DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

D-NOTE INSERT B:

In addition, this draft creates a new provision allowing the admission of year 2000 processing information in an action brought under proposed s. 100.261. See proposed s. 904.17 (3) (f). We believe that such a provision is necessary given the interaction of proposed ss. 100.261 and 904.17. Specifically, without such a provision, it is unclear how a person could proceed with an action under s. 100.261 because year 2000 processing statements are generally inadmissible unless they are false, misleading or deceptive—the very things that a person must prove in an action under proposed s. 100.261. Does proposed s. 904.17 (3) (f) effect your intent?