

ORIGINAL UPDATED
 CORRECTED SUPPLEMENTAL

FISCAL ESTIMATE

DOA-2048 N(R10/94)

Subject

Attracting wild animals with bait and granting rule making authority

Fiscal Effect

State: No State Fiscal Effect

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget Yes No
 Decrease Costs

Increase Existing Appropriation Increase Existing Revenues
 Decrease Existing Appropriation Decrease Existing Revenues
 Create New Appropriation

Local: No local government costs

- | | | |
|--|---|--|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected:
<input type="checkbox"/> Towns <input type="checkbox"/> Villages <input type="checkbox"/> Cities
<input type="checkbox"/> Counties <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts
<input type="checkbox"/> School Districts <input type="checkbox"/> Others |
| 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenues
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory | |

Fund Sources Affected

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEG-S

20.370 (1)(mu) and (3)(mu)

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

SUMMARY OF BILL - This bill prohibits the use of bait for the purpose of hunting deer unless the bait is plant matter that is left or deposited as part of farming practices or unless the bait is commercially manufactured and weighs not more than two ounces. The bill requires that DNR promulgate rules restricting or prohibiting the use of bait to attract wild animals for purposes other than hunting.

FISCAL IMPACT - A Gun Deer Hunter Questionnaire is mailed to 10,000 gun deer hunters randomly selected based on license sales by county following each deer season. The questionnaire has historically had a 65% response rate. That questionnaire asks hunters whether they used bait to attract deer. In 1997, 16.2% of active hunters surveyed said they used bait, 16% in 1996, 13.2% in 1995 and 11.2% in 1994. A similar questionnaire is mailed to archers who hunt deer. In 1997 34.4% of active hunters surveyed indicated they use bait. The archer questionnaire is also mailed to 10,000 randomly selected archers based on license sales by county. It has a return rate of about 40%.

As the above numbers indicate, a significant percentage of deer hunters use bait. It is unknown how many would stop deer hunting if they were not allowed to use bait. It is likely a small percentage would discontinue deer hunting, even though success rate surveys indicate that hunters who do not use bait have a 3% to 5% higher success rate than hunters who do use bait. There may be a small decrease in deer hunting revenues as a result of some bait hunters dropping out of deer hunting.

Current deer baiting regulations generate complaints and violations. It is assumed that with these new regulations, complaints and violations will change focus to some extent, but the overall enforcement workload is estimated to remain similar.

The Department would incur some one-time costs for promulgating the rules. However, this cost would not be significant, and would be absorbed in the current budget.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

None

Agency	Prepared By	Phone No.	Authorized Signature	Phone No.	Date
DNR	Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	<i>Joe Polasek</i>	(608) 266-2794	03/24/1999

FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET

1999 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect
DOA-2047 (R10/94)

ORIGINAL
 CORRECTED
 UPDATED
 SUPPLEMENTAL

LRB or Bill No./Adm. Rule No. Amendment No.
AB 225, 99-1571/2

Subject
Attracting wild animals with bait and granting rule making authority

I. One-Time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal impact on State funds from:	
A. State Costs by Category	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$0	\$0
(FTE Position Changes)		
State Operations - Other Costs		
Local Assistance		
Aids to Individuals or Organizations		
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$0	\$0
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
III. State Revenues:	Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
<small>Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)</small>		
GPR Taxes	\$0	\$0
GPR Earned		
FED		
PRO/PRS		
SEG/SEG-S		
TOTAL State Revenues	\$0	\$0

NET ANNUALIZED IMPACT

	<u>STATE</u>	<u>LOCAL</u>
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$0	\$0
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES	\$0	\$0

Agency	Prepared By	Phone No.	Authorized Signature	Phone No.	Date
DNR	Joe Polasek	(608) 266-2794	<i>Joe Polasek</i>	(608) 266-2794	03/24/1999