Control   Cont	•							1999 Session	
Prostitution and providing a penalty		×	ORIGINAL	□ UF	PDATED		LRB or Bill No LRB-1718/	o./Adm. Rule No 2 AB 268	
Prostitution and providing a penalty			CORRECTED	□ su	JPPLEMENTA	<u>L</u> '	Amendment I	No. if Applicable	
State: ② No State Fiscal Effect Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation. □ Increase Existing Appropriation □ Decrease Existing Revenues □ Create New Appropriation □ Create New Appropriation □ Create New Appropriation □ Decrease Costs □ Permissive ② Mandatory 2. □ Decrease Costs □ Permissive ② Mandatory 2. □ Decrease Costs □ Permissive ③ Mandatory 2. □ Decrease Costs □ Permissive ③ Mandatory 3. □ State Districts □ Permissive ③ Mandatory 3. □ Permissive ⑤ Mandatory 3. □ Permissive ⑥ Mandatory 3. □ P		alty			·	-			
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.    Increase Existing Appropriation   Increase Existing Revenues   Decrease Existing Appropriation   Decrease Existing Revenues   Decrease Existing Appropriation   Decrease Existing Revenues   Decrease Costs   Decrease Costs   Decrease Costs   Decrease Costs   S. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:   Permissive   Mandatory   Permissive   Mandatory   Decrease Revenues   Decrease Costs   S. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:   Permissive   Mandatory   Permissive   Permissive   Permissive   Permissive   Permissive   Permis		<u> </u>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.    Increase Existing Appropriation   Increase Existing Revenues   Decrease Costs			-			l 🗖 taaaaaa	O		
□ Decrease Existing Appropriation □ Decrease Existing Revenues □ Decrease Costs □ Costal: □ No local government costs □ Permissive □ Mandatory 2. □ Decrease Costs □ Permissive □ Mandatory 3. □ Decrease Revenues □ School Districts □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ Permissive □ Mandatory 4. □ Decrease Revenues □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ C									
□ Decrease Existing Appropriation □ Decrease Existing Revenues □ Decrease Costs □ Costal: □ No local government costs □ Permissive □ Mandatory 2. □ Decrease Costs □ Permissive □ Mandatory 3. □ Decrease Revenues □ School Districts □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ Permissive □ Mandatory 4. □ Decrease Revenues □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ School Districts □ Villages □ Cities □ C	☐ Increase Existing Appropriation	on	☐ Increase Existi	ng Reven	ues				
Local: □ No local government costs  1. 62 Increase Costs □ Permissive □ Mandatory 2. □ Decrease Costs □ Permissive □ Mandatory 3. □ Decrease Revenues □ Permissive □ Mandatory 4. □ Decrease Revenues □ Permissive □ Mandatory 3. □ Decrease Revenues □ Reven	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						e Costs		
1.			·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Permissive		- 1	_						
2. Decrease Costs							1		
Permissive   Mandatory   Permissive   Mandatory   School Districts   WTCS Districts		· ·			landatory	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Fund Sources Affected  BI GRP   DRO   DRO   DRO   DRO   SEG   SEG-S    Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate  This bill creates several new crimes, all of which are linked to prostitution, and provides additional disposition alternatives. It is unlikely that additional cases will result from these changes, but rather that the specific charges against persons may be somewhat different than is currently the case.  For counties, there will be a new cost of providing the court ordered "program designed to educate [certain] offenders about the about the effects of prostitution on the community and its neighborhoods" [from the LRB analysis] whenever the person receiving such education is unable to pay. The amount of any additional county costs is unknown.  Long-Range Fiscal Implications  None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatur(Freibphone No.)  Date					landaton				
Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate  This bill creates several new crimes, all of which are linked to prostitution, and provides additional disposition alternatives. It is unlikely that additional cases will result from these changes, but rather that the specific charges against persons may be somewhat different than is currently the case.  For counties, there will be a new cost of providing the court ordered "program designed to educate [certain] offenders about the about the effects of prostitution on the community and its neighborhoods" [from the LRB analysis] whenever the person receiving such education is unable to pay. The amount of any additional county costs is unknown.  Long-Range Fiscal Implications  None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)		atory	L I CITIISSIVE	- 14		. <del> </del>		D W 103 Districts	
This bill creates several new crimes, all of which are linked to prostitution, and provides additional disposition alternatives. It is unlikely that additional cases will result from these changes, but rather that the specific charges against persons may be somewhat different than is currently the case.  For counties, there will be a new cost of providing the court ordered "program designed to educate [certain] offenders about the about the effects of prostitution on the community and its neighborhoods" [from the LRB analysis] whenever the person receiving such education is unable to pay. The amount of any additional county costs is unknown.  Long-Range Fiscal Implications  None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signaturiff Telephone No.		□PRS	□ SEG □ SEG-	s	1			·	
alternatives. It is unlikely that additional cases will result from these changes, but rather that the specific charges against persons may be somewhat different than is currently the case.  For counties, there will be a new cost of providing the court ordered "program designed to educate [certain] offenders about the effects of prostitution on the community and its neighborhoods" [from the LRB analysis] whenever the person receiving such education is unable to pay. The amount of any additional county costs is unknown.  Long-Range Fiscal Implications  None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signaturif Telephone No.)  Date	Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate								
about the about the effects of prostitution on the community and its neighborhoods" [from the LRB analysis] whenever the person receiving such education is unable to pay. The amount of any additional county costs is unknown.  Long-Range Fiscal Implications  None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Date	alternatives. It is unlikely that additional cases will result from these changes, but rather that the specific charges								
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date	about the about the effects of p	rostituti	on on the commu	nity and	l its neighbo	rhoods" [fro	m the LRB a	nalysis] whenever	
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date									
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date									
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date			•					•	
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date									
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date									
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date									
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date									
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date									
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date									
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date	,								
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date									
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date								•	
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date									
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date	`								
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date									
None  Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signatura Telephone No.)  Date			$\wedge$						
Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phone No.)  Authorized Signature Telephone No.)  Date	Long-Range Fiscal Implications								
The second secon	None		/ /	7					
DA/ Stuart Morse (608) 267-2700	Agency/Prepared by: (Name & Phor	ne No.)	Auto	orized S	ignatur (Teler	phone Ng		Date	
	DA/ Stuart Morse (608) 267-2	700			1, V/0/s	we (608)	267-3836	February 26, 1999	