1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Receive	d: 11/17/98		Received By: nelsorp1						
Wanted:	As time pern	nits	Identical to LRB:						
For: Ma	arc Duff (608)	266-1190	By/Representing: Marsha						
This file	may be show	n to any legislat	Drafter: nelsorp1						
May Co	ntact:			Alt. Drafters:					
Subject:		s - immunity lia s - miscellaneou	Extra Copies:						
Pre Top	pic:								
No spec	ific pre topic g	given							
Topic:									
Environ	mental audits								
Instruc	tions:								
See 97s	0689								
 Draftin	g History:								
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required		
/?	nelsorp1 12/8/98	chanaman 12/30/98							
/P1			lpaasch 01/6/99		lrb_docadmin 01/6/99				
/1	nelsorp1 01/8/99	jgeller 01/10/99	hhagen 01/12/99		lrb_docadmin 01/12/99				
/2	nelsorp1 02/26/99	chanaman 02/26/99	martykr 02/26/99		lrb_docadmin 02/26/99	lrb_docadn 04/1/99	nin		

4/1/99 10:13:39 AM Page 2

FE Sent For:

<END>

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Receive	d: 11/17/98		Received By: nelsorp1						
Wanted	: As time pern	nits	Identical to LRB:						
For: Ma	arc Duff (608)	266-1190	By/Representing: Marsha Drafter: nelsorp1 Alt. Drafters: Extra Copies:						
This file	e may be show	n to any legislat							
May Co	ontact:								
Subject		s - immunity lia s - miscellaneou							
Pre To	pic:								
No spec	cific pre topic g	given							
Topic:									
Environ	mental audits								
Instruction See 97s									
Draftir	ng History:								
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	<u>Proofed</u>	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required		
/?	nelsorp1 12/8/98	chanaman 12/30/98							
/P1			lpaasch 01/6/99		lrb_docadmin 01/6/99				
/1	nelsorp1 01/8/99	jgeller 01/10/99	hhagen 01/12/99		lrb_docadmin 01/12/99				
/2	nelsorp1 02/26/99	chanaman 02/26/99	martykr 02/26/99		lrb_docadmin 02/26/99				

2/26/99 3:59:14 PM Page 2

FE Sent For:

<END>

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received	: 11/17/98			Received By: nelsorp1						
Wanted:	As time peri	mits			Identical to LRB: By/Representing: Marsha					
For: Mar	c Duff (608	266-1190								
This file	may be show	n to any legislat	tor: NO		Drafter: nelsorp1					
May Con	itact:				Alt. Drafters:					
Subject: Courts - immunity liablty Courts - miscellaneous					Extra Copies:					
Topic:										
Environn	nental audits									
Instruct See 97s0	ions:	2/26 Onff's	Call office,	from stone	Fassbe	change	Il permissió			
Drafting	History:									
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required			
/?	nelsorp1 12/8/98	chanaman 12/30/98								
/P1			lpaasch 01/6/99		lrb_docadmin 01/6/99					
/1	nelsorp1 01/8/99	jgeller 01/10/99 CMH	hhagen 01/12/99 Im/L		lrb_docadmin 01/12/99					
FE Sent For: 2/24				dm/2レ <end></end>						

1999 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 11/17/98

Received By: nelsorp1

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: Marc Duff (608) 266-1190

By/Representing: Marsha

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: nelsorp1

May Contact:

Alt. Drafters:

Subject:

Courts - immunity liablty

Courts - miscellaneous

Extra Copies: 1 CT

Topic:

Environmental audits

Instructions:

See 97s0689

Drafting History:

Vers.

Drafted

Reviewed

Typed

Proofed

Jacketed

Required

/?

nelsorp1

FE Sent For:

11 cm 1/8 11/12 14



State of Misconsin 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRB-0895/2 RP/Wigning

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

An ACT ...; relating to:

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

2

1

(END)



LRBs0689/3 RPN;j/c;jf

cmM

ASSEMBLY SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 2,

TO 1997 ASSEMBLY BILL 235

May 5, 1998 - Offered by Representative DUFF.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

AN ACP to greate 299.94 and 905/20 of the statutes melating to creating a

privilege for environmental audits, providing immunity for disclosures related

to environmental audits and providing a penalty.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 299.94 of the statutes is created to read:

299.94 Immunity for disclosures. (1) DEFINITIONS. In this section:

- (a) "Environmental audit" has the meaning given in s. 905.20(1) (a).
- (b) "Environmental requirement" has the meaning given in s. 905.20 (1) (b).
- (2) DISCLOSURE IMMUNITY. (a) A person who voluntarily discloses information relating to an actual or potential violation of an environmental requirement to the department shall be immune from the imposition of a civil or criminal penalty that could be imposed for the violation if all of the following apply:

con the season of the season o

 $\mathbf{2}$

(15)

- 1. The voluntary disclosure is made within 45 days after the person knew, on the basis of information obtained during an environmental audit, that an actual or potential violation occurred.
- 2. The person making the disclosure initiates an appropriate and good faith effort to achieve compliance, pursues compliance with due diligence and promptly corrects the noncompliance or condition after discovery of the violation. If evidence shows that the noncompliance is the failure to obtain a permit, an appropriate and good faith effort to achieve compliance may be demonstrated by the submittal of a complete permit application within a reasonable time.
- 3. The person cooperates with any reasonable request by the department in any investigation that results from the disclosure.
- 4. The environmental audit occurs before the person is made aware that he or she is under investigation by a regulatory agency for actual or potential violations of environmental requirements.
- 5. Proposition beginning an environmental audit, the person that requested the environmental audit notified the department in writing of the date on which the environmental audit would begin, the site or facility or activity or management system related to a site or facility to be audited and the general scope of the environmental audit. The department may not reveal to any person that the department has received a notice under this subdivision or the contents of any notice received under this subdivision.
- 6. At the time that the voluntary disclosure is made to the department, the person making that disclosure provides the department with information showing that the conditions specified in this paragraph have been satisfied.

`25

- (b) If the person who voluntarily discloses information under par. (a) is a governmental unit, as defined in s. 939.648 (1), or a business entity, the immunity under this subsection applies to a director, officer, official, shareholder, trustee and managing employe, as defined in s. 49.498 (1) (e), of that person and to an employe of that person if the employe consents in writing to the disclosure.
- (3) EXCEPTIONS. A penalty may be imposed notwithstanding sub. (2) if any of the following applies:
- (a) The information disclosed under sub. (2) is required to be reported under a specific permit, license or approval condition or under an order issued by the department.
- (b) The violation resulted in serious harm or in imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment.
- (c) Within 3 years before the disclosure, the person making the disclosure has been found by a court or administrative law judge to have knowingly committed a criminal act or committed serious violations that constitute a pattern of continuous or repeated violations of environmental requirements, settlement agreements, consent orders or judicial orders that were due to separate and distinct events giving rise to the violations. In determining whether a person has a pattern of continuous or repeated violations under this paragraph, the trier of fact shall base the decision on the compliance history of the specific facility involved in the disclosure.
- (d) The violation resulted in a substantial economic benefit that gave the violator a clear advantage over its business competitors.
 - (e) The violation is of an administrative or judicial order.
- (3m) DEPARTMENTAL RESPONSE. If the conditions of a voluntary disclosure under sub. (2) (a) are not met but a good faith effort was made to voluntarily disclose and

resolve a violation detected in an environmental audit, the department shall consider the nature and extent of any good faith effort in deciding the appropriate enforcement response and shall mitigate any civil penalties based on a showing that one or more of the conditions under sub. (2) (a) were met.

- (4) CRIMINAL PENALTIES. Immunity provided for in this section does not apply to any criminal penalties if the owner or operator of a site or facility recklessly or knowingly committed a criminal act.
- against any person covered under sub. (2) for a violation of an environmental requirement based in whole or in part on information contained in an environmental audit and disclosed to the department, the disclosure shall be presumed to be voluntary. The person claiming that a disclosure is voluntary shall provide the supporting information required under sub. (2) (a), including information showing that an appropriate and good faith effort to achieve compliance has been undertaken with due diligence and that action was promptly taken to achieve compliance in the period of time since the date of the disclosure. The department has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a penalty may be imposed because the disclosure was not voluntary, because any of the conditions under sub. (2) (a) were not met or because one of the exceptions under sub. (3) applies.

SECTION 2. 905.20 of the statutes is created to read:

905.20 Environmental audit privilege. (1) DEFINITIONS. In this section:

- (a) "Environmental audit" means an evaluation of a site or facility or of an activity or management system related to a site or facility, if the evaluation meets all of the following criteria:
 - 1. Is conducted by or at the request of the owner or operator of the site or facility.

(24)

- 2. Is not required under a specific permit, license or approval condition or under an order issued by the department of natural resources.
- 3. Is undertaken for the purpose of identifying, documenting and improving compliance with environmental requirements, to identify an environmental hazard, contamination or other adverse environmental condition, or to improve an environmental management system or process.
- 4. Is completed within a reasonable time after beginning the evaluation, but not to exceed 6 months after the notification under sub. (2) (b) is sent, unless an extension is granted by the department of natural resources.
- (am) "Environmental audit report" means a document or record or a set of documents or records, each labeled at the time of creation of the document or record, "environmental audit report: privileged document" and created as the result of an environmental audit and includes supporting information and an implementation plan that addresses, as appropriate, correcting past noncompliance, improving current compliance, improving an environmental management system and preventing future noncompliance.
- (b) "Environmental requirement" means a federal, state or local environmental law, including any rule, regulation, ordinance, permit, license, approval or special order issued under those laws. State environmental laws include chs. 160 and 280 to 299 and s. 166.20.
- (c) "Supporting information" means any collection of data or material in any format, including field notes, records of observations, findings, opinions, suggestions, conclusions, drafts, memoranda, reports, drawings, photographs and computer generated or electronically recorded data, maps, charts, graphs and

surveys, if that data or material was created or prepared for the primary purpose and in the course of or as a result of an environmental audit.

- (2) GENERAL RULE OF PRIVILEGE. (a) An owner or operator of a site or facility has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing any environmental audit report related to the owner's or operator's site or facility. This privilege is in addition to any other privilege provided under this chapter. This privilege may be claimed in any civil action or administrative proceeding, including a contested case, as defined in s. 227.01 (3).
- (b) Prior to beginning an environmental audit, the person that requested the environmental audit shall notify the department of natural resources in writing of the date on which the environmental audit will begin, the site or facility or activity or management system related to a site or facility to be audited and the general scope of the environmental audit. The department of natural resources may not reveal to any person that the department has received a notice under this paragraph or the contents of any notice received under this paragraph.
- (c) Disclosure of any part of an environmental audit report to any of the following does not waive the privilege under this section:
- 1. An employe, agent, successor, assignee, director or shareholder of the owner or operator of the site or facility.
 - 2. An attorney of the owner or operator of the site or facility.
- 3. An independent contractor retained by the owner or operator of the site or facility to review an issue raised as a result of the environmental audit.
- 4. A partner or lender of the owner or operator of the site or facility or a person whom the owner or operator is currently negotiating with regarding partnership, transfer of ownership or lending of money.

- 5. A state or federal official or employe under the terms of an agreement between a state or federal agency and the owner or operator of the site or facility.
- 6. The department of natural resources if the disclosure meets the conditions under s. 299.94 (2) (a).
- (3) Who may claim the privilege. (a) The privilege may be claimed by the owner or operator of the site or facility. If the owner or operator of the site or facility is a governmental unit, as defined in s. 939.648 (1), or a business the privilege may be claimed by a director, officer, official, shareholder, trustee or managing employe, as defined in s. 49.498 (1) (e), or by any other employe. The authority to claim the privilege under this paragraph is presumed without evidence to the contrary.
- (b) Any person who may otherwise claim a privilege under par. (a) retains the right to claim the privilege in any civil or administrative proceeding, notwithstanding the use of an environmental audit in any proceeding under sub. (4)
- (4) EXCEPTIONS. (a) The privilege does not apply if a court of record, after an in camera review of the environmental audit report, including a statement listing any activities undertaken as a result of the environmental audit to achieve compliance with environmental requirements, determines that the person seeking access to the environmental audit report proves by a preponderance of the evidence any of the following:
 - 1. That the privilege is asserted for a fraudulent purpose.
- 2. That the owner or operator of the site or facility failed to take the appropriate responses necessary to achieve compliance within a reasonable time after any noncompliance was discovered as the result of an environmental audit, but not

- exceeding 3 years after the discovery. The department of natural resources may extend the time to achieve compliance beyond the 3—year limit in an order scheduling compliance if the department determines that acceptable progress is being made in achieving compliance.
- 3. That the environmental audit report provides information about a site or facility that constitutes a violation of an environmental requirement and that the violation was committed with knowledge by an owner or operator of the site or facility that the site or facility violated an environmental requirement.
 - (b) The privilege does not apply to any of the following:
- 1. Documents, communication, data, reports or other information required to be made available or reported to a regulatory agency or any other person by statute, rule, ordinance, permit, license, approval, order or consent agreement, or as otherwise provided by law.
- 2. Information obtained by the observation, sampling or monitoring conducted by a state or federal agency that regulates compliance with federal environmental requirements.
- 3. Information legally obtained from a source independent of an environmental audit.
 - (c) The privilege does not apply in any of the following:
 - 1. An action for contribution or indemnity under an insurance contract.
 - 2. A criminal investigation.
- (5) PENALTIES. A person who uses this section to commit fraud may be fined not more than \$25,000.

SECTION 3. Nonstatutory provisions.

(1) Within 5 years after the effective date of this subsection, the department of natural resources shall submit a report to the appropriate standing committees of the legislature, in the manner provided under section 13.172 (3) of the statutes, evaluating whether sections 299.94 and 905.20 of the statutes, as created by this act, have been effective in encouraging the use of environmental audits and in identifying and correcting environmental problems and conditions.

Section 4. Initial applicability.

(1) This act first applies to environmental audits completed on the effective

SECTION 5. Effective date.

date of this subsection.

(1) This act takes effect on July 1, 1999.

12 (END) ✓



State of Misconsin 1997 - 1998 LEGISLATURE

LRB-2698/1 RPN:jlg&kmg:arm

1997 ASSEMBLY BILL 235

April 3, 1997 – Introduced by Representatives Duff, Ziegelbauer, Green, Hasenohrl, Jensen, Freese, Vrakas, Albers, Harsdorf, Ainsworth, Musser, M. Lehman, Powers, Hahn, Brandemuehl and Grothman, cosponsored by Senators Panzer, Huelsman, Farrow, Schultz and Buettner. Referred to Committee on Environment.

facheve compleanes

AN ACT to create 299.94 and 905.20 of the statutes; relating to: creating a privilege for environmental audits, providing immunity for disclosures related to environmental audits and providing a penalty.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Byreau

actual or

an actual

1

This bill creates an immunity from the imposition of a civil or criminal penalty in cases involving potential violations of an environmental requirement. The immunity applies if a person voluntarily discloses the information related to the potential violation promptly after the person knew of the potential violation, based on information obtained in an environmental audit. In addition, the immunity applies only if the person makes a good faith effort to correct the potential violation, and cooperates with the department of natural resources (DNR) in any investigation related to the disclosure. The immunity does not apply in certain situations, including when the information is required to be disclosed under a permit of order issued by DNR.

InsertA-2

nsertA-1

under an

The bill also establishes an environmental audit privilege, restricting the disclosure of any information obtained as part of an environmental evaluation of a site or facility. The privilege applies to audits that are conducted at the request of the owner or operator of the site or facility and that are not required under a permit or order issued by DNR. The bill provides that the privilege is not waived by disclosure of the contents of an environmental audit to certain groups, including directors and shareholders of the business entity that owns or operates the site or facility, state or federal officials under the terms of a specific agreement or DNR if

Slicense or approval condition

ASSEMBLY BILL 235

the audit was voluntarily disclosed and conditions are met to provide immunity from penalties. Under the bill, a court may determine that the privilege does not apply if the privilege is asserted for fraudulent purposes, if timely action is not taken to respond to any environmental noncompliance found in the audit or if the owner or operator of a site or facility knew of an environmental violation disclosed during the audit.

Insert A-3

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

END OF INSERT

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- 1 Section 1. 299.94 of the statutes is created to read:
- 2 299.94 Immunity for disclosures. (1) DEFINITIONS. In this section:
 - (a) "Environmental audit" has the meaning given in s. 905.20 (1) (a).
 - (b) "Environmental requirement" has the meaning given in s. 905.20 (1) (b).
 - (2) DISCLOSURE IMMUNITY. (a) If all of the following apply, a person who voluntarily discloses to the department information relating to a potential violation of an environmental requirement to the department shall be immune from the imposition of a civil or criminal penalty which could be imposed for the violation if all of the following apply:
 - 1. The disclosure is made promptly after the person knew, on the basis of information obtained during an environmental audit, that a potential violation occurred.
 - 2. The person makes a good faith effort to timely correct the potential violation.
 - 3. The person cooperates with any reasonable request by the department in any investigation that results from the disclosure.
 - (b) If the person who voluntarily discloses information under par. (a) is a governmental unit, as defined in s. 939.648 (1), or a business entity, the immunity under this subsection applies to a director, officer, official, shareholder, trustee and

'en vivon meni END OF INSERT) (END OF INJERT) En Wiron MEntal

CEND OF INSERT



2

3

State of Misconsin 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

LRB-0895/P1 RPN:cmh&jlg:lp



AN ACT to create 299.94 and 905.20 of the statutes; relating to: creating a privilege for environmental audits, providing immunity for disclosures related to environmental audits and providing a penalty.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill creates an immunity from the imposition of a civil or criminal penalty in cases involving potential violations of an environmental requirement. The immunity applies if a person voluntarily discloses the information related to an actual or potential violation within 45 days after the person knew of the actual or potential violation, based on information obtained in an environmental audit. In addition, the immunity applies only if the person makes a good faith effort to achieve compliance, corrects the potential violation, cooperates with the department of natural resources (DNR) in any investigation related to the disclosure and notifies DNR of the date when the environmental audit will begin. The immunity does not apply in certain situations, including when the information is required to be disclosed under a permit, license or approval condition or under an order issued by DNR.

The bill provides that immunity does not apply to criminal penalties if the owner or operator of the site or facility recklessly or knowingly committed the criminal act. The bill allows DNR to mitigate any civil penalties when immunity does not apply if the person made a good faith, voluntary effort to disclose and resolve the violation.

The bill also establishes an environmental audit privilege, restricting the disclosure of any information obtained as part of an environmental evaluation of a

site or facility. The privilege applies to audits that are conducted at the request of the owner or operator of the site or facility and that are not required under a permit, license or approval condition or under an order issued by DNR. The bill provides that the privilege is not waived by disclosure of the contents of an environmental audit to certain groups, including directors and shareholders of the business entity that owns or operates the site or facility, state or federal officials under the terms of a specific agreement or DNR if the audit was voluntarily disclosed and conditions are met to provide immunity from penalties. Under the bill, a court may determine that the privilege does not apply if the privilege is asserted for fraudulent purposes, if timely action is not taken to respond to any environmental noncompliance found in the audit or if the owner or operator of a site or facility knew of an environmental violation disclosed during the audit.

The bill requires DNR to submit a report to the appropriate standing committees of the legislature within five years after the bill becomes law evaluating how the law has encouraged environmental audits and resulted in reduced environmental problems.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 299.94 of the statutes is created to read:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

299.94 Immunity for disclosures. (1) DEFINITIONS. In this section:

- (a) "Environmental audit" has the meaning given in s. 905.20 (1) (a).
- (b) "Environmental requirement" has the meaning given in s. 905.20 (1) (b).
- (2) DISCLOSURE IMMUNITY. (a) A person who voluntarily discloses information relating to an actual or potential violation of an environmental requirement to the department shall be immune from the imposition of a civil or criminal penalty that could be imposed for the violation if all of the following apply:
- 1. The voluntary disclosure is made within 45 days after the person knew, on the basis of information obtained during an environmental audit, that an actual or potential violation occurred.
- 2. The person making the disclosure initiates an appropriate and good faith effort to achieve compliance/pursues compliance with due diligence and promptly should it say, with what?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

what condition? corrects the noncompliance or condition after discovery of the violation. If evidence 1 shows that the noncompliance is the failure to obtain a permit, an appropriate and 2 good faith effort to achieve compliance may be demonstrated by the submittal of a

complete permit application within a reasonable time. 4

> 3. The person cooperates with any reasonable request by the department in any this seems add. should it be becomes aware" investigation that results from the disclosure.

4. The environmental audit occurs before the person is made aware that he or she is under investigation by a regulatory agency for actual or potential violations I would this include Det, the police? of environmental requirements.

- 5. Before beginning an environmental audit, the person that requested the environmental audit notified the department in writing of the date on which the environmental audit would begin, the site or facility or activity or management system related to a site or facility to be audited and the general scope of the environmental audit. The department may not reveal to any person that the department has received a notice under this subdivision or the contents of any notice ved under this subdivision. I doibsee how they can show compliance with subd. 3 at the time them.

 6. At the time that the voluntary disclosure is made to the department, the maje received under this subdivision.
- person making that disclosure provides the department with information showing that the conditions specified in this paragraph have been satisfied.
- (b) If the person who voluntarily discloses information under par. (a) is a governmental unit, as defined in s. 939.648 (1), or a business entity, the immunity under this subsection applies to a director, officer, official, shareholder, trustee and managing employe, as defined in s. 49.498 (1) (e), of that person and to an employe of that person if the employe consents in writing to the disclosure.

enforcement response and shall mitigate any civil penalties based on a showing that

one or more of the conditions under sub. (2) (a) were met.

23

- (4) CRIMINAL PENALTIES. Immunity provided for in this section does not apply to any criminal penalties if the owner or operator of a site or facility recklessly or knowingly committed the criminal act.
- (5) Burden of Proof. When the department commences an enforcement action against any person covered under sub. (2) for a violation of an environmental requirement based in whole or in part on information contained in an environmental audit and disclosed to the department, the disclosure shall be presumed to be voluntary. The person claiming that a disclosure is voluntary shall provide the supporting information required under sub. (2) (a), including information showing that an appropriate and good faith effort to achieve compliance has been undertaken with due diligence and that action was promptly taken to achieve compliance in the period of time since the date of the disclosure. The department has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a penalty may be imposed because the disclosure was not voluntary, because any of the conditions under sub. (2) (a) were not met or because one of the exceptions under sub. (3) applies.

SECTION 2. 905.20 of the statutes is created to read:

905.20 Environmental audit privilege. (1) Definitions. In this section:

- (a) "Environmental audit" means an evaluation of a site or facility or of an activity or management system related to a site or facility, if the evaluation meets all of the following criteria:
 - ${\bf 1.} \ \ {\bf Is\ conducted\ by\ or\ at\ the\ request\ of\ the\ owner\ or\ operator\ of\ the\ site\ or\ facility.}$
- 2. Is not required under a specific permit, license or approval condition or under an order issued by the department of natural resources.
- 3. Is undertaken for the purpose of identifying, documenting and improving compliance with environmental requirements, to identify an environmental hazard,

. 22

contamination or other adverse environmental condition, or to improve an environmental management system or process.

- 4. Is completed within a reasonable time after beginning the evaluation, but not to exceed 6 months after the notification under sub. (2) (b) is sent, unless an extension is granted by the department of natural resources.
- (am) "Environmental audit report" means a document or record or a set of documents or records, each labeled at the time of creation of the document or record, "environmental audit report: privileged document" and created as the result of an environmental audit and includes supporting information and an implementation plan that addresses, as appropriate, correcting past noncompliance, improving current compliance, improving an environmental management system and preventing future noncompliance.
- (b) "Environmental requirement" means a federal, state or local environmental law, including any rule, regulation, ordinance, permit, license, approval or special order issued under those laws. State environmental laws include chs. 160 and 280 to 299 and s. 166.20.
- (c) "Supporting information" means any collection of data or material in any format, including field notes, records of observations, findings, opinions, suggestions, conclusions, drafts, memoranda, reports, drawings, photographs and computer—generated or electronically recorded data, maps, charts, graphs and surveys, if that collection of data or material was created or prepared for the primary purpose of and in the course of or as a result of an environmental audit.
- (2) GENERAL RULE OF PRIVILEGE. (a) An owner or operator of a site or facility has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing any environmental audit report related to the owner's or operator's site or facility. This

privilege is in addition to any other privilege provided un	der this chapter. This
privilege may be claimed in any civil action or administrativ	• 0,
a contested case, as defined in s. 227.01 (3).	shouldn't be part tense, should it?

- (b) Before beginning an environmental audit, the person that requested the environmental audit shall notify the department of natural resources in writing of the date on which the environmental audit will begin, the site or facility or activity or management system related to a site or facility to be audited and the general scope of the environmental audit. The department of natural resources may not reveal to any person that the department has received a notice under this paragraph or the contents of any notice received under this paragraph.
- (c) Disclosure of any part of an environmental audit report to any of the following does not waive the privilege under this section:
- 1. An employe, agent, successor, assignee, director or shareholder of the owner or operator of the site or facility.
 - 2. An attorney of the owner or operator of the site or facility.
- 3. An independent contractor retained by the owner or operator of the site or facility to review an issue raised as a result of the environmental audit.
- 4. A partner or lender of the owner or operator of the site or facility or a person whom the owner or operator is currently negotiating with regarding partnership, transfer of ownership or lending of money.
- 5. A state or federal official or employe under the terms of an agreement between a state or federal agency and the owner or operator of the site or facility.
- 6. The department of natural resources if the disclosure meets the conditions under s. 299.94 (2) (a).

- (3) Who may claim the privilege. (a) The privilege may be claimed by the owner or operator of the site or facility. If the owner or operator of the site or facility is a governmental unit, as defined in s. 939.648 (1), or a business, the privilege may be claimed by a director, officer, official, shareholder, trustee or managing employe, as defined in s. 49.498 (1) (e), or by any other employe. The authority to claim the privilege under this paragraph is presumed without evidence to the contrary.
- (b) Any person who may otherwise claim a privilege under par. (a) retains the right to claim the privilege in any civil or administrative proceeding, notwithstanding the use of an environmental audit in any proceeding under sub. (4)

 (c) 1., in which the privilege does not apply.
- (4) EXCEPTIONS. (a) The privilege does not apply if a court of record, after an value them in camera review of the environmental audit report, including a statement listing any activities undertaken as a result of the environmental audit to achieve compliance with environmental requirements, determines that the person seeking access to the environmental audit report proves by a preponderance of the evidence any of the following:

 [That the privilege does not apply if a court of record, after an value than a listing and the court of the environmental audit report proves that the person seeking access to the environmental audit report proves by a preponderance of the evidence any of the following:

 [That the privilege does not apply if a court of record, after an value than a listing any activities undertaken as a result of the environmental audit to achieve compliance with environmental requirements, determines that the person seeking access to the environmental audit report proves by a preponderance of the evidence any of the following:

 [That the privilege does not apply if a court of record, after an value than a listing and access to the environmental audit report proves by a preponderance of the evidence any of the following:

1. That the privilege is asserted for a fraudulent purpose. or failed to take would result

2. That the owner or operator of the site or facility failed to take the appropriate responses necessary to achieve compliance within a reasonable time after any noncompliance was discovered as the result of an environmental audit, but not exceeding 3 years after the discovery. The department of natural resources may extend the time to achieve compliance beyond the 3—year limit in an order scheduling compliance if the department determines that acceptable progress is being made in achieving compliance.

3. That the environmental audit report provides information about a site or 1 2 facility that constitutes a violation of an environmental requirement and that the violation was committed with knowledge by an owner or operator of the site or facility 3 that the site or facility violated an environmental requirement. 4 5 (b) The privilege does not apply to any of the following: 6 1. Documents, communication, data, reports or other information required to 7 be made available or reported to a regulatory agency or any other person by statute, rule, ordinance, permit, license, approval, order or consent agreement, or as 8 9 otherwise provided by law. 2. Information obtained by the observation, sampling or monitoring conducted 10 by a state or federal agency that regulates compliance with federal environmental 11 12 requirements. 13 3. Information legally obtained from a source independent of an environmental 14 audit. (c) The privilege does not apply in any of the following: 15 1. An action for contribution or indemnity under an insurance contract. 16 17 2. A criminal investigation. 18 (5) PENALTIES. A person who uses this section to commit fraud may be fined not 19 more than \$25,000. 20 SECTION 3. Nonstatutory provisions. 21 (1) Within 5 years after the effective date of this subsection, the department of 22 natural resources shall submit a report to the appropriate standing committees of 23 the legislature, in the manner provided under section 13.172 (3) of the statutes. 24 evaluating whether sections 299.94 and 905.20 of the statutes, as created by this act, This is too long for a nonstab, is not it?

1	have been effective in encouraging the use of environmental audits and in identifying
2	and correcting environmental problems and conditions.
3	SECTION 4. Initial applicability.
4	(1) This act first applies to environmental audits completed on the effective
5	date of this subsection.
6	SECTION 5. Effective date.
7	(1) This act takes effect on July 1, 2000.
8	(END)



 $\mathbf{2}$

3

State of Misconsin 1999 - 2000 LEGISLATURE

D-Note

LRB-0895Æ1
RPN:cmh&jlg:lp

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

resorcat,

AN ACT to create 299.94 and 905.20 of the statutes; relating to: creating a

privilege for environmental audits, providing immunity for disclosures related to environmental audits and providing a penalty.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill creates an immunity from the imposition of a civil or criminal penalty in cases involving potential violations of an environmental requirement. The immunity applies if a person voluntarily discloses the information related to an actual or potential violation within 45 days after the person knew of the actual or potential violation, based on information obtained in an environmental audit. In addition, the immunity applies only if the person makes a good faith effort to achieve compliance, corrects the potential violation, cooperates with the department of natural resources (DNR) in any investigation related to the disclosure and notifies DNR of the date when the environmental audit will begin. The immunity does not apply in certain situations, including when the information is required to be disclosed under a permit, license or approval condition or under an order issued by DNR.

The bill provides that immunity does not apply to criminal penalties if the owner or operator of the site or facility recklessly or knowingly committed the criminal act. The bill allows DNR to mitigate any civil penalties when immunity does not apply if the person made a good faith, voluntary effort to disclose and resolve the violation.

The bill also establishes an environmental audit privilege, restricting the disclosure of any information obtained as part of an environmental evaluation of a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

site or facility. The privilege applies to audits that are conducted at the request of the owner or operator of the site or facility and that are not required under a permit, license or approval condition or under an order issued by DNR. The bill provides that the privilege is not waived by disclosure of the contents of an environmental audit to certain groups, including directors and shareholders of the business entity that owns or operates the site or facility, state or federal officials under the terms of a specific agreement or DNR if the audit was voluntarily disclosed and conditions are met to provide immunity from penalties. Under the bill, a court may determine that the privilege does not apply if the privilege is asserted for fraudulent purposes, if timely action is not taken to respond to any environmental noncompliance found in the audit or if the owner or operator of a site or facility knew of an environmental violation disclosed during the audit.

The bill requires DNR to submit a report to the appropriate standing committees of the legislature within five years after the bill becomes law evaluating how the law has encouraged environmental audits and resulted in reduced environmental problems.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 299.94 of the statutes is created to read:

299.94 Immunity for disclosures. (1) DEFINITIONS. In this section:

- (a) "Environmental audit" has the meaning given in s. 905.20 (1) (a).
- (b) "Environmental requirement" has the meaning given in s. 905.20 (1) (b).
- (2) DISCLOSURE IMMUNITY. (a) A person who voluntarily discloses information relating to an actual or potential violation of an environmental requirement to the department shall be immune from the imposition of a civil or criminal penalty that could be imposed for the violation if all of the following apply:
- 1. The voluntary disclosure is made within 45 days after the person knew, on the basis of information obtained during an environmental audit, that an actual or potential violation occurred.
- 2. The person making the disclosure initiates an appropriate and good faith

 with the environmental requirements

 effort to achieve compliance, pursues compliance with due diligence and promptly

23

- corrects the noncompliance decidation after discovery of the violation. If evidence shows that the noncompliance is the failure to obtain a permit, an appropriate and condition 3 good faith effort to achieve compliance may be demonstrated by the submittal of a 4 complete permit application within a reasonable time. 5 3. The person cooperates with any reasonable request by the department in any investigation that results from the disclosure. 6 4. The environmental audit occurs before the person is made aware that he or she is under investigation by a regulatory)agency for actual or potential violations 9 of environmental requirements. 10 5. Before beginning an environmental audit, the person that requested the 11 environmental audit notified the department in writing of the date on which the 12 environmental audit would begin, the site or facility or activity or management 13 system related to a site or facility to be audited and the general scope of the 14 environmental audit. The department may not reveal to any person that the department has received a notice under this subdivision or the contents of any notice 15 received under this subdivision. 16 6. At the time that the voluntary disclosure is made to the department, the 17 person making that disclosure provides the department with information showing 18 (Subds. (Subdiscous) 1, 2, 4, and 5.) v that the conditions specified in this paragraph have been satisfied. (19) 20 (b) If the person who voluntarily discloses information under par. (a) is a 21 governmental unit, as defined in s. 939.648 (1), or a business entity, the immunity
 - governmental unit, as defined in s. 939.648 (1), or a business entity, the immunity under this subsection applies to a director, officer, official, shareholder, trustee and managing employe, as defined in s. 49.498 (1) (e), of that person and to an employe of that person if the employe consents in writing to the disclosure.

(3) EXCEPTIONS.	A penalty may	be imposed	notwithstandin	ıg sub.	(2) if	any of
the following applies:			3	1		

- (a) The information disclosed under sub. (2) is required to be reported under a specific permit, license or approval condition or under an order issued by the department.
- (b) The violation resulted in serious harm or in imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment.
- been found by a court or administrative law judge tenese knowingly committed a criminal act or committed serious violations that constitute a pattern of continuous or repeated violations of environmental requirements, settlement agreements, consent orders or judicial orders that were due to separate and distinct events giving rise to the violations. In determining whether a person has a pattern of continuous or repeated violations under this paragraph, the trier of fact shall base the decision on the compliance history of the specific facility involved in the disclosure.
- (d) The violation resulted in a substantial economic benefit that gave the violator a clear advantage over its business competitors.
 - (e) The violation is of an administrative or judicial order.
- (3m) DEPARTMENTAL RESPONSE. If the conditions of a voluntary disclosure under sub. (2) (a) are not met but a good faith effort was made to disclose and resolve voluntarily a violation detected in an environmental audit, the department shall consider the nature and extent of any good faith effort in deciding the appropriate enforcement response and shall mitigate any civil penalties based on a showing that one or more of the conditions under sub. (2) (a) were met.

(4) Criminal penalties. Immunity provided for in this section does not apply
to any criminal penalties if the owner or operator of a site or facility recklessly or
knowingly committed the criminal act.

against any person covered under sub. (2) for a violation of an environmental requirement based in whole or in part on information contained in an environmental audit and disclosed to the department, the disclosure shall be presumed to be voluntary. The person claiming that a disclosure is voluntary shall provide the supporting information required under sub. (2) (a), including information showing that an appropriate and good faith effort to achieve compliance has been undertaken with due diligence and that action was promptly taken to achieve compliance in the period of time since the date of the disclosure. The department has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a penalty may be imposed because the disclosure was not voluntary, because any of the conditions under sub. (2) (a) were not met or because one of the exceptions under sub. (3) applies.

Add from P. John Section 1.0, like 2.0 for pool 1.0, like 2.0 for pool 2.0 good 1.0, like 2.0 for pool 2.0 good 1.0, like 2.0 for pool 2.0 good 1.0 for pool 2.0 for pool 2.

905.20 Environmental audit privilege. (1) DEFINITIONS. In this section:

- (a) "Environmental audit" means an evaluation of a site or facility or of an activity or management system related to a site or facility, if the evaluation meets all of the following criteria:
 - ${\bf 1.} \ \ {\bf Is\ conducted\ by\ or\ at\ the\ request\ of\ the\ owner\ or\ operator\ of\ the\ site\ or\ facility.}$
- 2. Is not required under a specific permit, license or approval condition or under an order issued by the department of natural resources.
- 3. Is undertaken for the purpose of identifying, documenting and improving compliance with environmental requirements, to identify an environmental hazard,

1	contamination	\mathbf{or}	other	adverse	environmental	condition,	or	to	improve	an
2	environmental	, 🚓		,						

- 4. Is completed within a reasonable time after beginning the evaluation, but not to exceed 6 months after the notification under sub. (2) (b) is sent, unless an extension is granted by the department of natural resources.
- (am) "Environmental audit report" means a document or record or a set of documents or records, each labeled at the time of creation of the document or record, "environmental audit report: privileged document" and created as the result of an environmental audit and includes supporting information and an implementation with environmental content of plan that addresses, as appropriate, correcting past noncompliance improving current compliance, improving an environmental management system and preventing future noncompliance.
- (b) "Environmental requirement" means a federal, state or local environmental law, including any rule, regulation, ordinance, permit, license, approval or special order issued under those laws. State environmental laws include chs. 160 and 280 to 299 and s. 166.20.
- (c) "Supporting information" means any collection of data or material in any format, including field notes, records of observations, findings, opinions, suggestions, conclusions, drafts, memoranda, reports, drawings, photographs and computer—generated or electronically recorded data, maps, charts, graphs and surveys, if that collection of data or material was created or prepared for the primary purpose of and in the course of or as a result of an environmental audit.
- (2) GENERAL RULE OF PRIVILEGE. (a) An owner or operator of a site or facility has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing any environmental audit report related to the owner's or operator's site or facility. This

privilege is in addition to any other privilege provided under this chapter. This privilege may be claimed in any civil action or administrative proceeding, including a contested case, as defined in s. 227.01 (3).

- (b) Before beginning an environmental audit, the person that requested the environmental audit shall notify the department of natural resources in writing of the date on which the environmental audit will begin, the site or facility or activity or management system related to a site or facility to be audited and the general scope of the environmental audit. The department of natural resources may not reveal to any person that the department has received a notice under this paragraph or the contents of any notice received under this paragraph.
- (c) Disclosure of any part of an environmental audit report to any of the following does not waive the privilege under this section:
- 1. An employe, agent, successor, assignee, director or shareholder of the owner or operator of the site or facility.
 - 2. An attorney of the owner or operator of the site or facility.
- 3. An independent contractor retained by the owner or operator of the site or facility to review an issue raised as a result of the environmental audit.
- 4. A partner or lender of the owner or operator of the site or facility or a person whom the owner or operator is currently negotiating with regarding partnership, transfer of ownership or lending of money.
- 5. A state or federal official or employe under the terms of an agreement between a state or federal agency and the owner or operator of the site or facility.
- 6. The department of natural resources if the disclosure meets the conditions under s. 299.94 (2) (a).

- (3) Who may claim the privilege. (a) The privilege may be claimed by the owner or operator of the site or facility. If the owner or operator of the site or facility is a governmental unit, as defined in s. 939.648 (1), or a business, the privilege may be claimed by a director, officer, official, shareholder, trustee or managing employe, as defined in s. 49.498 (1) (e), or by any other employe. The authority to claim the privilege under this paragraph is presumed without evidence to the contrary.
- (b) Any person who may otherwise claim a privilege under par. (a) retains the right to claim the privilege in any civil or administrative proceeding, notwithstanding the use of an environmental audit in any proceeding under sub. (4) (c) 1., in which the privilege does not apply.
- (4) EXCEPTIONS. (a) The privilege does not apply if a court of record, after an in camera review of the environmental audit report, including a statement listing any activities undertaken as a result of the environmental audit to achieve compliance with environmental requirements, determines that the person seeking access to the environmental audit report proves by a preponderance of the evidence any of the following:
 - 1. That the privilege is asserted for a fraudulent purpose.
- 2. That the owner or operator of the site or facility failed to take the appropriate action that went result in being achieved achieved may noncompliance was discovered as the result of an environmental audit, but not exceeding 3 years after the discovery. The department of natural resources may extend the time to achieve compliance beyond the 3-year limit in an order scheduling compliance if the department determines that acceptable progress is being made in achieving compliance.

- 1 3. That the environmental audit report provides information about a site or 2 facility that constitutes a violation of an environmental requirement and that the violation was committed with knowledge by an owner or operator of the site or facility 3 that the site or facility violated an environmental requirement. 4 5 (b) The privilege does not apply to any of the following: 1. Documents, communication, data, reports or other information required to 6 be made available or reported to a regulatory agency or any other person by statute, 7 rule, ordinance, permit, license, approval, order or consent agreement, or as 8 9 otherwise provided by law. 10 2. Information obtained by the observation, sampling or monitoring conducted by a state or federal agency that regulates compliance with federal environmental 11 12 requirements. 3. Information legally obtained from a source independent of an environmental 13 audit. 14 15 (c) The privilege does not apply in any of the following: 1. An action for contribution or indemnity under an insurance contract.
- 16
- 2. A criminal investigation. 17
- 18 (5) PENALTIES. A person who uses this section to commit fraud may be fined not 19 more than \$25,000.

... [revisor inserts date] ECTION 3. Nonstatutory provisions: Within 5 years after the effective date of this subsection, the department Catagal resources shall submit a report to the appropriate standing committees of the legislature, in the manner provided under section 13.172 (3) of the statutes, evaluating whether section 29994 and 905.20 of the statutes, as created by this act,

more			
mo 1	have been effective in encouraging the use of environmental audits and in identifying		
12_	and correcting environmental problems and conditions.		
3	SECTION . Initial applicability.		
4	(1) This act first applies to environmental audits completed on the effective		
5	date of this subsection.		
6	SECTION . Effective date.		
7	(1) This act takes effect on July 1, 2000.		
8	(END)		

D-Note

D-Note.

A I made some minor changes to clarify the language exithent changing the intent.

The one change that I lease review 5. 299. 98 (3) (6) & make sure my language changes did not affect the intent.

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0895/1dn RPN:cmh&jlg:hmh

Tuesday, January 12, 1999

I made some minor changes to clarify the language without changing the intent. Please review s. 299.94 (3) (c) to make sure my language changes did not affect the intent.

Robert P. Nelson Senior Legislative Attorney 267–7511



1

2

3

State of Misconsin 1999 - 2000 **LEGISLATURE**

LRB-0895 RPN:cmh&ilg:hmh

1999

AN ACT to create 299.94 and 905.20 of the statutes; relating to: creating a privilege for environmental audits, providing immunity for disclosures related to environmental audits and providing a penalty.

rege cat.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill creates an immunity from the imposition of a civil or criminal penalty in cases involving potential violations of an environmental requirement. The immunity applies if a person voluntarily discloses the information related to an actual or potential violation within 45 days after the person knew of the actual or potential violation, based on information obtained in an environmental audit. In addition, the immunity applies only if the person makes a good faith effort to achieve compliance, corrects the potential violation, cooperates with the department of natural resources (DNR) in any investigation related to the disclosure and notifies DNR of the date when the environmental audit will begin. The immunity does not apply in certain situations, including when the information is required to be disclosed under a permit, license or approval condition or under an order issued by DNR.

The bill provides that immunity does not apply to criminal penalties if the owner or operator of the site or facility recklessly or knowingly committed the criminal act. The bill allows DNR to mitigate any civil penalties when immunity does not apply if the person made a good faith, voluntary effort to disclose and resolve the violation.

The bill also establishes an environmental audit privilege, restricting the disclosure of any information obtained as part of an environmental evaluation of a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

site or facility. The privilege applies to audits that are conducted at the request of the owner or operator of the site or facility and that are not required under a permit, license or approval condition or under an order issued by DNR. The bill provides that the privilege is not waived by disclosure of the contents of an environmental audit to certain groups, including directors and shareholders of the business entity that owns or operates the site or facility, state or federal officials under the terms of a specific agreement or DNR if the audit was voluntarily disclosed and conditions are met to provide immunity from penalties. Under the bill, a court may determine that the privilege does not apply if the privilege is asserted for fraudulent purposes, if timely action is not taken to respond to any environmental noncompliance found in the audit or if the owner or operator of a site or facility knew of an environmental violation disclosed during the audit.

-2-

The bill requires DNR to submit a report to the appropriate standing committees of the legislature within five years after the bill becomes law evaluating how the law has encouraged environmental audits and resulted in reduced environmental problems.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. 299.94 of the statutes is created to read:

299.94 Immunity for disclosures. (1) Definitions. In this section:

- (a) "Environmental audit" has the meaning given in s. 905.20 (1) (a).
- (b) "Environmental requirement" has the meaning given in s. 905.20 (1) (b).
- (2) DISCLOSURE IMMUNITY. (a) A person who voluntarily discloses information relating to an actual or potential violation of an environmental requirement to the department shall be immune from the imposition of a civil or criminal penalty that could be imposed for the violation if all of the following apply:
- 1. The voluntary disclosure is made within 45 days after the person knew, on the basis of information obtained during an environmental audit, that an actual or potential violation occurred.
- 2. The person making the disclosure initiates an appropriate and good faith effort to achieve compliance with the environmental requirements, pursues

compliance with due diligence and promptly corrects the noncompliance after
discovery of the violation. If evidence shows that the noncompliance is the failure
to obtain a license, permit or other approval condition, an appropriate and good faith
effort to achieve compliance may be demonstrated by the submittal of a complete permit application within a reasonable time.
permit/application within a reasonable time.

- 3. The person cooperates with any reasonable request by the department in any investigation that results from the disclosure.
- 4. The environmental audit occurs before the person becomes aware that he or she is under investigation by a regulatory or enforcement agency for actual or potential violations of environmental requirements.
- 5. Before beginning an environmental audit, the person that requested the environmental audit notified the department in writing of the date on which the environmental audit would begin, the site or facility or activity or management system related to a site or facility to be audited and the general scope of the environmental audit. The department may not reveal to any person that the department has received a notice under this subdivision or the contents of any notice received under this subdivision.
- 6. At the time that the voluntary disclosure is made to the department, the person making that disclosure provides the department with information showing that the conditions specified in subds. 1., 2., 4. and 5. have been satisfied.
- (b) If the person who voluntarily discloses information under par. (a) is a governmental unit, as defined in s. 939.648 (1), or a business entity, the immunity under this subsection applies to a director, officer, official, shareholder, trustee and managing employe, as defined in s. 49.498 (1) (e), of that person and to an employe of that person if the employe consents in writing to the disclosure.

1	(3) Exceptions.	A penalty may be imposed notwithstanding sub. (2) if any of
2	the following applies:	

- (a) The information disclosed under sub. (2) is required to be reported under a specific permit, license or approval condition or under an order issued by the department.
- (b) The violation resulted in serious harm or in imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment.
- disclosure has, within 3 years before the disclosure, knowingly committed a criminal act or committed serious violations that constitute a pattern of continuous or repeated violations of environmental requirements, settlement agreements, consent orders or judicial orders that were due to separate and distinct events giving rise to the violations. In determining whether a person has a pattern of continuous or repeated violations under this paragraph, the trier of fact shall base the decision on the compliance history of the specific facility involved in the disclosure.
- (d) The violation resulted in a substantial economic benefit that gave the violator a clear advantage over its business competitors.
 - (e) The violation is of an administrative or judicial order.
- (3m) Departmental response. If the conditions of a voluntary disclosure under sub. (2) (a) are not met but a good faith effort was made to disclose and resolve voluntarily a violation detected in an environmental audit, the department shall consider the nature and extent of any good faith effort in deciding the appropriate enforcement response and shall mitigate any civil penalties based on a showing that one or more of the conditions under sub. (2) (a) were met.

- (4) Criminal penalties. Immunity provided for in this section does not apply to any criminal penalties if the owner or operator of a site or facility recklessly or knowingly committed the criminal act.
- against any person covered under sub. (2) for a violation of an environmental requirement based in whole or in part on information contained in an environmental audit and disclosed to the department, the disclosure shall be presumed to be voluntary. The person claiming that a disclosure is voluntary shall provide the supporting information required under sub. (2) (a), including information showing that an appropriate and good faith effort to achieve compliance has been undertaken with due diligence and that action was promptly taken to achieve compliance in the period of time since the date of the disclosure. The department has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a penalty may be imposed because the disclosure was not voluntary, because any of the conditions under sub. (2) (a) were not met or because one of the exceptions under sub. (3) applies.
- (6) Within 5 years after the effective date of this subsection [revisor inserts date], the department shall submit a report to the appropriate standing committees of the legislature, in the manner provided under s. 13.172 (3), evaluating whether this section and s. 905.20 have been effective in encouraging the use of environmental audits and in identifying and correcting environmental problems and conditions.
 - **SECTION 2.** 905.20 of the statutes is created to read:
 - 905.20 Environmental audit privilege. (1) Definitions. In this section:

 $\mathbf{2}$

- (a) "Environmental audit" means an evaluation of a site or facility or of an activity or management system related to a site or facility, if the evaluation meets all of the following criteria:
 - 1. Is conducted by or at the request of the owner or operator of the site or facility.
- 2. Is not required under a specific permit, license or approval condition or under an order issued by the department of natural resources.
- 3. Is undertaken for the purpose of identifying, documenting and improving compliance with environmental requirements, to identify an environmental hazard, contamination or other adverse environmental condition, or to improve an environmental management system or process.
- 4. Is completed within a reasonable time after beginning the evaluation, but not to exceed 6 months after the notification under sub. (2) (b) is sent, unless an extension is granted by the department of natural resources.
- (am) "Environmental audit report" means a document or record or a set of documents or records, each labeled at the time of creation of the document or record, "environmental audit report: privileged document" and created as the result of an environmental audit and includes supporting information and an implementation plan that addresses, as appropriate, correcting past noncompliance with environmental requirements, improving current compliance, improving an environmental management system and preventing future noncompliance.
- (b) "Environmental requirement" means a federal, state or local environmental law, including any rule, regulation, ordinance, permit, license, approval or special order issued under those laws. State environmental laws include chs. 160 and 280 to 299 and s. 166.20.

- (c) "Supporting information" means any collection of data or material in any format, including field notes, records of observations, findings, opinions, suggestions, conclusions, drafts, memoranda, reports, drawings, photographs and computer—generated or electronically recorded data, maps, charts, graphs and surveys, if that collection of data or material was created or prepared for the primary purpose of and in the course of or as a result of an environmental audit.
- (2) General Rule of Privilege. (a) An owner or operator of a site or facility has a privilege to refuse to disclose and to prevent any other person from disclosing any environmental audit report related to the owner's or operator's site or facility. This privilege is in addition to any other privilege provided under this chapter. This privilege may be claimed in any civil action or administrative proceeding, including a contested case, as defined in s. 227.01 (3).
- (b) Before beginning an environmental audit, the person requesting the environmental audit shall notify the department of natural resources in writing of the date on which the environmental audit will begin, the site or facility or activity or management system related to a site or facility to be audited and the general scope of the environmental audit. The department of natural resources may not reveal to any person that the department has received a notice under this paragraph or the contents of any notice received under this paragraph.
- (c) Disclosure of any part of an environmental audit report to any of the following does not waive the privilege under this section:
- 1. An employe, agent, successor, assignee, director or shareholder of the owner or operator of the site or facility.
 - 2. An attorney of the owner or operator of the site or facility.

- 3. An independent contractor retained by the owner or operator of the site or facility to review an issue raised as a result of the environmental audit.
- 4. A partner or lender of the owner or operator of the site or facility or a person whom the owner or operator is currently negotiating with regarding partnership, transfer of ownership or lending of money.
- 5. A state or federal official or employe under the terms of an agreement between a state or federal agency and the owner or operator of the site or facility.
- 6. The department of natural resources if the disclosure meets the conditions under s. 299.94 (2) (a).
- (3) Who may claim the privilege. (a) The privilege may be claimed by the owner or operator of the site or facility. If the owner or operator of the site or facility is a governmental unit, as defined in s. 939.648 (1), or a business, the privilege may be claimed by a director, officer, official, shareholder, trustee or managing employe, as defined in s. 49.498 (1) (e), or by any other employe. The authority to claim the privilege under this paragraph is presumed without evidence to the contrary.
- (b) Any person who may otherwise claim a privilege under par. (a) retains the right to claim the privilege in any civil or administrative proceeding, notwithstanding the use of an environmental audit in any proceeding under sub. (4) (c) 1., in which the privilege does not apply.
- (4) EXCEPTIONS. (a) The privilege does not apply if a court of record, after an in camera review of the environmental audit report and a statement listing any activities undertaken as a result of the environmental audit to achieve compliance with environmental requirements, determines that the person seeking access to the environmental audit report proves by a preponderance of the evidence any of the following:

- 1. That the privilege is asserted for a fraudulent purpose.
- 2. That the owner or operator of the site or facility failed to take the appropriate action that would result in compliance being achieved within a reasonable time after any noncompliance was discovered as the result of an environmental audit, but not exceeding 3 years after the discovery. The department of natural resources may extend the time to achieve compliance beyond the 3-year limit in an order scheduling compliance if the department determines that acceptable progress is being made in achieving compliance.
 - 3. That the environmental audit report provides information about a site or facility that constitutes a violation of an environmental requirement and that the violation was committed with knowledge by an owner or operator of the site or facility that the site or facility violated an environmental requirement.
 - (b) The privilege does not apply to any of the following:
 - 1. Documents, communication, data, reports or other information required to be made available or reported to a regulatory or enforcement agency or any other person by statute, rule, ordinance, permit, license, approval, order or consent agreement, or as otherwise provided by law.
 - 2. Information obtained by the observation, sampling or monitoring conducted by a state or federal agency that regulates or enforces compliance with federal environmental requirements.
 - 3. Information legally obtained from a source independent of an environmental audit.
 - (c) The privilege does not apply in any of the following:
 - 1. An action for contribution or indemnity under an insurance contract.
 - 2. A criminal investigation.

(5) PENALTIES. A person who uses this section to commit fraud may be fined not 1 more than \$25,000. 2 SECTION 3. Initial applicability. 3 (1) This act first applies to environmental audits completed on the effective 4 date of this subsection. 5 SECTION 4. Effective date. 6 (1) This act takes effect on July 1, 2000. 7 8 (END)

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0895/1dn RPN:cmh&jlg:hmh

Tuesday, January 12, 1999

(5.299,94(2)(a) 2. and (3)(c)

I made some minor changes to clarify the language without changing the intent. Please review-s. 299.94 (3) (c) to make sure my language changes did not affect the

with your permission Robert P. Nelson

Robert P. Nelson Senior Legislative Attorney

267-7511

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-0895/2dn RPN:cmh&jlg:km

February 26, 1999

I made some minor changes to s. 299.94 (2) (a) 2. and (3) (c) at the request of Bob Fassbender, with your permission.

Robert P. Nelson Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 267–7511

SUBMITTAL FORM

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU Legal Section Telephone: 266-3561 5th Floor, 100 N. Hamilton Street

The attached draft is submitted for your inspection. Please check each part carefully, proofread each word, and sign on the appropriate line(s) below.

To: Representative Duff **Date:** 2/26/99 Relating to LRB drafting number: LRB-0895 **Topic** Environmental audits Subject(s) Courts - immunity liablty, Courts - miscellaneous 1. **JACKET** the draft for introduction in the **Senate** ____ or the **Assembly** ____ (check only one). Only the requester under whose name the drafting request is entered in the LRB's drafting records may authorize the draft to be submitted. Please allow one day for the preparation of the required copies. 2. **REDRAFT.** See the changes indicated or attached A revised draft will be submitted for your approval with changes incorporated. 3. Obtain **FISCAL ESTIMATE NOW**, prior to introduction If the analysis indicates that a fiscal estimate is required because the proposal makes an appropriation or increases or decreases existing appropriations or state or general local government fiscal liability or revenues, you have the option to request the fiscal estimate prior to introduction. If you choose to introduce the proposal without the fiscal estimate, the fiscal estimate will be requested automatically upon introduction. It takes about 10 days to obtain a fiscal estimate. Requesting the fiscal estimate prior to introduction retains your flexibility for possible redrafting of the proposal.

If you have any questions regarding the above procedures, please call 266-3561. If you have any questions relating to the attached draft, please feel free to call me.

Robert P. Nelson, Senior Legislative Attorney Telephone: (608) 267-7511