheim (LRB a0060)
- 43 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly amendment 11 to
Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by
Representative Kaufert (LRB f2)
- 43 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly amendment 11 to
Assembly substitute amendment 1 adopted
- 43 01-26. A. Assembly amendment 11 to Assembly substitute
amendment 1 rejected, Ayes 59, Noes 38
- 43 01-26. A. Assembly substitute amendment 1 adopted

43 01-26. A. Ordered to a third reading

43 01-26. A. Rules suspended

43 01-26. A. Read a third time and passed, Ayes 92, Noes 5

43 01-26. A. Ordered immediately messaged

44



CORRECTIONS IN:

**ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,
TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 51**

Prepared by the Legislative Reference Bureau
(January 26, 1999)

1. Page 1, line 7: delete "processing;" and substitute "processing,".

**ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT 6,
TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,
TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 51**

January 26, 1999 – Offered by Representatives WALKER, BOCK, M. LEHMAN,
HUTCHISON, MEYERHOFER and GUNDERSON.

1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

2 **1.** Page 9, line 21: delete “reasonable effort” and substitute “good faith
3 attempt”.

4 (END)

BILL HISTORY FOR ASSEMBLY BILL 51 (LRB -1800)

An Act to repeal 13.0992; to amend 19.37 (2), 19.37 (3), 134.99 (1), 218.015 (7), 560.05 (3) and 775.01; and to create 13.0992, 16.528 (3) (f), 66.285 (4) (f), 134.92, 893.83 and 904.17 of the statutes; relating to: gathering of information by state and local governmental officers and agencies concerning year 2000 and leap year-related processing and public access to such information; recovery of damages in certain actions against state and local governmental units and officers, employes and agents thereof caused by the incorrect processing; transmittal or receipt of certain date data; admissibility of statements regarding the year 2000 processing capabilities of a product or service; preparation of year 2000 impact statements; educational outreach concerning year 2000 and leap year-related computer failures; testing of local emergency contingency plans for responding to year 2000 computer problems; granting rule-making authority; and providing a penalty. (FE)

1999

01-19.	A.	Introduced by Representatives Jensen, Albers, Hutchison and F. Lasee.	
01-19.	A.	Read first time and referred to committee on Information Policy	29
01-20.	A.	Public hearing held.	
01-21.	A.	LRB correction	37
01-21.	A.	Executive action taken.	
01-21.	A.	Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by committee on Information Policy (LRB s0004)	34
01-21.	A.	Report Assembly substitute amendment 1 adoption recommended by committee on Information Policy, Ayes 3, Noes 2	35
01-21.	A.	Report passage as amended recommended by committee on Information Policy, Ayes 3, Noes 2	35
01-21.	A.	Referred to committee on Rules	35
01-21.	A.	Placed on calendar 1-26-99 by committee on Rules.	
01-26.	A.	Fiscal estimate received.	
01-26.	A.	Fiscal estimate received.	
01-26.	A.	Fiscal estimate received.	
01-26.	A.	Read a second time	41
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representative Kaufert (LRB a0041)	41
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 adopted	41
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 2 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representatives Bock and Meyerhofer (LRB a0059)	41
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 2 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 placed after Assembly amendment 6 to Assembly substitute amendment 1	41
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 3 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representatives Schneider, Kreuser, Gronemus, Williams, Cullen and Bock (LRB a0043)	41
01-26.	A.	Point of order that Assembly amendment 3 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 not germane well taken	41
01-26.	A.	Decision of the Chair appealed	41
01-26.	A.	Decision of the Chair upheld, Ayes 54, Noes 43	41
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 4 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representatives Schneider, Kreuser, Williams, Black, Wood, La Fave, Plouff, Hebl and Bock (LRB a0044)	42
01-26.	A.	Point of order that Assembly amendment 4 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 not germane well taken	42
01-26.	A.	Decision of the Chair appealed	42
01-26.	A.	Decision of the Chair upheld, Ayes 54, Noes 43	42
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 5 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representatives Wood and Albers (LRB a0053)	42
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 5 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 adopted	42
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 6 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representatives Walker, Bock, M. Lehman, Hutchison, Meyerhofer and Gunderson (LRB a0063)	42
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 6 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 adopted	42
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 2 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 laid on table	42
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 7 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representative Albers (LRB a0057)	42
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 7 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 laid on table	42
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 8 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representatives Kreuser, Gronemus, Williams and Schneider (LRB a0054)	42
01-26.	A.	Point of order that Assembly amendment 8 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 not germane well taken	42
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 9 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representatives Albers, Jensen and Hutchison (LRB a0056)	42
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 9 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 adopted	42
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 10 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representatives Kelso, Olsen, Gundrum, Walker, Jeskewitz, Grothman and Hutchison (LRB a0064)	43
01-26.	A.	Point of order that Assembly amendment 10 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 not germane not well taken	43

01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 10 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 rejected, Ayes 56, Noes 41	43
01-26.	A.	LRB Correction (Assembly substitute amendment 1)	44
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 11 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representatives Kelso, Hutchison, Kaufert and Underheim (LRB a0060)	43
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly amendment 11 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 offered by Representative Kaufert (LRB f2)	43
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 1 to Assembly amendment 11 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 adopted	43
01-26.	A.	Assembly amendment 11 to Assembly substitute amendment 1 rejected, Ayes 59, Noes 38	43
01-26.	A.	Assembly substitute amendment 1 adopted	43
01-26.	A.	Ordered to a third reading	43
01-26.	A.	Rules suspended	43
01-26.	A.	Read a third time and passed , Ayes 92, Noes 5	43
01-26.	A.	Ordered immediately messaged	44
01-27.	S.	Received from Assembly	26
01-27.	S.	Read first time and referred to joint committee on Information Policy	27
01-27.	S.	Printed engrossed by the direction of the Senate Chief Clerk.	
01-27.	S.	Fiscal estimate received.	

1999 WFO-Freeze bill before making any changes.

LRB-2034/11

ENGROSS BILL

[or 2nd House Sub]

(To Be Printed for Legislature)

ALL: jlg: -

WANTED FRI BY 1PM

ENGROSSED 1999 Assembly BILL 51

(Date) January 27, 1999 - Printed by direction of Senate CHIEF CLERK

JOINT RULE 63. **Reproduction of engrossed measures.** Upon the finding by the chief clerk of either house that a bill, joint resolution, resolution or major amendment thereto has been amended in the house of origin to a considerable degree, the chief clerk may instruct the legislative reference bureau to prepare and have reproduced an engrossed copy of the measure. In preparing engrossed copy for a bill, joint resolution or resolution the legislative reference bureau shall, if time permits, provide it with a revised analysis. Upon receipt from the legislative reference bureau of the engrossed copy, the chief clerk shall enter that fact in the history file for the measure. Any subsequent amendments to a measure ordered reproduced with all adopted amendments engrossed therein shall be drafted to the reproduced engrossed text.

ADOPTED DOCUMENTS:

Orig A SubAmdt 1

S0004/11

Amendments to above (if none, write "NONE"):

AA1, AA5, AA6, AA9

Corrections - show date (if none, write "NONE"): 1/26/99

1/28/99 Date [Signature] Drafter [Signature] Editor

ELECTRONIC PROCEDURE: Follow automatic or manual engrossing procedures in TEXT2000 Reference Guide, Document Specific Procedures, Ch. 20, Engrossing and Enrolling.

WORD PROCESSING OPERATOR: Give paper copy of engrossed bill to drafter for final check before forwarding.

Make 15 copies of ENGROSSED BILL: Enter introductory information on engrossed bill cover sheet corresponding to the house of the chief clerk who directed the engrossed bill printed. Distribute copies and send copy of engrossed bill electronically to DOA for printing.

DISTRIBUTION:

DIRECTING CHIEF CLERK:

• Cover sheet and 9 copies ✓

LRB:

• Drafting file original ✓

• Drafting attorney 1 copy ✓

• Legal section editors 1 copy each ✓

• Bill index librarian 1 copy ✓



WFO-Freeze bill before making any changes.

Wanted by Fu: 1/29 - 1PM

~~ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT I,~~

~~ENGROSSED~~ TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 51

27 Printed by direction of SENATE CHIEF CLERK.
January 21, 1999 - Offered by ~~COMMITTEE ON INFORMATION POLICY.~~

1 AN ACT to repeal 13.0992; to amend 19.37 (2), 19.37 (3), 218.015 (7), 560.05 (3)
2 and 775.01; and to create 13.0992, 16.528 (3) (f), 66.285 (4) (f), 134.92, 893.83
3 and 904.17 of the statutes; relating to: gathering of information by state and
4 local governmental officers and agencies concerning year 2000 and leap
5 year-related processing and public access to such information; recovery of
6 damages in certain actions against state and local governmental units and
7 officers, employes and agents thereof caused by the incorrect processing;
8 transmittal or receipt of certain date data; admissibility of statements
9 regarding the year 2000 processing capabilities of a product or service;
10 preparation of year 2000 impact statements; educational outreach concerning
11 year 2000 and leap year-related computer failures; testing of local emergency
12 contingency plans for responding to year 2000 computer problems; granting
13 rule-making authority; and providing a penalty.

ccc 7

ANALYSIS
RESULTS

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

1 **SECTION 1.** 13.0992 of the statutes is created to read:

2 **13.0992 Review of bills impacting on year 2000 problem. (1)**

3 **DEFINITIONS.** In this section:

4 (a) “Department” means the department of administration.

5 (b) “Electronic computing device” means any computer hardware or software,
6 computer chip, embedded chip, process control equipment or other information
7 system used to capture, store, manipulate or process information, or that controls,
8 monitors or assists in the operation of physical apparatus that relies on automation
9 or digital technology to function.

10 (c) “Local governmental unit” means a political subdivision of this state, a
11 special purpose district in this state, an instrumentality or corporation of such a
12 political subdivision or special purpose district, combination or subunit of any of the
13 foregoing or a combination of an instrumentality of the state and any of the foregoing.

14 (d) “Processing” includes calculating, comparing, sequencing, displaying or
15 storing.

16 (e) “State governmental unit” means this state, and every subunit or
17 instrumentality of this state, including any institution or authority, regardless of
18 whether moneys are appropriated to the unit.

19 (f) “Year 2000 problem” means a failure in year 2000 processing of an electronic
20 computing device.

21 (g) “Year 2000 processing” means the processing, transmitting or receiving of
22 date data from, into, and between the 20th and 21st centuries and during the years
23 1999 and 2000, and from leap year calculations.

1 **(2) REPORT ON BILLS IMPACTING ON YEAR 2000 PROBLEM.** (a) Whenever a bill is
 2 introduced in either house of the legislature that would have an impact on the ability
 3 of a state governmental unit, a local governmental unit or any other person to avoid
 4 a year 2000 problem, the department, upon the request of the speaker of the
 5 assembly or the president of the senate, shall prepare a report on the bill within 5
 6 working days of the request. The department shall request information from any
 7 individual, organization or state or local governmental unit that the department
 8 considers likely to be affected by the bill, if enacted. Individuals, organizations and
 9 state and local governmental units shall comply with requests by the department for
 10 information that is reasonably necessary for the department to prepare the report.
 11 To the greatest extent possible, reports under this section shall be based on the
 12 information obtained by the department from individuals, organizations and state
 13 and local governmental units under this paragraph.

14 (b) The report prepared under this section shall be printed as an appendix to
 15 that applicable bill and shall be distributed in the same manner as amendments.

16 **(3) FINDINGS OF THE DEPARTMENT TO BE CONTAINED IN THE REPORT.** The report of
 17 the department shall contain the following information:

18 (a) A statement of the year 2000 problem affected by the bill, including all of
 19 the following:

- 20 1. Whether current law creates a hardship and, if so, the degree of the hardship.
- 21 2. The costs associated with complying with current law and any anticipated
 22 savings likely to result from the bill, if enacted.
- 23 3. Whether any other efforts have been made to resolve the year 2000 problem
 24 affected by the bill.

✓ FNS
3-13
AAS

1 4. The degree of control by those affected by the bill over the year 2000 problem
2 affected by the bill.

3 (b) A description of the proposed effect of the bill, if enacted, on the year 2000
4 problem.

5 (c) Any other special considerations concerning the effect of the bill, such as the
6 frequency of use of the proposed change and the support and involvement of
7 businesses, industries, state governmental units and local governmental units
8 affected by the proposed change.

9 (4) **RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY.** The department may promulgate any rules
10 necessary for the administration of this section.

11 **SECTION 2.** 13.0992 of the statutes, as created by 1999 Wisconsin Act (this
12 act), is repealed.

13 **SECTION 3.** 16.528 (3) (f) of the statutes is created to read:

14 16.528 (3) (f) An order or contract to which s. 893.83 applies.

15 **SECTION 4.** 19.37 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

16 19.37 (2) **COSTS, FEES AND DAMAGES.** (a) Except as provided in this paragraph
17 and s. 893.83, the court shall award reasonable attorney fees, damages of not less
18 than \$100, and other actual costs to the requester if the requester prevails in whole
19 or in substantial part in any action filed under sub. (1) relating to access to a record
20 or part of a record under s. 19.35 (1) (a). If the requester is a committed or
21 incarcerated person, the requester is not entitled to any minimum amount of
22 damages, but the court may award damages. Costs and fees shall be paid by the
23 authority affected or the unit of government of which it is a part, or by the unit of
24 government by which the legal custodian under s. 19.33 is employed and may not
25 become a personal liability of any public official.

1 (b) ~~In~~ Except as provided in s. 893.83, in any action filed under sub. (1) relating
2 to access to a record or part of a record under s. 19.35 (1) (am), if the court finds that
3 the authority acted in a wilful or intentional manner, the court shall award the
4 individual actual damages sustained by the individual as a consequence of the
5 failure.

6 **SECTION 5.** 19.37 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

7 **19.37 (3) PUNITIVE DAMAGES.** If Except as provided in s. 893.83, if a court finds
8 that an authority or legal custodian under s. 19.33 has arbitrarily and capriciously
9 denied or delayed response to a request or charged excessive fees, the court may
10 award punitive damages to the requester.

11 **SECTION 6.** 66.285 (4) (f) of the statutes is created to read:

12 **66.285 (4) (f)** An order or contract to which s. 893.83 applies.

13 **SECTION 7.** 134.92 of the statutes is created to read:

14 **134.92 Year 2000 processing information. (1)** In this section:

15 (a) “Agent” means an authorized person, other than a director, officer or
16 employe, who acts on behalf of or at the direction of another person.

17 (b) “Authority” has the meaning given in s. 19.32 (1).

18 (c) “Processing” includes calculating, comparing, sequencing, displaying or
19 storing.

20 (d) “Regulatory power” means the power to authorize or require a specific class
21 of persons to perform certain acts.

22 (e) “Year 2000 processing” means the processing, transmitting or receiving of
23 date data from, into, and between the 20th and 21st centuries and during the years
24 1999 and 2000, and from leap year calculations.

1 (f) “Year 2000 statement” means any communication or other conveyance of
2 information by a person to another person or to the public, in any form or medium,
3 including a computer program:

4 1. Concerning an assessment, projection or estimate relating to year 2000
5 processing capabilities of an entity, product, service or set of products and services.

6 2. Concerning plans, objectives, or timetables for implementing or verifying the
7 year 2000 processing capabilities of an entity, product, service or set of products and
8 services.

9 3. Concerning test plans, test dates, test results or operational problems or
10 solutions related to year 2000 processing by products or services that incorporate or
11 utilize products.

12 4. Concerning the correction or avoidance of a year 2000 processing failure in
13 computer hardware, a computer system, a component of a computer system, a
14 computer program or software or services utilizing any computer hardware, system,
15 component, program or software or services.

16 5. Reviewing, commenting on, or otherwise directly or indirectly relating to
17 year 2000 processing capabilities.

18 (2) Each authority may require any person over whom the authority exercises
19 regulatory power to provide to the authority information relating to year 2000
20 processing, including a year 2000 statement, with respect to any matter relating to
21 public health or safety that is regulated by that authority or any matter relating to
22 public health or safety that has an effect upon a matter that is regulated by that
23 authority.

24 (3) (a) Each director, partner or proprietor of a business shall forfeit \$100 if the
25 business does any of the following:

- 1 1. Fails to provide any information required under sub. (2).
- 2 2. Knowingly gives a false answer to any request for information made under
3 sub. (2).
- 4 3. Evades the answer to any request for information made under sub. (2).
- 5 (b) Each day of violation under par. (a) constitutes a separate offense.
- 6 (4) (a) Except as provided in pars. (b) and (c), each authority shall withhold
7 from access by any person under s. 19.35 (1) all information provided to the authority
8 under sub. (2).
- 9 (b) Each authority shall provide to the department of administration, upon
10 written request of the department, any information provided to the authority under
11 sub. (2). The department of administration shall withhold from access by any person
12 under s. 19.35 (1) any information provided to the department under this paragraph.
- 13 (c) Any person who provides information to an authority under sub. (2) may
14 consent in writing to that authority for the authority to provide that information to
15 another specified person or to any person.

16 **SECTION 8.** 218.015 (7) of the statutes is amended to read:

17 218.015 (7) ~~In~~ Except as provided in s. 893.83, in addition to pursuing any other
18 remedy, a consumer may bring an action to recover for any damages caused by a
19 violation of this section. The court shall award a consumer who prevails in such an
20 action twice the amount of any pecuniary loss, together with costs, disbursements
21 and reasonable attorney fees, and any equitable relief the court determines
22 appropriate.

23 **SECTION 9.** 560.05 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

24 560.05 (3) ~~The~~ Subject to s. 893.83, the state shall be liable for accrued rentals
25 and for any other default under any lease or sublease made under sub. (2) (c) and may

1 be sued therefor on contract as in other contract actions under ch. 775, except that
2 it shall not be necessary for the lessor under any such lease or sublease or any
3 assignee of such lessor or any person or other legal entity proceeding on behalf of such
4 lessor to file any claim with the legislature prior to the commencement of any such
5 action.

6 **SECTION 10.** 775.01 of the statutes is amended to read:

7 **775.01 Actions against state; bond.** ~~Upon~~ Except as provided in s. 893.83,
8 upon the refusal of the legislature to allow a claim against the state the claimant may
9 commence an action against the state by service as provided in s. 801.11 (3) and by
10 filing with the clerk of court a bond, not exceeding \$1,000, with 2 or more sureties,
11 to be approved by the attorney general, to the effect that the claimant will indemnify
12 the state against all costs that may accrue in such action and pay to the clerk of court
13 all costs, in case the claimant fails to obtain judgment against the state.

14 **SECTION 11.** 893.83 of the statutes is created to read:

15 **893.83 Claims against state and local governmental units resulting**
16 **from certain incorrect dates.** (1) In this section:

17 (a) “Electronic computing device” means any computer hardware or software,
18 computer chip, embedded chip, process control equipment, or other information
19 system used to capture, store, manipulate, or process information, or that controls,
20 monitors, or assists in the operation of physical apparatus that relies on automation
21 or digital technology to function.

22 (b) “Local governmental unit” means a political subdivision of this state, a
23 special purpose district in this state, an instrumentality or corporation of such a
24 political subdivision or special purpose district, a combination or subunit of any of

1 the foregoing or a combination of an instrumentality of the state and any of the
2 foregoing.

3 (c) "Process" includes calculate, compare, sequence, display or store.

4 (cm) "Remediation plan" means a written document that includes a listing of
5 tasks, resources and target milestone dates, developed to implement changes to
6 ensure that electronic computing devices will accurately process, transmit and
7 receive data from, into and between the 20th and 21st centuries and during the
8 years of 1999 and 2000, and from leap year calculations.

9 (d) "State governmental unit" means this state, and every subunit or
10 instrumentality of this state, including any institution or authority, regardless of
11 whether moneys are appropriated to the unit, but does not include a local
12 governmental unit.

13 (2) No person may maintain an action against any state governmental unit or
14 local governmental unit, or any officer, employe or agent of such a unit acting in his
15 or her capacity as an officer, employe or agent, for any damages arising from any
16 wrongful act or omission caused by the failure of an electronic computing device that
17 is controlled by such a unit, officer, employe or agent to process, transmit or receive
18 data from, into and between the 20th and 21st centuries and during the years
19 1999 and 2000, and from leap year calculations, if the unit had a remediation plan

20 in effect at the time of the act or omission that was designed to prevent the failure
21 and the unit or the officer, employe or agent made a ^{Good Faith attempt} reasonable effort to find, identify
22 and replace or correct any electronic computing device that may have contributed to
23 the failure. Sections 893.80 and 893.82 apply to any action for damages arising from
24 any wrongful act or omission caused by the failure of an electronic computing device
25 to process, transmit or receive data from, into and between the 20th and 21st

AA6 (21)

AA9 (23)

JWS 9-23 ✓

1 centuries and during the years 1999 and 2000, and from leap year calculations, that
2 is not prohibited by this subsection.

3 (3) Any provision of a contract entered into, extended, modified or renewed by
4 a state governmental unit or local governmental unit on or after the effective date
5 of this subsection [revisor inserts date], contrary to sub. (2) is void.

6 SECTION 12. 904.17 of the statutes is created to read:

7 **904.17 Year 2000 statements.** (1) In this section:

8 (a) “Local governmental unit” means a political subdivision of this state, a
9 special purpose district in this state, an instrumentality or corporation of such a
10 political subdivision or special purpose district, a combination or subunit of any of
11 the foregoing or a combination of an instrumentality of the state and any of the
12 foregoing.

13 (b) “Processing” includes calculating, comparing, sequencing, displaying or
14 storing.

15 (c) “Year 2000 processing” means the processing, transmitting or receiving of
16 date data from, into and between the 20th and 21st centuries, and during the years
17 1999 and 2000, and from leap year calculations.

18 (d) “Year 2000 statement” means any communication or other conveyance of
19 information by a person to another or to the public in any form or medium, including
20 a computer program:

21 1. Concerning an assessment, projection, or estimate relating to year 2000
22 processing capabilities of an entity, product, service or set of products and services.

23 2. Concerning plans, objectives or timetables for implementing or verifying the
24 year 2000 processing capabilities of an entity, product, service or set of products and
25 services.

1 3. Concerning test plans, test dates, test results or operational problems or
2 solutions related to year 2000 processing by products or services that incorporate or
3 utilize products.

4 4. Concerning the correction or avoidance of a year 2000 processing failure in
5 computer hardware, a computer system, a component of a computer system, a
6 computer program or software or services utilizing any computer hardware, system,
7 component, program or software or services.

8 5. Reviewing, commenting on, or otherwise directly or indirectly relating to
9 year 2000 processing capabilities.

10 **(3)** Information contained in a year 2000 statement may be offered in evidence
11 in any civil action or proceeding only if one of the following applies:

12 (a) The information is contained in a year 2000 statement made by a person
13 who knew that the statement was false, misleading or deceptive or that was made
14 with reckless disregard as to the truth or falsity of the statement.

15 (b) The information is contained in a republished year 2000 statement that the
16 person who republished the statement knew was false, misleading or deceptive.

17 (c) The information is contained in a year 2000 statement that was made by a
18 person who was compensated for making that statement as part of a contractual
19 service related to the assessment, contingency planning, remediation or testing of a
20 failure in year 2000 processing.

21 (d) The information is contained in a year 2000 statement that was made by
22 a person when soliciting a consumer, including when advertising or offering to sell
23 a product.

24 (e) The information is being offered in a civil action or proceeding brought by
25 a local governmental unit acting in a regulatory, supervisory or enforcement capacity

1 or by a state governmental unit acting in a regulatory, supervisory or enforcement
2 capacity.

3 (f) The information is contained in a year 2000 statement that omits material
4 information, and the omission of the material information makes the statement
5 false, misleading or deceptive.

6 **SECTION 13. Nonstatutory provisions.**

7 (1) YEAR 2000 EDUCATIONAL OUTREACH.

8 (a) In this subsection:

9 1. “Electronic computing device” means any computer hardware or software,
10 computer chip, embedded chip, process control equipment, or other information
11 system used to capture, store, manipulate, or process information, or that controls,
12 monitors, or assists in the operation of physical apparatus that relies on automation
13 or digital technology to function.

14 2. “Process” includes calculate, compare, sequence, display or store.

15 (b) Notwithstanding section 16.705 (1) of the statutes, the department of
16 administration may contract with one or more private contractors to provide
17 educational outreach with respect to potential failures of electronic computing
18 devices to process, transmit or receive data from, into and between the 20th and
19 21st centuries and during the years 1999 and 2000, and from leap year calculations,
20 and methods of correction of and contingency planning for such failures. No contract
21 entered into under this subsection may extend beyond December 31, 1999. Section
22 16.705 (2) and (3) of the statutes do not apply to any such contract.

23 (2) EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT CONTINGENCY PLANS.

24 (a) In this subsection, “electronic computing device” means any computer
25 hardware or software, computer chip, embedded chip, process control equipment or

1 other information system used to capture, store, manipulate or process information,
2 or that controls, monitors or assists in the operation of physical apparatus that relies
3 on automation or digital technology to function.

Handwritten: *del S 13-3*
AA1

4 (b) The department of military affairs shall prepare a plan on how they will
5 review all of the local emergency management services agency contingency plans for
6 responding to the failure of an electronic computing device to process, transmit or
7 receive data from, into and between the 20th and 21st centuries, and during the
8 years 1999 and 2000, and from leap year calculations. The plan shall include an
9 estimate of the costs necessary to review the local contingency plans and, if that
10 review determines that those local emergency management services agency
11 contingency plans are not adequate or need testing, the estimated cost necessary to
12 test those contingency plans. The adjutant general shall submit its findings and plan
13 for funding to the joint committee on finance by the 60th day after the effective date
14 of this paragraph. If the joint committee on finance approves the funding plan, the
15 joint committee on finance may supplement the appropriation under section 20.465
16 (3) (a) of the statutes from the appropriation under section 20.865 (4) (a) of the
17 statutes. Notwithstanding section 13.101 (3) (a) of the statutes, the joint committee
18 on finance is not required to find that an emergency exists:

19 **SECTION 14. Initial applicability.**

20 (1) ADMISSIBILITY OF YEAR 2000 STATEMENTS. The treatment of section 904.17 of
21 the statutes first applies to a year 2000 statement made on the effective date of this
22 subsection.

23 (2) STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL LIABILITY. The treatment of sections 16.528
24 (3) (f), 19.37 (2) and (3), 66.285 (4) (f), 218.015 (7), 560.05 (3), 775.01 and 893.83 of
25 the statutes first applies with respect to noncontractual injuries occurring or injuries

1 occurring under contracts entered into, extended, modified or renewed on the
2 effective date of this subsection.

3 (3) YEAR 2000 IMPACT STATEMENTS. The creation of section 13.0992 of the statutes
4 first applies to bills introduced on the effective date of this subsection.

5 **SECTION 15. Effective dates.** This act takes effect on the day after
6 publication, except as follows:

7 (1) The repeal of section 13.0992 of the statutes takes effect on January 1, 2001.

8 (END)

1999-2000 DRAFTING INSERT
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-2034/lins
ALL.....

ANALYSIS, p1

→ NSA (from p. 2 of Analysis)

Engrossment information:

The text of Engrossed 1999 Engrossed Assembly Bill 51[✓] consists of the following documents adopted in the assembly on January 26, 1999: Assembly Substitute Amendment 1[✓] as affected by Assembly Amendment 1[✓], Assembly Amendment 5, Assembly Amendment 6[✓] and Assembly Amendment 9[✓]. The text also includes the January 26, 1999, LRB correction to Assembly Substitute Amendment 1.

Content of Engrossed 1999 Assembly Bill 51[✓]

1



BILL

ANALYSIS, P. 2

1 contingency plans for responding to year 2000 computer problems; granting
2 rule making authority; and providing a penalty.

*WFO -
change to
HEAD*

*ALL CAPS, no
italics*

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

*INS A (into p. 1
of Analysis)*

Information gathering

This bill permits any state or local governmental unit which or officer who has regulatory power over any person to require that person to provide to the unit or officer information relating to year 2000 processing. Year 2000 processing information includes any communication made by the person to any other person or persons: 1) concerning an assessment, projection or estimate relating to, or plans, objectives or timetables for implementing or verifying year 2000 processing capabilities of an entity, product, service or set of products or services; 2) concerning test plans, dates or results, or operational problems or solutions related to year 2000 processing by products or services that incorporate or otherwise utilize products; 3) concerning the correction or avoidance of a year 2000 processing failure in computer hardware, a computer system, a component of a computer system, a computer program or software or services utilizing any computer hardware, system, component, program or software or services; or 4) reviewing, commenting on, or otherwise directly or indirectly relating to year 2000 processing capabilities. The information may only pertain to a matter relating to public health or safety that is regulated by that authority or a matter relating to public health or safety that has an effect upon a matter that is regulated by that authority. The bill defines "year 2000 processing" as processing, transmitting or receiving data from, into and between the 20th and 21st centuries and during the years 1999 and 2000, and from leap year calculations. Currently, no such authority exists.

Under the bill, if any business fails to provide the information required, knowingly gives a false answer to a request for information or evades the answer to any request for information, each director, partner or proprietor of that business is subject to a forfeiture (civil penalty) of \$100 for each offense. Each day of violation constitutes a separate offense.

The bill requires each state or local governmental unit which or officer who receives information under the bill to withhold from access all information provided to the unit or officer under the public records access law, except that the unit or officer must provide the information to the department of administration (DOA) upon written request of the department and may, upon written consent of a person who provides information to the unit or officer, provide the information to another specified person or to any person.

~~This bill provides that no year 2000 processing information provided by a person at the request of a governmental unit that has regulatory power over the person is admissible in any civil action or proceeding. Any other year 2000 processing information may be offered in evidence only if the information is in a statement made by a person who knew that the statement was false, misleading or deceptive or that was made with reckless disregard as to the truth or falsity of the~~



Analysis, p3

BILL

statement, if the information is in a republished year 2000 statement that the person who republished the statement knew was false, misleading or deceptive, if the information is in a statement made by a person who was compensated for providing a year 2000 statement as part of a contractual service or made by a person when soliciting a consumer, or if the information is offered in any action brought by the state or a local governmental unit acting in regulatory, supervisory or enforcement capacity.

HEAD

State and local governmental liability

ALL CAPS, no italics

Currently, under the common law doctrine of sovereign immunity, the state is immune from lawsuits, except in certain instances in which laws permit the state to be sued or the enforcement of a federal or constitutional right is involved. State authorities and local governmental units do not enjoy such broad immunity, although narrower grants of immunity are provided to such authorities and units under various specific laws. Also, in certain limited circumstances, a state governmental officer, employe or agent may be sued for certain acts or omissions even though a lawsuit arising from the same acts or omissions may not be brought against the governmental unit that the officer, employe or agent serves. A state or local governmental officer, employe or agent who is sued for a negligent action or omission may be protected from liability currently if the officer, employe or agent makes a good faith attempt to resolve the problem that results in the act or omission. No punitive damages (damages not resulting from direct or indirect loss but awarded, instead, as punishment for wrongful conduct) may be awarded in any lawsuit against a state or local governmental officer, employe or agent based upon tort (a noncontractual claim based upon alleged wrongful conduct). Damages in tort lawsuits are generally limited to \$250,000 in the case of a state officer, employe or agent, or \$50,000 in the case of a local governmental unit or officer, employe or agent thereof. Currently, with certain exceptions, the state and local governments must pay interest on late payments to vendors.

This bill provides that no person may recover any damages against any state or local governmental unit, including a state authority, or any officer, employe or agent thereof, for any act or omission caused by the failure of an electronic computing device that is under the control of such a unit, officer, employe or agent to process, transmit or receive data from, into and between the 20th and 21st centuries and during the years 1999 and 2000 and from leap year calculations if the unit had a remediation plan in effect at the time of the act or omission that was designed to prevent the failure and the unit or the officer, employe or agent made a ~~reasonable~~ effort to find, identify and replace or correct any electronic computing device that may have contributed to the failure. The bill also voids any ~~contrary~~ provision of a contract entered into on or after the day on which the bill becomes law. In addition, the bill provides that the state and local governments are not required to pay interest to vendors on late payments arising from a "year 2000" failure described above.

goes with attempt

that attempts to waive the immunity provided under the bill

HEAD

Year 2000 impact statements

ALL CAPS NO italics

This bill provides that whenever a bill is introduced in either house of the legislature that would have an impact on the ability of a state governmental unit, a local governmental unit or any other person to avoid a year 2000 problem, as defined

Under the bill, a governmental unit or officer, employe or agent thereof must take these steps in order to benefit from any good faith defense to an allegation of negligence.

ANL-4-515-04

BILL

in the bill, the speaker of the assembly or president of the senate may require that a year 2000 impact statement be prepared by DOA. The statement describes the impact upon the year 2000 problem that would result from enactment of the bill.

ANL-4A

HEAD

Educational outreach ← ALL CAPS
no italics

This bill permits DOA to contract with one or more private contractors to provide educational outreach with respect to the potential failure of computers to process, transmit or receive data from, into and between the 20th and 21st centuries and during the years 1999 and 2000 and from leap year calculations, and the methods of correction and contingency planning for such failures. Under the bill, DOA has discretion to determine the persons to whom the outreach is to be provided.

Currently, DOA has no authority or responsibility to conduct outreach for this purpose. Under current law, state agencies may contract for services that they are authorized or required to provide if the services can be provided more economically or efficiently by contract, subject to certain statutory requirements, rules of DOA and collective bargaining laws and agreements. State agencies must justify the need for contractual service procurements. This bill provides that certain of these statutes and rules do not apply to the contractual services that DOA is permitted to procure under the bill.

HEAD

Emergency management contingency plans ← ALL CAPS
no italics

Under current law, the adjutant general, as the head of the department of military affairs (DMA), is required to develop and promulgate a statewide plan of emergency management for the security of persons and property and to provide training to and standards for local emergency management services agencies.

~~This bill requires DMA to review all of the local emergency management services agency contingency plans for responding to the failure of a computer to handle and correctly interpret year 2000 data. If DMA determines that those plans are inadequate or in need of testing, the bill authorizes DMA to prepare a plan for the funding of a local governmental unit to test those contingency plans and to submit that funding plan to the joint committee on finance. The bill allows the joint committee on finance to supplement DMA appropriations to implement the testing of the contingency plans.~~

JCF

Insert ANI-EM

For further information see the ~~state and local~~ fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. 13.0992 of the statutes is created to read:
- 2 **13.0992 Review of bills impacting on year 2000 problem. (1)**
- 3 DEFINITIONS. In this section:

(ANL-4A)

20# The bill requires persons to provide information to DoA^v to assist in preparing the statement.

The bill establishes a procedure that

~~permits such a person to resegment the information of confidential business~~

protects the ^{confidentiality} confidentiality of the information ~~that~~, unless a court ^{authorizes} authorizes its disclosure.

1999-2000 DRAFTING INSERT
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-2034/?insEM
...RPN:.....

insert Anl-EM:

¶ This bill requires the division of emergency management in the department of military affairs (DMA) to review the county emergency response plans to determine if those plans prepare the counties to ~~response~~ to an emergency created by a computer's failure to correctly respond to data regarding the year 2000. The bill authorizes the division to assist counties in revising their emergency response plans if those plans insufficiently respond to the year 2000 computer problems. The bill allows the adjutant general to submit a request to the joint committee on finance for the contracting and testing of county emergency response plans.

(JCF)

~~ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT 5,
TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,
TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 51~~

FWS 3-13

January 26, 1999 - Offered by Representatives WOOD and ALBERS.

1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

2 **1.** Page 3, line 13: after that line insert:

3 (am) Any person providing information under this section may designate the
4 information as confidential business information or a trade secret, as defined in s.
5 134.90 (1) (c). The department of administration shall notify the person providing
6 the information 15 days before any information designated as confidential or trade
7 secret is disclosed to the legislature, an agency, as defined in s. 13.62 (2), a local
8 governmental unit, as defined in s. 605.01 (1), or any other person. The person
9 furnishing the information may seek a court order limiting or prohibiting the
10 disclosure. In such cases, the court shall weigh the need for confidentiality of the
11 information against the public interest in the disclosure. Confidentiality is waived

PWS 3-13

1 ↓ if the person providing the information consents in writing to disclosure or if
2 disclosure is authorized by a court.

3

(END)

**ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT 9,
TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,
TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 51**

JNS 9-23

January 26, 1999 - Offered by Representatives ALBERS, JENSEN and HUTCHISON.

NO P

1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

2 **1.** Page 9, line 23: after "failure." insert *✓* No defendant in such an action is
3 considered to have made a good faith effort to address such a failure unless the
4 defendant took the specific actions required to qualify for immunity under this
5 subsection.

6 **(END)**

**ASSEMBLY AMENDMENT 1,
TO ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,
TO 1999 ASSEMBLY BILL 51**

~~January 26, 1999 -- Offered by Representative KAUFERT.~~

JWSB-3

1 At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

2 ~~1. Page 13, line 4: delete lines 4 to 18 and substitute:~~

3 (b) The division of emergency management in the department of military
4 affairs shall review the local emergency response plans prepared by the counties to
5 determine if those plans sufficiently prepare the counties to respond to an emergency
6 that results from the failure of an electronic computing device to process, transmit
7 or receive data from, into, and between the 20th and 21st centuries and during
8 the years 1999 and 2000, and from leap years. If the division of emergency
9 management determines that one or more of the local emergency response plans
10 prepared by counties are insufficient to respond to the failure of an electronic
11 computing device to process, transmit or receive the date data, the division may
12 assist the county in the preparation of a revised emergency response plan. Within



- 2 -
SWS 13-3

1 60 days after the adjutant general determines that there is a need, he or she may
2 submit to the joint committee on finance a proposal, which shall include funding, for
3 the contracting and testing of revised local emergency response plans prepared by
4 the counties. If the joint committee on finance approves the funding for the
5 contracting and testing, the joint committee on finance may supplement the
6 appropriation under section 20.465 (3) (a) of the statutes from the appropriation
7 under section 20.865 (4) (a) of the statutes. Notwithstanding section 13.101 (3) (a)
8 of the statutes, the joint committee on finance is not first required to find that an
9 emergency exists.

~~(END)~~