

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST****Senate Amendment (SA-AB200)**Received: **06/14/99**Received By: **olsenje**Wanted: **Soon**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Joanne Huelsman (608) 266-2635**By/Representing: **Ray**

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: **olsenje**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Criminal Law - niiscellaneous**

Extra Copies:

---

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

---

**Topic:**

Appropriation amount

---

**Instructions:**

See Attached

---

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Reauired</u>
/1	olsenje 06/14/99	gilfokm 06/14/99	haugeca 06/15/99	_____	lrb-docadmin 06/15/99	lrb-docadmin 06/15/99	

FE Sent For:

&lt;END&gt;

**1999 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Senate Amendment (SA-AB200)**

Received: 06114199

Received By: **olsenje**

Wanted: **Soon**

Identical to LRB:

For: **Joanne Huelsman (608) 266-2635**

By/Representing: **Ray**

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: **olsenje**

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject: **Criminal Law - miscellaneous**

Extra Copies:

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:**

Appropriation amount

**Instructions:**

See Attached

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	olsenje	1-6-14-99 kmg	CH 6-14	CH 6-15 KMG			

FE Sent For:

<END>

**From:** Sobocinski, Ray  
**Sent:** Monday, June 14, 1999 2:45 PM  
**To:** Olsen, Jefren  
**Subject:** AB200 Motion

Jeffren,

Just a little background on Sen. Huelsman's motion for tomorrow. Just give me a call when you have a moment.

Thanks.

---

-----  
**To:** Interested Legislators  
**From:** Senator Joanne B. Huelsman  
**Date:** June 10, 1999  
**Re:** AB 200 Additional Appropriation Amendment

The following are reasons for the need to provide an additional \$30,000 to the previous described amount of \$60,000 for Fiscal Year 1999-00 in Section 3 of Assembly Bill 200 under *Appropriation* Changes. Mr. Mike Brennan, Staff Counsel to the Criminal Penalties Study Committee, provided this information to me.

(1) Breaking down and rebuilding corrections data from an extract off of the Department of Corrections main computer files. They could not anticipate that the data extract would have the problems that it did, including data episodes for certain prisoners which did not end - necessitating tracking down and creating starting and ending dates into certain inmates' records.

(2) Problems calculating parole and probation revocation rates, The Criminal Penalties Study Committee had been operating under somewhat erroneous assumptions concerning parole and probation revocation rates. Only after the model was debuted were the committee's assumptions/expectations changed. This may have resulted in somewhat incorrect information being supplied to the computer model consultants, Systems Seminar Consultants (SSC) of Madison.

(3) A series of technical conferences with a variety of State of Wisconsin employees to understand the manner in which Wisconsin retains corrections and justice data. These people have included Mike Brennan, **Shiva** Sathisivam, Mark Loder, Rick Geithman, and David Albino of the Department of Corrections; Pete Nelson of the Department of Administration-Bureau of Justice Information Systems; Ken **McKelvey** of the Circuit Court Automation Program, and Professor Mike Smith of the UW-Madison Law School. Although Mr. Brennan anticipated a few such conferences, they have ended up having to meet biweekly at the Department of Corrections-Bureau of Technology Management, to continue to work this complex problem to produce the model in time for the committee's use before the extended August 31, 1999 deadline.

