				1999 Session
	X ORIGINAL	·		LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.
-		UPDATED SUPPLEMENTAL		AB 104 (99-1284/1)
FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R10/94)	CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL		Amendment No. if Applicable
Subject				i
Bill to allow Managed Forest Land to	be located in cities			
Fiscal Effect				
State: No State Fiscal Effect				
Check columns below only if bill makes a or affects a sum sufficient appropriation	•	•	Increase Costs - Within Agency's E	May be possible to Absorb Budget X Yes No
Increase Existing Appropriation	Increase Existin	-		
Decrease Existing Appropriation Create New Appropriation	Decrease Existing	ng Revenues	Decrease Costs	
Local: No local government costs			- 1	
1. 🔀 Increase Costs	3. Increase Rever	nues	5. Types of Local Gov	ernment Units Affected:
X Permissive Mandatory	Permissiv	e 🗌 Mandatory	Towns	☐ Villages ☐ Cities
2. Decrease Costs	4. Decrease Reve	enues	Z Counties	WTCS Districts
Permissive Mandatory	Permissiv		X School Districts	_
Fund Sources Affected			ed Ch. 20 Appropriations	
GPR FED PRO	PRS X SEG	-3	0(1)(mu), (1)(cr), & (5)(b	ov)
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate		- 		
This bill permits landowners within cities to paramaged forest land pay an annual acreage sha Only small acreages would qualify for entry in of rural area and woodlands. The average entroumulative. Interest from some developers mather new landowners. FISCAL IMPACT - Assessed values within city limits address manyiews are the major considerations. The averagin towns and villages. The assumption is that there would be 10 entries a factor for about 5 years. It is assumed that mange in suburbs of large cities. It is assumed to \$20,000 per acre with property taxes of \$350 pestimated lost tax revenue to cities of \$30,860 acres X \$12 = \$360). Increased income to the loss to cities would be offset by increases of \$0 counties and school districts would receive slig revenue. Any lost revenue is cumulative in that Due to the relatively low acreage that would be 0.03 FTE processing time, \$150 supplies/service the books, and are assumed to be \$200 (\$20/en	are instead of the property the managed forest law expression of the probably be the managed forest law expression occur where the penalty occur where the penalty occur where the penalty of additional values beyong 1992 savings, based or less of 10 acres each design oct of these entries would that 4 of these parcels are fer acre, and 3 parcels asset of acres X \$ state would be \$300 annual 0.20/acre from state aids pathly reduced municipal act additional entries would be entered, the state cost incress and \$0.20/acre aid pay	taxes that normally would take that normally would ack year. The entries will ack the use of the land. Provided the use of the land. Provided the Legislative Audit Broated as MFL lands each libe in cities where the assessed at \$30,000 per essed at \$700 per acre will be in cities where the assessed at \$700 per acre will see that the land to land the land to the total lost reverse acres would be minor.	ald be payable, which, in could concentrate in those ne costs and revenues have alue of land when it is developed to be a cost and revenues have alue of land when it is developed to be a cost and a cost a cost and a cost a c	effect, is a reduced property tax rate cities that have a greater percentage be been annualized but many are reloped and could be absorbed by s, access to utilities, aesthetics, and uged Forest Law, was 79% for lands I withdrawal penalties would not be brest land is at the high end of the of \$500/acre, 3 parcels assessed at acre. This would be a total = \$10,500, plus 3 parcels X 10 opplication fee x 10). The annual tax 0 acres, or \$94. The affected cities, fees would replace some of the lost intract.
Long-Range Fiscal Implications The greatest long range fiscal impact will be or over 99%. Since this a cumulative issue with rand the increased acreage and forgone tax. Agency Prepared By	n the cities where tax rates new lands being entered	s are high and a landown ach year and contracts ru	ner may be forgoing 90 to anning for 25 or 50 years,	the impact grows with each year
inguity i repared by	ii nono ivo.	, to fire in the control of the cont	/	5 175. Date

Joe Polasek

DNR

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET		1999 Session		
Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect ORIGINAL OORIGINAL CORRECTED			tule No. Amendment No.	
Subject CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL	AB 104		
Bill to allow Managed Forest Land to be located in cities				
I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local G	overnment (do not	include in annualiz	red fiscal affect):	
None	•			
II. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:		
A. State Costs by Category	Incr	eased Costs	Decreased Costs	
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$1,330	\$0	
(FTE Position Changes)		0.03	0.00	
State Operations - Other Costs		\$150	\$0	
Local Assistance		\$20	\$0	
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		\$0	\$0	
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$1,500	\$0	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Incr	eased Costs	Decreased Costs	
GPR		\$0	\$0	
FED		\$0	\$0	
PRO/PRS		\$0	\$0	
SEG/SEG-S		\$1,500	\$0	
III. State Revenues: Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease	e state Incr	eased Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.) GPR Taxes		\$0	\$0	
GPR Earned		\$ 0	\$0	
FED		\$0	\$0	
PRO/PRS		\$0	\$0	
SEG/SEG-S		\$300	\$0	
TOTAL State Revenues		\$300	\$0	
NET ANNUAL	LIZED IMPACT			
	STATE	LOCAL		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$1,500	\$200		
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$300	(\$3	0,800)	
Agency Prepared By Phone No. Autho	nized Signature	Phone	No. Date	
ONR Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	my Val	(608) 2	266-2794 02/15/1999	