FISCAL ESTIMATE FORM		1999 Session	
	LRB # -1718	/2	
■ ORIGINAL □ UPDATED	INTRODUCT	INTRODUCTION # AB 268	
☐ CORRECTED ☐ SUPPLEMENTA	Admin. Rule #	Admin. Rule #	
Subject Prostitution and providing a penalty			
Fiscal Effect			
State: ■No State Fiscal Effect			
Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.		☐ Increase Costs - May be possible to Absorb Within Agency's Budget ☐ Yes ☐ No	
☐ Increase Existing Appropriation ☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Existing Appropriation ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues ☐ Create New Appropriation		☐ Decrease Costs	
Local: ■ No local government costs 1. □ Increase Costs □ Mandatory □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ Decrease Costs □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ Permissive □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ Permissive □ Permissive □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ Permissive □ Permissive □ Permissive □ Mandatory □ Permissive □ Per	nissive Mandatory se Revenues	5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected: ■Towns ■Villages ■ Cities □ Counties □ Others □ School Districts □ WTCS Districts	
Fund Sources Affected		Ch. 20 Appropriations	
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☐ SEG Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate:	□ SEG-S		
It is hard to estimate how local law enforcement or state investigators use this proposed legislation to combat prostitution. Therefore at			
this time we cannot estimate a local or state cost.			
		, .	
		•	
Long-Range Fiscal Implications:			
Propagad By: / Phone # / Agency Name	Authorized Signature / Talan	share No.	
Prepared By: / Phone # / Agency Name Steve Tinker/6-0764/DOJ	Authorized Signature / Telep		
	Journa M Ku	chard 7-1932 3/11/99	