			1999 Session
	M opiowal -		LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.
		DATED	1999 AB 183 (99-0354/1)
FISCAL ESTIMATE DOA-2048 N(R10/94)	CORRECTED SU	PPLEMENTAL	Amendment No. if Applicable
Subject	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Clarified powers for cities and redevelopme	nt authorities to address pollution ur	ider slum and blight clearance author	rity and tax incremental financing
Fiscal Effect			•
State: No State Fiscal Effect			
Check columns below only if bill make	s a direct appropriation		
or affects a sum sufficient appropriati			sts - May be possible to Absorb
Increase Existing Appropriation	Increase Existing Reven	Within Agend	cy's Budget
Decrease Existing Appropriation			
Create New Appropriation		Decrease Co	Jaia
Local: 🔀 No local government cos	ts		
1. Increase Costs	3. Increase Revenues	5. Types of Local	Government Units Affected:
Permissive Mandator	y Permissive	Mandatory Towns	☐ Villages
2. Decrease Costs	4. Decrease Revenues	Counties	WTCS Districts
Permissive Mandator		Mandatory School Dis	gening
Fund Sources Affected	y Chinasive	Affected Ch. 20 Appropriati	
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO	☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG		
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estin			
SUMMARY OF BILL - This bill clarifies the			
authorities (acquisition and redevelopment) engineering studies of these properties; inversely Redevelopment authorities, which already be environmental investigations as well. Final pollution" as a factor that makes the area bloom of the street of the s	estigate these properties for environn have the power to inspect, appraise and ly, this bill amends the definition of	nental contamination; and to obtain a nd conduct engineering studies, are g	a warrant if access is not granted. given the explicit authority to conduct
		•	
FISCAL IMPACT - This bill would have no	o fiscal impact on the Department of	Natural Resources.	
	•	·	
There would be no costs to local government	nts.		
	•		
			e de la companya de
	. *		
Long-Range Fiscal Implications Some municipalities believe they already he However, by explicitly referencing these posavings cannot be quantified.	ave the authority to exercise their slu owers, the municipalities believe that	m and blight elimination authorities they can avoid costs associated with	to address environmental contamination. h litigating the issue. This potential cost
Agonesia Drangered Dis	Phono No. Avilha	rized Signature	Phone No. Date
Agency Prepared By	Phone No. Author	ized Signature	Filolie No. Date
DNR Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	N Volose	(608) 266-2794 03/09/1999
		7	

SISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET			· 1	1999 Session	
	Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effe 7 (R10/94)		LRB or Bill No./Adm.	Rule No. Amendment No.	
ubject		☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPI	PLEMENTAL 1999 AB 183	<u> </u>	
	owers for cities and redevelopm	ent authorities to address pollution under	slum and blight clearance authority a	and tax incremental financing	
One-	Time Costs or Revenue Imp	pacts for State and/or Local Govern	ment (do not include in annuali	ized fiscal affect):	
. Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal imp	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:		
A. State Costs by Category			Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
s	state Operations - Salaries ar	nd Fringes			
(F	FTE Position Changes)				
s	State Operations - Other Cost	s			
L	ocal Assistance	· ·			
Α	ids to Individuals or Organiza	ations			
	TOTAL State Costs by	y Category	\$0	\$0	
. State	Costs by Source of Fun	nds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
G	6PR			_	
F	ED				
Р	RO/PRS				
s	EG/SEG-S				
I. State		ly when proposal will increase or decrease state ax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.	
G	SPR Taxes			-	
G	PR Earned				
F	ED				
Р	PRO/PRS				
s	EG/SEG-S				
TOTAL State Revenues			\$0	\$0	
		NET ANNUALIZEI	DIMPACT		
		STAT		DCAL	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$0 \$0			
EI CHA	NGE IN CUSTS		ΨV		
IET CHA	NGE IN REVENUES		\$0	\$0	
gency	Prepared By	Phone No. Authorized	Signature Pho	one No. Date	
NR	Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	1 Volanda 160	8) 266-2794 03/09/1999	