	1999 Session
	LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No.
CORIGINAL UPDATED	AB 221 (99-2141/2)
FISCALESTIMATE	Amendment No. if Applicable
DOA-2048 N(R10/98)	
Subject OWI Laws	
Fiscal Effect	
State: No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation	XX Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb
or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.	Within Agency's Budget Yes No
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation	
Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues	Decrease Costs
Create New Appropriation	
Local: No local government costs 1. Increase Costs 3. XX Increase Revenues	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
Permissive Mandatory XX Permissive Mandatory	☐ Towns ☐ Villages ☐ Cities
	Counties Others
Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory	School Districts WTCS Districts
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch.	. 20 Appropriations
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEG-S	
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate	
permitting ignition interlock on 1 st offens persons with there or more OWI convictions vehicle with a BAC of more than .02; 3) in imprisonment times; 4) creating a tiered B increased penalties, and 5) providing mand suspensions for underage drinking violation. It is expected that the increased penaltic imprisonment times will cause more cases the resulting in more trials and jury trials. for the mandatory license suspension for the violations. Also, it is expected that few will attend alcohol education programs because suspension presently serves as encourage.	refrom operating a acreases mandatory BAC system with varying latory driver's license ons. es and mandatory to be contested The same can be said anderage drinking yer underage persons cause the possibility of
the classes. Once suspension is mandatory removed. Additional driving after suspens be expected. This additional litigation is county costs for the courts by requiring a reporter, court staff and juror time. The costs is impossible to predict with the data to predict with the data to predict with the data to predict of State Courts Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) Director of State Courts	that incentive is sion violations can also ncreases both state and additional judge, court exact increase in ata available.

The tiered BAC system will require judges to modify their existing OWI sentencing guidelines which are required by statute. It may also result in additional plea bargaining.

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect	1999 Session LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No. Amendment No.						
Detailed Estimate of Affinial Fiscal Effect DOA-2047 (R10/94)	ORIGINAL CORRECTED	. UPDATED . SUPPLEMENTAL	ND 221				
Subject OWI Laws	- CORRECTED	. DOTTERMENT OF	J				
One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for	r State and/or Loca	l Government (do	not inclu	ıde in annualized	fiscal	effect):	
. Annualized Costs:				nnualized Fiscal imp ncreased Costs	pact on	n State funds from: Decreased Costs	
A. State Costs by Category State Operations – Salaries and Fringes			\$	_	\$	_	
(FTE Position Changes)			(FTE)		(–	FTE
State Operations – Other Costs						<u>-</u>	
Local Assistance							
Aids to Individuals or Organizations					-		
TOTAL State Costs by Cate	gory		\$		\$	-	
3. State Costs by Source of Funds				increased Costs	\$	Decreas	ed Costs
GPR			\$		^{\$}		
FED					-	-	
PRO/PRS							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SEG/SEG-S					-		
III. State Revenues - Complete this only revenues (e.g., tax in	when proposal will increase in licen	ase or decrease state se fee, etc.)	\$	Increased Rev.	\$	Decrea:	sed Rev.
GPR Taxes		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		+		
GPR Earned			-			-	
FED		·			-		
PRO/PRS					+	_	, . <u></u>
SEG/SEG-S							
TOTAL State Revenues			\$ CT		\$		
. 1	NET ANNUALIZ	LED FISCAL IM STATE	IPACT	LC)CAL		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$ <u>+ in</u>	\$ + indeter. \$ + indeter.					
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$ <u>+ ir</u>	deter.	\$ + indeter.				
	: (Name & Phone No.) To of State Courts Authorized Signature/Telephone No.						