					(99-12	18/2)		1999 Session			
					()) 12.	10/2/	LRB or Bill No	/Adm. Rule No.			
	Ķ)	ORIGINAL		UPDA	TED		A.B. 25				
FISCAL ESTIMATE		CORRECTED		SUPP	LEMENTAL		Amendment No	o. if Applicable			
DOA-2048 N(R10/98)											
Subject Various changes in ca elections board and p	ampa	aign finance	law	, al	ters the	composi	tion of t	he state			
Fiscal Effect	100	viues for the		<u> Juan</u>	cc or ro	IIIIAO DY	CHC GIV	or morrange			
State: No State Fiscal Effect											
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.							☑ Increase Costs – May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☑ Yes ☐ No				
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues											
Decrease Existing Appropriation  Decrease Existing Revenues						☐ Decrease Costs					
☐ Create New Appropriation											
Local:  No local government costs						r m	1 0	and a Victor A Constant			
1. Increase Costs	3	•	Increase Revenues				5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:				
	Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory				datory	☐ Towns         ☐ Villages         ☐ Cities           ☐ Counties         ☐ Others					
2. Decrease Costs	ľ	<ol> <li>Decrease Re</li> <li>Permissive</li> </ol>		⊃s □ Man	datory	☐ Counties ☐ School Dis	_	S Districts			
Permissive Mandatory Fund Sources Affected				141411		. 20 Appropria	_	Districts			
	DDC	☐ SEG ☐ SEG-	2			765 (3) (e)					
	PRS						. ,				
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fis	icai I	Estimate						*			
<ol> <li>Assembly Bill 256 (the Bill) proposes to modify Wisconsin's campaign finance law.</li> <li>Among other things, the bill requests the Joint Legislative Council to review the process for detecting and penalizing violations of the state campaign finance law, with a view to detecting violations quickly and punishing violators firmly. The Council is further requested to report its findings, conclusions and recommendations to the 2001 Legislature.</li> <li>If the study is authorized, it is anticipated that it would be performed by a 20-person study</li> </ol>											
committee composed of 10 le the committee would not incu committee. The public members their travel expenses associate expenses would not exceed a Reimbursement would be fro	ur ac pers ted \$2,7 m t	dditional expens of the committe with committee 700 annually (10 he Joint Legisla	ee v me me ) me tive	by vir would eeting embe Cour	tue of the be eligib s. It is aurs x seve ncil's s. 20	eir service le for reim nticipated n meeting 0.765 (3) (	on the student that these s x \$39 ex (e) appropr	dy for pense). iation.			
4. It is anticipated that staffing the needs of the study committee would require the services of 0.8 FTE attorney and 0.25 FTE support personnel for an eight-month period, plus the costs associated with supplies and services (total of \$47,200). These costs would be absorbed by the Joint Legislative Council's appropriation.											
		(OVE	R)								
Ì											
Long-Range Fiscal Implications											
None known											
						1					
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)		1 Auth	brize	d Signa	ture/Telephor	ne No	-	Date			
Legislative Council/David	Ç+		L	il	Ch	ДШ	4	Apr 26, 1999			
Pat Coakley 266-1304		Day	• •	J. S	stirte /	266-2984	(	Apr 20, 1999			

5. SECTIONS 97 and 98 of the Bill propose to statutorily provide that the Governor, in cooperation with the Joint Committee on Legislative Organization, may create a bipartisan committee to study campaign finance reform whenever changing electoral dynamics and campaign finance technology demand such action. This committee, if created, would be a s. 14.019 (1), Stats., nonstatutory committee, and its expenses would be paid from the Department of Administration's appropriation under s. 20.505 (3) (a), Stats. The creation of such a committee, and the date of creation, are matters of gubernatorial discretion.

FIS	CAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEE	1999 Session						
	ailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect	LRB or B	il No./Adm. Rule	No. Amend	ment No.			
DOA	A-2047 (R10/94)	☐ CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL	A.B. 25	56			
Subj	<sup>ect</sup> Various changes in the camp	oaign finance	law,					
I.	One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for	or State and/or Lo	cal Government (do	not includ	e in annualized	fiscal effect	):	
	\$49,900			,				
II.	Annualized Costs:	Ann	ualized Fiscal imp	pact on State i	act on State funds from:			
				Inc	reased Costs	Decr	eased Costs	
A.	State Costs by Category			\$		\$ -		
	State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	(FTE Position Changes)			(	FTE)	(-	FTE	
	State Operations – Other Costs				·	-		
	Local Assistance					_		
	Aids to Individuals or Organizations							
	TOTAL State Costs by Cate	egory		\$		\$ -		
В.	State Costs by Source of Funds			Inc	reased Costs	Decr	eased Costs	
	GPR			\$		\$ -		
	FED							
	PRO/PRS							
	SEG/SEG-S							
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)					creased Rev.	Decreased Rev.		
	GPR Taxes			\$		<b>3</b> -		
	GPR Earned							
	FED				,			
	PRO/PRS							
	SEG/SEG-S							
	TOTAL State Revenues			\$		\$ -		
		NET ANNUAL	IZED FISCAL IM	<b>PACT</b>				
			STATE		LC	OCAL		
NE	T CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	0		\$		_	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUES \$				<del></del> .	\$	<u></u>	- <del></del>	
Ag I	ency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.) egislative Council/Dayid Stu	te &	Authorized Signature	e/Telephone	No. Stul	Date Ap:	r 26, 199	
$\Box$	Pat-Goakley 200-1304		David J. St	ute/ 26	6-2984			

1999 Session