

FISCAL ESTIMATE

DOA-2048 (R 10/94)

- ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB or Bill No. / Adm. Rule No.

AB 151 (99-1557/2)

Amendment No. (If Applicable)

Subject

Sieker Claim Against the State

Fiscal Effect

State: No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation

- Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues
 Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues
 Create New Appropriation

Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget Yes No

Decrease Costs

Local: No local government costs

1. Increase Costs
 Permissive Mandatory
 2. Decrease Costs
 Permissive Mandatory

3. Increase Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory
 4. Decrease Revenues
 Permissive Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Unit Affected:

- Towns Villages Cities
 Counties Others _____
 School Districts WTCS Districts

Fund Source Affected

- GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill directs expenditure of \$12,600 from the general fund in payment of a claim made by Alan and Marlene Sieker against DATCP. The Claims Board recommended payment of this claim. The funds would be expended from the appropriation under s.20.505 (4)(d), which is a sum sufficient for payment of awards made by the Claims Board or DOA or awards made by an act of the legislature arising from a claim filed with the Claims Board. Therefore, there is no fiscal impact on DATCP.

Long - Range Fiscal Implications

None.

Agency/prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)

DATCP
Lynn Jarzombeck

Authorized Signature/Telephone No.

Barbara Knapp
Barbara Knapp (608) 224-4746

Date

3/10/99